



Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2018, 8, 10686

# Facile preparation of a tetraethylenepentamine-functionalized nano magnetic composite material and its adsorption mechanism to anions: competition or cooperation†

Meiqin Hu, Haoyu Shen, \* Si Ye, Yan Wang, Jiali Zhang and Shanshan Lv

A tetraethylenepentamine (TEPA)-functionalized nano-Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> magnetic composite material (nFe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TEPA) was synthesized by a facile one-pot solvothermal method. It was characterized by elementary analysis (EA), powder X-ray diffraction (XRD), Fourier transform infrared spectrometry (FTIR), transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and vibrating sample magnetometry (VSM). The results show that the nFe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TEPA has an average size of ~20 nm, with a saturation magnetization intensity of 48.2 emu g<sup>-1</sup>. Its adsorption properties were investigated by adsorbing fluorine ions, phosphate, Cr(vi) and their co-existing water system. The adsorption performance was studied as a function of solution pH, initial concentration of ions, contact time and temperature for each ion. The adsorption of the multi-ion co-existing system was studied *via* batch tests, XPS and FTIR analyses. The effect of co-existing ions was studied through Box-Behnken Design (BBD) and response surface methodology (RSM). It can be deduced that the adsorption mechanism of an individual fluorine ion or phosphate was mainly related to electrostatic attraction, while that of Cr(vi) might be mainly related to electrostatic attraction and coordination interactions. For the fluorine ion and phosphate bi-component system, their adsorption was competitive *via* ion exchange. For the Cr(vi), fluorine ion and phosphate tri-component co-existing system, Cr(vi) took priority for adsorption and could replace the absorbed fluorine ion or phosphate by competitive reaction, but not *vice versa*.

Received 9th January 2018  
Accepted 11th March 2018

DOI: 10.1039/c8ra00237a

rsc.li/rsc-advances

## 1 Introduction

Elevated levels of oxyanions (*e.g.* arsenite, arsenate, chromate, phosphate, selenite, selenate, borate, nitrate, *etc.*) and monoatomic anions (*e.g.* fluoride, chloride, bromide, and iodide) have been found in the environment and they can be harmful to both humans and wildlife.<sup>1–3</sup> Some of these anions have become the most frequently detected in ground water at hazardous waste sites and have been placed on the top of the priority list of toxic pollutants by the U. S. EPA.<sup>4</sup> Treatment of anion-containing wastewater prior to discharge is essential. Conventional techniques, such as reduction, reverse osmosis, electrodialysis, ion exchange, and adsorption, have been used for removing these anions from wastewater.<sup>5,6</sup> However, the reduction followed by precipitation has some disadvantages, *i.e.*, higher waste treatment equipment costs, significantly higher consumption of reagents, and significantly higher volume of sludge generated.<sup>7</sup> Although reverse osmosis and electrodialysis are superior in recovering some of the oxyanions, such as Cr(vi), it is difficult to

reduce the oxyanions in the effluent to an acceptable level.<sup>6</sup> As far as ion exchange is concerned, it is an attractive approach in treating the wastewater containing anions, but ion exchange system is the complexity in regenerating the resin.<sup>8</sup>

Recently, Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-based magnetic nanoparticles (MNPs) have found to be simple, convenient, and powerful approaches for the separation and purification of environmental samples, and removal of toxic pollutants, including various ions, in water.<sup>9–15</sup> However, most reports are focused on the treatment of one-ion component solution. Those for co-existing solutions, especially for adsorption mechanism investigating are quite limited.<sup>5,9,11</sup> The industrial effluents often contain several kinds of oxyanions and monoatomic anions, the study of which is very complicated because of their synergistic, antagonistic or non-interactive effects on their adsorption. The traditional one-factor-at-a-time approach to run and analyze the experiments cannot successfully predict possible interactions between the oxyanions and monoatomic anions in industrial wastewater. Thus, it is necessary to investigate the simultaneous removal process in multi-component system containing oxyanions and monoatomic anions.

Multivariate analysis allows significant reduction in the number of experiments in addition to the description of independent variables impact on the process. This can contribute to

Ningbo Institute of Technology, Zhejiang University, Ningbo, Zhejiang, 315100, China.  
E-mail: hyshen@nit.zju.edu.cn

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c8ra00237a



the development and optimization of the multi-component system while it significantly decreases the cost of experiments. Response surface methodology (RSM) is of the most popular methods applied in researches on adsorption processes.<sup>16,17</sup> The RSM is a useful statistical tool used to design experiments in which factors and their levels are determined. After handling the response of the experiments, the results are obtained by analyzing the response according to the RSM. A mathematical model is set *via* RSM by considering both linear and nonlinear relationships between independent variables, namely factors and response. If interactions affect the response, it can be mathematically modeled which allows for the optimization of the response. Based on such model, response surface graph and contours are provided, which help to visualize the shape of response surface.<sup>18,19</sup> Thus, simultaneous modeling and optimization of variables are required to achieve an economic and popular wastewater treatment.

In this work, a tetraethylenepentamine (TEPA)-functionalized nano-Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> magnetic composite materials (nFe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TEPA) was synthesized by a facile one-pot solvothermal method. It was characterized by elementary analysis (EA), powder X-ray diffraction (XRD), Fourier transform infrared spectrometer (FTIR), transmission electron microscopy (TEM), dynamic light scattering (DLS) and vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM).

The objective of this study is to investigate its adsorption properties of fluorine ion, phosphate, Cr(vi) and their co-existing water system. On the basis of the adsorption performance investigating of single-component for each ion, the

adsorption of multi-component of the co-existing system was statistically studied. Presumed mechanisms were deeply investigated based on batch tests, thermodynamic and kinetic studies, XPS and FTIR characterization and RSM analyses. The overall procedure of the present work was shown in Scheme 1.


## 2 Experimental

### 2.1 Materials

Ferric chloride (FeCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O), sodium acetate (NaAc), ethylene glycol (EG), potassium fluoride (KF), potassium dihydrogen phosphate (KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>), potassium dichromate (K<sub>2</sub>Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>) were analytical grade, and purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. Tetraethylenepentamine (TEPA) was supplied by Aladdin Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Distilled water was used to prepare all the solutions. 0.5 mol L<sup>-1</sup> HNO<sub>3</sub> and 0.5 mol L<sup>-1</sup> NaOH solutions were used for pH adjustment.

