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The photocytotoxic effect of UVA-excited titanium dioxide (TiO2), which is caused by the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), is often used in medical applications, such as cancer treatment. Photodynamic-therapy (PDT) utilizes ROS production by TiO2 nanoparticles (NPs) via an Arg-Gly-Asp (RGD) motif for the treatment of several proliferating skin diseases, such as vitiligo and psoriasis, using UVB and UVA radiation. UV illumination of TiO2 nanoparticles (NPs) has been also used for PDT in several types of cancer, including melanoma. Cutaneous melanoma (CM), which is one of the most rapidly growing cancers worldwide, is of a serious public health concern, given the substantial burden associated with the disease. Currently CM is treated by surgery, chemotherapy and radiation therapy as well as targeted- and immuno-therapies. In cases of advanced melanoma (stages III and IV) PDT may be used, often in combination with surgery and was shown to regress melanoma metastasis. However, the lack of specificity towards cancer cells limits the applicability of this approach due to potential damage to the surrounding healthy cells. This limitation may be overcome by preferentially directing the TiO2 NPs towards cancer cells.

Integrins are a family of cell surface receptors which are over-expressed on tumor cells. Twenty-four integrin heterodimers were identified and consist of a combination between at least 18 α-subunits and 8 β-subunits. These receptors play a key role in the cross-talk between the cell and its surrounding stroma via an Arg-Gly-Asp (RGD) recognition motif and offer a docking site for endothelial, inflammatory and cancer cells. Integrin αvβ3 serves as an attractive and a rational target for anticancer drug delivery, including in melanoma. In order to increase the selectivity of PDT towards cancer cells, efforts on developing integrin-targeted drug-delivery tools using TiO2-RGD nano-complexes were recently reported. We propose an alternative...
delivery approach, which is based on the tendency of TiO₂ to adsorb to proteins.²⁹ Our laboratory has identified the human protein dihydrolipoamide dehydrogenase (DLDH), which strongly and specifically binds TiO₂ via coordinative bonds.³⁰⁻³² Bioengineering this protein with RGD moieties and attaching it to TiO₂ NPs led to the formation of a hybrid-conjugate nanobiocomplex (TiO₂-DLDH⁵⁺), offering integrin-targeted drug delivery. In this work we present a “switchable” nanomedicine which is activated by UVA illumination to produce ROS-dependent cytotoxicity in integrin-expressing melanoma cancer cells, while normal cells with low integrin expression remain less affected (Scheme 1).

2 Experimental section

2.1 Materials

All reagents and carriers were of analytical grade and were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louise, MO), unless otherwise specified. P25 NPs were purchased from Degussa Chemicals (Hanau, Germany).

Tissue culture media and cell lines were purchased from Biological Industries (Bet-Haemek, Israel), unless otherwise specified. B16F10 mouse cutaneous melanoma cells (ATCC, CRL-6475) were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium, supplemented with 10% (v/v) heat inactivated fetal calf serum, 2 mM l-glutamine and antibiotics (penicillin/streptomycin), in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂ at 37 °C. The cells were shown to be mycoplasma negative.

2.2 Cloning

2.2.1 DLDH. A pET28b-WT-DLD plasmid carrying the mature human DLDH cDNA encoding human DLDH (UniProt accession: P09622, excluding the N-terminal 1-35 signal peptide region), was a generous gift by Prof. Grazia Isaya from the Mayo clinic college (Rochester, Minnesota). It was transformed into competent Escherichia coli (E. coli) BL21 cells.³⁰

