

Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2018, **8**, 15999

Received 15th March 2018
 Accepted 23rd April 2018

DOI: 10.1039/c8ra02281j
rsc.li/rsc-advances

Facile synthesis of hollow hierarchical Ni@C nanocomposites with well-dispersed high-loading Ni nanoparticles embedded in carbon for reduction of 4-nitrophenol†

Xiaodi Guo,  ^{a,b} Hongpeng Kan, ^b Xinxin Liu, ^b Hongshuai Geng ^b and Lianying Wang ^{*b}

Hollow hierarchical Ni@C nanocomposites with highly dispersed Ni nanoparticles (NPs) embedded in well-graphitized carbon matrix have been synthesized by solid-state pyrolysis of simple, well-defined organic-inorganic layered nickel hydroxide. The integration of highly dispersed Ni NPs, high Ni NPs content (up to ~88.01 wt%), well-graphitized carbon as well as strong Ni/carbon interaction in the Ni@C make them display excellent catalytic activity and stable magnetic recyclability toward the reduction of 4-nitrophenol by NaBH₄.

The development of more efficient and stable catalysts has been an increasingly important goal for chemists and materials scientists for both economic and environmental reasons. As efficient and cost-effective non-noble metal, Ni NPs have attracted much attention owing to their potential applications in electronic or optical materials, as well as in catalysis.^{1–3} In general, the overall performance of Ni NPs depends heavily upon the size, shape and dispersion. It is widely accepted that a higher catalytic activity can be achieved by increasing the surface area of the specific active phase of the catalysts through reducing the size of the corresponding catalytic particles.^{4–7} However, due to their high surface area to volume ratio, Ni NPs are typically unstable and tend to sinter into larger species, especially at high metal contents, which results in a dramatic decrease in catalytic activity and selectivity. It is thus highly important to prepare stable Ni NPs with uniform dispersion and narrow size distribution to promote their catalytic activities.

Over the past decades, embedding of Ni NPs inside the porous supports has been proved to enhance catalytic activity and impede nanoparticle sintering.^{8–15} The advantages of carbon supports with respect to conventional oxidic supports, like silica and zirconia, involve the high specific surface area, high stability, intrinsic high electrical conductivity, as well as easy recovery of metal active phases from spent catalysts by burning away carbon. To date, various methods for preparing

carbon-supported Ni NPs have been developed.^{16–22} In particular, incipient wetness impregnation and coprecipitation are commonly used methods to prepare these supported catalysts. It is well known that traditional carbons (including activated carbon, carbon nanotubes, graphene and carbon nanofibers) are poor in functional groups and a complex functionalization pre-treatment (such as acid oxidation, ionic liquid linking or polymer wrapping) is always required. In addition, the excess reducing regents are also needed for the reduction of Ni NPs. Although a high dispersion of Ni NPs on porous carbon can be achieved by employing this methods, this tedious post-synthetic method renders unstable catalysts with dispersed Ni NPs on the external surface or near pore mouths and Ni NPs still have a tendency to be sintered, especially at high metal loading or high temperature, resulting in a significant loss of reactivity because of the relatively weak interaction between supported metal and carbon supports. Therefore, methods for the large-scale fabrication of carbon supported Ni NPs without using any reducing agents and high resistance toward sintering at high a metal loading level or a high temperature are still needed.

Recently, precursor-controlled pyrolysis of polymer frameworks have proven an attractive template-free, one-step approach toward creating novel metal–carbon hybrids with uniformly distributed metal NPs in a carbon matrix.^{23–26} Taking advantage of this category of the systems, we demonstrate a facile synthetic route to fabricate hollow hierarchical Ni@C nanocomposite by one-step solid-state pyrolysis of a simple and inexpensive organic-inorganic layered nickel hydroxides. In this facile procedure, cheap commercially available nickel nitrate hexahydrate and sodium salicylate are used as starting materials, and during the pyrolysis process the interlayer salicylate anions act as carbon source and reducing agent

^aCollege of Arts and Sciences, Shanxi Agricultural University, Taigu, Shanxi, 030801, P. R. China

^bState Key Laboratory of Chemical Resource Engineering, Beijing University of Chemical Technology, Beijing, 100029, P. R. China. E-mail: wangliany@mail.buct.edu.cn; Tel: +86 10 64451027

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental details, XRD, SEM images, TG-DTA curves, EDX, Raman spectrum and FTIR spectra of the samples. See DOI: 10.1039/c8ra02281j



without the need for any external agent or surface modification. In the composites, well-crystallized Ni NPs with high loading content (88.01 wt%) are uniformly embedded in the well-graphitized carbon matrix. The as-prepared Ni@C nanocomposites show excellent catalytic activity toward the reduction of 4-NP by NaBH₄ and can be easily magnetically recovered and reused for several cycles.