### 2.2 Preparation of nFe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TEPA

The overall preparation of nFe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TEPA was produced using a polyol-media one-pot solvothermal method. 4.0 g of FeCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O, and 12.0 g of NaAc were dissolved in 120 mL ethylene glycol. This solution was stirred vigorously at room temperature for 10 min to form a stable orange solution. 40 mL of TEPA was then added with constant stirring for 30 min until completely dissolved. The mixture solution was then transferred to



Scheme 1 Overall procedure of the nFe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TEPA facile preparation and its adsorption to anions.



a Teflon-lined autoclave and heated at 180 °C for 8 h. After the autoclave cooled to room temperature, the resulting nFe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TEPA was isolated under magnetic field and washed with water and ethanol to remove redundant reagents and impurities. The as-prepared nFe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TEPA was dried in a vacuum oven at 60 °C for 12 h and stored in a sealed bottle for further use.

### 2.3 Characterization

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images were obtained on a JEM-2100F Lorentz-Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) at an accelerating voltage of 200 kV. The magnetic properties of magnetic particles were measured using a vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM, Lake Shore 7410). Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were collected on an X-ray diffractometer (Bruker D8 Advance) with CuK $\alpha$  radiation at  $\lambda = 0.154$  nm operating at 40 kV and 40 mA. The elementary analysis results of the nitrogen contents in nFe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TEPA were measured using an elementary analysis (EA, Thermo Fisher Flash-1112). Fourier Transform Infrared spectrometer (FTIR, Thermo Nicolet, USA) and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, AXIS ULTRADLD) were used to investigate the adsorption mechanism. Dynamic light scattering (DLS, Nano ZS-90) was used to determine the mean particle size.

The content of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> in nFe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TEPA was calculated from the amount of leached Fe, which was measured by using a spectrophotometer (722, Shanghai, China) according to the standard colorimetric method<sup>11</sup> after digesting nFe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TEPA in 12 mol L<sup>-1</sup> HCl solution. The concentration of fluoride ion (F<sup>-</sup>), phosphate or Cr(vi) in the aqueous solution was analyzed following the standard methods for examination of water and wastewater.<sup>20</sup> Briefly, the concentration of fluoride ion was carried out using combined fluoride-specific ion-selective electrode carried out using combined fluoride-specific ion-selective electrode on a SevenMulti™ instrument (Mettler Toledo). The concentration of phosphate was analyzed spectrophotometrically by the molybdenum blue method at 690 nm by adding (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>6</sub>Mo<sub>7</sub>O<sub>24</sub> and SnCl<sub>2</sub>-HCl solutions followed by being kept in the dark for 10 min at room temperature (722, Shanghai, China). The concentration of Cr(vi) ions in the aqueous solution was analyzed with a spectrophotometer at a wavelength of 540 nm after acidification of samples with 1 N H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and reaction with 1,5-diphenyl carbazide to produce a purple color complex for colorimetric measurement (722, Shanghai, China).

### 2.4 Adsorption experiments

A stock solution of fluoride ion (F<sup>-</sup>), phosphate or Cr(vi) at concentration of 1000 mg L<sup>-1</sup> was prepared by dissolving a known quantity of potassium fluoride (KF), potassium dihydrogen phosphate (KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>) or potassium dichromate (K<sub>2</sub>Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>) in ultrapure water. Batch adsorption experiments were carried out in 150 mL stoppered flasks, each of which contained 25.00 mL of fluoride ion (F<sup>-</sup>), phosphate or Cr(vi) individual solutions or co-existing solutions of varying concentration, from 10 to 1000 mg L<sup>-1</sup>. A 0.02 g amount of nFe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TEPA was added into each flask and shaken at 150 rpm

in a thermostatic shaker. 0.5 mol L<sup>-1</sup> HNO<sub>3</sub> and 0.5 mol L<sup>-1</sup> NaOH solutions were used for pH adjustment, ranging from 2.0 to 10.0. Adsorption kinetic and thermodynamic studies at different temperatures (25–45 °C), with contacting time ranging from 1 to 180 min, were also carried out. Effect of co-existing ions was studied through Box-Behnken Design (BBD) and the response surface methodology (RSM). The post-adsorption solutions were separated magnetically under a NdFeB magnet.

According to the concentrations before and after adsorption, the equilibrium adsorption capacity ( $q$ , mg g<sup>-1</sup>) of the studied anions absorbed to the nFe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TEPA is calculated using eqn (1):<sup>21</sup>

$$q = \frac{(C_0 - C_e)V}{m} \quad (1)$$

where  $C_0$  and  $C_e$  represent the initial solution concentration and the equilibrium concentration of fluoride ion (F<sup>-</sup>), phosphate or Cr(vi) (mg L<sup>-1</sup>),  $V$  is the volume of the solution (mL),  $m$  is the adsorbent dosage (mg), the same hereinafter.

For the kinetic studies, the pseudo-first-order model (eqn (2)),<sup>21</sup> pseudo-second-order model (eqn (3)),<sup>21,22</sup> and intraparticle diffusion model (eqn (4)),<sup>21</sup> were used to fit the experimental data.

$$\log(q_e - q_t) = \log q_e - \left(\frac{k_1}{2.303}\right)t \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{t}{q_t} = \frac{1}{k_2 q_e^2} + \left(\frac{1}{q_e}\right)t \quad (3)$$

$$q_t = k_{id}t^{1/2} + C \quad (4)$$

where,  $q_e$  and  $q_t$  are the adsorption capacities at equilibrium and at time  $t$  (mg g<sup>-1</sup>), respectively.  $k_1$  (min<sup>-1</sup>),  $k_2$  (g (mg<sup>-1</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>)) are the adsorption rate constants,  $k_{id}$  is the intraparticle diffusion rate constant (mg (g<sup>-1</sup> min<sup>-1/2</sup>)),  $C$  is the intercept (mg g<sup>-1</sup>).

For the adsorption isotherm studies, two adsorption isotherms, Langmuir model (eqn (5))<sup>21,23</sup> and Freundlich model (eqn (6)) were applied to analyze the adsorption data.<sup>21,24</sup>

$$\frac{C_e}{q_e} = \frac{1}{K_L q_m} + \frac{C_e}{q_m} \quad (5)$$

$$\log q_e = \log K_F + (1/n)\log C_e \quad (6)$$

where  $q_m$  and  $K_L$  are the Langmuir constants related to the maximum adsorption capacity and apparent heat change, respectively, while  $K_F$  is a Freundlich constant related to adsorption capacity and  $1/n$  is a Freundlich constant related to the adsorption intensity.