2.2.2 DLDH⁵⁺. A pET28b-WT-DLDH was cleaved with the restriction enzymes NheI and XhoI in order to extract the DLDH. RGD motifs [GRGDS] were introduced into the N and C termini of DLDH by PCR using specific primers (F - TATA CTCGAG TAATCAGGGGCTATCCACGACAAAAATGGATTGATTT GCCAAATG, restriction sites are underlined). The PCR products were purified, restricted with NheI and XhoI and ligated into a pET28a(+) expression vector (Novagen, Madison, WI) using T4 DNA Ligase (New England BioLabs, MA). The plasmid contains an N-terminal hexahistidine (His₆) tag, which serves as a purification tool. Next, the plasmids were transformed into CaCl₂ E. coli competent cells. The bacterial strain, E. coli XL1-Blue, was used for all cloning work while E. coli BL21(DE3)pLysS strain was used for subsequent expression of the constructed plasmids. Plates of Lysogeny Broth medium and agar with kanamycin (30 μg ml⁻¹) were used to grow single colonies of transformed E. coli at 37 °C for 16 h. DLDH⁵⁺ sequence was confirmed by DNA-sequencing as MGSS HHHHHH SSGLVPRGSHMAS GRGDSP [DLDH]GRGDSP.

2.3 Protein purification

The expressed His-tagged proteins were isolated by Immobilized Metal Affinity Chromatography (IMAC), on an Ni-NTA column.³⁰ DLDH⁵⁺ concentrations were determined by the absorbance at 280 nm using extinction coefficient of 0.463 calculated from its amino acid compositions using the Expasy ProtParam Program (http://web.expasy.org/protparam).

2.4 TiO₂ binding

Preparation of TiO₂ NPs-conjugated DLDH⁵⁺ was as described before for preparation of the TiO₂-DLDH complex.³⁰

2.5 Environmental scanning electron microscopy (ESEM)

ESEM was performed using a Quanta 200 High Resolution (HR) scanning electron microscope, equipped with a Field Emission Gun (FEG) and Energy Dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS), Secondary Electron (SE) – Everhart-thornley and Back-Scattered Electron (BSE) detectors. The TiO₂-NPs (rutile, P25 and anatase) preparations were dispersed in ethanol in an ultrasonic bath for 3 minutes and then applied as droplets to an adhesive C slices.

2.6 Illumination

UVA exposures at 365 nm (Philips F15T8/BL 15 W lamp, Amsterdam, Netherland), were for 0–150 min equivalent to 2.7 J cm⁻². Radiation flow was 1.5 mW cm⁻² as determined by UVA light meter type YK-37UVSD, (Radiometer, Copenhagen, Denmark).

2.7 Photodegradation

2.7.1 Methylene blue degradation. Methylene blue (MB) degradation was carried out in a 24 well plate, each well containing 2 ml of 15 μg ml⁻¹ MB and 1 mg of TiO₂-NPs (Rutile, P25 or Anatase), stirred with a micro magnetic bar. The wells were exposed to a UVA light source for up to 150 minutes. Dark conditions in the control wells were obtained by covering the wells with aluminum foil. One ml samples were withdrawn and the TiO₂-NPs sedimented by centrifugation (Heraeus, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) at 17 800g for 15 minutes. Optical densities of the supernatants (0.5 ml) were determined.
at 663 nm by a Genesys 10S UV-VIS spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA).

2.7.2 Cytochrome C reduction assay. Cytochrome C (Cyt-C) reduction assay was carried out in a 24 well plate, each well containing 2 ml of oxidized Cyt C solution (0.1 mM of Cyt-C in PBS buffer, pH 7.4) and 0–0.8 mg of anatase NPs (A-NPs), stirred with a micro magnetic bar. The wells were exposed to a light source for up to 30 minutes. Dark conditions in the control wells were obtained by covering the wells with aluminum foil. One ml samples were withdrawn and the A-NPs were sedimented by centrifugation (Heraeus, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) at 17 800g for 15 minutes. Optical densities of the supernatants (0.5 ml) were examined at 450 and 550 nm by a Genesys 10S UV-VIS spectrometer (Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA, USA) to follow Fe3+Cyt-C reduction to Fe2+Cyt C, 1 mM reduced Cyt C = 23.65 OD 550 nm.31

2.8 Cellular assays

2.8.1 Integrin expression. Flow cytometry (MACSQuant, Miltenyi) was used for zvβ3 evaluation. The cells were harvested in RPMI 1640 and labeled with 10 µg ml−1 FITC-zvβ3 antibody (clone LM609, Merck Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany). Matched isotype IgG served as negative control.

