


Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2018, 8, 16850

Synthesis of poly (*N*-isopropylacrylamide)-co-(acrylic acid) microgel-entrapped CdS quantum dots and their photocatalytic degradation of an organic dye†

Jingzhu Liu,^{‡b} Tong Shu,^{‡ab} Lei Su,^a Xueji Zhang^a and Michael J. Serpe ^{*b}

CdS quantum dots (CdSQDs) were generated inside the network structure of poly (*N*-isopropylacrylamide)-co-(acrylic acid) (pNIPAm-co-AAC) microgels and their ability to photocatalytically degrade organic dyes was evaluated using rhodamine B (RhB). The microgel-stabilized CdSQDs were generated by first enriching the microgels with Cd²⁺ followed by their reaction with Na₂S. The resultant microgels were characterized, and the CdSQDs were found to be distributed throughout the microgels. We went on to show that the hybrid microgels exhibited photocatalytic properties by exposing them to a solution of RhB followed by exposure to UV irradiation. We found that the hybrid microgels were able to degrade the RhB, while native microgels without CdSQDs present were not capable of the same behavior. Due to the thermo- and pH-responsivity of pNIPAm-co-AAC-based microgels their ability to degrade RhB was also evaluated as a function of environmental temperature and solution pH. We showed that the removal efficiency was highest when the microgels were in their swollen state, which we attribute to more effective mass transfer of the RhB inside the microgels when their porous structure is expanded. Finally, we show that the hybrid microgels can be reused multiple times, although their photocatalytic degradation ability decreases the more they are used, which may be a result of the aggregation and decomposition of the CdSQDs. We conclude that this approach is an effective means of removing RhB from water, which may be modified to photodegrade a variety of other organic compounds.

Received 2nd March 2018

Accepted 29th April 2018

DOI: 10.1039/c8ra01855c

rsc.li/rsc-advances

Introduction

The dumping of industrial waste (*e.g.*, organics and heavy metals) into the environment without treatment has had significant (and often irreversible) negative impacts on vegetation, wildlife and those that live in the region of the release. Due to a lack of government oversight, these issues disproportionately affect those in developing countries. To help mitigate the impacts of the release of these environmental pollutants on the environment, significant research has looked into the development of technologies that can be used to treat environmental contaminants before they are released.^{1,2} Indeed, many technologies have been introduced to remove contaminants from water streams, although semiconductor photocatalysis has attracted significant attention due to its ability to use sunlight

as the energy source for decomposing organic compounds.^{2,3} Related to this, a variety of materials/agents capable of photocatalytic degradation have been identified, *e.g.*, simple oxides (Bi₂O₃),⁴ complex oxides (Bi₂WO₆),⁵ and nitrides (C₃N₄).⁶ Of interest to this study are CdS quantum dots (CdSQDs) that are known for their unique electronic and optical properties and light-driven production of reactive oxygen species (ROS).^{7–9} It has been shown that the ROSs can degrade pollutants ultimately producing H₂O and CO₂.^{10,11} However, due to their high specific surface area, CdSQDs are not colloidally stable, and readily aggregate and precipitate in solution. Thus, surface modification of CdSQDs has been used to stabilize the particles and prevent their aggregation.^{12,13}

Here, we show that stimuli-responsive polymers can be used as stabilizers for CdSQDs and the resultant materials used to degrade organic compounds upon exposure to light. Since their discovery, stimuli-responsive polymers have been used for a variety of applications mainly due to their ability to “sense” their environment and “react” to it chemically and/or physically.^{14–16} Of the various stimuli-responsive polymers, poly(*N*-isopropylacrylamide) (pNIPAm) has received the most attention, and has previously been used to stabilize nanoparticles.^{17,18} pNIPAm is well known to be thermoresponsive,

^aBeijing Key Laboratory for Bioengineering and Sensing Technology, Research Center for Bioengineering and Sensing Technology, School of Chemistry and Biological Engineering, University of Science and Technology Beijing, Beijing 100083, P. R. China

^bDepartment of Chemistry, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, T6G 2G2, Canada. E-mail: serpe@ualberta.ca; Fax: +1 780 492 8231; Tel: +1 780 492 5778

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c8ra01855c

‡ Both contributed equally to this publication.