## 3 Results and discussion

### 3.1 Characterization of nFe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TEPA

The TEM images of nFe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TEPA were shown in Fig. 1(a). All the size data reflect the averages of about 100 particles and are calculated according to eqn (7):<sup>25</sup>






Fig. 1 TEM image (a) and DLS (b) of  $n\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@TEPA$ .

$$U = D_w/D_n, D_n = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k n_i D_i}{\sum_{i=1}^k n_i}, D_w = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k n_i D_i^4}{\sum_{i=1}^k n_i D_i^3} \quad (7)$$

where  $U$  is the polydispersity index,  $D_n$  is the number-average diameter,  $D_w$  is the weight-average diameter, and  $D_i$  is the diameter of the determined microspheres. It revealed that the  $n\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@TEPA$  particles were multidispersed with some aggregation at an average diameter of around 20 nm (Fig. 1(a)), with  $D_n$  at 21.5,  $D_w$  at 22.8, and  $U$  at 1.06. In order to check the aggregation behavior, we carried out the DLS experiments of  $n\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@TEPA$  from 0–10 min. As shown in Fig. 1(b), the particles aggregated gradually and the intensity average diameter measured by DLS

increased from 25 nm to 40 nm after 1 minute, and 75 nm after 10 minutes. This might be due to the dipolar magnetic interaction between the magnetic cores and hydrogen bonds between the amino groups on the surface of the magnetic cores.

The FTIR spectra of  $n\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  and  $n\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@TEPA$  were showed in Fig. 2(a). Characteristic band of  $n\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  occurs at  $\sim 589\text{ cm}^{-1}$ . Other typical bands can be assigned as follows,  $\nu(-\text{OH})$ :  $\sim 3446\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ,  $\nu(-\text{CH}_2)$ :  $\sim 2924\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ,  $\sim 2853\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ,  $\delta(-\text{CH}_2)$ :  $\sim 1429\text{ cm}^{-1}$  for PEG. Compared with  $n\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ , After functionalization by TEPA, typical bands at  $\sim 1573\text{ cm}^{-1}$  can be assigned to be the stretching and bending vibrations of the  $-\text{NH}$  and  $-\text{NH}_2$  groups appeared, with a great shift of the bands for  $\delta(-\text{CH}_2)$ . This revealed that the amino groups of TEPA had been successfully grafted to the surface of the  $n\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ . The superparamagnetic properties of the  $n\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@TEPA$  were verified by




Fig. 2 FTIR (a), VSM (b) and XRD (c) of  $n\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  and  $n\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@TEPA$





the magnetization curve measured by VSM, shown in Fig. 2(b). The saturation moment obtained from the hysteresis loop was found to be 48.2 emu g<sup>-1</sup>. The nFe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TEPA was expected to respond well to magnetic fields without any permanent magnetization, therefore making the solid and liquid phases separate easily. Due to the anti-magnetic property of the TEPA, it was no surprise the saturation moment of nFe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TEPA lower than that of the naked nano-Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (78.6 emu g<sup>-1</sup>, as shown in Fig. 2(b)). Interestingly, the saturation moment of the present nFe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TEPA was much higher than those of our previously reported nano magnetic polymers (NMPs), which was ranged from 12.3 to 5.56 emu g<sup>-1</sup>,<sup>26</sup> which might be due to two facts: (1) anti-magnetic polymer anchored onto the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> core of the NMPs, which leading a decrease of content percentage of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> in the NMPs; (2) by using solvothermal method, amino-groups of TEPA self-assembled graft to the surface of the magnetic cores *via* hydrogen bonds between the amino groups and active hydroxyl groups of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. The obtained nFe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TEPA is with good dispersity to avoid the dispersion agglomeration defects in the traditional preparation process. With a large number of amino groups on the surface of the nFe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, it is beneficial to form magnetic ordered structure, which leading an increase of the saturation moment. This phenomenon was also found by Yoon, *et al.*<sup>27</sup> High saturation magnetization (56.1 emu g<sup>-1</sup>) of the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> based magnetic polymer composite material-Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@DAFP was found when solvothermal method was used for preparation. To further demonstrate the crystal structure of nFe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TEPA, the XRD patterns of the as-prepared Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (without adding TEPA) and nFe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TEPA were collected (Fig. 2(c)). It indicated that nFe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TEPA had retained the spinel structure of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, in which the identical peaks for Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> located at 30.1°, 35.5°, 43.1°, 53.4°, 57.0° and 62.6°, corresponding to their indices (220), (311), (400), (422), (511) and (400) appeared.<sup>28</sup>

Elemental analysis results showed that nitrogen percentage of nFe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TEPA was 18.9%, while the total content of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> in the nFe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TEPA was 58.2%, which was higher than those of our previously reported NMPs, and consisted with the VSM results.

### 3.2 Adsorption mechanism of the nFe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TEPA to anions

#### 3.2.1 Effect of pH and adsorption mechanism for the individual ion. The pH effect of fluoride ion (F<sup>-</sup>), phosphate or

Cr(vi) individual solutions at concentration of 50 mg L<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, was investigated with the pH values ranging from 2.0 to 10.0, and the results were shown in Fig. 3. For nFe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, the adsorption efficiencies of all the three ions were quite low (at around 5%) and almost not dependent on solution pH, shown in Fig. 3(a). However, the adsorption efficiencies of nFe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TEPA were much higher than those of nFe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, at 15.5–99.9% depending on different ions and pH values, shown in Fig. 3(b). The high efficiencies might be contributed to the amino-groups of TEPA anchored on the surface of the nFe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. The adsorption efficiency of phosphate or Cr(vi) was highly dependent on solution pH. Interestingly, unlike phosphate and Cr(vi), the adsorption capacity of fluoride ion (F<sup>-</sup>) was almost not dependent on solution pH. These results imply there might be different adsorption mechanism of nFe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TEPA to these three kinds of anions.

The pH dependency might be related both to the intrinsic structure property of the nFe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TEPA and the species of anions. Fig. 4(a) showed that the p*H*<sub>pzc</sub> of the nFe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TEPA was identified to be 5.02, implying the outer surface of the nFe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TEPA is positively charged when pH is below 5.02, and negatively charged when pH is above 5.02. Based on the experimental data of the total concentration, we run Visual MINTEQ 3, which is widely used in recent years to simulate equilibria and speciation of inorganic solutes in aqueous solution.<sup>29–32</sup> The speciation of Cr(vi), phosphate and fluorine under various pH was obtained, as shown in Fig. 4(b)–(d).

In the case of Cr(vi), as shown in Fig. 3, the percentage of uptake Cr(vi) for nFe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TEPA decreased from 99.9% to 16.8% gradually with an increase of pH value from 2.0 to 10.0. This phenomenon is contributed to the pH-dependent adsorption mechanism. As shown in Fig. 4(b), five main pH-dependent species of Cr(vi) exist, *i.e.*, H<sub>2</sub>CrO<sub>4</sub>, HCrO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>, CrO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, HCr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub><sup>-</sup>, Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub><sup>2-</sup>, as described by eqn 8–11. Cr(vi) exists mainly in the soluble form of H<sub>2</sub>CrO<sub>4</sub> at pH less than 2.0, HCrO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> with pH from 2.0 to 4.0, and CrO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> at pH value above 6.5.







