Highly efficient degradation of phenol from wastewater via an electro-catalytic oxidation approach with a CeO₂–CuO cathode
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The development of highly efficient cathode materials for the electro-catalytic oxidation of phenol from wastewater is of vital importance for environment protection. Herein, we develop an effective CeO₂–CuO electrocatalyst for 2-electron oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) to generate H₂O₂ and then applied it as the cathode for the electro-catalytic oxidation of phenol. Results showed that the CeO₂–CuO cathode with different contents of CuO exhibited a higher yield of H₂O₂ than those of CuO and CeO₂, and the highest yield of H₂O₂ (114 mg L⁻¹) was achieved with a CuO content of 13.4%. The resultant CeO₂–CuO-13.4% cathode demonstrated a high degradation rate of 91% after 180 min, which was 1.82-fold and 1.52-fold higher than pure CuO (50%) and CeO₂ (60%) electrodes, respectively. Furthermore, the degradation rate of phenol via the electro-catalytic oxidation technology by using a CeO₂–CuO cathode significantly outperformed that of the chemical oxidation approach. The outstanding degradation performance of the CeO₂–CuO cathode is attributed to the high yield of H₂O₂ and the strong interaction of CeO₂ and CuO.

1 Introduction

The phenolic compound is one kind of hazardous pollutant in wastewater discharged from chemical, petrochemical and pharmaceutical industries, which has drawn much public concern due to its highly toxic features. Consequently, a variety of approaches, such as physical adsorption, coagulation, biological treatment, chemical oxidation, and electro-catalytic oxidation technology, have been adopted to remove phenol from wastewater. Among them, the electro-catalytic oxidation technology is considered to be one of the most effective approaches for the removal of phenol due to its high efficiency, low cost, and environment-friendly features (no serious secondary pollution). The electro-catalytic oxidation technology usually involves the electrogeneration of H₂O₂ in situ via the 2-electron oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) and subsequently generates the hydroxyl radical (‘OH) that can degrade the organic pollutant to form simple organic compounds (e.g., CO₂ and/or H₂O). Previous studies indicated that the efficiency of electro-catalytic oxidation technology largely depended on the choice of the cathode materials, in which the carbon materials such as graphite, mesh porous carbon, and activated carbon fiber as the electrocatalyst for ORR, have been widely investigated due to their high catalytic activity, low cost, and rich-resources. However, most reported carbon materials still exhibited a low yield of H₂O₂, causing an insufficient degradation efficiency toward phenol. Therefore, there is an urgent need but it is still a significant challenge to develop an efficient cathode material with a high yield of H₂O₂ for the removal of phenol.

Platinum, ruthenium, palladium and other precious metals are the preferred cathode materials due to their high catalytic activity and good durability. However, their high cost and scarce reserve are hindering the widespread application of precious metals. Hence, considerable attentions have been paid to the development of metal oxides (such as CeO₂, CuO, MnO₂, and La₂O₃). As one of the rare earth oxides, CeO₂ has attracted great attention owing to its high oxygen storage capacity and excellent redox property due to the presence of Ce⁴⁺/Ce³⁺. Furthermore, CeO₂ can promote the generation of H₂O₂ via catalyzing the 2-electron ORR, and then H₂O₂ can be decomposed into the oxidative ‘OH, which is expected as a promising candidate of cathode materials for the removal of phenol. However, the CeO₂ cathode still suffered from a low degradation efficiency probably due to its low catalytic activity of 2-electron ORR with a low yield of H₂O₂. To further improve the catalytic activity, the CeO₂-based metal oxide composites have emerged as effective electrocatalysts due to the strong interaction between CeO₂ and other metal oxides. It was reported that during the chemical oxidation of phenol, the CeO₂–CuO composite cathode exhibited a remarkable catalytic activity for promoting the decomposing of the extra added H₂O₂, and thus resulting in a high degradation efficiency. However, to the best of our knowledge, the CeO₂–CuO composite cathode for the degradation of phenol by the electro-catalytic oxidation technology has rarely been reported.
In this work, a highly efficient CeO$_2$–CuO composite cathode for the electro-catalytic oxidation of phenol was synthesized by the sol–gel method. The resultant CeO$_2$–CuO cathode exhibited a high yield of H$_2$O$_2$ as high as 114 mg L$^{-1}$ after the degradation for 180 min, leading to an excellent degradation performance with the degradation rate of 91%, significantly higher than that of chemical oxidation of phenol (79%). Furthermore, the effect of CuO content on the yield of H$_2$O$_2$ and the degradation performance, and the degradation mechanism of CeO$_2$–CuO were also investigated.