exhibiting a lower critical solution temperature (LCST) and collapsing/deswelling above 32 °C in water. The deswelling/reswelling process is fully reversible over many cycles. pNIPAm-based networks can also be generated *via* polymerization in the presence of a crosslinker. Furthermore, colloidally stable pNIPAm-based nano and micro particles (nanogels/microgels, respectively) can also be generated,¹⁴ and multiple responsivities can be introduced into the microgels *via* copolymerization with functional monomers.^{19,20} Previous studies in our group and by others have shown that pNIPAm-based microgels can serve as pH- and thermosensitive absorbents for the removal of organic dyes from water, *e.g.*, Orange II.^{21–24} Furthermore, these additional functional monomers have also been used to introduce nanomaterials into their network structure. For instance, pNIPAm microgels have been loaded with semiconductor nanomaterials, *e.g.*, CdSe/CdS nanoparticles, *via* simple mixing.² The hybrids can exhibit both the photophysical properties of the nanomaterial and thermoresponsivity. In a previous study, we also showed that pNIPAm-*co*-acrylic acid (pNIPAm-*co*-AAc) microgels could be used as a scaffold for the *in situ* generation of Ag nanoparticles, which we showed could be used as a colorimetric sensor for H₂O₂.²⁵ Although many have generated semiconductor nanomaterials in pNIPAm-based microgels,^{26,27} we are not aware of any previous reports of their use as a photocatalyst for degradation of water pollutants.

In this investigation, we show that pNIPAm-based microgels can be used as a scaffold for the generation and stabilization of CdSQDs; we hypothesize that the resultant microgels will be capable of UV-stimulated degradation of the dye, rhodamine B (RhB). Specifically, we propose an *in situ* synthetic route for the preparation of CdSQDs@pNIPAm-*co*-AAc hybrid microgels. Cadmium ions were first enriched in the microgels through deprotonation of the pNIPAm-*co*-AAc microgels. CdSQDs were subsequently generated in the pNIPAm-*co*-AAc microgels utilizing a previously reported hydrothermal method.²⁸ The hybrid materials were also shown to exhibit UV-stimulated photocatalytic degradation of RhB. This concept can be further modified in the future to allow sunlight-driven degradation of other contaminations or antimicrobial activity.

Experimental section

Materials and methods

N-isopropylacrylamide was purchased from TCI (Portland, OR) and purified by recrystallization from hexanes (ACS reagent grade, purchased from EMD, Gibbstown, OR, USA). *N,N*-Methylenebisacrylamide (BIS), acrylic acid (AAc), ammonium persulfate (APS), cadmium chloride (CdCl₂), sodium hydroxide (NaOH), hydrochloric acid (HCl), sodium sulfide (Na₂S) and RhB were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Oakville, ON). Microgel samples were lyophilized using a VirTis bench-top K-manifold Freeze Dryer (Stone Ridge, New York, USA). Deionized (DI) water with a resistivity of 18.2 MΩ cm was obtained from a Milli-Q Plus system (Billerica, MA, USA).

Synthesis of pNIPAm-*co*-AAc microgels

pNIPAm-*co*-AAc microgels were synthesized *via* surfactant-free, free radical precipitation polymerization, as previously described.^{25,28} The monomer, NIPAm (10.54 mmol), and the crosslinker, BIS (0.703 mmol), were fully dissolved in water (99 mL) with stirring in a beaker for 1 h. The mixture was then filtered through a 0.2 μm filter affixed to a 20 mL syringe into a 250 mL, 3-necked round bottom flask. The flask was then equipped with a thermometer, a condenser/N₂ inlet/outlet, and a stir bar. The monomer solution was bubbled with N₂ gas for ~1 h while stirring and heating to 70 °C. AAc (2.812 mmol) and APS (0.046 g in 1.0 mL water) was then added to the pre-heated solution, respectively. The reaction continued for 4 h. After cooling down, the turbid solution was filtered through glass wool to remove any large aggregates. The coagulum was rinsed and the collected liquid was diluted to 100 mL. Aliquots of the microgel solution (33 mL) were centrifuged at a speed of 10 000 relative centrifugal force (RCF) at 20 °C for 45 min. The microgels were isolated and redispersed to their original volume (~33 mL) with DI water. This centrifugation/resuspension procedure was repeated 6 times. Finally, all of the centrifuged particles were combined into one tube and diluted to 30 mL with DI water for storage. The microgel yield was estimated to be 80%, which was determined by lyophilizing 1 mL of the 30 mL stock solution and dividing it by the sum of the mass of NIPAm, BIS and AAc that is expected to be in that 1 mL aliquot (*i.e.*, 3.3% of total mass of those components added to the initial reaction solution). The final concentration of the 30 mL stock microgel solution was 40 mg mL⁻¹.