The hierarchical layered nickel hydroxides intercalated with salicylate anions (LNHs-Sal) precursor was prepared in large quantities through a simple urea decomposition method in water (details of the experimental are given in the ESI[†]). The X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern (Fig. S1[†]) of precursor exhibits the typical pattern of a layered structure with very well-ordered (001) basal peaks at low angle, confirming the successful intercalation of salicylate anions.²⁷ The scanning electron microscopy (SEM) image (Fig. S2[†]) displays the hierarchical LNHs-Sal microsphere (~1.5 μm in diameter) composed of numerous frizzy nanoflakes intercrossing with each other. EDX spectra (Fig. S3[†]) shows the presence of Ni, O, C (with additional Pt signals arising from the Pt coating). Based on the elemental analysis, the chemical composition of the LNHs-Sal can be written as Ni(OH)_{1.676}(C₇H₅O₃)_{0.324}·0.279H₂O (anal calcd: C 19.95, H 2.816%. Found: C 19.93, H 2.821%).

The LNHs-Sal was directly carbonized at 500 °C for 2 h under N₂ flow. Fig. 1a shows the typical SEM image of the product, which displays large-scale particles. Interestingly, we find that the configuration of the hollow flower-like morphology was perfectly maintained after thermal treatment (Fig. 1a and b), indicative of the high thermal stability of a self-assembled three-dimensional nanostructure. EDX result shows that the hollow flower-like nanocomposites consisted of nickel, carbon and oxygen (Fig. S4[†]). As revealed by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) image (Fig. 1c), it can be seen that shell thickness of hollow Ni@C nanocomposites is ~200 nm, close to the lateral size of LNHs-Sal nanoflakes. XRD pattern of products is shown in Fig. 1d, three peaks appeared at 44.51, 51.85, 76.45° can be attributed to the (111), (200) and (220) planes, respectively, of fcc-Ni (JCPDF: 04-0850),²⁸ suggesting the




Fig. 1 (a) and (b) SEM images, (c) TEM image, and (d) XRD of Ni@C nanocomposites.

successful *in situ* reduction of the Ni ions to a metallic state after carbonization. No peaks of impurities could be detected from this pattern, indicating the high purity of the materials.

High resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) of the Ni@C nanocomposites are shown in Fig. 2. Although no characteristic XRD pattern of graphitic carbon is observed directly (Fig. 2d), well-graphitized layers and lattice fringes are observed in the surroundings of Ni NPs (Fig. 2b and c), suggestive of the nature of graphitic carbon, which is also confirmed by the Raman spectra (Fig. S5[†]). Notable, the sizes of the Ni NPs are limited to ~9 nm, which is well consistent with the XRD results estimated by Debye-Scherrer equation. The Ni NPs are homogeneously dispersed within the well-graphitized carbon matrix without aggregation even if the Ni content is up to ~88.01 wt%, which was evaluated by the result of the TG under air (Fig. S6[†]), indicating an excellent confinement that can avoid sintering of the Ni NPs (Fig. 2c). Such superior confinement can be attributed to the *in situ* simultaneous formation of Ni NPs and carbon matrix during the high-temperature thermal treatment, where the Ni NPs formed were firmly locked within the carbon matrix.^{29,30} The lattice distance of 0.203 nm (Fig. 2d), corresponding to the (111) planes of the fcc metallic nickel phase, is in good accordance with the unit cell given by XRD.