2.8.2 The cytotoxic effect of TiO2−DLDH RGD. Cell cultures with 24 well glass-bottom dish (Cellvis, Mountain View, Hercules, CA) of mice melanoma B16F10 cancer cells (50 000 cells per well), in presence of Draq5 (Biostatus, Loughborough, UK), 1µl per well. Normal HEK293 cells served as negative controls (50 000 cells per well). Cells were exposed to UVA light (365 nm) for 1 h in the presence of TiO2 alone (5 mg ml−1), TiO2−DLDH (50 mg protein bound to 5 mg A-NPs) or TiO2−DLDHRGD (50 µg bound protein per 0−5 mg A-NPs). Control wells were covered with aluminum foil to create dark conditions. After 24 h of incubation, cells numbers were determined by automated cell counter and visualized by confocal microscopy.

2.8.3 Confocal microscopy. Cells were visualized by a fluorescence confocal microscope (Leica, SP5, Mannheim, Germany) with bright field or excitation at 647 nm and emission at 681–697 nm. The images were obtained using immersion oil (×63) objective. Images constructed using Leica Application Suite Advanced Fluorescence Lite version 2.6.3 build 8173 software.

2.8.4 Absolute cell number determination. The cells were harvested in a fixed volume and counted using an automated cell counter – TC20™ (BIO-RAD, Hercules, CA).

2.9 Statistical analysis

All data are expressed as means ± standard error of the mean. Significant differences were assessed by P value.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Anatase TiO2 NPs is the most photoreactive form

In this section we aimed to study the TiO2 physicochemical photocatalytic activity in order to define the optimal TiO2 forms which possess the highest surface area and ROS activity of the titanium dioxide molecules. For that, several types of TiO2 (anatase, rutile, amorphous) at different forms (disks, nanotubes, nano-particles) were examined. The different TiO2 preparations were assessed for ROS production using Methylene Blue (MB) photodegradation. Results indicate that the most active TiO2 form is the anatase nanoparticles (A-NPs) (Fig. S-1†).

As nanoparticles possess the highest surface areas, and provide advantages due to their small size, permeability, high surface ratio and retention,32 we have focused on several TiO2 NPs and compared the photoreactivity of the A-NPs with two additional TiO2 forms, P-25 and rutile nanoparticles upon UVA illumination. For that, two methods were used to measure ROS production, MB photo degradation and cytochrome C (Cyt C) reduction. The highest rate of MB degradation (Fig. 1A) was observed with the A-NPs. Photoexcitability of rutile NPs was dramatically lower, while P25 showed an effect that was slightly lower compared to the A-NPs form. Illumination under UVA without Ti-NPs showed very low degree of photolysis. These results are in accord with reports of a higher surface activity of anatase, compared to rutile.35,36 To note, light at 365 nm wavelength was shown to penetrate dipper to the epidermis and dermis, compared to other UV rays37 and is therefore suitable for PDT treatments in melanoma cells.38–40

Next, we set to measure the A-NPs ROS generation by Cyt C reduction assay under the same illumination conditions. Optimization of A-NPs concentration in the ROS generation assay for 30 min is shown in Fig. 1B. Based on this experiment 0.4 mg ml−1 of A-NPs was chosen to study the rate of ROS production over time (Fig. 1C). To note, similar ROS production was observed by A-NPs in different buffers, including cell culture media (Fig. S-2†).

