# **RSC Advances**



View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

## PAPER

Check for updates

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2018, 8, 9651

Received 25th December 2017 Accepted 20th February 2018

DOI: 10.1039/c7ra13636f

rsc.li/rsc-advances

#### Introduction

Recent research in our laboratory has been concerned with linear  $\sigma$ -type interactions higher than  $\sigma(3c-4e)$ , which is the classical three-center four-electron  $\sigma$  type bonds/interactions.<sup>1</sup> We refer to such interactions as extended hypervalent  $\sigma(mc-ne)$  ( $4 \le m; m < n < 2m$ ).<sup>2–7</sup> The  $\sigma(4c-6e)$  interaction is the first to be studied.  ${}^{A}E_{2}{}^{B}E_{2} \sigma(4c-6e)$  was first recognized for the linear alignments of four  ${}^{B}E...{}^{A}E-{}^{A}E...{}^{B}E$  atoms in the structures of bis [8-(phenylchalcogena)naphthyl]-1,1'-dichalcogenide [1-(8-Ph^{B}EC\_{10}H\_{6}){}^{A}E-{}^{A}E(C\_{10}H\_{6}{}^{B}EPh-8')-1' ( ${}^{A}E, {}^{B}E$ ) = (S, S: 1),3 (S, Se: 2),4 (Se, S: 3)<sup>4</sup> and (Se, Se: 4)<sup>2</sup>] as determined by X-ray crystallographic analysis (see Fig. 2 for the structure of 1 (S, S)

# High-resolution X-ray diffraction determination of the electron density of 1-(8-PhSC<sub>10</sub>H<sub>6</sub>) SS(C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>6</sub>SPh-8')-1' with the QTAIM approach: evidence for S<sub>4</sub> $\sigma$ (4c-6e) at the naphthalene *peri*-positions<sup>†</sup>

Yutaka Tsubomoto,<sup>a</sup> Satoko Hayashi,<sup>\*a</sup> Waro Nakanishi, <sup>®</sup> Lucy K. Mapp<sup>b</sup> and Simon J. Coles <sup>\*b</sup>

An extended hypervalent  $S_4 \sigma(4c-6e)$  system was confirmed for the linear  ${}^BS-*{}^AS-*{}^AS-*{}^BS$  interaction in  $1-(8-Ph^BSC_{10}H_6)^AS-{}^AS(C_{10}H_6^BSPh-8')-1'$  (1) via high-resolution X-ray diffraction determination of electron densities. The presence of bond critical points (BCPs; \*) on the bond paths confirms the nature and extent of this interaction. The recently developed QTAIM dual functional analysis (QTAIM-DFA) approach was also applied to elucidate the nature of the interaction. Total electron energy densities  $H_b(r_c)$  were plotted versus  $H_b(r_c) - V_b(r_c)/2$  for the interaction at the BCPs, where  $V_b(r_c)$  represents the potential energy densities at the BCP. The results indicate that although the data for an interaction in the fully optimized structure corresponds to a static nature, the data obtained for the perturbed structures around it represent the dynamic nature of the interaction in QTAIM-DFA. The former classifies the interaction and the latter characterises it. Although  ${}^AS-*{}^AS$  in 1 is classified by a shared shell interaction and exhibits weak covalent character,  ${}^AS-*{}^BS$  is characterized as having typical hydrogen-bond nature with covalent properties in the region of the regular closed shell interactions. The experimental results are supported by matching theoretical calculations throughout, particularly for the extended hypervalent  $E_4 \sigma(4c-6e)$  (E = S) interaction.

determined by the high-resolution X-ray crystallographic analysis). Scheme 1 illustrates the structures of 1–4. A substantial number of compounds containing the  $\sigma(4c-6e)$  interaction have been reported.<sup>6,8,9</sup> Benzene substituents in the 1,2-positions, naphthalene substituents in the 1,8-positions and related systems serve as good spacers for the formation of these interactions.<sup>2-4,10–12</sup> It has been strongly suggested that  $\sigma(4c-6e)$  interactions play an important role in the development of high functionalities in materials and also in key processes of biological and/or pharmaceutical activities.<sup>2-4,6-12</sup>

Fig. 1 shows the molecular orbitals of  $E_4 \sigma(4c-6e)$ , which is exemplified in the case of  $Cl_4^{2-}$  (E = Cl). The central  ${}^{A}E^{-A}E$ distance in  ${}^{B}E^{\dots}{}^{A}E^{-A}E^{\dots}{}^{B}E$  should be shorter than the  ${}^{A}E^{\dots}{}^{B}E$ distances in  $\sigma(4c-6e)$  even if  ${}^{A}E = {}^{B}E$ . This expectation is supported by the optimized structure of  $E_4^{2-}$  (E = Cl) (Fig. 1).



