



Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2018, 8, 11705

Effect of alkali and alkaline earth metal species on the combustion characteristics of cattle manures

Baojun Yi,^{ID} *^{ab} Qiaoxia Yuan,^{ab} Hongliang Cao,^{ab} Wenjuan Niu,^{ab} Ming Wang,^{ab} Yao Zhu^a and Shuiping Yan^{ab}

This study investigates the effects of alkali and alkaline earth metal (AAEM) species on the combustion characteristics of cattle manures (CM). Different AAEM species (K, Na, Ca, and Mg) were mixed with CM and deashing CM (D-CM) samples. The combustion characteristics of raw and char samples were compared. The effects of AAEM species on CM char were analyzed based on the structural characteristics of the char sample. Results show that K and Na exert a positive effect, and this effect varies depending on the addition amount. Ca and Mg also exhibit a positive effect, but this effect does not change with the addition amount. The positive effect of K, Na, and Ca is related to the decrease in graphitization degree and increase in specific surface area. However, the positive effect of Mg is negligible. In conclusion, CM can be mixed with fuels containing K or Na in an appropriate ratio. The amount of Ca to be mixed with fuels has no specific requirement, whereas that of Mg to be mixed with fuels should be controlled.

Received 31st January 2018

Accepted 13th March 2018

DOI: 10.1039/c8ra00965a

rsc.li/rsc-advances

1. Introduction

In recent years, the problem of fecal matter in large-scale farms has attracted wide attention. Improper handling not only affects the surrounding environment but also restricts the healthy development of farms. Livestock and poultry manure can cause water, soil, and atmospheric pollution; in addition, fecal pathogens can easily lead to disease spread.¹ A number of treatment methods can be used for livestock and poultry manures.^{2–5} These wastes can be applied as organic fertilizers directly to farmlands.⁶ However, the waste production of large-scale farms outnumbers the needs of local farmlands. In addition, direct fertilizer utilization generates biogas through the anaerobic fermentation of livestock and poultry manures. The smell and pollution of livestock and poultry manures can be reduced, but the processing cycle is too long. Heat treatment of livestock and poultry manures is a practical technology that ensures rapid and complete eradication of harmful pathogens in large farms.

Livestock manures are heat treated through pyrolysis, gasification, and combustion.^{3,7,8} Biomass char can be obtained through fecal pyrolysis^{9–11} or by mixing pyrolysis with other biomass.¹² However, utilization problems remain for subsequent char and biomass oil. The energy produced from biogas could be maximized through gasification of livestock and poultry manures.^{8,13} However, the tar formed during

gasification and the high content of potassium in the ash limit the application of livestock and poultry manure gasification.² By contrast, burning of poultry manures has attracted widespread attention as a direct and complete process to generate energy.¹⁴ At present, combustion of livestock and poultry manures is performed through co-firing or direct combustion. Co-firing is easier to achieve than direct combustion,^{15,16} but it needs a suitable coal-fired power plant surrounding. Compared with co-firing, direct combustion has less requirements and impact on the surrounding environment. Thus, direct combustion is the better choice to treat livestock and poultry manures for large-scale farms.

Most livestock and poultry manures in China are abundant in K, Ca, and Mg.¹⁷ Previous studies confirmed that K, Na, Ca, and Mg in low-rank fuels catalyze gasification^{18–22} and combustion.^{23,24} Hu *et al.* studied the catalytic effects of inherent alkali and alkaline earth metals (AAEMs) on pyrolysis and gasification of biomass.^{25,26} Cheng *et al.* ranked the catalytic effect of AAEMs on the combustion of pulverized coal to be Na > Fe > Ca > Al.²³ However, excessive AAEMs can lead to severe fouling and slagging.^{27,28} A suitable proportion of CaO can significantly reduce the alkali metal content of chars and slagging.^{29,30} As a disadvantage of AAEM on the combustion of agriculture, forestry, and straw biomass, washed biomass can induce deashing to promote combustion.³¹ For livestock and poultry manures, washing needs huge amounts of water and cause secondary pollution due to the large number of organic matter and pathogens. Meanwhile, pickling is of high cost. Therefore, understanding the effects of AAEM species on the burning of livestock and poultry manures is important.