2 Introduction

2.1 Synthesis of the CeO$_2$–CuO electrocatalyst

The CeO$_2$–CuO electrocatalysts with different CuO contents (0, 5.5, 8.5, 13.4, 31.8, and 58 wt%) were prepared by the sol–gel approach, respectively. In the typical synthesis, a certain amount of Ce(NO$_3$)$_3$·6H$_2$O and Cu(NO$_3$)$_2$·3H$_2$O were dissolved into the deionized water and stirred for 0.5 h. Then, 2.1 g of citric acid was added to the above solution with continuously stirring. Afterwards, the mixed solution was heated at 80 °C in a water bath and stewed for 2 h. The resultant product was dried at 100 °C for 12 h and then heat-treated at 700 °C in air atmosphere for 3 h to generate the CeO$_2$–CuO composite.

2.2 Preparation of the CeO$_2$–CuO cathode

The foamed nickel (2 cm × 2 cm) was used as the electrode substrate of cathode. Typically, 0.4 g of graphite and 0.2 g of polytetrafluoroethylene (2% PTFE) were dispersed in ethanol, and then the obtained mixture was homogeneously coated on the foamed nickel. Subsequently, the coated foamed nickel was dried at 100 °C after the pressing treatment to obtain the gas diffusion layer (GDL). Afterwards, a homogeneously dispersed electrocatalyst ink (ultrasonically dispersing 50 mg of electrocatalyst, 0.4 g of Vulcan XC-72 carbon black, and 0.2 g of PTFE in 2.5 mL ethanol and deionized water with a volume ratio of 1) was coated on the GDL, and then the GDL was dried at 100 °C followed by the pressing treatment to obtain the catalyst layer. Finally, the cathode electrode was obtained by the heat-treatment of the catalyst layer at 300 °C for 1 h under the air atmosphere.

2.3 Electro-catalytic degradation of phenol

The electro-catalytic degradation of phenol was conducted in a standard three-electrode system with a graphite sheet (2 cm × 2 cm) as anode and a CeO$_2$–CuO (2 cm × 2 cm) composite as cathode, and a saturated calomel electrode (SCE) as reference electrode. The H$_2$O$_2$ was produced by the reduction of oxygen at the cathode in an electrochemical cell with 100 mL of deionized water bubbled with oxygen, and then a certain amount of liquid was extracted in which the concentration of H$_2$O$_2$ was measured at an interval of 20 min. The initial concentration of phenol was 100 mg L$^{-1}$ and the current density is 40 mA cm$^{-2}$. The Na$_2$SO$_4$ was used as supporting electrolyte with the concentration of 0.1 mol L$^{-1}$, and the initial pH of the solution was adjusted to 7.0 by 1 M H$_2$SO$_4$.

2.4 Characterization

The crystal structures of the samples were identified by powder X-ray diffraction (XRD, Rigaku Ultimal II) in the 2θ range of 10–70° at a scanning step of 10° min$^{-1}$. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements were performed on an ESCALAB 250 electron spectrometer with a monochromatic 150 W Al K$_\alpha$ source with the binding energy calculated referring to C 1s (284.8 eV). The morphology of the as-synthesized samples was examined on scanning electron microscopy (SEM, 23200N, Hitachi) and high resolution transmission microscopy (HR-TEM, Tecnai G220). The inductively coupled plasma (ICP) analysis was conducted with a Shimadzu ICPS-7500 instrument to determine the content of element. Nitrogen sorption measurements were conducted at an AS-AP-2000 instrument to determine the specific surface area (S$_{BET}$) based on Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) model. The concentration of H$_2$O$_2$ was determined by UV-vis spectrophotometer (mini-1240) at 400 nm with potassium titanyl oxalate ($C_6K_2O_7Ti·2H_2O$) as color indicator. The degradation rate of phenol was measured by a high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC, Agilent 1260).

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Structural characterization

The crystal structures of the CeO$_2$–CuO electrocatalysts with different CuO contents were identified by XRD. As shown in Fig. 1, all the CeO$_2$–CuO electrocatalysts exhibited several strong diffraction peaks at 28.72°, 33.24°, 47.64° and 56.42°, respectively, which are assigned to the (111), (200), (220) and (311) planes of CeO$_2$. Obviously, the intensity of these peaks was significantly higher than that of CeO$_2$, suggesting an improved crystalline of CeO$_2$–CuO composite. However, the typical diffraction peaks of CuO were not detected as the CuO content was lower than 13.4 wt%, probably due to its low content and/or low crystalline. When the CuO content was increased to 13.4 wt%, the typical diffraction peaks of CuO phase appeared. The peaks located at 35.45° and 38.65° are assigned to (111) and (111) planes of CuO, respectively, and the intensity of both peaks was found to increase with the increase of CuO content.