1 (<sup>A</sup>E, <sup>B</sup>E) = (S, S), 2 (Se, S), 3 (S, Se), 4 (Se, Se)

Scheme 1 Structures of 1-4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Faculty of Systems Engineering, Wakayama University, 930 Sakaedani, Wakayama 640-8510, Japan. E-mail: nakanisi@sys.wakayama-u.ac.jp; hayashi3@sys. wakayama-u.ac.jp; Fax: +81 73 457 8253; Tel: +81 73 457 8252

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Chemistry, Faculty of Natural and Environmental Sciences, University of Southampton, Southampton, SO17 1BJ, UK. E-mail: S.J.Coles@soton.ac.uk; Tel: +44 (0)2380596721

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: QTAIM-DFA approach, Cartesian coordinates for optimized structures of **1–4**. CCDC 1811040. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c7ra13636f



Fig. 1 Approximate MO model for E4  $\sigma(4c-6e)$  exemplified by Cl4^2- (E = Cl).

Charge transfer (CT) of the  $n_p({}^BE) \rightarrow \sigma^*({}^AE^{-A}E) \leftarrow n_p({}^BE)$  type is the driving force for the formation of  ${}^AE_2{}^BE_2 \sigma(4c-6e)$ , where  $n_p({}^BE)$  represents the p-type lone pair orbitals of  ${}^BE$  with  $\sigma^*({}^AE^{-A}E)$  for the  $\sigma^*$  orbital of  ${}^AE^{-A}E$ . The high accepting ability of  $\sigma^*({}^AE^{-A}E)$  and the high donating ability of  $n_p({}^BE)$  plays an important role in the formation of the linear interaction. The nature of  ${}^AE_2{}^BE_2 \sigma(4c-6e)$  is well established theoretically. The dynamic and static nature of  ${}^AE_2{}^BE_2 \sigma(4c-6e)$  is elucidated for 1-(8-Me^BEC\_{10}H\_6)^AE^{-A}E(C\_{10}H\_6{}^BEMe-8')-1': ({}^AE\_1{}^BE) = (S, S: 5), (S, Se: 6), (Se, S: 7) and (Se, Se: 8), after preparation and structural determination of 8.^{13} The static nature of  ${}^AE_2{}^BE_2 \sigma(4c-6e)$  has also been reported for 1–4 based on the observed crystal structures.  ${}^{13-16}AE_2{}^BE_2 \sigma(4c-6e)$  are also recognized as a form of chalcogen bonding.<sup>17</sup>

Thus, the question of how the nature of  ${}^{A}E_{2}{}^{B}E_{2} \sigma(4c-6e)$  can be established experimentally arises. The high-resolution X-ray diffraction determination of electron densities of **1** (S, S) would provide a firm basis for the real existence of  $S_4 \sigma(4c-6e)$  in **1** (S, S). The quantum theory of atoms-in-molecules dual functional analysis (QTAIM-DFA), which we proposed recently,<sup>18-22</sup> will support the experimental results by elucidating the dynamic and static nature of  $S_4 \sigma(4c-6e)$  from the observed and/or optimized structures of **1** (S, S). It will be easily understood if the interactions can be defined by the corresponding bond paths (BPs) in QTAIM, but we must carefully use the correct terminology with the concept.<sup>23</sup> A basis set system that reproduces the observed structural parameters, particularly for the  ${}^{A}E...{}^{B}E$  distances,  $r({}^{A}E...{}^{B}E)$ , must be established.

Therefore, this paper is concerned with the observation of the existence of  $S_4 \sigma(4c-6e)$  in 1 (S, S) based on the data obtained from high-resolution X-ray diffraction determination of electron densities. The real existence of  $S_4 \sigma(4c-6e)$  in 1 (S, S) was confirmed by theoretically elucidating the nature of  $S_4 \sigma(4c-6e)$  with QTAIM-DFA. The nature of the interactions was determined by employing the criteria proposed previously when applying QTAIM-DFA to typical chemical bonds and interactions. QTAIM-DFA and the criteria are explained in the ESI in Scheme S1 and S2, Fig. S1 and eqn (S1)–(S6).† The basic concept of the QTAIM approach introduced by Bader<sup>24-26</sup> is also surveyed, which enables us to analyze the nature of chemical bonds and interactions.<sup>27-33</sup>

## Experimental

#### Bis[8-(phenylthio)naphthyl]-1,1'-disulfide (1 (S, S))

Compound 1 (S, S) was obtained *via* the reaction of the naphtho [1,8-*c*,*d*]-1,2-dithiolate dianion<sup>3,4</sup> with excess benzenediazonium chloride in aqueous THF at 2–4 °C. After standard workup, the solution was chromatographed on silica gel containing acidic alumina. Recrystallization from the solvent mixed with hexane and dichloromethane afforded 1 (S, S) as yellow prisms in 68% yield, mp 169.8–170.6 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>/TMS, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  7.02 (dd, J = 1.2 and 8.3 Hz, 4H), 7.12 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 4H), 7.21 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 4H), 7.45 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.63 (dd, J = 1.0 and 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.68 (dd, J = 1.1 and 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.85 (dd, J = 1.4 and 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.90 (dd, J = 1.3 and 8.2 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>/TMS, 75.5 MHz)  $\delta$  125.5, 125.8, 125.8, 126.2, 127.1, 127.4, 128.4, 128.9, 131.4, 133.8, 134.5, 136.4, 138.5 and 139.9 (see Fig. S2 and S3 of the ESI† for <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra, respectively). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>22</sub>S<sub>4</sub>: C, 71.87; H, 4.15. Found: C, 71.58; H, 4.24.