^aCollege of Engineering, Huazhong Agricultural University, No. 1, Shizishan Street, Hongshan District, Wuhan, 430070, P. R. China. E-mail: bjyi@mail.hzau.edu.cn; Fax: +86 27 87282120; Tel: +86 27 87282120

^bKey Laboratory of Agricultural Equipment in the Mid-lower Yangtze River, Ministry of Agriculture, Wuhan, 430070, P. R. China



Most previous studies focused on the effects of inherent AAEM species on the pyrolysis and combustion of biomass.^{25,26} Few studies investigated the impact of external AAEM content on livestock and poultry manures after deashing. In the actual transfer process, external ash is easily to be mixed to livestock and poultry manure. Furthermore, whether the addition of external ash would facilitate combustion reaction need further research. In the present study, typical cattle manure (CM) was selected as the object of research, and the effect of AAEM species (K, Na, Ca, and Mg) on the combustion of CM was discussed.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials and pretreatment

All CM samples used in the experiment were freshly collected from a dairy farm of Dongzheng Livestock and Poultry Co., Ltd. in Jiangxia District, Wuhan, Hubei Province. CM was dehydrated in air at the College of Engineering Laboratory of Huazhong Agricultural University. CM was dried in a drying oven at 105 °C for 48 h before the experiment. Then, dried CM was crushed into powder and passed through a 60-mesh sieve. Proximate analysis, ultimate analysis, low heating value, chemical composition, and inorganic substances are shown in Table 1. The proportion of volatile matter was 45.80% on the dry basis of CM, and the proportions of ash and fixed carbon were 32.19% and 12.52%, respectively. Volatile matter is the main body of combustible CM. Ultimate analysis revealed O content of 49.92% and C content of 41.13%. High O and low H caused the small lower heating values, which is 13.426 MJ Kg⁻¹. The main chemical composition of CM was 24.05% cellulose, 26.24% hemicellulose, and 5.16% lignin. The chemical composition in biomass is responsible for the different thermochemical properties. Lignin is the most difficult one to decompose among the three components.³² Hence, low content lignin in CM is expected to result in good combustion reactivity. The main inorganic substances of raw CM are Si, Ca, K, Al, and Mg. Some AAEM species in CM is in favor of comparing the impact of internal and external AAEM species. To clarify the effect of external AAEM (K, Na, Ca, and Mg) species on the combustion of CM, K₂CO₃, Na₂CO₃, CaCO₃, and MgCO₃ were

blended with the deashing samples. The alkali metal carbonate has a good catalytic effect.^{33,34} In addition, the product result from the decomposition reaction of carbonate may not affect the experiment. Deashing method was introduced everywhere.^{35,36} This process was carried out by mixing a 5 g CM sample with 100 mL of 50% HCl and stirring for 2 h in a water bath at 75 °C. The sample was cooled, filtered, and then washed in distilled water for three times. The sample was then mixed with 100 mL of 50% HF, then the previous step was repeated. After three times of HCl–HF washing, the sample was dried and identified as D-CM. The experimental material was pretreated several times by the above deashing process to obtain enough D-CM samples, which were placed in a sealed bag and stored in the freezer. Different contents of AAEM species were compared. The blend ratios were 2.5%, 5%, and 7.5% in weight. The blending of CM/D-CM and AAEMs were immersed in water solution (1 g of solid sample per 10 mL agent), stirred for 2 h at ambient temperature (25 ± 3 °C), and then it dried at 55 °C in an oven for 24 h. The dried samples were shaken for 10 min.

Char was prepared in accordance with a previously described method to distinguish the effect of AAEM species on volatile matter and fixed carbon in CM.³⁷ In the present study, we conducted 800 °C storage for 30 min under N₂ atmosphere. The sample amount was 5 g each time, the blend ratio was 5% AAEM species, and the gas flow rate was set to 1 L min⁻¹. The char samples prepared from CM and deashing CM were named as CM char and D-CM char, respectively. The method of dissolving and re-drying was chosen to blend K₂CO₃, Na₂CO₃, CaCO₃, and MgCO₃ in the sample.^{38,39}

Raw CM mixed with K₂CO₃, Na₂CO₃, CaCO₃, and MgCO₃ were denoted by CM K₂CO₃, CM Na₂CO₃, CM CaCO₃, and CM MgCO₃, respectively. D-CM char mixed with K₂CO₃, Na₂CO₃, CaCO₃, and MgCO₃ were named as D-CM K₂CO₃ char, D-CM Na₂CO₃ char, D-CM CaCO₃ char, and D-CM MgCO₃ char, respectively.