Synthesis of CdSQDs@pNIPAm-*co*-AAc hybrid microgels

CdSQDs@pNIPAm-*co*-AAc hybrid microgels were prepared according to a previously reported method modified with a final hydrothermal treatment.^{27,28} Typically, 25 mL of the 40 mg mL⁻¹ microgel stock solution was used and its pH was adjusted to 8.3 by addition of NaOH followed by equilibration for 1 h. The resulting microgel solution was mixed with CdCl₂ solution (500 mM, 25 mL) and incubated with gentle shaking overnight to allow for the ion exchange between Na⁺ and Cd²⁺. The solution was then washed by centrifugation and resuspension in DI water 6 times to remove any unbound Cd²⁺. Then, 25 mL of the purified Cd²⁺-loaded microgel solution and 175 mL of DI water were combined into a three-necked round bottom flask (500 mL) equipped with a thermometer, a condenser/N₂ inlet/outlet, and a stir bar. The solution was bubbled with N₂ for 1 h and heated to 80 °C followed by the drop-wise addition of 25 mL of a 500 mM Na₂S solution. After adding Na₂S, the reaction was allowed to proceed for an additional 2 h and the color of the solution changed to yellow. Finally, the cooled solution was washed by centrifugation and resuspension in DI water 6 times to yield the purified CdSQDs@pNIPAm-*co*-AAc hybrid microgels. The resultant microgel solutions were combined into one tube and diluted to 25 mL with DI water for storage. The concentration of the hybrid microgels was estimated to ~40 mg mL⁻¹, which was determined by lyophilizing 1 mL of the 25 mL stock hybrid microgel solution.



Photocatalytic degradation of RhB

The photocatalytic activity of CdSQDs@pNIPAm-co-AAc hybrid microgels was assessed by degradation of RhB under a 350 W UV lamp at 10 °C. 15 mL of RhB solution (0.021 mmol L⁻¹, pH 7.0) was pipetted into a Petri dish (diameter: 140 mm) and magnetically stirred (500 rpm). Then, 0.40 g of the hybrid microgels (10 mL) was introduced into the Petri dish. The distance between the Petri dish to the light source was fixed at 5 cm. During the irradiation, sampling (200 µL) was done at 1 h intervals. The RhB degradation efficiencies (eqn (1)) in samples were determined by recording variations of the UV-vis absorption band maximum (553 nm). It follows from the Beer-Lambert law ($A = \varepsilon bc$, A : absorbance; ε : molar absorptivity; b : light path length; c : concentration) that concentration and absorbance are directly related, hence degradation efficiencies can be calculated by simply considering variations in absorbance.

$$\text{Removal efficiency} = 1 - c/c_0 = 1 - A/A_0 \quad (1)$$

where C_0 and C were attributed to the concentration of RhB before and after photocatalysis, respectively, while A_0 and A were assigned to the absorbance of RhB at λ_{553} before and after photocatalysis, respectively.

Characterization

UV-vis absorption spectra of CdSQDs@pNIPAm-co-AAc hybrid microgels were recorded on a Hewlett Packard 8453 UV-vis Spectrophotometer (USA). The size and morphology of CdSQDs@pNIPAm-co-AAc hybrid microgels were determined at 60 to 120 kV accelerating voltage by a Hitachi H-7650 transmission electron microscope (TEM, Japan), and the electron diffraction pattern for the composite microgels was also measured. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was performed on a Kratos AXIS Ultra spectrometer equipped with a monochromated Al K α ($h\nu = 1486.6$ eV) X-ray source (Kratos Analytical, UK). A Rigaku XRD Ultima IV (Japan) using Cu K α X-ray source (40 kV, 44 mA) and continuous scan mode was used to collect data, which was examined using JADE software. Samples for Raman spectroscopy were placed onto 2 cm² glass slides. Measurements were conducted using a Senterra Raman spectrometer (Bruker, USA) equipped with a laser diode ($\lambda = 785$ nm) of 10 mW laser power. Mass spectrometry was used to analyze the photodegradation products of RhB using a Voyager Elite MALDI-TOF mass spectrometer (AB Sciex, Canada) equipped with a pulsed nitrogen laser (337 nm, 3 ns pulse).