#### High-resolution X-ray crystallographic measurement of 1 (S, S)

Single crystal high-resolution data  $(\sin(\theta/\lambda_{max}) = 1.08 \text{ Å}^{-1})$  were collected at 100(2) K on a Rigaku FRE+ equipped with VHF Varimax confocal mirrors, an AFC10 goniometer and an HG Saturn724+ detector using Mo-K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda = 0.71075 \text{ Å}$ ). The Crystal Clear 3.1 software<sup>34</sup> was used for data collection and CrysAlisPro<sup>35</sup> for data reduction and Gaussian absorption correction. SORTAV<sup>36</sup> was used to average and merge the sets of intensities. The crystallographic CIF file (CCDC-1811040) is provided in the ESI.†

The crystal structure was solved using direct methods and least-squares independent atom refinement (IAM) was carried out with the SHELX-2014 (ref. 37) software package. All nonhydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters, while all hydrogen atoms were calculated at theoretical positions with  $U_{\rm iso} = 1.2$  (see Fig. 2 for the crystal structure of 1 (S, S) and the crystal data and refinement details in Table S1 of the ESI†). This model served as the initial point



Fig. 2 Structure of 1 (S, S) determined by high-resolution X-ray crystallographic analysis.

for the aspherical atom refinement, which was implemented using the Hansen–Coppens formalism<sup>38</sup> as implemented in the XD2016 program.<sup>39</sup> According to this formalism, electron density in a crystal is divided into three components as expressed in eqn (1):

$$\begin{split} \rho_{\text{atom}}(r) &= P_{\text{core}} \rho_{\text{core}} + P_{\text{val}} \kappa^{3} \rho_{\text{val}}(\kappa r) \\ &+ \sum_{l=0}^{l_{\text{max}}} \kappa^{\prime 3} R_{l} \left(\kappa' r\right) \sum_{m=0}^{l} P_{lm\pm} Y_{lm\pm}(\theta, \varphi) \end{split}$$
(1)

where, the first term is the spherically averaged free-atom Hartree–Fock core contribution,  $\rho_{\rm core}$ , with population parameter  $P_{\rm c}$ . The second term is the spherically averaged free atom Hartree–Fock normalized to one electron valence contribution,  $\rho_{\rm valence}$ , with population parameter  $P_{\rm v}$ , modified by the expansion/contraction parameter  $\kappa$ . The third term represents the deviation of the valence density from spherical symmetry modified by the expansion/contraction parameter  $\kappa'$ . The deformation is expressed by a density normalized Slater-type radial function  $R_1(\kappa'_l r)$  modulated by the density normalized, real spherical harmonics angular functions  $d_{lm\pm}(\mathbf{r}/r)$  defined on local axes centered on the atoms and with population parameters  $P_{lm\pm}$ .

$$R_l(\kappa_l'r) = (\kappa_l'\alpha_l)^3 \frac{(\kappa_l'\alpha_l r)^{n(l)}}{n(l)+2} \exp(\kappa_l'\alpha_l r)$$
(2)

 $R_1(\kappa'_l r)$  is given by eqn (2), where  $n(l) \ge 1$  obeys Poisson's electrostatic equation and values for  $\alpha_l$  are estimated from the Hartree-Fock optimized single-5 exponents of the valence orbital wave function calculated for free atoms. Scattering factors for C, H and S were derived from the wave functions tabulated by Clementi and Roetti.<sup>40</sup> As shown in the literature, the use of default values of n(l) = (4,4,4,4) and  $\alpha_l$  for second-row atoms (P, S) may lead to ambiguous results.38,41 Thus, several models described previously<sup>42,43</sup> were tested and finally n(l) =(4,4,6,8) values were used. An identical set of n(l) was used to refine the experimental data of another hypervalent sulfurnitrogen species44,45 as well as in experimental studies of Lcysteine.<sup>46</sup> The  $\alpha_l$  parameter was kept constant at  $3.851a_0^{-1.47}$ Initially, only the scale factor was refined for all data. Next, accurate positional and displacement parameters for all nonhydrogen atoms were obtained from the high order refinement  $(\sin(\theta/\lambda) > 0.7 \text{ Å}^{-1})$ , while positional and isotropic displacements for hydrogen atoms were refined using low-angle data  $(\sin(\theta/\lambda) < 0.7 \text{ Å}^{-1})$ . Due to the unavailability of neutron data, all C-H distances were fixed to the averaged distances from neutron studies<sup>48</sup> (e.g.,  $d_{\text{Carom-H}} = 1.083$  Å). During the next stages of refinement, monopole, dipole, quadrupole, octupole and hexadecapole populations were refined with the single expansion/contraction  $\kappa$  parameter in a stepwise manner. The expansions over the spherical harmonics were truncated at the hexa decapolar level  $[l_{\max}=4]$  for the sulfur-bonded atoms (<sup>A</sup>S, <sup>B</sup>S, C<sub>i</sub>,  $\cdots$ ) and at the octupolar level [ $l_{max} = 3$ ] for the remaining carbon. Hydrogen atoms were represented by the bond directed dipole. Finally, a single  $\kappa'$  parameter was refined for all non-hydrogen atoms. Chemically and symmetry related