2.2. Experimental methods

Inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (Perkin Elmer Optima 8000DV) was performed to analyze inorganic species. Field emission-scanning electron microscopy (JEOL JSM-6390LV) was employed to observe the surface

Table 1 Proximate analysis, ultimate analysis, LHV, chemical structure and inorganic species of CM/D-CM

Sample	Proximate analysis (wt% daf)			Ultimate analysis (wt% daf)					Chemical structure (wt% daf)		
	V	A	FC	C	H	O ^a	N	S	Hemicellulose	Cellulose	Lignin
CM	50.60	35.57	13.83	41.13	5.89	49.92	2.69	0.37	26.24	24.05	5.16
D-CM	65.73	7.06	27.21	44.27	6.21	46.77	2.46	0.29	—	—	—

^a By difference.

Sample	Inorganic species (g kg ⁻¹)										
	Si	Ca	K	Al	Mg	Na	Fe	Mn	Zn	Cu	LHV (MJ kg ⁻¹)
CM	203.59	70.08	16.53	13.33	9.64	3.51	2.81	0.78	0.14	0.04	13.426



topography of the sample. BRUKER D8 ADVANCE was used to analyze carbon crystal structure and mineral crystal type at 40 KV working voltage and 40 mA tube current with Cu-K α radiation, respectively. The diffraction peak was collected by a step-by-step scanning method, and the order was 0.01°. 2θ in the range of 10–90° was recorded in the diffraction data. Specific surface area and pore structure were analyzed using the Accelerated Surface Area and Porosimetry System (ASAP 2020) in accordance with a previously described method.⁴⁰

Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) has been widely used in the study of thermochemical conversion processes.^{41–44} Combustion reactivity experiments were conducted in the simultaneous DSC-TGA Q600. First, 10 ± 0.2 mg of sample was placed in an alumina crucible. The thermal analysis program was heated to 800 °C from room temperature at 20 °C min⁻¹. The furnace atmosphere was simulated air by mixing 21% O₂ and 79% N₂. Total gas flow rate was 100 mL min⁻¹.

2.3. Combustion parameters

Several main combustion characteristic temperatures were used for comparison among different atmospheres, including start weight loss temperature (T_s), ignition temperature (T_{ig}), temperature of the maximum combustion rate (T_{max}), and burnout temperature (T_b), which were obtained through thermal gravimetric curves in accordance with previously described methods.^{37,44}

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Combustion characteristics curve

Livestock and poultry manure combustion presents a bimodal curve. One is the devolatilization stage (200–390 °C), which represents the release of volatiles and ignition, whereas the other one (400–600 °C) is related to char oxidation.^{45,46} Raw CM in our experiment was also divided into two stages, as depicted in Fig. 1. The first stage at 250–400 °C is for volatile matter release and combustion. The second stage at 420–550 °C is for char combustion. Meanwhile, the weight loss rates of the first and second peaks were about 41.06% and 20.56%, respectively,




Fig. 1 Combustion curves of CM/D-CM in air atmosphere under non-isothermal condition.

which are consistent with the contents of volatile matter and fixed carbon. This result indicates that CM at low-heating-rate combustion conditions features clear-phased combustion characteristics.

The ash ratio of D-CM was 6%. As shown from the DTG diagram, the volatile matter of D-CM was released later than that of raw CM, indicating that some volatile matter were easily released or catalytic mineral was lost during deashing, which is in accordance with the literature.²² The peak of volatile matter and fixed carbon in D-CM is 49.09% and 36.84%, respectively, which are increased with decreasing ash content and increasing combustible content. D-CM appeared at a low burnout temperature. A similar phenomenon with other materials was reported.^{47,48} The phenomenon may be caused by the intense burning of D-CM in air atmosphere. As a result, the sample temperature was provisionally above the heating program's temperature. After a short time, the sample temperature returned to the program temperature, and the heating process continued.