3.2 DLDH RGD binding capabilities by different forms of TiO2 NPs

Many proteins have been found to attach to TiO2 by relatively weak reversible electrostatic/hydrophobic or hydrophobic bonds39−44 which often results in failure in achieving strong attachment of cells and tissues.45,46

In contrast, we have reported the ability of DLDH to bind TiO2 via strong coordinative bonds.30 These strong binding of DLDH to TiO2, has been thoroughly investigated by our group and was shown to be reversed only in the presence of high molar urea concentrations.30−32 We have modified DLDH monomer with GRGD moieties at the N- and C-termini, thus creating a dimer of DLDH with four RGD moieties (DLDH RGD). This GRGD sequence was shown to specifically interact with zvβ3 integrins.45,46 The RGD-modified DLDH is expected to serve as a molecular bridge and facilitate targeted-delivery of TiO2 to integrin-expressing cancer cells. Examination of the amounts of protein bound to TiO2 NPs revealed that comparable TiO2 binding capabilities were observed for DLDH before and after RGD modification. The RGD-modified DLDH is expected to serve as a molecular bridge and facilitate targeted-delivery of TiO2 to integrin-expressing cancer cells. Examination of the amounts of protein bound to TiO2 NPs revealed that...
comparable TiO2 binding capabilities were observed for DLDH before and after RGD modification. We intended to study which of the different TiO2 NPs (A-NPs, rutile and P25) bind DLDH most efficiently. In addition, we aimed to study the effect of the RGD-modification on the binding capabilities of DLDH. The NPs structure of anatase (Fig. 2A), rutile (Fig. 2B) and P25 (Fig. 2C) was demonstrated by ESEM, ranging between 20–90 nm. Examination of the amounts of protein (DLDH or DLDH\textsuperscript{RGD}) bound to P25 NPs at different ratios of protein (DLDH, DLDH\textsuperscript{RGD}) to P25 revealed (Fig. 2D) that comparable TiO2 binding capabilities were observed for DLDH before and after RGD modification. At a weight ratio of 10 mg DLDH or DLDH\textsuperscript{RGD} per 1 g of P25 NPs, 90% binding was observed. Next, the binding of DLDH and DLDH\textsuperscript{RGD} to the three TiO2 preparations, A-NP, rutile and P25, were compared at a 1 : 100 ratio of mg protein per mg TiO2 (Fig. 2E) and the amounts of bound non-modified DLDH or RGD-modified proteins were essentially the same. This indicates that DLDH retains its structural conformation and its TiO2 binding site is not hindered by RGD. It is pertinent to note that monomer form of DLDH and DLDH\textsuperscript{RGD} binds TiO2 (data not shown). Previously, it was calculated that P25 binds DLDH with an apparent \( K_d \) of 9.43 ± 1.38 mg DLDH per gram TiO2 and a \( B_{\text{max}} \) of 17.15 ± 0.67 mg bound DLDH per gram of TiO2 which represents about 2000 protein molecules (equivalent to 8000 RGD moieties) bound per \( \mu \text{m}^2 \). Considering the molecular diameter of about 6.7 nm for the hDLDH dimer and the average pore size reported for P25 (8.3 nm), the protein is expected to bind mostly to the outer surface of the TiO2 particles.\textsuperscript{30} Taken together, it was shown that A-NPs possess higher photocatalytic activity upon UVA illumination, while retaining DLDH\textsuperscript{RGD} binding capabilities. As integrins are highly expressed on the surface of tumor cells, the A-NPs–DLDH\textsuperscript{RGD} nanobiocomplex may serve as a novel integrin-drug delivery PDT strategy in this disease.