Fig. 3 The pH effect on the adsorption of fluoride ion (F<sup>-</sup>), phosphate or Cr(vi) individual solutions at concentration of 50 mg L<sup>-1</sup> of nFe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (a) and nFe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TEPA (b).









Fig. 4  $\text{pH}_{\text{pzc}}$  of the  $\text{nFe}_3\text{O}_4\text{@TEPA}$  (a); distribution diagrams of Cr(vi) (b), phosphate (c) and fluorine (d) species.


Under acidic conditions (pH at 2.0–3.5), amino groups were easier to be protonated ( $-\text{NH}_3^+$ ), as described by eqn (12). Electrostatic attraction happened as in eqn (13),<sup>33</sup> leading a decrease of the residue concentration of Cr(vi). With increasing of the pH value, the concentration of  $\text{H}^+$  was decreased, and at the same time the concentration of  $\text{OH}^-$ , which competed with  $\text{HCrO}_4^-$ , was increased. So the ability of  $-\text{NH}_2$  to be protonated was weakened, resulting in the decline of removal efficiency.






With the increasing of pH value, an interesting phenomenon was observed that there was a flat, as we found before in amino-functionalized nano magnetic polymers (NMPs).<sup>26</sup> This implied that besides the electrostatic attraction and ion exchange interactions, coordination interactions might occur in the adsorption process. as in eqn (14).



In the case of phosphate, as shown in Fig. 3(c), the percentage of uptake phosphate for  $\text{nFe}_3\text{O}_4\text{@TEPA}$  increased from 31.9% to 81.4% sharply to a maximum at pH 3.0, then decreased sharply to 26.0% at pH 6.0. As shown in Fig. 4(b), phosphate existed in the forms of  $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4$ ,  $\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4^-$ ,  $\text{HPO}_4^{2-}$  and  $\text{PO}_4^{3-}$ , depending on the solution pH ( $\text{p}K_1 = 2.15$ ,  $\text{p}K_2 = 7.20$ , and  $\text{p}K_3 = 12.33$ ).<sup>34,35</sup> With an increase of pH, the  $\text{nFe}_3\text{O}_4\text{@TEPA}$  surface carried positive charge, and thus would more significantly attract the negatively charged monovalent  $\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4^-$  ions in solution, which indicated that the physicochemical adsorption due to electrostatic attraction was the predominant process of phosphate removal, as described by eqn (15). When the pH of the solution increased, the surface became negatively charged, consequently, unfavorable to the phosphate for electrostatic repulsion.



In the case of fluoride, as shown in Fig. 3(d), unlike Cr(vi) and phosphate, the adsorption capacity was almost not dependent on solution pH. To our knowledge, this is one of the longest pH ranges of the materials for F<sup>-</sup> adsorption in literature. The percentage of uptake fluoride for nFe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TEPA kept constant at around 95.6% under pH value from 2.0 to 11.0, and gradually decreased to 70.2% at pH 14. Such high fluoride removal efficiencies are much better than those in prior reports. For instance, Kong *et al.* reported the fluoride removal efficiency of MHS-MgO/MgCO<sub>3</sub> adsorbent was 86.2%, 83.2% and 76.5% at pH = 11 for initial fluoride concentrations of 10, 20 and 30 mg L<sup>-1</sup>, respectively.<sup>36</sup> Mohapatra *et al.* studied the fluoride removal efficiency of Mg-doped nano Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> adsorbent was almost 30% at pH = 11 initial fluoride concentration 10 mg L<sup>-1</sup>.<sup>37</sup> Swain *et al.* demonstrated that the fluoride removal efficiency of Fe(III)-Zr(IV) binary mixed oxide was about 38% at pH = 11.<sup>38</sup> As shown in Fig. 4(c), fluoride mainly existed in the forms of HF, F<sup>-</sup>, depending on the solution pH (pK = 3.18). Although, some literatures<sup>38,39</sup> showed that in the acidic pH range (pH < 5), weak hydrofluoric acid (HF) is present in the experiments may affect defluoridation, no obvious decrease of the uptake fluoride was observed in this work. Thus, we assume that besides electrostatic attraction, hydrogen bonds might form in the process of fluoride removal, as described by eqn (16)–(18). In the strongly alkaline range (pH > 11), there is a drop at around 25% in adsorption percentage, which may be due to the competition of hydroxyl ions with the fluoride and the electrostatic repulsion from the surface of nFe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TEPA.



**3.2.2 Kinetic studies.** Adsorption kinetics of mono-component fluoride ion (F<sup>-</sup>), phosphate or Cr(vi) onto

nFe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TEPA showed that all the three kinds of anions, the adsorption capacity increased rapidly and reached equilibrium in 10 min and intra-particle process might not be involved in the rate-limiting steps. The adsorption kinetic experimental data fit the pseudo-second-order model well for all the studied anions. The activation energies of the adsorption process,  $E_a$ , are found to 19.56 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>, 23.71 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>, 25.35 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> for fluoride ion (F<sup>-</sup>), phosphate or Cr(vi), respectively. All are less than 42 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>, indicating that diffusion process was the rate-controlled step.<sup>40</sup> Detailed discussions were presented in ESI, S1 Kinetic studies and Fig. S1, Table S1 and S2.†

**3.2.3 Adsorption capacity of nFe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TEPA to anions.** The adsorption capacities of nFe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TEPA to fluoride ion (F<sup>-</sup>), phosphate or Cr(vi) mono-component were investigated. Detailed discussions were presented in ESI, S2 Adsorption capacity and Fig. S2, Table S3 and S4.† The results showed that the Langmuir models fit the data well, suggesting a monolayer adsorption. The maximum adsorption capacities ( $q_m$ ) for fluoride ion (F<sup>-</sup>), phosphate or Cr(vi) are 163.9, 149.3, and 400 mg L<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Interestingly, although the adsorption to all the studied anions the nFe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TEPA was spontaneous in nature ( $\Delta G^0 < 0$ ), the enthalpy changes ( $\Delta H^0$ ) for the fluoride ion (F<sup>-</sup>), phosphate or Cr(vi) were found to be at 38.54, 13.89, 88.03 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> (Table S4†), respectively, which indicated that the adsorption was endothermic. For physical adsorption, the process of adsorption is usually exothermic, that is, the increase of temperature is not favorable to the adsorption. However, chemisorption is some of endothermic, and some of exothermic. In general, it is thought that the increase in temperature is beneficial to chemisorption.<sup>41</sup> Similar results were found in our previous work<sup>13,17</sup> and in literature.<sup>41</sup>