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurement was performed to investigate the specific surface composition and chemical environment of the representative Ni@C nanocomposites. Two principal peaks in the Ni 2p XPS spectrum (Fig. 3a) centered at 852.9 and 870.2 eV confirmed the metallic state of Ni in Ni@C.^{31–33} While Ni 2p doublet with the binding energies of 856.3 and 874.3 eV, may be attributed to the Ni–O–C on the surface of Ni nanoparticles, indicates the formation of strong interaction between the Ni NPs and the carbon matrix.^{34,35} In the expanded image of the C 1s peak (Fig. 3b), the high-resolution C 1s core-level spectrum could be deconvoluted




Fig. 2 (a) TEM image, (b)–(d) HRTEM image of Ni@C nanocomposites.




Fig. 3 XPS spectra of Ni@C nanocomposites: (a) Ni 2p core levels, (b) C 1s core level, and (c) O 1s core level; (d) nitrogen adsorption–desorption isotherm Ni@C nanocomposites (inset is pore size distributions).

into three peaks centered at ~ 284.9 , ~ 285.9 , and ~ 289.2 eV, which was indexed to C=C/C-C, C-OH and C-O-Ni, respectively.^{36–38} The sharpest peak corresponding to C=C/C-C indicated that most of the carbon atoms were in the form of a conjugated honeycomb lattice. The O 1s spectrum is deconvoluted into two peaks (Fig. 3c), and they can be assigned to C-O-Ni (530.2 eV) and surface C-OH (532.1 eV).³⁹ These results indicate that strong Ni-O-C interaction was formed, which is very important for preventing the aggregation of the Ni NPs and making the Ni NPs hard to detach from the carbon support (improving the stability). Meanwhile, the external surfaces of Ni@C nanocomposites are extensively functionalized with C-OH groups without any steps of modification, which are derived from the functional groups of salicylate anions and further confirmed by FTIR spectroscopy (Fig. S7†) allowing the Ni@C nanocomposites to be dispersed in water to a certain extent. The Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) surface area of the Ni@C nanocomposites was measured to be $106.11\text{ m}^2\text{ g}^{-1}$, and the pore size was about 12.0 nm (Fig. 3d). This should offer a sufficient interface to facilitate to the mass transfer and facilitates the catalytic activity.

The catalytic performance of the Ni@C nanocomposites for the reduction of 4-NP to 4-AP with excess of NaBH₄ were evaluated, of which the results are show in Fig. 4. In the absence of the catalyst, the peak remained unaltered with time, indicating that the reduction reaction did not occur. With the Ni@C catalyst added, the reduction reaction did proceed. The reaction kinetics could be monitored easily from the time-dependent absorption spectra, which showed the successive intensity decrease of the absorption peak at 400 nm, ascribed to nitro compounds, and the concomitant development of a new peak at 300 nm corresponding to 4-AP, the reduction product of 4-NP (Fig. 4a). In the reduction process, as excess NaBH₄ was used, the BH₄[−] concentration can be considered as a constant throughout the reaction. The ratio of C_t and C_0 , where C_t and C_0




Fig. 4 (a) UV-vis spectra of the reduction of 4-NP in aqueous solution using (Ni@C) nanocomposites, (b) the relationship between $\ln(C_t/C_0)$ and reaction time, (c) magnetic separation and recycling of Ni@C nanocomposite, and (d) conversion of 4-NP in ten successive cycles of reduction.

are 4-NP concentrations at time t and 0, respectively, was measured from the relative intensity of the respective absorbances, A_t/A_0 . The rate constant k can be determined from the linear plot of $\ln(C_t/C_0)$ versus reduction time was in seconds. As expected, a good linear correlation of $\ln(C_t/C_0)$ versus time was obtained (Fig. 4b), whereby a kinetic reaction rate constant k over the weight of catalyst is estimated to be $6.43\text{ s}^{-1}\text{ g}^{-1}$, which is larger than those reported previously (Table 1).^{40–44} The high activity arises from the synergistic effect of carbon matrix and embedded Ni NPs, explained as follows: (1) carbon layers has high adsorption ability towards 4-NP *via* π – π stacking interactions. This provides a high concentration of 4-NP near to the Ni nanoparticles embedded in carbon matrix, leading to highly efficient contact between them; and (2) the synergistic effect of Ni nanocrystals and well-graphitized carbon matrix, facilitating the uptake of electrons by 4-NP molecules. As shown in Fig. 4c and d, the catalysts can be successfully recycled by an external magnet after the catalytic reduction and reused in 10 successive reactions with a conversion of 87%, further suggesting an excellent stability and long life, which make the products be attractive materials for various potential applications.