3.3 Optimization of the A-NPs–DLDH\textsuperscript{RGD} nanobiocomplex components in mice melanoma cells under UVA illumination or in the dark

Following the design and characterization of the TiO2–DLDH\textsuperscript{RGD} nanobiocomplex, we aimed to optimize our formulation \textit{in vitro} for PDT. We used mice cutaneous melanoma cells (B16F10) which highly express integrin \( \alpha \nu \beta_3 \) on their surface.\textsuperscript{47} The cells (50 000 cells/24 well plates) were treated with different amounts of A-NPs (0–5 mg ml\textsuperscript{-1}) conjugated to 50 \( \mu \)g DLDH\textsuperscript{RGD}, with increasing TiO2–protein ratios. The cell nuclei are indicated by a red color (Draq5 stain). The cells were incubated with the sediment of the nanobiocomplex for 1h in the dark or under UVA illumination (365 nm), following an incubation for an overnight. The cells were incubated for an overnight and the next day were visualized using confocal microscopy. Results show that in the dark the cells remained intact (Fig. 3, upper panel). Following UVA illumination, a substantial amount of nuclear shredding, indicative of apoptosis, was shown with increasing nanobiocomplex ratio (Fig. 3, lower panel). This indicates that UVA illumination is essential for the activation of the nanobiocomplex and suggests that the cells were eliminated by the ROS produced by the photoexcited TiO2-NPs. As described before, excessive ROS can induce cell elimination through several death pathways. The highest cytotoxic effect was observed with 50 \( \mu \)g bound DLDH\textsuperscript{RGD} to 5 mg A-NPs (1 : 100 ratio), a combination at which we have documented that 90% of the protein is bound to the TiO2 NPs. These results suggest that at this nanobiocomplex ratio an optimal integrin-targeted delivery by the DLDH\textsuperscript{RGD} of the TiO2 NPs is documented. Thus, subsequent experiments were conducted with this specific combination.
3.4 The A-NPs–DLDHRGD nanobiocomplex produces significant cytotoxicity via integrin-targeted PDT

Lastly, we aimed to validate that the cytotoxic effect that was observed in the cells was directly via integrin-targeted delivery of the A-NPs by the DLDHRGD protein. For that we have treated B16F10 cells (50,000 cells per 24 well plates) with A-NPs alone (5 mg ml⁻¹) and compared this treatment to cells incubated with the optimized weight ratio (1 : 100) of A-NPs bound to native DLDH or modified DLDHRGD. The same experimental setting was conducted in normal cells which lack αvβ3 integrin expression (HEK293, Fig. S-3†). The treated cells were illuminated by UVA for an hour. As controls, cells treated in the same manner were kept in the dark. Following illumination, the cells were kept overnight in a biological incubator. The next day, the cells were stained with a nuclear dye (Draq5) and visualized using confocal microscopy. Results indicate that in the absence of photoactivation, no effect on cell density or morphology was observed for all detailed treatments in both the melanoma (Fig. 4A, upper panel) and control cells (Fig. 4A, lower panel). These results indicate that in the absence of illumination, conditions in which TiO₂ is not activated, no cytotoxic outcome is anticipated.

Following photoexcitation, A-NPs alone or bound to native DLDH produced a comparable cytotoxic effect in melanoma cells (Fig. 4B, upper panel). Notably, this cytotoxic effect by the A-NPs, was potently enhanced in the presence of the modified
DLDH<sub>RGD</sub>. Regarding the effect observed in the normal control cells (Fig. 4B, lower panel), a comparable cytotoxic effect was documented by TiO<sub>2</sub> alone or in the presence of native or RGD-modified DLDH. Next, the same experiment underwent analysis for absolute cell counts under dark conditions (Fig. 4C) or following illumination (Fig. 4D), results correlated with the microscopy data. In details, in the dark, the different TiO<sub>2</sub> preparations, namely TiO<sub>2</sub> alone, TiO<sub>2</sub>–DLDH or TiO<sub>2</sub>–DLDH<sub>RGD</sub>, did not affect the cell number of both B16F10 and HEK293 cells. However, illumination of TiO<sub>2</sub> or TiO<sub>2</sub>–DLDH by UVA resulted in a comparable 50% reduction in cell number as shown in the presence of TiO<sub>2</sub>–DLDH<sub>RGD</sub> in both cell lines. In contrast, in the presence of TiO<sub>2</sub>–DLDH<sub>RGD</sub>, a reduction of over 95% in the integrin positive cancer cells (B16F10) was shown following illumination. In the normal control cells, which express low integrin levels, 50% reduction in cell number was documented, which was similar to the effect observed by TiO<sub>2</sub> or TiO<sub>2</sub>–DLDH. This suggests that the TiO<sub>2</sub>–DLDH<sub>RGD</sub> nanobiocomplex is ineffective in the absence of integrins. Taken together, we propose that the photosensitizing cytotoxic effect of TiO<sub>2</sub> is greatly enhanced via integrin-targeted delivery.