As illustrated in Fig. 2a, the combustion curves moved to lower temperature as K₂CO₃ content was further increased. The volatile matter released and char combusted ahead of time, whereas T_b showed a decreasing trend. However, the rate of weight loss gradually decreased. As displayed in Fig. 2b, the combustion curves moved to a lower temperature with increasing Na₂CO₃. K and Na had lower reaction temperatures than the other AAEM species, indicating an improvement in reactivity. A decrease in weight loss corresponded to decreased combustible concentration with the addition of AAEM. As shown in Fig. 2c and d, only 7.5% of CaCO₃ mixing was conducive to the release of volatile matter when the added contents of CaCO₃ and MgCO₃ were increased. Other contents played no significant role in promoting the release of volatile matter, but all the char burnout processes were improved. In conclusion, K and Na exerted a positive impact on the starting reaction temperature. The addition of K, Na, Ca, and Mg improved burnout, but combustible concentration decreased with the addition of AAEM.

To study the effect of internal and external AAEM species on CM combustion, the combustion of K, Na, Ca, and Mg blends in D-CM is shown in Fig. 3. K and Na showed similar trends. Compared with the curves of D-CM, the peaks of volatile matter release and char combustion of D-CM K₂CO₃ and D-CM Na₂CO₃ moved to a lower temperature. The blend with 2.5% content had a larger volatile matter release peak than the other blends. With the increase in amount, volatile matter release peak gradually decreased, and the decrease rate of D-CM Na₂CO₃ was greater than that of D-CM K₂CO₃. For D-CM K₂CO₃, the starting point of char combustion peak with 2.5% mixing content coincided with the volatile matter release peak, and the end time of its weight loss peak significantly decreased. With the increase in K₂CO₃ blend amount in D-CM, the char combustion peak gradually moved to a higher temperature. However, 5% mixing content for the lowest temperature of char combustion peak was observed for D-CM Na₂CO₃. As the amount of Na₂CO₃ blend increased, temperature of char combustion peak moved to a higher temperature. The temperature of char combustion






Fig. 2 Effect of mixed content with various AAEM species on the combustion curves of CM. (a) K_2CO_3 , (b) Na_2CO_3 , (c) $CaCO_3$, (d) $MgCO_3$.




Fig. 3 Effect of mixed content with various AAEM species on the combustion curves of D-CM. (a) K_2CO_3 , (b) Na_2CO_3 , (c) $CaCO_3$, (d) $MgCO_3$.






Fig. 4 Effect of AAEM species blended in CM or D-CM on the combustion characteristics of the char sample. (a) CM, (b) D-CM.




Fig. 5 XRD analysis of the char prepared under various AAEM species blended in D-CM.

peak of 7.5% mixing content was also lower than that of D-CM. Fig. 3c and d show that Ca and Mg have similar trends. The peak height of the two weight losses increased, and the volatile matter release peak increased by nearly 1 time. However, T_s increased. The char combustion peak moved to a lower temperature. This effect was not influenced by the increase in mixing amount. In summary, external K, Na, Ca, and Mg blends favored the combustion of volatile matter and char in D-CM. Promotion of K and Na decreased as the blend amount was

increased within 2.5–7.5%, but the promotion of Ca and Mg did not change with the increase in mixing amount. Combined with the conclusion in Fig. 2, internal and external K, Na, Ca, and Mg in CM exert a catalytic effect on combustion.

To study the different effects of AAEM species on volatile matter and char reaction in CM, the combustion characteristics of 5% K_2CO_3 , Na_2CO_3 , $CaCO_3$, and $MgCO_3$ blend CM/D-CM char were analyzed (Fig. 4). After blending the AAEM species in CM, $(dw/dt)_{max}$ increased and T_s decreased, but the change in the $MgCO_3$ blend was smaller than those in the other substances. T_s was in the order of $K_2CO_3 > Na_2CO_3 > CaCO_3 > MgCO_3$, and $(dw/dt)_{max}$ was $CaCO_3 > Na_2CO_3 > K_2CO_3 > MgCO_3$. The trend of T_b was the same as that of T_s . In conclusion, only Ca, Na, and K significantly influenced the reactivity of CM char. Combining the result from the Fig. 2, the AAEM species catalyzed CM combustion. The improved reactivity of K_2CO_3 , Na_2CO_3 , and $CaCO_3$ on CM combustion not only existed in the volatile matter release stage but also in the combustion stage of char.