Table 1 Comparison of reaction rate constant of Ni@C nanocomposites with other catalysts reported in literatures

Number	Catalysts	$k\text{ s}^{-1}\text{ g}^{-1}$	Literature
1	Ni@C	6.43	This work
2	Ni _{33.8} Co _{66.2} dendrites	1.22	40
3	RGO/Ni	0.038	41
4	TaO _x N _y	3.28	42
5	Ni/graphene	3.90	43
6	Ag particles	2.00	44
7	Porous Cu microspheres	6.07	45
8	FeCo-NCNS/TRGO	2.37	46

In summary, we have successfully developed a novel, efficient and scalable strategy for the development of advanced hollow hierarchical Ni@C catalysts with highly dispersed Ni NPs embedded in the well-graphitized carbon matrix by using organic-inorganic layered nickel hydroxides as single-source precursors. The integration of highly dispersed embedded Ni NPs, high Ni NPs content (up to ~88.01 wt%), well-graphitized carbon matrix as well as strong interaction between the Ni NPs and carbon in the Ni@C catalysts make them excellent active, stable catalysts toward the reduction of 4-NP by NaBH₄. The catalysts can be successfully recycled by an external magnet after the catalytic reduction and reused for at least ten successive cycles of reaction with stable conversion efficiency of around 87%, which make the products be attractive materials for various potential applications. This work offers a facile, cost-effective, and green strategy to rationally design and synthesize multifunctional nanomaterials for future applications in catalysis, magnetism, separation, and electrochemistry.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Notes and references