Results and discussion


The *in situ* synthetic route for generating CdSQDs@pNIPAm-co-AAc hybrid microgels is shown schematically in Scheme 1. The pNIPAm-co-AAc microgels were composed of COOH groups that could be deprotonated by increasing the solution pH above the pK_a for AAC (4.25), which rendered them negatively charged. The negative charges in the pNIPAm-co-AAc microgels could increase the interaction strength of the microgels with the Cd²⁺

allowing its enrichment in the microgels.²⁷ As detailed in the Experimental section above, the microgels were then washed 6 times, and the Cd²⁺-loaded pNIPAm-co-AAc microgels subsequently exposed to S²⁻ to form CdSQDs.







The morphology of the CdSQDs@pNIPAm-co-AAc hybrid microgels was determined using TEM imaging (Fig. 1A and B). As can be seen, the microgel scaffold has a low TEM contrast and a diameter of ~ 700 nm. The images also showed that each microgel contained between 100–200 nanoparticles with a diameter of 8.0 ± 2.5 nm (Fig. S1†). Of note, the location of a considerable number of particles are distributed around the edges of the microgels, possibly due to the steric hindrance of the Cd²⁺ and/or S²⁻ entering the “core” of the microgels. The formation of CdSQDs inside the pNIPAm-co-AAc microgels was subsequently investigated using selected area electron diffraction (SAED). The SAED pattern of the composite microgels (Fig. S2†), revealed the polycrystalline nature of CdSQDs in the microgels, which was further investigated using XRD analysis. As can be seen in Fig. 1C, the native microgels exhibited broad peaks 17°, 34° and 40°, showing amorphous phases from the polymer.¹⁴ After the generation of CdSQDs in the pNIPAm-co-AAc microgels, a series of new sharp and intense peaks ranging from 20° to 60°, appeared (Fig. 1D), due to the presence of polycrystalline CdSQDs.^{7,29} Fig. 2A shows the XPS spectra of CdSQDs incorporated in pNIPAm-co-AAc microgels, exhibiting two peaks at 412.2 eV and 405.9 eV, which are attributed to Cd 3d_{3/2} and Cd 3d_{5/2}, respectively, indicating the Cd²⁺ oxidation state.³⁰ Also, the high-resolution XPS spectrum of S 2p exhibited the expected peaks at 162.1 eV (2p_{1/2}) and 161.2 eV (2p_{3/2}) verifying the existence of CdS.³⁰ Raman scattering measurements were also performed to investigate the photophysical properties of nanostructured semiconductor CdS within pNIPAm-co-AAc microgels. Raman peaks of the hybrid microgels in Fig. 2C are located at 305 cm⁻¹ and 607 cm⁻¹, assigned to the characteristic first- and second-order longitudinal optical (LO) phonons of the CdS semiconductor,³¹ while no such peaks were found in the Raman spectrum of the native microgels (Fig. 2D).

Next, the ability of the CdSQDs@pNIPAm-co-AAc hybrid microgels to photocatalytically degrade RhB was investigated. To do this, the UV-vis absorbance spectra were collected for RhB ($\lambda_{\text{max}} = 553$ nm) as a function of time. To begin, we verified that UV irradiation of the RhB solution alone yielded minimal change in the UV-vis absorbance maximum for RhB (Fig. 3A). After introduction of the CdSQDs@pNIPAm-co-AAc hybrid microgels and subsequent exposure to same UV irradiation, we showed that all of the RhB could be degraded within 3 h. Of note, RhB could be removed from solution *via* absorption/adsorption by the microgels themselves (without exposure to UV irradiation),^{21–24} but the removal efficiency was insignificant relative to the CdSQD-loaded microgels. For example, as can be seen in Fig. 3A, both the native microgels exposed to RhB with UV irradiation and the hybrid microgels exposed to RhB without UV irradiation could only remove $\sim 20\%$ of RhB from solution within 3 h. Therefore, we conclude that the photocatalytic degradation is the primary mechanism for RhB removal from solution. Previous studies have shown that the following photodegradation mechanism is possible for CdSQDs:^{32–34}





Scheme 1 Schematic illustration of the synthetic route used for generating the CdSQD@pNIPAm-co-AAc hybrid microgels.