atoms were constrained to share the same expansion/ contraction ( $\kappa/\kappa'$ ) parameters. Throughout, the multipole refinement expansion/contraction parameters ( $\kappa/\kappa'$ ) of all hydrogen atoms were fixed to values  $\kappa = 1.20$  and  $\kappa' = 1.20$ . This procedure was repeated several times in a block refinement until satisfactory convergence was achieved. Chemical constraints for similar atoms were applied at the initial stages of the refinements. These constraints were gradually released and the final model was chemically unconstrained. The electron neutrality condition was imposed on the molecule for the entire refinement. Final multipole refinement led to a featureless residual density map (Fig. S4 in the ESI†). The overall residual density after multipole refinement with all high order data was  $-0.24 \leq \nabla^2$  and  $\rho \leq 0.25$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>.

The multipolar refinement details are shown in Table S1 in the ESI.<sup>†</sup> For further details, see Table S2 in the ESI.<sup>†</sup>

#### Methodological details used for calculations

Calculations were performed using the Gaussian 09 program package.<sup>49</sup> Compound **1** (S, S) was optimized with the 6-311+G(d) basis set for S and the 6-31G(d,p) basis sets for C and H. Herein, we refer to this basis set system as A (BSS-A). The Møller–Plesset second order energy correlation (MP2) level was applied to the calculations.<sup>50</sup> The structural parameters optimized with MP2/BSS-A ( $r(^{A}S, ^{A}S) = 2.0730$  Å and  $r(^{A}S, ^{B}S) = 2.9874$  Å) were very close to the observed values ( $r_{obsd}(^{A}S, ^{A}S) = 2.0559(5)$  Å and  $r_{obsd:av}(^{A}S, ^{B}S) = 2.9852$  Å), respectively. Compounds 1–4 were similarly optimized with MP2/BSS-A, where the 6-311+G(d) basis sets for C and H. The deformation density map for 1 (S, S) was computed using the Multiwfn program.<sup>51</sup>

The QTAIM functions were calculated using the Gaussian 09 program package49 with MP2/BSS-A. The results were analyzed with the AIM2000 program.52 Normal coordinates of internal vibrations (NIV) obtained by frequency analysis were employed to generate the perturbed structures.<sup>20,21</sup> A k-th perturbed structure  $(S_{kw})$  was generated by the addition of the normal coordinates of the k-th internal vibration  $(N_k)$  to the standard orientation of a fully optimized structure  $(S_0)$  in the matrix representation as shown in eqn (3). The coefficient  $f_{kw}$  in eqn (3) was determined to satisfy eqn (4) for an interaction in question. The perturbed structures generated with NIV correspond to the structures in the zero-point internal vibrations, where the interaction distances in question are elongated or shortened to the values given in eqn (4), where r and  $r_0$  stand for the distances in the perturbed and fully optimized structures, respectively, with  $a_0$  the Bohr radius (0.52918 Å).<sup>16,17,52</sup> N<sub>k</sub> significant to five decimal places was used to predict  $S_{kw}$ .

$$\mathbf{S}_{kw} = \mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{o}} + f_{kw} \mathbf{N}_k \tag{3}$$

$$r = r_{o} + wa_{o}, (w = (0), \pm 0.05 \text{ and } \pm 0.1; a_{o} = 0.52918 \text{ Å})$$
 (4)

$$y = c_0 + c_1 x + c_2 x^2 + c_3 x^3, (R_c^2: \text{ square of correlation}$$
coefficient) (5)

 $H_{\rm b}(\mathbf{r}_{\rm c})$  versus  $H_{\rm b}(\mathbf{r}_{\rm c}) - V_{\rm b}(\mathbf{r}_{\rm c})/2$  was plotted for data from five points of  $w = 0, \pm 0.05$  and  $\pm 0.1$  in eqn (4) in QTAIM-DFA. Each plot for an interaction was analyzed using a regression curve assuming the cubic function in eqn (5), where  $(x, y) = (H_{\rm b}(\mathbf{r}_{\rm c}) - V_{\rm b}(\mathbf{r}_{\rm c})/2, H_{\rm b}(\mathbf{r}_{\rm c})) (R_{\rm c}^{-2} > 0.99999).^{18-22,53}$ 