- 1 L. Zhou, M. Wen, Q. Wu and D. Wu, *Dalton Trans.*, 2014, **43**, 7924–7929.
- 2 A. Wang, H. Yin, H. Lu, J. Xue, M. Ren and T. Jiang, *Langmuir*, 2009, **25**, 12736–12741.
- 3 X. Liu, X. Wang, X. Yuan, W. Dong and F. Huang, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2016, **4**, 167–172.
- 4 M.-Q. Zhao, Q. Zhang, W. Zhang, J.-Q. Huang, Y. Zhang, D. S. Su and F. Wei, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 14739–14741.
- 5 K. K. R. Datta, B. V. Subba Reddy, K. Ariga and A. Vinu, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 5961–5965.
- 6 A. L. M. da Silva, J. P. den Breejen, L. V. Mattos, J. H. Bitter, K. P. de Jong and F. B. Noronha, *J. Catal.*, 2014, **318**, 67–74.
- 7 P. Munnik, P. E. de Jongh and K. P. de Jong, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 7333–7340.
- 8 Ö. Metin, V. Mazumder, S. Özkar and S. Sun, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 1468–1469.
- 9 S. Li, C. Zhang, Z. Huang, G. Wu and J. Gong, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 4226–4228.
- 10 M. Lan, G. Fan, Y. Wang, L. Yang and F. Li, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2014, **2**, 14682–14689.
- 11 M. Raula, M. H. Rashid, S. Lai, M. Roy and T. K. Mandal, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2012, **4**, 878–889.
- 12 Z. Ren, F. Zhang, L. Yue, X. Li, Y. Tao, G. Zhang, K. Wu, C. Wang and B. Li, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 52658–52666.
- 13 X. Li, D. Li, H. Tian, L. Zeng, Z. Zhao and J. Gong, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2017, **202**, 683–694.
- 14 Q. Su, L. Gu, Y. o. Yao, J. Zhao, W. Ji, W. Ding and C.-T. Au, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2017, **201**, 451–460.
- 15 H. Wang, L. Wu, A. Jia, X. Li, Z. Shi, M. Duan and Y. Wang, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2018, **332**, 637–646.
- 16 M. K. van der Lee, A. J. van Dillen, J. H. Bitter and K. P. de Jong, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 13573–13582.
- 17 S. Bai, X. Shen, G. Zhu, M. Li, H. Xi and K. Chen, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2012, **4**, 2378–2386.
- 18 Y. Wu, M. Wen, Q. Wu and H. Fang, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2014, **118**, 6307–6313.
- 19 T. Wang, Z. Dong, T. Fu, Y. Zhao, T. Wang, Y. Wang, Y. Chen, B. Han and W. Ding, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 17712–17715.
- 20 S. K. Singh, D. Kumar, V. M. Dhavale, S. Pal and S. Kurungot, *Adv. Mater. Interfaces*, 2016, **3**, 1600532.
- 21 L. Wang, Y. Li, M. Xia, Z. Li, Z. Chen, Z. Ma, X. Qin and G. Shao, *J. Power Sources*, 2017, **347**, 220–228.
- 22 I. L. Soroka, N. V. Tarakina, A. Hermansson, L. Bigum, R. Widerberg, M. S. Andersson, R. Mathieu, A. R. Paulraj and Y. Kiros, *Dalton Trans.*, 2017, **46**, 9995–10002.
- 23 L. Shang, H. Yu, X. Huang, T. Tian, R. Shi, Y. Zhao, G. I. N. Waterhouse, L.-Z. Wu, C.-H. Tung and T. Zhang, *Adv. Mater.*, 2015, 1–7.
- 24 X. Kang, H. Liu, M. Hou, X. Sun, H. Han, T. Jiang, Z. Zhang and B. Han, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 1080–1084.
- 25 X. Chen, K. Shen, J. Chen, B. Huang, D. Ding, L. Zhang and Y. Li, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2017, **330**, 736–745.
- 26 Y. Xu, W. Tu, B. Zhang, S. Yin, Y. Huang, M. Kraft and R. Xu, *Adv. Mater.*, 2017, 1–8.
- 27 X. Guo, G. Liu, S. Yue, J. He and L. Wang, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 96062–96066.
- 28 H. Y. Wang and A. C. Lua, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2012, **116**, 26765–26775.
- 29 C. An, G. Liu, L. Li, Y. Wang, C. Chen, Y. Wang, L. Jiao and H. Yuan, *Nanoscale*, 2014, **6**, 3223–3230.
- 30 W. Xia, R. Zou, L. An, D. Xia and S. Guo, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2015, **8**, 568–576.
- 31 P.-Z. Li, A. Ajaz and Q. Xu, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 6753–6756.
- 32 X. Liu, X. Wang, X. Yuan, W. Dong and F. Huang, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2016, **4**, 167–172.
- 33 X. Zhang, H. Xu, X. Li, Y. Li, T. Yang and Y. Liang, *ACS Catal.*, 2016, **6**, 580–588.
- 34 Y. Yang, J. Liu, S. Guo, Y. Liu and Z. Kang, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2015, **3**, 18598–18604.
- 35 L. Lin, Q. Zhu and A.-W. Xu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 11027–11033.
- 36 G. Zhou, D.-W. Wang, L.-C. Yin, N. Li, F. Li and H.-M. Cheng, *ACS Nano*, 2012, **4**, 3214–3223.
- 37 I. Wang, C.-F. Wang and S. Chen, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 9297–9301.
- 38 X. She, D. Yang, D. Jing, F. Yuan, W. Yang, L. Guo and Y. Che, *Nanoscale*, 2014, **6**, 11057–11061.
- 39 I. Sun, H. Zhang, L.-H. Guo and L. Zhao, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2013, **5**, 13035–13041.
- 40 K.-L. Wu, X.-W. Wei, X.-M. Zhou, D.-H. Wu, X.-W. Liu, Y. Ye and Q. Wang, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2011, **115**, 16268–16274.
- 41 Z. Ji, X. Shen, G. Zhu, H. Zhou and A. Yuan, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2012, **22**, 3471–3477.
- 42 Y. Su, J. Lang, L. Li, K. Guan, C. Du, L. Peng and D. Han, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 11433–11436.



43 Y. Wu, M. Wen, Q. Wu and H. Fang, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2014, **118**, 6307–6313.

44 Y. Liu, Y. Zhang, H. Ding, S. Xu, M. Li, F. Kong, Y. Luo and G. Li, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2013, **1**, 3362–3371.

45 Y. Zhang, P. Zhu, L. Chen, G. Li, F. Zhou, D. Lu, R. Sun, F. Zhou and C. Wong, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2014, **2**, 11966–11973.

46 I. Ma, X. Shen, G. Zhu, Z. Ji and H. Zhou, *Carbon*, 2014, **77**, 255–265.