As indicated above, UV irradiation activates the CdSQDs to generate electron/hole pairs and reactive oxygen species (ROS), including H_2O_2 , $\text{O}_2^{\cdot-}$, and $\cdot\text{OH}$, which we think is responsible for the RhB degradation into colorless compounds. To determine what the degradation byproducts were, we performed mass spectrometry (MS). RhB shows a peak at 443.3 m/z in the MS spectrum (Fig. S3A[†]) that we assigned as cationized RhB ($\text{C}_{28}\text{H}_{31}\text{N}_2\text{O}_3^+$). To get a good determination of the possible degradation byproducts, the UV-triggered degradation was only allowed to continue for 1.5 h and the solution sampled. As can




Fig. 1 (A) and (B) Representative TEM images of the CdSQDs@pNIPAm-co-AAc hybrid microgels and CdSQDs within the microgels, respectively; (C) and (D) X-ray diffraction pattern of pNIPAm-co-AAc microgels and CdSQDs@pNIPAm-co-AAc hybrid microgels, respectively.






Fig. 2 XPS spectra with characteristic peaks labeled for (A) cadmium and (B) sulfur (■: CdSQRDs@pNIPAm-co-AAC hybrid microgels, ○: mixed solution of the hybrid microgels with RhB, △: supernatant of mixed solution of the hybrid microgels with RhB after photocatalytic degradation). (C) Raman spectrum of the CdSQRDs@pNIPAm-co-AAC hybrid microgels via excitation by the 514 nm line of an argon laser, and (D) Raman spectrum of the unmodified microgels via excitation by the 514 nm line of an argon laser.




Fig. 3 (A) The removal efficiency (as a percent) of RhB as a function of time (degradation kinetics) at 10 °C. (■: hybrid microgels + UV, ●: hybrid microgels + dark, ▼: unmodified microgels + UV, ▲: no microgels + UV). (B) The degradation kinetics of RhB at different temperatures (■: 10 °C, ●: 45 °C) with hybrid microgels. (C) Comparison of the degradation kinetics of RhB for different amounts of hybrid microgels (■: 0.6 g, ○: 0.4 g, △: 0.2 g). (D) Working pH range for the hybrid microgels. Data are mean values ± standard deviation of three independent experiments.






Fig. 4 (A) Degradation kinetics as a function of the number of times the hybrid microgels were recycled (■: 1st time, ●: 2nd time, ▲: 3rd time, ▼: 4th time, ◆: 5th time). Representative TEM images of hybrid microgels (B) before and (C) after their use for photodegradation. Data in (A) are mean values \pm standard deviation of three independent experiments.

be seen in Fig. S3B,[†] the resultant byproducts add a series of new peaks in the MS spectrum, mainly at 415.3 m/z , 387.3 m/z and 354.5 m/z , as a result of the loss of CH_2CH_2 or CO from RhB. The products could thus be attributed to be a mixture of deethylations and carboxylations of RhB, which is in good agreement with previous reports.^{35–37} Together, a possible RhB degradation process could be thus be depicted as shown in Fig. S4,[†] according to the aforementioned investigations.

We then investigated the impact of solution temperature, pH, and hybrid microgel concentration on RhB degradation. First, the photocatalytic degradation was investigated at 45 °C (>LCST for pNIPAm) and 10 °C (<LCST for pNIPAm). As can be seen in Fig. 3B, the RhB removal efficiency kinetics were higher at 10 °C than at 45 °C, where the hybrid microgels were swollen. We hypothesize that the swollen microgels allow easier access of RhB to CdS QDs for more efficient photocatalytic degradation. Fig. 3C shows how the hybrid microgel concentration impacts the RhB degradation rate. As can be seen, the RhB removal efficiency kinetics are enhanced as the amount of the hybrid microgels in solution increases (Fig. 3C). Finally, the microgels used here were exposed to high solution pH, where any remaining AAc groups in the microgel will be deprotonated and the microgels will swell that we hypothesize will lead to enhanced RhB degradation kinetics, which we observe in Fig. 3D.