**3.2.4 Effect of co-existing ions and presumed mechanism.** The effect of co-existing ions experimental studies were investigated with a standard response surface methodology (RSM) design called Box-Behnken Design (BBD). RSM is a useful mathematical and statistical technique for the development of empirical relation between the experimental outputs (responses) and process parameters (factors). A well designed RSM approach leads to optimize the process parameters for improving the responses. The experimental parameters ( $X_1$  (initial concentration of Cr(vi), C(Cr(vi))),  $X_2$  (initial concentration of fluoride ion (F<sup>-</sup>), C(F)), and  $X_3$  (initial concentration of phosphate, C(P)), for design of experiment strategy are considered at three levels and coded as -1, 0, and +1 for low, middle and high level respectively. The coded and actual values of the independent variables and predicted response of the model were shown in Table S5 and S6.† In the BBD modeling of three factors and three levels, the center point was repeated for five times in order to improve the accuracy in estimation of errors. The response of the model was analyzed by analysis of variance (ANOVA) and a second-order polynomial model (as shown in eqn (19)) was fitted to correlate between the independent variables ( $X_1$ ,  $X_2$  and  $X_3$ ) and the response for anions removal in order to predict the of co-existing ions effect.

$$Y = K_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n K_i X_i + \sum_{i=1}^n K_{ii} X_i^2 + \sum_{1 \leq i < j}^n K_{ij} X_i X_j + \varepsilon \quad (19)$$



where  $Y$  represents the predicted response variables *i.e.* the amount of anions adsorbed by  $n\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{TEPA}$ ,  $K_0$  is the constant coefficient,  $K_i$  is the linear coefficient of the input factor  $X_i$ ,  $K_{ii}$  is the  $i$ th quadratic coefficient of the input factors  $X_i$ ,  $K_{ij}$  is the different interaction coefficients between input factors  $X_i$  and  $X_j$ , and  $\varepsilon$  is the error of the model. The software Design Expert (Version 8.0.6.1) was used for model statistic, like experimental design, determination of the coefficients, data analysis and the graph plotting.

The adsorption capacities of  $n\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{TEPA}$  to fluoride ion ( $\text{F}^-$ ), phosphate or Cr(vi) multi-component solution was carried out by means of BBD of RSM. Quadratic model were used to know the adsorption capacity of the fluoride ion ( $\text{F}^-$ ), phosphate or Cr(vi), respectively, shown in ESI eqn (S6)–(S8).<sup>†</sup> The positive sign and the negative sign of the term indicates the synergetic and antagonistic effect respectively. The ANOVA data shown in Table S7–S9 of ESI<sup>†</sup> for Response 1 ( $q(\text{Cr})$ ), Response 2 ( $q(\text{F})$ ), and Response 3 ( $q(\text{P})$ ), respectively. The coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ), which measure the degree of fit in the model was found to be 0.9956, 0.9923, 0.9920 and an Adj- $R^2$  of 0.9900, 0.9824, 0.9817, respectively. In addition, the model is very significant as evident from its  $F$ -value and very low probability  $p$ -value. If the  $p$ -value is less than 0.05, it indicates that the model is statistically significant whereas a value higher than 0.05 suggests that the model is not significant.<sup>42,43</sup> Here, the  $F$ -values were found to be 177.03, 100.01, 96.19, respectively, and  $p$ -value were all  $< 0.0001$  for the model.

Values of “Prob  $> F$ ” less than 0.0500 indicate model terms are significant. As shown in the ANOVA data in Table S7–S9,<sup>†</sup> for the adsorption of Cr(vi), the linear terms, C(Cr(vi)) and C(P) are significant; while for adsorption of fluoride ion ( $\text{F}^-$ ), besides the linear terms, C(F), and C(P), quadratic terms of  $C(\text{F})^2$  and one cross-product coefficients C(Cr(vi))C(F) and C(F)C(P) are significant; for adsorption of phosphate, except the one cross-product coefficients C(F)C(P) is not significant, all the other model terms are significant.

In response surface plots, the adsorption of anions can be better explained by the interaction of all the three factors. The three dimensional plots and contour plots were used to know the effect of two parameters in their experimental range for the removal of anions while the third parameter remains at zero level. From the shape of contour plot, one could be able to explain the nature and extents of interaction between the experimental factors, *i.e.*, the effects of the co-existing ions in the present work. Circular and elliptical shape of contour plots shows the significant interaction between the experimental factors in the model. Therefore maximum adsorption capacity can be explain on the basis of these experimental factors, *i.e.*, the effects of the co-existing ions, here. The effect of the co-existing fluoride ion ( $\text{F}^-$ ) and phosphate to the adsorption of Cr(vi) was shown in Fig. 5(a), while the effect of the co-existing Cr(vi) and phosphate to the adsorption of fluoride ion was shown in Fig. 5(b) and the effect of the co-existing Cr(vi) and fluoride ion to the adsorption of phosphate was shown in Fig. 5(c), respectively. Those of the bi-component systems were shown in the Fig. S3 in the ESI.<sup>†</sup> As shown in Fig. 5, for the tri-component co-existing system, either fluorine ion or phosphate,




Fig. 5 The effect of the co-existing fluoride ion and phosphate to the adsorption of Cr(vi) (a), the co-existing Cr(vi) and phosphate to the adsorption of fluoride ion (b), the co-existing Cr(vi) and fluoride ion to the adsorption of phosphate (c).

had little effect on the adsorption competition to Cr(vi). Cr(vi) took priority for adsorption and could replace the absorbed fluorine ion or phosphate by competitive reaction. As shown in Fig. S3,<sup>†</sup> for the fluorine ion and phosphate bi-component system, the adsorption of them was competitive *via* ion exchange.