#### **Results and discussion**

# High-resolution X-ray diffraction determination of electron densities for 1 (S, S)

Fig. 2 shows the crystal structure of 1 (S, S) determined by highresolution X-ray crystallographic analysis and Table 1 shows selected bond distances, angles and torsional angles. The  $r_{obsd}(^{A}S, ^{A'}S)$  and  $r_{obsd:av}(^{A}S, ^{B}S)$  values were determined to be 2.0559(8) Å (ref. 54) and 2.9852(8) Å, respectively, with  $\angle$  <sup>B</sup>S<sup>A</sup>S<sup>A'</sup>S<sub>obsd:av</sub> of 167.2° for 1 (S, S). The <sup>B</sup>S···<sup>A</sup>S-<sup>A'</sup>S···<sup>B'</sup>S interaction in **1** (S, S) can be described as linear since  $\angle {}^{B}S^{A}S^{A'}S$  is larger than 150°, which is the cut-off value we propose to determine the linearity of these interactions. Accordingly, the <sup>B</sup>S···<sup>A</sup>S–<sup>A'</sup>S···<sup>B'</sup>S interaction can be well described by the S<sub>4</sub>  $\sigma$ (4c– 6e) model. Fig. 3 depicts the valence electron density map in the  $^{A'}S^{A}SC_{1}$  plane for 1 (S, S) with magnification around the <sup>B</sup>S···<sup>A</sup>S–<sup>A'</sup>S···<sup>B'</sup>S interaction in the <sup>B</sup>S<sup>A</sup>S<sup>A'</sup>S (<sup>B'</sup>S) plane (Fig. 3b). The deformation density map in the  $^{A'}S^{A}SC_{1}$  plane for 1 (S, S) and the magnified map around the <sup>B</sup>S····<sup>A</sup>S–<sup>A'</sup>S····<sup>B'</sup>S interaction in the <sup>B</sup>S<sup>A</sup>S<sup>A'</sup>S (<sup>B'</sup>S) plane are presented in Fig. 3c and d, respectively. The positive Laplacian map in the <sup>A'</sup>S<sup>A</sup>SC<sub>1</sub> plane for 1 (S, S) and the magnified map around the  ${}^{B}E \cdots {}^{A}E - {}^{A}E \cdots {}^{B}E$ interaction in the <sup>B</sup>S<sup>A</sup>S<sup>A'</sup>S (<sup>B'</sup>S) plane are presented in Fig. 4a and b, respectively. The BCPs around <sup>B</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A'</sup>S-\*-<sup>B'</sup>S in 1 (S, S) are expected to be located in the negative area of  $\nabla^2 \rho_{\rm b}(\mathbf{r}_{\rm c})$ . Fig. 5 illustrates the molecular graph of 1 (S, S), which was determined by high-resolution X-ray crystallographic analysis. All the BCPs are detected as expected, including those around <sup>B</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup> \*-<sup>B'</sup>S in 1 (S, S). Two pairs of BPs with BCPs are also detected for the weaker interactions, which are very close to those drawn theoretically and therefore discussed in the theoretical section.

# Formation of $S_4 \sigma(4c-6e)$ in 1 (S, S) confirmed based on experimental background

The electron distributions can be clearly observed in Fig. 3. The valence electron density map of  $\mathbf{1}$  (S, S) seems to define (three-dimensional) saddle points of  $\rho(\mathbf{r})$  between <sup>A</sup>S and <sup>B</sup>S and

between <sup>A'</sup>S and <sup>B'</sup>S of 1 (S, S) and the typical saddle point between <sup>A</sup>S and <sup>A'</sup>S (see Fig. 3a or b). Each saddle point of  $\rho(\mathbf{r})$ between the adjacent S atoms in <sup>B</sup>S···<sup>A</sup>S-<sup>A'</sup>S···<sup>B'</sup>S should correspond to a BCP on a BP in 1 (S, S) (see also Fig. 5). The enhanced charge density at <sup>B</sup>S directs toward to the depleted area at <sup>A</sup>S extending over the backside of the <sup>A</sup>S-<sup>A'</sup>S bond, as shown in Fig. 3c and d. This shows the contribution of the CT interaction of the  $n_p({}^{B}S) \rightarrow \sigma^*({}^{A}S - {}^{A'}S)$  form. Similar phenomena can be found between <sup>B'</sup>S and <sup>A'</sup>S-<sup>A</sup>S, which show the CT interaction of the  $n_p(^{B'}S) \rightarrow \sigma^{*(A'}S - ^{A'}S)$  form. Such degenerated CT interactions should be analyzed as  $S_4 \sigma(4c-6e)$  of the  $n_p(^BS) \rightarrow \sigma^*(^AS^{-A'}S) \leftarrow$  $n_{p}(^{B'}S)$  form, which must be the driving force for the formation of  $S_4 \sigma(4c-6e)$  as proposed by our group. The valence electron density maps and the deformation density maps around the <sup>B</sup>S…<sup>A</sup>S–<sup>A'</sup>S…<sup>B'</sup>S interaction, as shown in Fig. 3, strongly support the formation of linear S<sub>4</sub>  $\sigma$ (4c-6e) of the n<sub>p</sub>(<sup>B</sup>S)  $\rightarrow \sigma$ \*(<sup>A</sup>S-<sup>A'</sup>S)  $\leftarrow$  $n_p(^{B'}S)$  type in 1 (S, S) based on the experimental treatment.