Using the optimized conditions (*i.e.*, 0.6 g of the hybrid microgels, at 10 °C and pH 7.0), we further investigated the recyclability of the photocatalytic hybrid microgels. As can be seen in Fig. 4A, the kinetics of RhB degradation gradually

decreased as the number of times they were recycled increased. Specifically, only 60% removal efficiency could be achieved after the microgels were recycled 5 times after 5 h UV exposure (Fig. S5[†]). Although, complete removal could be achieved by simply extending the irradiation time. The decrease in RhB removal efficiency indicated possible physicochemical changes of the CdS QDs@pNIPAm-co-AAc hybrids microgels, which was investigated by employing TEM imaging for examining the microgel structure and XPS for examining their composition. Fig. 4B and C show representative TEM images of the hybrid microgels after 5 photocatalytic cycles. As can be seen, the CdS QDs appear to aggregate and form irregular clusters with sizes from tens to a hundred nanometers. We hypothesize that the aggregates hamper the mass transfer of the RhB into the microgels, hence limiting the ability of RhB to be photo-degraded. Furthermore, due to the ability of S^{2-} to be oxidized to S^{+6} could lead to the degradation of the CdS QDs. Indeed, the S^{+6} state was observed in the XPS spectrum (Table S1[†] and Fig. 2B). This was also supported by the simultaneous appearance of Cd and S in the XPS spectrum (Table S1[†] and Fig. 2A and B) of the supernatant recovered from the photodegraded RhB solution. Of note, the atomic ratio of Cd to S in the hybrid microgel was 1.01 : 0.13, while this ratio was changed to 0.10 : 1.16 in the supernatant of the UV-irradiated mixed solution, indicating much less leakage of Cd from the microgel when the CdS QDs were degraded. This is important as it can prevent the leakage of toxic heavy metals to the environment, while still affording the hybrid microgel with photodegradation properties.



Conclusion

In summary, we reported an approach for generating CdSQDs@pNIPAm-co-AAc hybrid microgels, which were shown to have the ability to photocatalytically degrade the organic dye RhB in an aqueous solution. We fully characterized the synthesized hybrid microgels, and demonstrated that the hybrid microgels have the ability to degrade RhB into products that were characterized *via* mass spectrometry. We went on to show that the hybrid microgels most efficiently photodegraded the RhB when the microgels were swollen at low temperature and high pH. Finally, we demonstrated that the hybrid microgels could be recycled and reused multiple times, although their activity was diminished as the number of times they were recycled increased. While we attribute the loss of activity to CdSQD degradation, we do not observe a significant leakage of Cd²⁺ into solution. While this study was only done with RhB as a proof of concept, it is a promising approach for removing other organic contaminants from aqueous solutions in a light triggered manner.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

MJS acknowledges funding from the University of Alberta (the Department of Chemistry and the Faculty of Science), the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC), the Canada Foundation for Innovation (CFI), the Alberta Advanced Education & Technology Small Equipment Grants Program (AET/SEGP), Grand Challenges Canada and IC-IMPACTS. XZ and TS acknowledge support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 21727815), the Beijing Municipal Science and Technology Commission (z131102002813058), Beijing Natural Science Foundation (2184107) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (FRF-TP-15-096A1). MJS, XZ and TS acknowledge the Research Fund for International Young Scientists of the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21750110449).