As shown in Fig. 4b, the T_s and T_b of D-CM char significantly decreased after mixing with Na_2CO_3 , $CaCO_3$, and, especially, K_2CO_3 . The characteristic temperature of the K_2CO_3 blend in D-CM decreased up to 150 °C. The T_s and T_b for mixing with Na_2CO_3 and $CaCO_3$ in D-CM char decreased by more than 50 °C. After the $MgCO_3$ blend, the T_s of D-CM increased by 20 °C, but its T_b did not have any change. Thus, promoting the effect of K, Na, and Ca on D-CM combustion is in the order $K > Na > Ca$, and it is similar to AAEM species for CM char combustion.




Fig. 6 SEM analysis of the char prepared under various AAEM species blended in D-CM.






Fig. 7 Distribution characteristics of pore structure with pore size of the char prepared under various AAEM species blended in D-CM. (a) Specific surface area, (b) pore volume.

3.2. Structural characterization

The study above revealed that the blending of K_2CO_3 , Na_2CO_3 , $CaCO_3$, and $MgCO_3$ with D-CM significantly influenced the combustion characteristics, but whether this effect is related to the carbon crystal structure and mineral crystal structure has yet to be determined. To this end, the pyrolysis char were prepared by the blends of 5% AAEM species and D-CM. XRD analysis is shown in Fig. 5. d_{002} and d_{10} peaks are related to the carbon crystal structure.⁴⁹ Compared with raw CM, D-CM char had more obvious d_{002} and d_{10} peaks. D-CM $MgCO_3$ char showed significant d_{002} and d_{10} peaks too. Both D-CM char and D-CM $MgCO_3$ char demonstrated a high degree of graphitization, which affected the reactivity of the char. The D-CM char mixed with K_2CO_3 , Na_2CO_3 , and $CaCO_3$ displayed no obvious d_{002} and d_{10} peaks. The degree of graphitization was low, and combustion reactivity was high. In summary, K_2CO_3 , Na_2CO_3 , and $CaCO_3$ can improve the reactivity of CM char during pyrolysis and prevent the formation of a graphitization structure. However, Mg promoted the formation of graphitization by reducing the reactivity of char. From the blends of D-CM and AAEM species, the main minerals were $KHCO_3$, NaF , $CaCO_3$, and CaS , MgO in the D-CM K_2CO_3 char, D-CM Na_2CO_3 , D-CM $CaCO_3$ char, and D-CM $MgCO_3$ char, respectively. This finding indicates that the AAEM species were converted to other substances during pyrolysis. Therefore, the promotion of K, Na, and Ca on the combustion of D-CM char are related to reducing graphitized structure while the enhanced graphitized structure of D-CM $MgCO_3$ char leads to the low reactivity of CM with the addition of $MgCO_3$. As is verified in the literature,⁵⁰ through facilitating ion-exchangeable cations, AAEMs play an important role in the formation of light hydrocarbons, oxygen-containing species, char and tar, thus affecting release of volatile matter and formation of char. In addition to promoting breakage and restructuring of hetero atoms of tar, AAEMs also enhanced the thermal decomposition of heavier aromatics.²⁶

K_2CO_3 , Na_2CO_3 , and $CaCO_3$ promoted whereas $MgCO_3$ inhibited the combustion reaction of AAEM species blended in D-CM char. To this end, SEM images of char were analyzed as shown in Fig. 6. The D-CM char displayed a large particle size and rich porosity. In the graph magnified 250 \times , the char particle size after blending with K_2CO_3 and Na_2CO_3 remained

large, but the average particle size of the blend $CaCO_3$ and $MgCO_3$ decreased. Magnification 10 000 \times revealed many small particles attached to the surface of mixed K_2CO_3 , Na_2CO_3 , and $CaCO_3$ particles, and these particles formed micro-pores. The surface of sample blended with $MgCO_3$ was sintered into blocks and showed no obvious fine particle adhesion. Less reaction surface to contact with oxygen was available, which is one of the reasons leading to poor combustion characteristics.