As shown in Fig. 4, three VSCCs (valence shell charge concentrations) appear at each S atom in the <sup>B</sup>S<sup>A</sup>S<sup>A'</sup>S (<sup>B'</sup>S) plane of 1 (S, S). A pair of VSCCs on <sup>A</sup>S and <sup>B</sup>S is beginning to merge with each other, which confirms the presence of the <sup>A</sup>S...<sup>B</sup>S interaction. The <sup>A'</sup>S...<sup>B'</sup>S interaction is similarly confirmed through almost merging between the VSCCs on <sup>A'</sup>S and <sup>B'</sup>S. These results together with the original <sup>A</sup>S-<sup>A'</sup>S bond also confirm the formation of S<sub>4</sub>  $\sigma$ (4c-6e) in 1 (S, S). The linearity of the VSCCs is not very good, which would affect the BPs between the atoms. The differences between the lengths of the BPs ( $r_{BP}$ ) and the straight-line distances ( $R_{SL}$ ) ( $\Delta r_{BP} = r_{BP} - R_{SL}$ ) are less than 0.0010 Å and 0.012–0.013 Å for <sup>A</sup>S-<sup>A'</sup>S and <sup>A</sup>S...<sup>B</sup>S (and <sup>A'</sup>S...<sup>B'</sup>S), respectively, in 1 (S, S). Therefore, each of the <sup>B</sup>S...<sup>A</sup>S-<sup>A'</sup>S...<sup>B'</sup>S interactions in 1 (S, S) can be approximated to a linear interaction.

The BCPs on BPs around <sup>B</sup>S…<sup>A</sup>S—<sup>A'</sup>S…<sup>B'</sup>S in **1** (S, S) are clearly specified in the molecular graph drawn experimentally in Fig. 5 together with that expected for **1** (S, S). Some QTAIM parameters were experimentally determined at the BCPs around <sup>B</sup>S…<sup>A</sup>S—<sup>A'</sup>S…<sup>B'</sup>S in **1** (S, S) (see the observed values for the QTAIM parameters and that evaluated theoretically with MP2/BSS-A employing the observed structure of **1** (S, S) in Table 2). Although the <sup>A</sup>S—<sup>A'</sup>S bond in **1** (S, S) is experimentally classified by the regular CS (r-CS) interactions, the <sup>A</sup>S…<sup>B</sup>S and <sup>A'</sup>S…<sup>B'</sup>S interactions are shown to exist just on the border area between the pure CS (p-CS) and r-CS interactions (see, Fig. 5 and Table 2).

| Table 1 Selected  | d structural p                             | arameters obs                        | erved for 1                               | (S, S) and thos  | e evaluated with  | n MP2/BSS-A                 | 1                          |   |                  |                      |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|---|--|---|-----------------------------|----------------------------|---|------------------|----------------------|
| Species ( <sup>A</sup> S, <sup>B</sup> S)<br>(symmetry)   | r( <sup>A</sup> S, <sup>A'</sup> S)<br>(Å) | $\Delta r(^{A}S, ^{A'}S)^{b}$<br>(Å) | r( <sup>A</sup> S, <sup>B</sup> S)<br>(Å) | $\Delta r ({}^{\mathrm{A}}\mathrm{S}, {}^{\mathrm{B}}\mathrm{S})^{b}$<br>(Å) | $\Delta r_{\rm van}({}^{\rm A}S, {}^{\rm B}S)^c$<br>(Å) | $\angle^{A'}S^{A}SC_1$ (°)  | $\angle C_8^{\ B}SC_i$ (°) | $\angle {}^{\mathrm{B}}\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{A}}\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{A}'}\mathrm{S}$ (°) | $\phi_1{}^d$ (°) | $\phi_2^e$ (°)       |
| <b>1</b> (S, S) (C <sub>1</sub> ) <sub>obsd</sub><br><b>1</b> (S, S) (C <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>calcd</sub> | 2.0559(5)<br>2.0730                        | 0.000<br>0.017                       | $2.9852^{f}$<br>2.9874                    | 0.000<br>0.002   | $-0.615 \\ -0.613$                                      | 105.0 <sup>g</sup><br>104.0 | $102.5^{h}$<br>100.6       | $167.2^{i}$<br>169.4  | $-89.5 \\ -78.2$ | $-75.6^{j}$<br>-64.2 |

<sup>*a*</sup> BSS-A; the 6-311+G(d) basis set for S with the 6-31G(d,p) basis sets for C and H. <sup>*b*</sup>  $\Delta r(^{A}S, ^{X}S) = r_{calcd}(^{A}S, ^{X}S) - r_{obsd}(^{A}S, ^{X}S)$ , where X = A' and B. <sup>*c*</sup>  $\Delta r_{van}(^{A}S, ^{B}S) = r(^{A}S, ^{B}S) - \sum r_{vdW}(^{A}S, ^{B}S)$ , where  $r_{vdW}(S) = 1.80$  Å (ref. 55). <sup>*d*</sup>  $\phi_1 = \phi(C_1^{A}S^{A'}SC_{1'})$ . <sup>*e*</sup>  $\phi_2 = \phi(C_9C_8^{B}SC_{i})$  and/or  $\phi(C_{19}C_{18}^{B'}SC_{i'})$ . <sup>*f*</sup> Averaged value:  $r_{obsd:av}(^{A}S, ^{B}S) = 2.9879(4)$  Å and 2.9825(5) Å. <sup>*g*</sup> Averaged value:  $\angle C_1^{A}S^{A'}S_{obsd:av} = 105.54(2)^{\circ}$  and  $104.48(2)^{\circ}$ . <sup>*h*</sup> Averaged value:  $\angle C_8^{B}SC_{i-obsd:av} = 101.94(1)^{\circ}$  and  $102.99(2)^{\circ}$ . <sup>*i*</sup> Averaged value:  $\angle BS^{A}S^{A'}S_{obsd:av} = 168.68(2)^{\circ}$  and  $165.70(2)^{\circ}$ . <sup>*j*</sup> Averaged value:  $\phi_{2-obsd:av} = -70.22(2)^{\circ}$  and  $-80.98(2)^{\circ}$ .