The adsorption mechanism could be confirmed by XPS and FTIR analyses of  $n\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{TEPA}$  before and after adsorption of the studied anions. The survey scan of XPS spectra of





$n\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{TEPA}$  before (a) and after adsorption of phosphate (b)  $\text{Cr}(\text{VI})$  (c), fluoride ion (d) and the co-existing the three anions (e) were shown in Fig. 6. From the survey scan of XPS spectra (Fig. 6), new peaks owing to P2p, Cr2p and F1s appeared after adsorption of phosphate (b), after adsorption of  $\text{Cr}(\text{VI})$  (c), after adsorption of fluoride ion (d) and after adsorption of the co-existing the three anions (e), suggesting that the phosphate,  $\text{Cr}(\text{VI})$  and fluoride ion were successfully adsorbed on the surface of  $n\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{TEPA}$ . High-resolution XPS spectra of  $n\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{TEPA}$  after adsorption of the co-existing the three anions were shown in Fig. S4.† As shown in Fig. S4(a),† the characteristic peaks for  $\text{Cr}(\text{VI})$  ( $\text{Cr}2p\ 1/2$ , 587.5 eV;  $\text{Cr}2p\ 3/2$ , 579.4 eV) appeared, no obvious peaks assigned to  $\text{Cr}(\text{III})$ , normally appeared at  $\text{Cr}2p\ 1/2$ , 586.3 eV;  $\text{Cr}2p\ 3/2$ , 577.1 eV, were observed,<sup>44,45</sup> which implied that the main species existed in the surface of the  $n\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{TEPA}$  was  $\text{Cr}(\text{VI})$ , reduction to  $\text{Cr}(\text{III})$  hardly occurred, differing from our previous founding.<sup>12</sup> The characteristic peaks for phosphate (P2p, 113.0 eV) and fluoride (F1s, 685.2 eV) can be found in Fig. S4(b) and (c),† respectively, which clearly confirmed the successful adsorption of phosphate<sup>46</sup> and fluoride.<sup>47</sup> As shown in Fig. S4(d),† after adsorption, the peaks of N1s appeared at 398.8 eV with a broader band range, which could be attributed to protonated amine groups ( $-\text{NH}_3^+$ ) and the further formation of  $-\text{NH}_3^{+\cdots}$  anions.<sup>48</sup> Similar phenomena were observed in the XPS spectra of O1s (Fig. 4(e)), peaks of O1s appeared at  $\sim 531.1$  eV and  $\sim 529.5$  eV, assigned to C–O–C and C–OH groups, broadening with a slight shift of binding energies. In the XPS spectra of C1s (Fig. 4(f)), the carbon atoms can be found in two chemically different positions, leading to two differing C1s binding energies: C–O–C ( $\sim 282.6$  eV) and C–O–C ( $\sim 284.0$  eV) or C–OH ( $\sim 286.5$  eV). Changes in atomic concentration of the key elements after the adsorption were summarized in Table S10.† The main elements of the  $n\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{TEPA}$  were Fe, O, N and C. Compared with the initial pre-adsorbed material, the chromium, phosphate, and

fluoride atomic percent of the sample was 1.88%, 1.01% and 0.91% after adsorption experiment. It confirmed that the studied anions were undoubtedly adsorbed onto the surface of  $n\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{TEPA}$ .

The FTIR spectra of  $n\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{TEPA}$  before (a) and after adsorption of phosphate (b),  $\text{Cr}(\text{VI})$  (c), fluoride ion (d) and the co-existing the three anions (e) were showed in Fig. 7. In Fig. 7(a), the broad peak appeared at  $\sim 3360\ \text{cm}^{-1}$  and  $\sim 1573\ \text{cm}^{-1}$  can be assigned to be the stretching and bending vibrations of the  $-\text{NH}$  and  $-\text{NH}_2$  groups. While after adsorption, in Fig. 7(b)–(e) the characteristic bands at  $\sim 1573\ \text{cm}^{-1}$  disappeared along with the appearance of the bands at  $\sim 1630\ \text{cm}^{-1}$ , which may be attributed to the interaction between amino groups and the phosphate,  $\text{Cr}(\text{VI})$  and fluoride groups, subsequently weakened the N–H bonding and resulted in a large shift ( $\sim 80\ \text{cm}^{-1}$ ). The characteristic peaks of  $\text{Cr}(\text{VI})$  at  $\sim 940\ \text{cm}^{-1}$  and  $\sim 760\ \text{cm}^{-1}$  can be observed in the absorption of  $\text{HCrO}_4^-$  and the typical peak at  $\sim 540\ \text{cm}^{-1}$  for the “Cr–N” also appeared as shown in Fig. 7(b) and (e). The characteristic peaks of the phosphate groups at  $543\ \text{cm}^{-1}$  were also observed in Fig. 7(c) and (e), corresponding to the  $-\text{P}-\text{O}$  and  $-\text{O}-\text{P}-\text{O}$  groups, respectively.

**3.2.5 Reusability investigation.** The reusable of the  $n\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{TEPA}$  was evaluated by comparing the average adsorption efficiency of a mixture solution of fluoride ion ( $\text{F}^-$ ), phosphate and  $\text{Cr}(\text{VI})$  at each concentration at  $20\ \text{mg}\ \text{L}^{-1}$ . The post-adsorbed  $n\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{TEPA}$  was extracted with 1% NaOH methanol solution for 30 min, and for another adsorption to get the next adsorption efficiency. The results were shown in Fig. 8, indicating that  $n\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{TEPA}$  could be used for at least 10 cycles with a loss of less than 2.8% upon recovery on average. No obvious decrease in the adsorption capacity efficiency was found. The VSM experiments of the recycled  $n\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{TEPA}$




Fig. 6 XPS spectra of  $n\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{TEPA}$  before adsorption (a), after adsorption of phosphate (b), after adsorption of  $\text{Cr}(\text{VI})$  (c), after adsorption of fluoride ion (d) and after adsorption of the co-existing the three anions (e).




Fig. 7 FTIR spectra of  $n\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{TEPA}$  before adsorption (a), after adsorption of phosphate (b), after adsorption of  $\text{Cr}(\text{VI})$  (c), after adsorption of fluoride ion (d) and after adsorption of the co-existing the three anions (e).






Fig. 8 Reusability of  $n\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{TEPA}$  after adsorption of a mixture solution of fluoride ion ( $\text{F}^-$ ), phosphate and  $\text{Cr}(\text{vi})$  at each concentration at  $20 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$  (insert: saturation moments of the reused  $n\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{TEPA}$  from 1 cycle to 10 cycles).

were tested. The saturation moments obtained from the hysteresis loops were found to be  $48.0\text{--}47.6 \text{ emu g}^{-1}$  from 1 cycle to 10 cycles, (as shown in Fig. 8 (insert)). Compared with the saturation moment of the fresh-prepared  $n\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{TEPA}$  ( $48.2 \text{ emu g}^{-1}$ ), which implied that no obvious decrease reduction of the magnetic strength was found.