Several in vitro and in vivo studies, in which TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles or nanotubes were assessed as potential anti-cancer treatments were published, mainly in cervical and skin cancers. In all of these works, however, cytotoxicity was induced in up to half of the cells, the value that was obtained by us with TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles alone. While using our novel TiO<sub>2</sub>–DLDH<sub>RGD</sub> nanobiocomplex, greatly enhanced the cytotoxic effect. In addition, the illumination time needed in our experimental settings to produce an effect was usually shorter. Lastly, it is pertinent to note that the approach of using TiO<sub>2</sub> as a single agent is limited by the inability of the TiO<sub>2</sub>-emitted ROS to distinguish between normal and cancer cells.

In recent years, studies on integrins which, in contrast to normal cells, are highly expressed on the surface of cancer cells, have ignited renewed interest in integrin-targeted-delivery, mainly via the specific recognition site for the three-peptide ligand RGD. By using TiO<sub>2</sub> integrin-targeted-delivery, we have produced an effect that was cancer-specific. Similar attempts have been done to selectively deliver the TiO<sub>2</sub> particles via an RGD-recognition site. Other approaches to target the TiO<sub>2</sub> directly to cancer cells, sparing harmful effects in normal tissues, are under active research. Such targeted-delivery, for example, includes the attachment of TiO<sub>2</sub> to an antibody recognizing colon-cancer specific antigen. However, most of these TiO<sub>2</sub> conjugates require complex synthetic chemical steps.

4 Conclusions

The goal of this research was to develop an integrin-targeted nanomedicine for PDT. By modifying DLDH, a TiO<sub>2</sub> binding protein we have shown that the TiO<sub>2</sub>–DLDH<sub>RGD</sub> nanobiocomplex is effective in targeting cancer cells. In contrast, the normal control cells, which express low integrin levels, were unaffected. This suggests that the TiO<sub>2</sub>–DLDH<sub>RGD</sub> nanobiocomplex is effective in targeting cancer cells and sparing normal tissues.

---

Fig. 4  Cytotoxic effect of the nanobiocomplex (A–NPs–DLDH<sub>RGD</sub>) in the presence or absence of UVA illumination. B16F10 or HEK293 cells were treated with TiO<sub>2</sub>, TiO<sub>2</sub>–DLDH or TiO<sub>2</sub>–DLDH<sub>RGD</sub> and assessed after an overnight by confocal microscopy (Leica SP5) under (A) dark conditions or (B) UVA illumination (1 h, 365 nm). Cells treated in medium lacking TiO<sub>2</sub> or the DLDH forms, served as controls. The cell nuclei were stained red (Draq5). Experiments were repeated twice in triplicates. Next the same experiment was analyzed for cell counts under (C) dark conditions or (D) UVA illumination. Experiments were repeated 3 times in triplicates. Significance (*p < 0.05, **p < 0.005, ***p < 0.001) from control cells after uva illumination. Significance between the experiments groups (+RGD, ±avβ3) are indicated by an horizontal line.
protein, with RGD moieties, we have generated a nano-
biocomplex that is UVA switchable, highly selective towards

cancer cells and effective in producing cell death. We propose

that this hybrid-conjugate TiO$_2$-DLDH$_{RGD}$ may serve as a novel
targeted therapeutic agent with relevance to several integrin-
expressing tumor models.
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