Fig. 1 XRD patterns of the CeO$_2$–CuO electrocatalysts with different CuO contents.
The nitrogen adsorption–desorption measurements were conducted to determine the specific surface area of the CeO$_2$–CuO electrocatalysts. As shown in Table 1, compared with the pure CeO$_2$ ($S_{\text{BET}}$: 43.8 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$), all the CeO$_2$–CuO electrocatalysts possessed lower specific surface area ($S_{\text{BET}}$: 23.2–40.4 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$), which might be attributed to the higher crystallinity of CeO$_2$–CuO with large particle size than that CeO$_2$ as indicated by XRD analysis. The TEM images in Fig. 2a displayed that the CeO$_2$–CuO electrocatalyst exhibited a strip-like morphology with the particle size of approximately 20–40 nm. Further HR-TEM observation (Fig. 2b) showed that the lattice fringe spacing of 0.31 nm was corresponded to the (111) plane of CeO$_2$, while the lattice fringe spacing of 0.25 nm was assigned to the (111) plane of CuO. This result further confirmed the formation of CeO$_2$–CuO composite, which was in accordance with the XRD analysis.

The surface composition and chemical state of the CeO$_2$–CuO electrocatalyst were investigated by XPS measurement. As shown in Fig. 3a, the peaks located at 530 eV, 285 eV, 920–960 eV, and 880–920 eV are ascribed to the O 1s, C 1s, Cu 2p, and Ce 3d, respectively. The C element is likely to due to the indefinite hydrocarbon from the XPS apparatus. The Ce 3d spectra of both CeO$_2$ and CeO$_2$–CuO in Fig. 3b–c can be deconvoluted into eight peaks, in which the peaks labelled as $V_1$, $V_2$, $V_3$, $U_1$, $U_2$, and $U_3$ are associated with characteristic of Ce$^{4+}$ state, while the peaks labelled as $V_1$ and $U_1$ are assigned to the Ce$^{3+}$ state,$^{21}$ indicating the existence of Ce$^{3+}$/Ce$^{4+}$ redox couple in CeO$_2$ and CeO$_2$–CuO. Furthermore, the content of Ce$^{3+}$ was calculated to be 20.02% for CeO$_2$–CuO, significantly higher than that of CeO$_2$ (14.19%), which probably attributed to the strong interaction between CuO and CeO$_2$. The high content of Ce$^{3+}$ for CeO$_2$–CuO is believed beneficial for the enhancement concentration of the oxygen vacancy, leading to a superior catalytic activity of 2-electron ORR.$^{21}$ Furthermore, the binding energies of Ce 3d in CeO$_2$–CuO were all shifted positively in comparison to CeO$_2$, once again confirming a strong interaction between CeO$_2$ and CuO. The XPS spectrum of Cu 2p in Fig. 3d can be deconvoluted into four peaks, in which the peaks located at 933.9 eV, 952.66 eV and the shake-up satellite peak can be assigned to the Cu$^{2+}$ state,$^{24}$ while the peak located at 933.06 eV is related to the Cu$^+$ species.$^{25}$ The presence of Cu$^+$ species is favorable to the generation of ·OH by decomposing H$_2$O$_2$, leading to an enhancement of degradation performance.$^{26}$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>$S_{\text{BET}}$/m$^2$ g$^{-1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CeO$_2$</td>
<td>43.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CeO$_2$–CuO-5.5%</td>
<td>40.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CeO$_2$–CuO-8.5%</td>
<td>37.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CeO$_2$–CuO-13.4%</td>
<td>35.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CeO$_2$–CuO-31.8%</td>
<td>30.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CeO$_2$–CuO-58.0%</td>
<td>23.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2 Electrogenation of H$_2$O$_2$ and degradation of phenol

The yield of H$_2$O$_2$ generated via 2-electron ORR (O$_2$ + 2H$_2$O + 4e$^-$ → H$_2$O$_2$ + 2OH$^-$) catalyzed by the CeO$_2$–CuO cathode was determined by the UV-vis spectrophotometer (Fig. 4a–b). It was observed that the CuO content had a significant influence on the H$_2$O$_2$ yield, exhibiting a volcano-shaped relation with the highest H$_2$O$_2$ yield at the CuO content of 13.4%. The H$_2$O$_2$ yield for the CeO$_2$–CuO-13.4% cathode was determined as high as 114 mg L$^{-1}$, significantly outperformed CuO (25 mg L$^{-1}$) and CeO$_2$ (60 mg L$^{-1}$), and higher than that of reported CeO$_2$ electrocatalyst in the literature, which is very favorable to promoting the degradation of phenol by the strong oxidizing agents. These results indicated the CeO$_2$–CuO cathode can accelerate the 2-electron ORR pathway to generate a high yield of H$_2$O$_2$.