**Fig. 3** Valence electron density map drawn on the  ${}^{B}S^{A}SC_{1}$  plane of **1** (S, S) and the magnified map for the  ${}^{B}S^{...A}S - {}^{A'}S^{...B'}S$  interaction drawn on the  ${}^{B}S^{A}S^{A'}S$  plane ((a) and (b), respectively), in which the contour level is 0.1 e Å ${}^{-3}$ . Deformation density map drawn on the  ${}^{B}S^{A}SC_{1}$  plane of **1** (S, S) and the magnified map for the  ${}^{B}S^{...A}S - {}^{A'}S^{...B'}S$  interaction drawn on the  ${}^{B}S^{A}S^{A'}S$  plane ((c) and(d), respectively), in which contour level is 0.05 e Å ${}^{-3}$ . The red and blue lines correspond to the increased and decreased electron densities, respectively, in the formation of the chemical bonds or interactions.

The values evaluated theoretically employing the observed structure of **1** (S, S) reproduced the experimentally obtained values accurately except for  $(\hbar^2/8m)\nabla^2\rho_{\rm b}(\mathbf{r}_{\rm c}) (=H_{\rm b}(\mathbf{r}_{\rm c}) - V_{\rm b}(\mathbf{r}_{\rm c})/2)$ ,  $H_{\rm b}(\mathbf{r}_{\rm c})$  and  $k_{\rm b}(\mathbf{r}_{\rm c}) (=V_{\rm b}(\mathbf{r}_{\rm c})/G_{\rm b}(\mathbf{r}_{\rm c}))$  at the BCP of the <sup>A</sup>S-<sup>A'</sup>S bond although this deviation does not seem to be very severe. However, the difference in  $(\hbar^2/8m)\nabla^2\rho_{\rm b}(\mathbf{r}_{\rm c}) (=H_{\rm b}(\mathbf{r}_{\rm c}) - V_{\rm b}(\mathbf{r}_{\rm c})/2)$  will greatly affect the classification of <sup>A</sup>S-<sup>A'</sup>S since the signs

are opposite to the values predicted experimentally and the value calculated employing the observed structure of **1** (S, S).

#### Theoretical basis for the nature of $S_4 \sigma(4c-6e)$ in 1 (S, S)

Structure of 1 (S, S) optimized at the MP2 level. Compound 1 (S, S) was optimized with MP2/BSS-A, retaining  $C_2$  symmetry. Table 1 shows selected predicted bond distances, angles and



**Fig. 4** Positive Laplacian map in the  ${}^{B}S^{A}SC_{1}$  plane of **1** (S, S) (a) and magnified  ${}^{B}S\cdots^{A}S-{}^{A'}S\cdots$  b''s interaction region in the  ${}^{B}S^{A}S^{A'}S$  plane (b). Positive and negative areas are shown by red and blue lines, respectively and each contour level is 0.05 e Å- ${}^{3}$ .



**Fig. 5** Molecular graph of **1** (S, S) determined by high-resolution X-ray crystallographic analysis.

torsional angles for 1 (S, S). The predicted structural parameters remarkably match the corresponding observed parameters. The  $r_{\text{calcd}}(^{\text{A}}\text{E}, ^{\text{A}}\text{E})$  and  $r_{\text{calcd}}(^{\text{A}}\text{E}, ^{\text{B}}\text{E})$  values are 2.0730 and 2.9874 Å, respectively. The differences between the calculated and observed distances for <sup>A</sup>E-<sup>A</sup>E and <sup>A</sup>E···<sup>B</sup>E are also given in Table 1, which are defined as  $\Delta r(^{A}E, ^{A}E) = r_{calcd}(^{A}E, ^{A}E) - r_{obsd}(^{A}E, ^{A'}E)$ and  $\Delta r(^{A}E, ^{B}E) = r_{calcd}(^{A}E, ^{B}E) - r_{obsd}(^{A}E, ^{B}E)$ , respectively. The  $\Delta r(^{A}E, ^{A}E)$  and  $\Delta r(^{A}E, ^{B}E)$  values are 0.017 and 0.002 Å, respectively. The  $\Delta r(^{A}E, ^{B}E)$  value of 0.002 Å completely satisfies this criterion, while the  $\Delta r(^{A}E, ^{A}E)$  value of 0.017 Å is only slightly larger than 0.013 Å. The magnitude of  $\Delta r$  less than 0.013 Å is desirable for QTAIM-DFA, which corresponds to a half of each interval of adjacent two data points in the plots of  $H_{\rm b}(\mathbf{r}_{\rm c})$  versus  $H_{\rm b}(\mathbf{r}_{\rm c}) - V_{\rm b}(\mathbf{r}_{\rm c})/2$  in QTAIM-DFA (0.013 Å = 0.05 $a_{\rm o}/2 = 0.026$  Å/2; see Fig. 8). Despite the slightly larger magnitude of  $\Delta r(^{A}E, ^{A'}E)$ , the optimized overall structure of 1 (S, S) can be considered to satisfy the criterion since the <sup>A</sup>E…<sup>B</sup>E interactions are the principle concern for  $S_4 \sigma(4c-6e)$ .