Previous SEM images showed that D-CM chars mixed with K_2CO_3 , Na_2CO_3 , and $CaCO_3$ have more fine particles, which form larger pore structures. The BET test was carried out to determine the effect of AAEM species on the pore structure of D-CM char. Results are shown in Fig. 7 and Table 2. As shown in Fig. 7, the specific surface area of the micropores in D-CM mixed with K_2CO_3 was greater than that in the other blend conditions. The specific surface areas of the mesopores in D-CM $CaCO_3$ char and mesopores and macropores in D-CM Na_2CO_3 char were also greater than those in the other conditions. Statistical results of the pore structure test are shown in Table 2. Compared with raw CM, D-CM char had a larger specific surface area, pore volume, and smaller pore size. Compared with CM char, D-CM char mixed with K_2CO_3 , Na_2CO_3 , and $CaCO_3$ showed larger specific surface area, pore volume, and pore size in different degrees. The specific surface area was in the order of $CaCO_3 > K_2CO_3 > Na_2CO_3 > MgCO_3$. The D-CM $MgCO_3$ char had a smaller specific surface area and a larger pore volume and size than the other samples. Combined with the curve of characteristic combustion in Fig. 4b, the addition of K_2CO_3 , Na_2CO_3 , and $CaCO_3$ in D-CM increased the specific surface area of char, which consequently improved the combustion characteristics. Moreover, the low pore structure of D-CM $MgCO_3$ char was

Table 2 Pore structure of AAEM species blended with D-CM char

Sample	Specific surface area ($m^2 g^{-1}$)	Pore volume ($cm^3 g^{-1}$)	Pore size (nm)
CM raw	0.3276	0.0061	75.0179
D-CM char	3.6274	0.0107	12.9783
D-CM K_2CO_3 char	7.3350	0.0288	15.9371
D-CM Na_2CO_3 char	6.6835	0.0489	29.2414
D-CM $CaCO_3$ char	8.0680	0.0246	13.0130
D-CM $MgCO_3$ char	3.0662	0.0272	36.0255



further explained as a serious sinter and a decreasing porosity, which consequently declined reactivity.

3.3. Characteristic temperature

The effect of blend AAEM species on the combustion of CM was qualitatively analyzed. The combustion characteristic temperatures of the K_2CO_3 , Na_2CO_3 , $CaCO_3$, and $MgCO_3$ blends with different proportions in CM and D-CM are shown in Fig. 8. The various proportions of $CaCO_3$ and $MgCO_3$ blends increased T_{ig} and T_{max} , and the T_{ig} and T_{max} increase levels of the $MgCO_3$ blend in CM/D-CM were higher than those of the $CaCO_3$ blend. Various blend proportions of K_2CO_3 and Na_2CO_3 reduced T_{ig}

and T_{max} , and the decrease range of T_{ig} and T_{max} was lower in the K_2CO_3 blend than in the Na_2CO_3 blend. This result indicates that the effect of the AAEM species on CM/D-CM was the same before reaching the reaction peak. The T_{ig} and T_{max} of D-CM were higher than those of CM, indicating that the internal AAEM species played a positive role in the initial reaction period.

T_b decreased as the addition amount of AAEM species was increased, but a different trend was observed between CM and D-CM. Except for blending Na_2CO_3 in CM, the positive effect of mixing AAEM on T_b was enhanced as the amount of AAEM was increased. However, the T_b of D-CM initially decreased and then




Fig. 8 Effect of AAEM amounts on the characteristic temperature of CM/D-CM combustion. (a) T_{ig} , CM; (b) T_{ig} , D-CM; (c) T_{max} , CM; (d) T_{max} , D-CM; (e) T_b , CM; (f) T_b , D-CM.






Fig. 9 Effect of AAEM species on the characteristics temperature of raw and char samples. (a) T_{ig} , (b) T_{max} , (c) T_b .

further decreased as the amount of AAEM species was increased from 2.5% to 7.5%. Meanwhile, the T_b of D-CM was lower than that of CM. Various amounts of AAEM species mixing with CM and D-CM indicates a competitive relationship, which plays a positive role in the reactivity and an inhibitory role in the contact between C and O₂ at the core of CM particles during the burnout period. The amount of external AAEM species to be added needs to be controlled within 5%. In conclusion, the effect of mixing AAEM in CM or D-CM follows K, Na, Ca, and Mg, gradually.

Volatile matter and char combustion occur during solid fuel combustion. The content of volatile matter in CM reached 45.80%, whereas that of fixed carbon was only 12.52% (Table 1). In this case, the impact of AAEM species on volatile matter and char combustion was unclear. As shown in Fig. 9, the T_{ig} and T_{max} of char were much higher than those of the raw sample, and the change rule of char after mixing K₂CO₃, Na₂CO₃, CaCO₃, and MgCO₃ was much higher than that of raw char. T_{ig} , T_{max} , and T_b increased after blending these substances in sequence, but a difference in the range was observed. The T_{ig} and T_{max} of CM partly reflect the reaction of volatile matter, especially for a high volatile matter content of CM. During the combustion of CM, the catalytic effect of AAEM species is unclear. However, the catalytic effect during the combustion of CM char without volatile matter content was obvious. For D-CM char, the catalytic effect of AAEM species was further reflected. In summary, the influence of K₂CO₃, Na₂CO₃, CaCO₃, and MgCO₃ on combustion was mainly reflected in char combustion, and the existence of volatile matter weakened the effect.