The effect of CuO content on the degradation rate towards phenol was investigated as shown in Fig. 4c–d. For comparison, the degradation rates of phenol by using the pure CeO$_2$ and CuO were also evaluated in the identical condition, respectively. As expected, the CeO$_2$–CuO-13.4% cathode exhibited a highest degradation rate among all the samples, with the degradation rate as high as 91% after the degradation for 180 min, which was significantly higher than those of CuO (50%) and CeO$_2$ (60%). The strong interaction between CeO$_2$ and CuO as
indicated by XPS analysis was believed favorable to the generation of high yield of H$_2$O$_2$ and $\cdot$OH for the efficient degradation of phenol. These results further confirmed the electro-catalytic oxidation technology by using CeO$_2$–CuO composite cathode was an effective approach for the degradation of phenol.

In order to get insight into the merits of the electro-catalytic oxidation technology, the degradation rate of phenol by the chemical oxidation approach using CeO$_2$–CuO cathode was evaluated. As shown in Fig. 5, the degradation rates at different time by the electro-catalytic oxidation technology were all higher than those of the chemical oxidation approach. After the degradation for 180 min, the degradation rate of phenol by the electro-catalytic oxidation (91%) was 1.14-fold of that of the chemical oxidation (80%), indicating that the electro-catalytic oxidation technology is an effective approach for degradation of phenol. Unlike the chemical oxidation approach that required the extra addition of H$_2$O$_2$, the electro-catalytic oxidation technology can produce H$_2$O$_2$ in situ via 2-electron ORR catalyzed by the CeO$_2$–CuO electrocatalyst, promoting the generation of H$_2$O$_2$ and $\cdot$OH, and thus leading to a high degradation rate of phenol.

### 3.3 Degradation mechanism of phenol

In order to determine the major active species in the electro-catalytic degradation of phenol, Fe(II)–EDTA and tert-butyl alcohol (TBA) were used as the scavengers for H$_2$O$_2$ and $\cdot$OH, respectively, and the degradation rate of phenol with and without scavengers were evaluated. As shown in Fig. 6, when the scavenger (TBA) of $\cdot$OH was added into the solution, the degradation rate of phenol obviously decreased by 31%, while for the addition of H$_2$O$_2$ scavenger [Fe(II)–EDTA], the degradation rate only reduced by 4.5%. This result clearly revealed that $\cdot$OH played a more important role for the degradation of phenol than H$_2$O$_2$ in the electro-catalytic oxidation process. In this work, the CeO$_2$–CuO composite was used as the 2-electron ORR electrocatalyst to produce H$_2$O$_2$, and then catalyzed the decomposition of H$_2$O$_2$ to generate HO$_2^-$ and further turn into $\cdot$OH. The
generated ·OH can oxidize the phenol to form CO₂ and/or H₂O (Fig. 7). The reactions are as follows:²¹

\[ \text{O}_2 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} + 2\text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + 2\text{OH} \]  
(1)

\[ \text{O}_2 + 2\text{OH}^- + 2\text{e}^- \rightarrow 2\text{HO}_2^- \]  
(2)

\[ \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{HO}_2^- \rightarrow 2\cdot\text{OH} + \text{O}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \]  
(3)

Furthermore, the CeO₂–CuO electrocatalyst possessed the redox couples of Ce⁴⁺/Ce³⁺ and Cu²⁺/Cu⁺, which can promote the transformation of H₂O₂ to ·OH. The reactions are as follows:²¹,²⁶

\[ \text{Ce}^{4+} + \text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{Ce}^{3+} \]  
(4)

\[ \text{Cu}^{2+} + \text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{Cu}^+ \]  
(5)

\[ \text{Ce}^{3+} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{Ce}^{4+} + \cdot\text{OH} \]  
(6)

\[ \text{Cu}^+ + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{Cu}^{2+} + \cdot\text{OH} \]  
(7)

As shown in Fig. 8, the phenol removal rate was only declined from 91% to 80% after nine cycles and no obvious change of the electrode was observed during the experiment, demonstrating that the electrode is stable and favorable for practical application for phenol degradation.

4 Conclusions

In summary, an efficient CeO₂–CuO electrocatalyst for the 2-electron ORR was synthesized and applied as the cathode for the electro-catalytic oxidation of phenol. Results showed that the CeO₂–CuO cathode with different contents of CuO exhibited a higher yield of H₂O₂ than those of CuO and CeO₂, and the highest yield of H₂O₂ (114 mg L⁻¹) was achieved at CuO content of 13.4%. The resultant CeO₂–CuO cathode demonstrated an excellent degradation performance with a removal rate of 91% after the degradation for 180 min, significantly higher than that of CuO (50%) and CeO₂ (60%) electrodes, and even higher than that of chemical oxidation approach by using CeO₂–CuO cathode (80%). The outstanding degradation performance of CeO₂–CuO cathode is attributed to the high yield of H₂O₂ and strong interaction of CeO₂ and CuO. Degradation mechanism analysis indicated that ·OH played a more important role for the degradation of phenol than H₂O₂ in the electro-catalytic oxidation process.
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