## 4 Conclusion

A tetraethylenepentamine (TEPA)-functionalized nano- $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  magnetic composite materials ( $n\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{TEPA}$ ) was synthesized by a facile one-pot solvothermal method. The as-prepared  $n\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{TEPA}$  exhibited a homogeneous morphology, strong affinity ability, and high magnetic responsiveness for the adsorption of ions. The adsorption of the multi-ion co-existing system was studied *via* batch tests, XPS and FTIR analyses, and analyzed *via* response surface methodology (RSM). The adsorption mechanism of multi-ion component system was intensively studied.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the National Natural Science Foundation of China (51608479), the National Natural Science Foundation of Zhejiang Province (LY14B04003), the National College Students' innovation and entrepreneurship training program (201713022009), the Xinmiao Students' innovation training program of Zhejiang Province (2016R401181) for the financial support.

## References

1 J. Zhou, Y. Wang, J. Wang, W. Qia, D. Long and L. Ling, Effective removal of hexavalent chromium from aqueous

- solutions by adsorption on mesoporous carbon microspheres, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2016, **462**, 200–207.
- 2 T. R. Zhan, Y. M. Zhang, Q. Yang, H. H. Deng, J. Xu and W. G. Hou, Ultra thin layered double hydroxide nano sheets prepared from a water-in-ionic liquid surfactant-free micro emulsion for phosphate removal from aquatic systems, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2016, **302**, 459–465.
- 3 B. S. Zhu, Y. Jia, Z. Jin, B. Sun, T. Luo, X. Y. Yu, L. T. Kong, X. J. Huang and J. H. Liu, Controlled synthesis of natro alunite microtubes and spheres with excellent fluoride removal performance, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2015, **271**, 240–251.
- 4 T. V. Reddy, S. Chauhan and S. Chakraborty, Adsorption isotherm and kinetics analysis of hexavalent chromium and mercury on mustard oil cake, *Environ. Eng. Res.*, 2017, **22**, 95–107.
- 5 G. Darmograi, B. Prelot, A. Geneste, L. C. De Menorval and J. Zaja, Removal of three anionic orange-type dyes and  $\text{Cr}(\text{VI})$  oxyanion from aqueous solutions onto strongly basic anion-exchange resin. The effect of single-component and competitive adsorption, *Colloids Surf., A*, 2016, **508**, 240–250.
- 6 L. X. Chen, S. F. Xu and J. H. Li, Recent advances in molecular imprinting technology: current status, challenges and highlighted applications, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2011, **40**, 2922–2942.
- 7 J. Hu, G. H. Chen and I. M. C. Lo, Removal and recovery of  $\text{Cr}(\text{VI})$  from wastewater by maghemite nanoparticles, *Water Res.*, 2005, **39**, 4528–4536.
- 8 Y. Q. Xing, X. M. Chen and D. H. Wang, Electrically Regenerated Ion Exchange for Removal and Recovery of  $\text{Cr}(\text{VI})$  from Wastewater, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2007, **41**, 1439–1443.
- 9 C. M. Su, Environmental implications and applications of engineered nano scale magnetite and its hybrid nano composites: A review of recent literature, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2017, **322**, 48–84.
- 10 X. P. Yao, Z. J. Fu, Y. G. Zhao, L. Wang, L. Y. Fang and H. Y. Shen, Use of tetraethylenepentamine-functional  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  magnetic polymers for matrix solid phase dispersion extraction and preconcentration of  $\text{Cr}(\text{VI})$  in water samples at ultratrace levels, *Talanta*, 2012, **97**, 124–130.
- 11 H. Y. Shen, S. D. Pan, Y. Zhang, X. L. Huang and H. X. Gong, A new insight on the adsorption Mechanism of amino-functionalized Nano- $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  magnetic polymers in  $\text{Cu}(\text{II})$ ,  $\text{Cr}(\text{VI})$  co-existing Water System, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2012, **183**, 180–191.
- 12 H. Y. Shen, J. L. Chen, H. F. Dai, L. B. Wang, M. Q. Hu and Q. H. Xia, New insights into the sorption and detoxification of chromium (VI) by tetraethylenepentamine functionalized nano-sized magnetic polymer adsorbents: Mechanism and pH effect, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2013, **52**, 12723–12732.
- 13 S. D. Pan, H. Y. Shen, Q. H. Xu, J. Luo and M. Q. Hu, Surface mercapto engineered magnetic  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  nanoadsorbent for the removal of mercury from aqueous solutions, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2012, **365**, 204–212.
- 14 H. Y. Shen, Z. J. Wang, A. M. Zhou, J. L. Chen, M. Q. Hu, X. Y. Dong and Q. H. Xia, Adsorption of phosphate onto