4. Conclusion

This study investigated the effects of AAEM species on the combustion characteristics of CM. K and Na in raw CM catalyzed the volatile release phase, and all of the AAEM species (K, Na, Ca, and Mg) in raw CM catalyzed the burnout phase. With the external addition of AAEM to D-CM, K and Na exerted an obvious positive effect on combustion, and this effect changed with their addition amount. Meanwhile, the positive effect of Ca and Mg did not change with the addition amount. K, Na, and Ca demonstrated a positive effect on both CM char and D-CM char, but the effect on the latter was more significant than that on the former. Mg exhibited no obvious promotion effect on CM char and D-CM char. Analysis of D-CM char mixed with 5% K, Na, Ca, and Mg revealed that the positive effect of K, Na, and Ca is related to the decrease in graphitization degree and the increase in specific surface area. Meanwhile, the negligible positive effect of Mg is related to the increase in graphitization degree and decrease in specific surface area.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This research was financially supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Hubei Provincial (2017CFB231), the Special Fund for Agro-scientific Research in the Public Interest of China



(201303091) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (2662015QD048 and 2015PY077).

References

- D. Gao, T. Chen and B. Liu, *Geographical Research*, 2006, **25**, 311–319.
- C. Font-Palma, *Energy Convers. Manage.*, 2012, **53**, 92–98.
- K. B. Cantrell, T. Ducey, K. S. Ro and P. G. Hunt, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 2008, **99**, 7941–7953.
- M. Fatih Demirbas, M. Balat and H. Balat, *Energy Convers. Manage.*, 2011, **52**, 1815–1828.
- L. Zhang, C. Xu and P. Champagne, *Energy Convers. Manage.*, 2010, **51**, 969–982.
- X. Flotats, A. Bonmatí, B. Fernández and A. Magrí, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 2009, **100**, 5519–5526.
- N. H. Florin, A. R. Maddocks, S. Wood and A. T. Harris, *Waste Management*, 2009, **29**, 1399–1408.
- Y. Xin, H. Cao, Q. Yuan and D. Wang, *Waste Management*, 2017, **68**, 618–625.
- X. Liu, Z. Li, Y. Zhang, R. Feng and I. B. Mahmood, *Waste Management*, 2014, **34**, 1619–1626.
- W.-T. Tsai, C.-N. Huang, H.-R. Chen and H.-Y. Cheng, *Waste Biomass Valorization*, 2015, **6**, 975–981.
- S.-Y. Zhang, R.-Y. Hong, J.-P. Cao and T. Takarada, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 2009, **100**, 4278–4283.
- S. Xiu, H. K. Rojanala, A. Shahbazi, E. H. Fini and L. Wang, *J. Therm. Anal. Calorim.*, 2012, **107**, 823–829.
- D. S. Pandey, M. Kwapinska, A. Gómez-Barea, A. Horvat, L. E. Fryda, L. P. L. M. Rabou, J. J. Leahy and W. Kwapinski, *Energy Fuels*, 2016, **30**, 3085–3096.
- W.-T. Tsai and S.-C. Liu, *Biomass Convers. Biorefin.*, 2016, **6**, 71–77.
- K. Annamalai, B. Thien and J. Sweeten, *Fuel*, 2003, **82**, 1183–1193.
- S. Yurdakul, *Renewable Energy*, 2016, **89**, 215–223.
- X. Shen, G. Huang, Z. Yang and L. Han, *Appl. Energy*, 2015, **160**, 108–119.
- C.-Z. Li, *Fuel*, 2013, **112**, 609–623.