References

- 1 A. Khatri, M. H. Peerzada, M. Mohsin and M. White, *J. Cleaner Prod.*, 2015, **87**, 50–57.
- 2 H. Wang, L. Zhang, Z. Chen, J. Hu, S. Li, Z. Wang, J. Liu and X. Wang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, **43**, 5234–5244.
- 3 M. R. Hoffmann, S. T. Martin, W. Choi and D. W. Bahnemann, *Chem. Rev.*, 1995, **95**, 69–96.
- 4 L. S. Zhang, W. Z. Wang, J. O. Yang, Z. G. Chen, W. Q. Zhang, L. Zhou and S. W. Liu, *Appl. Catal., A*, 2006, **308**, 105–110.
- 5 L. S. Zhang, W. Z. Wang, Z. G. Chen, L. Zhou, H. L. Xu and W. Zhu, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2007, **17**, 2526–2532.
- 6 X. C. Wang, K. Maeda, A. Thomas, K. Takanabe, G. Xin, J. M. Carlsson, K. Domen and M. Antonietti, *Nat. Mater.*, 2009, **8**, 76–80.
- 7 J. Zhang, Y. Guo, H. Fang, W. Jia, H. Li, L. Yang and K. Wang, *New J. Chem.*, 2015, **39**, 6951–6957.
- 8 X. Fu, Y. Zhang, P. Cao, H. Ma, P. Liu, L. He, J. Peng, J. Li and M. Zhai, *Radiat. Phys. Chem.*, 2016, **123**, 79–86.
- 9 Q. Fan, Y. Huang, C. Zhang, J. Liu, L. Piao, Y. Yu, S. Zuo and B. Li, *Catal. Today*, 2016, **264**, 250–256.
- 10 X. Li, J. Zhu and H. X. Li, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2012, **123**, 174–181.
- 11 H. Zhu, R. Jiang, L. Xiao, Y. Chang, Y. Guan, X. Li and G. Zeng, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2009, **169**, 933–940.
- 12 Z. Yang, L. Lu, C. J. Kiely, B. W. Berger and S. McIntosh, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2016, **55**, 11235–11244.
- 13 S. Akshya, P. S. Hariharan, V. Vinod Kumar and S. P. Anthony, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 2015, **135**, 335–341.
- 14 X. Li and M. J. Serpe, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2016, **26**, 3282–3290.
- 15 M. A. Stuart, W. T. Huck, J. Genzer, M. Muller, C. Ober, M. Stamm, G. B. Sukhorukov, I. Szleifer, V. V. Tsukruk, M. Urban, F. Winnik, S. Zauscher, I. Luzinov and S. Minko, *Nat. Mater.*, 2010, **9**, 101–113.
- 16 P. M. Mendes, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2008, **37**, 2512–2529.
- 17 N. Lu, J. Liu, J. Li, Z. Zhang, Y. Weng, B. Yuan, K. Yang and Y. Ma, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2014, **2**, 3791–3798.
- 18 H. Jia, R. Roa, S. Angioletti-Uberti, K. Henzler, A. Ott, X. Lin, J. Möser, Z. Kochovski, A. Schnegg, J. Dzubiella, M. Ballauff and Y. Lu, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2016, **4**, 9677–9684.
- 19 X. Wu, R. H. Pelton, A. E. Hamielec, D. R. Woods and W. McPhee, *Colloid Polym. Sci.*, 1994, **272**, 467–477.
- 20 T. Hoare and R. Pelton, *Macromolecules*, 2004, **37**, 2544–2550.
- 21 D. Parasuraman and M. J. Serpe, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2011, **3**, 4714–4721.
- 22 D. Parasuraman and M. J. Serpe, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2011, **3**, 2732–2737.
- 23 D. Parasuraman, E. Leung and M. J. Serpe, *Colloid Polym. Sci.*, 2012, **290**, 1053–1064.
- 24 D. Parasuraman, A. K. Sarker and M. J. Serpe, *Colloid Polym. Sci.*, 2013, **291**, 1795–1802.
- 25 D. M. Han, Q. M. Zhang and M. J. Serpe, *Nanoscale*, 2015, **7**, 2784–2789.
- 26 A. Salcher, M. S. Nikolic, S. Casado, M. Vélez, H. Weller and B. H. Juárez, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2010, **20**, 1367–1374.
- 27 J. Zhang, S. Xu and E. Kumacheva, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 7908–7914.
- 28 A. M. Holi, Z. Zainal, Z. A. Talib, H. N. Lim, C. C. Yap, S. K. Chang and A. K. Ayal, *J. Mater. Sci.: Mater. Electron.*, 2016, **27**, 7353–7360.
- 29 N. Zhang, M.-Q. Yang, Z.-R. Tang and Y.-J. Xu, *J. Catal.*, 2013, **303**, 60–69.
- 30 J. J. Zhang, W. S. Li, Y. Li, L. Zhong and C. J. Xu, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2017, **217**, 30–36.
- 31 L. Ma, M. Liu, D. Jing and L. Guo, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2015, **3**, 5701–5707.
- 32 H. M. Jia, W. W. He, W. G. Wamer, X. N. Han, B. B. Zhang, S. Zhang, Z. Zheng, Y. Xiang and J. J. Yin, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2014, **118**, 21447–21456.
- 33 Y. Hu, Y. Liu, H. S. Qian, Z. Q. Li and J. F. Chen, *Langmuir*, 2010, **26**, 18570–18575.



- 34 X. Y. Li, C. G. Hu, X. Wang and Y. Xi, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2012, **258**, 4370–4376.
- 35 S. Horikoshi, H. Hidaka and N. Serpone, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2002, **36**, 1357–1366.
- 36 Z. L. He, W. X. Que, X. T. Yin and Y. C. He, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, **4**, 39678–39683.
- 37 Y. Gao, C. Hu, W. J. Zheng, S. Yang, F. Li, S. D. Sun, M. Zrinyi, Y. Osada, Z. M. Yang and Y. M. Chen, *ChemPhysChem*, 2016, **17**, 1999–2007.