- amine functionalized nano-sized magnetic polymer adsorbents: Mechanism and magnetic effect, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 22080–22090.
- 15 S. D. Pan, Y. Zhang, H. Y. Shen and M. Q. Hu, An intensive study on the magnetic effect of mercapto-functionalized nano magnetic Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> polymers and their adsorption mechanism for the removal of Hg(II) from aqueous solution, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2012, **210**, 564–574.
  - 16 A. T. Nair, A. R. Makwana and M. M. Ahammed, The use of response surface methodology for modeling and analysis of water and wastewater treatment processes: a review,, *Water Sci. Technol.*, 2014, **69**, 464–478.
  - 17 Y. Zhang and B. Pan, Modeling batch and column phosphate removal by hydrated ferric oxide-based nano composite using response surface methodology and artificial neural network, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2014, **249**, 111–120.
  - 18 A. Asfaram, M. Ghaedi and G. R. Ghezlbash, Biosorption of Zn<sup>2+</sup>, Ni<sup>2+</sup> and Co<sup>2+</sup> from water samples onto *Yarrowia lipolytica* ISF7 using a response surface methodology, and analyzed by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES), *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 23599–23610.
  - 19 M. Savasari, M. Emadi, M. A. Bahmanyar and P. Biparva, Optimization of Cd (II) removal from aqueous solution by ascorbic acid-stabilized zero valent iron nano particles using response surface methodology, *J. Ind. Eng. Chem.*, 2015, **21**, 1403–1409.
  - 20 APHA and AWWA, *Standard Methods for Examination of Water and Wastewater*, 20th edn, APHA, AWWA, Washington, DC, New York, 1998.
  - 21 J. Febrianto, A. N. Kosasih, J. Sunarso, Y. H. Ju, N. Indraswati and S. Ismadji, Equilibrium and kinetic studies in adsorption of heavy metals using biosorbent: A summary of recent studies,, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2009, **162**, 616–645.
  - 22 Y. S. Ho, Review of second-order models for adsorption systems, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2006, **136**, 681–689.
  - 23 S. H. Huang and D. H. Chen, Rapid removal of heavy metal cations and anions from aqueous solutions by an amino-functionalized magnetic nano-adsorbent, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2009, **163**, 174–179.
  - 24 K. Y. Foo and B. H. Hameed, Insights into the modeling of adsorption isotherm systems, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2010, **156**, 2–10.
  - 25 B. Liu, W. Zhang, F. K. Yang, H. L. Feng and X. L. Yang, Facile Method for Synthesis of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@Polymer Microspheres and Their Application As Magnetic Support for Loading Metal Nano particles, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2011, **115**, 15875–15884.
  - 26 Y. G. Zhao, H. Y. Shen, S. D. Pan and M. Q. Hu, Synthesis, characterization and properties of ethylene-diamine-functionalized Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> magnetic polymers for removal of Cr(VI) in wastewater, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2010, **182**, 295–302.
  - 27 S. Venkateswarlu and M. Y. Yoon, Core-Shell Ferromagnetic Nanorod Based on Amine Polymer Composite (Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@DAPF) for Fast Removal of Pb(II) from Aqueous Solutions, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2015, **7**, 25362–25372.
  - 28 H. Deng, X. L. Li, Q. Peng, X. Wang, J. P. Chen and Y. D. Li, Monodisperse Magnetic Single-Crystal Ferrite Microspheres, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2005, **44**, 2782–2785.
  - 29 E. Nehrenheim and J. P. Gustafsson, Kinetic sorption modelling of Cu, Ni, Zn, Pb and Cr ions to pine bark and blast furnace slag by using batch experiments, *Bioresource Technol.*, 2008, **99**, 1571–1577.
  - 30 E. Vasyukova, O. S. Pokrovsky, J. Viers and B. Dupre, New operational method of testing colloid complexation with metals in natural waters, *Appl. Geochem.*, 2012, **27**, 1226–1237.
  - 31 G. P. Gallios and M. Vaclavikova, Removal of chromium (VI) from water streams: a thermodynamic study, *Environ. Chem. Lett.*, 2008, **6**, 235–240.
  - 32 C. Sjostedt, J. P. Gustafsson and S. Kohler, Chemical Equilibrium Modeling of Organic Acids, pH, Aluminum, and Iron in Swedish Surface Waters, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2010, **44**, 8587–8593.
  - 33 X. F. Sun, Y. Ma, X. W. Liu, S. G. Wang, B. Y. Gao and X. M. Li, Sorption and detoxification of chromium(VI) by aerobic granules functionalized with polyethylenimine, *Water Res.*, 2010, **44**, 2517–2524.
  - 34 Y. Zhang, S. D. Pan, H. Y. Shen and M. Q. Hu, Amino-functionalized Nano-size Composite Materials for Dispersive Solid Phase Extraction of Phosphate in Water Samples, *Anal. Sci.*, 2012, **28**, 887–892.
  - 35 Y. Zhang, X. Q. Xi, S. N. Xu, J. C. Zhou, J. J. Zhou, Q. H. Xu and H. Y. Shen, Adsorption Studies on Phosphate by Amino-functionalized Nano-size Composite Materials, *Acta Chim. Sin.*, 2012, **70**, 1839–1846.
  - 36 K. S. Zhang, S. B. Wu, X. L. Wang, J. Y. He, B. Sun, Y. Jia, T. Luo, F. L. Meng, Z. Jin, D. Y. Lin, W. Shen, L. T. Kong and J. H. Liu, Wide pH range for fluoride removal from water by MHS-MgO/MgCO<sub>3</sub> adsorbent: Kinetic, thermodynamic and mechanism studies, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2015, **446**, 194–202.
  - 37 M. Mohapatra, T. Padhi, S. Anand and B. K. Mishra, CTAB mediated Mg-doped nano Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: synthesis, characterization, and fluoride adsorption behavior, *Desalin. Water Treat.*, 2012, **50**, 376–386.
  - 38 S. K. Swaina, T. Patnaik, P. C. Patnaik, U. Jha and R. K. Dey, Development of new alginate entrapped Fe(III)-Zr(IV) binary mixed oxide for removal of fluoride from water bodies, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2013, **215**, 763–771.
  - 39 A. M. Raichur and M. J. Basu, Adsorption of fluoride onto mixed rare earth oxides, *Sep. Purif. Technol.*, 2001, **24**, 121–127.
  - 40 E. I. El-Shafey, Removal of Zn(II) and Hg(II) from aqueous solution on a carbonaceous sorbent chemically prepared from rice husk, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2010, **175**, 319–327.
  - 41 N. Azouaou, Z. Sadaoui and A. Djaafri, Adsorption of cadmium from aqueous solution onto untreated coffee grounds: Equilibrium, kinetics and thermodynamics, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2010, **184**, 126–134.
  - 42 Z. Zhang and H. Zheng, Optimization for decolorization of azo dye acid green 20 by ultrasound and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> using response surface methodology, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2009, **172**, 1388–1393.
  - 43 M. Amini, H. Younesi, N. Bahramifar, A. Akbar, Z. Lorestani, F. Ghorbani, A. Daneshi and M. Sharifzadeh, Application of



- response surface methodology for optimization of lead biosorption in an aqueous solution by *Aspergillus niger*, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2008, **154**, 694–702.
- 44 X. F. Sun, Y. Ma, X. W. Liu, S. G. Wang, B. Y. Gao and X. M. Li, Sorption and detoxification of chromium(VI) by aerobic granules functionalized with polyethylenimine, *Water Res.*, 2010, **44**, 2517–2524.
- 45 S. R. Chowdhury, E. K. Yanful and A. R. Pratt, Chemical states in XPS and Raman analysis during removal of Cr(VI) from contaminated water by mixed maghemite–magnetite nanoparticles, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2012, **235–236**, 246–256.
- 46 H. B. Ma, J. Li, H. Chen, G. Z. Zuo, Y. Yu, T. H. Ren and Y. D. Zhao, XPS and XANES characteristics of tribofilms and thermal films generated by two P- and/or S-containing additives in water-based lubricant, *Tribol. Int.*, 2009, **42**, 940–945.
- 47 T. Y. Zhu, T. H. Zhu, J. Gao, L. F. Zhang and W. Q. Zhang, Enhanced adsorption of fluoride by cerium immobilized cross-linked chitosan composite, *J. Fluorine Chem.*, 2017, **194**, 80–88.
- 48 Z. Nazarpour, S. Ma, P. T. Fanson, O. S. Alexeev and M. D. Amiridis, Decomposition of poly(amidoamine)(PAMAM) dendrimers under O<sub>2</sub> plasma conditions, *Polym. Degrad. Stab.*, 2012, **97**, 439–451.