- D. Lv, M. Xu, X. Liu, Z. Zhan, Z. Li and H. Yao, *Fuel Process. Technol.*, 2010, **91**, 903–909.
- T. P. Vispute, H. Zhang, A. Sanna, R. Xiao and G. W. Huber, *Science*, 2010, **330**, 1222–1227.
- H. Zhang, S. Shao, X. Rui, D. Shen and J. Zeng, *Energy Fuels*, 2014, **28**, 52–57.
- L. Jiang, S. Hu, L.-s. Sun, S. Su, K. Xu, L.-m. He and J. Xiang, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 2013, **146**, 254–260.
- J. Cheng, F. Zhou, X. Xuan, J. Liu, J. Zhou and K. Cen, *Fuel*, 2017, **187**, 398–402.
- R. Jiménez, X. García, T. López and A. L. Gordon, *Fuel Process. Technol.*, 2008, **89**, 1160–1168.
- L. Jiang, S. Hu, Y. Wang, S. Su, L. Sun, B. Xu, L. He and J. Xiang, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2015, **40**, 15460–15469.
- S. Hu, L. Jiang, Y. Wang, S. Su, L. Sun, B. Xu, L. He and J. Xiang, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 2015, **192**, 23–30.
- L. J. R. Nunes, J. C. O. Matias and J. P. S. Catalão, *Renewable Sustainable Energy Rev.*, 2016, **53**, 235–242.
- D. Lynch, A. M. Henihan, W. Kwapinski, L. Zhang and J. J. Leahy, *Energy Fuels*, 2013, **27**, 4684–4694.
- P. Billen, J. Costa, L. van der Aa, L. Westdorp, J. Van Caneghem and C. Vandecasteele, *Energy Fuels*, 2014, **28**, 5455–5462.
- K. Wang, Z. Yin, P. Zhao, D. Han, X. Hu and G. Zhang, *Energy Fuels*, 2015, **29**, 4428–4435.
- V. Stanković, M. Gorgievski and D. Božić, *Biomass Bioenergy*, 2016, **88**, 17–23.
- H. Yang, R. Yan, H. Chen, D. H. Lee and C. Zheng, *Fuel*, 2007, **86**, 1781–1788.
- F. Zhang, M. Fan, X. Huang, M. D. Argyle, B. Zhang, B. Towler and Y. Zhang, *Fuel Process. Technol.*, 2017, **161**, 145–154.
- A. Karimi and M. R. Gray, *Fuel*, 2011, **90**, 120–125.
- B. Feng, S. K. Bhatia and J. C. Barry, *Carbon*, 2002, **40**, 481–496.
- X. Wu, J. Tang and J. Wang, *Fuel*, 2016, **165**, 59–67.
- B. Yi, L. Zhang, Z. Mao, F. Huang and C. Zheng, *Fuel Process. Technol.*, 2014, **128**, 17–27.
- X. Gong, Z. Guo and Z. Wang, *Combust. Flame*, 2010, **157**, 351–356.
- E. Abbasi-Atibeh and A. Yozgatligil, *Fuel*, 2014, **115**, 841–849.
- B. Yi, L. Zhang, Q. Yuan, S. Yan and C. Zheng, *Fuel Process. Technol.*, 2016, **152**, 294–302.
- M. V. Kok and E. Özgür, *Fuel Process. Technol.*, 2013, **106**, 739–743.
- M. Otero, M. E. Sanchez, X. Gómez and A. Morán, *Waste Management*, 2010, **30**, 1183–1187.
- B. Yi, L. Zhang, F. Huang, Z. Xia, Z. Mao, J. Ding and C. Zheng, *Energy Convers. Manage.*, 2015, **103**, 439–447.
- B. Yi, L. Zhang, F. Huang, Z. Mao and C. Zheng, *Appl. Energy*, 2014, **132**, 349–357.
- M. Baniasadi, A. Tugnoli, R. Conti, C. Torri, D. Fabbri and V. Cozzani, *Renewable Energy*, 2016, **90**, 458–468.
- A. Gani and I. Naruse, *Renewable Energy*, 2007, **32**, 649–661.
- Z. Zhou, X. Hu, Z. You, Z. Wang, J. Zhou and K. Cen, *Thermochim. Acta*, 2013, **553**, 54–59.
- L. Jiang, X. Yuan, H. Li, Z. Xiao, J. Liang, H. Wang, Z. Wu, X. Chen and G. Zeng, *Energy Convers. Manage.*, 2015, **106**, 282–289.
- B. Feng, S. K. Bhatia and J. C. Barry, *Energy Fuels*, 2003, **17**, 744–754.
- C.-Z. Li, *Fuel*, 2007, **86**, 1664–1683.