Deformation density map around <sup>B</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>B</sup>S of 1 (S, S). The deformation density map was drawn theoretically on the <sup>B</sup>S<sup>A</sup>S<sup>A'</sup>S plane around the <sup>B</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A'</sup>S-\*-<sup>B'</sup>S interaction of 1 (S, S) in  $C_2$  symmetry, similarly to the case of the experimental approach as shown in Fig. 6. The deformation density map shown in Fig. 6 is (very) similar to that shown in Fig. 3d. The enhanced charge density at <sup>B</sup>S also directs toward to the depleted area at <sup>A</sup>S extending over the backside of the <sup>A</sup>S-<sup>A'</sup>S bond, as shown in Fig. 6. In particular, the CT interaction of the  $n_p({}^{B}S) \rightarrow \sigma^*({}^{A}S - {}^{A'}S) \leftarrow n_p({}^{B'}S)$  type is also demonstrated theoretically by the deformation density map, which should be analyzed as linear  $S_4 \sigma(4c-6e)$  as discussed above. The contribution of the CT interaction of the  $n_p(^BE) \rightarrow \sigma^*(^AE^{-A}E) \leftarrow n_p(^BE)$ type in 1 ( ${}^{A}E = {}^{B}E = S$ ) was evaluated by the second order perturbation of the Fock matrix  $(E(2))^{56}$  with MP2/BSS-A, and also those for 2–4 (<sup>A</sup>E,  $^{B}E = S$  and/or Se). The results are shown in Table S4 of the ESI.<sup>†</sup> The E(2) values of 13.2–26.1 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> were predicted for 1-4 depending on <sup>A</sup>E and <sup>B</sup>E, where  $\sigma^{*(AE-AE)}$  contributes much more than the case of n(<sup>B</sup>E). The S<sub>4</sub>  $\sigma$ (4c-6e) nature of the linear S<sub>4</sub> interaction in **1** (S, S) as well as in  ${}^{A}E_{2}{}^{B}E_{2} \sigma(4c-6e)$  in 2–4 ( ${}^{A}E$ ,  ${}^{B}E = S$  and/or Se) are theoretically well established.

Molecular graph, contour plot, and negative Laplacian around <sup>B</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A'</sup>S-\*-<sup>B'</sup>S in 1 (S, S). Fig. 7 shows the molecular graph, contour plot, and negative Laplacian map for 1 (S, S) calculated with MP2/BSS-A. All BCPs are detected as expected, including those around <sup>B</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A'</sup>S-\*-<sup>B'</sup>S in 1 (S, S). Similarly to the case of the experimental results, BCPs are also detected for the weaker interactions of  ${}^{A}S^{+2'}H$  with  ${}^{A'}S^{+2}H$  and  ${}^{3}H^{+*p'}C$ with <sup>3'</sup>H-\*-<sup>p</sup>C. The former may play an additional role in stabilising the linear <sup>B</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A'</sup>S-\*-<sup>B'</sup>S interaction, while the latter is likely to influence the specific positions of the phenyl groups through the  $C_{Nap}$ -H··· $\pi(C_6H_5S)$  interactions. As shown in Fig. 7b, the BCP on <sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A'</sup>S is located in the negative area of  $\nabla^2 \rho_{\rm b}(\mathbf{r}_{\rm c})$ , while those on <sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>B</sup>S are in the positive region. These results indicate that <sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A'</sup>S and <sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>B</sup>S are classified by shared shell (SS) and closed shell (CS) interactions, respectively. Accordingly, these results clearly demonstrate the formation of  $S_4 \sigma$  (4c-6e) in 1 (S, S) theoretically. As shown in Fig. 7, the BPs (<sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>B</sup>S) seem somewhat bent around <sup>A</sup>S. However, the differences between  $r_{\rm BP}$  and the corresponding  $R_{\rm SL} (\Delta r_{\rm BP} = r_{\rm BP} - R_{\rm SL})$ are 0.001 Å and 0.021 Å for  $\Delta r_{\rm BP}$  (<sup>A</sup>S, <sup>A'</sup>S) and  $\Delta r_{\rm BP}$  (<sup>A</sup>S, <sup>B</sup>S), respectively, in 1 (S, S) (Table S3 of the ESI<sup>†</sup>). These results indicate that each of the  ${}^{B}S...{}^{A}S-{}^{A'}S...{}^{B'}S$  interactions in **1** (S, S) can also be approximated as a linear interaction theoretically. The  $\Delta r_{\rm BP}$  values in 5–8 have been discussed elsewhere.<sup>11</sup>

The nature of the non-covalent  $E \cdots E$  interaction was established for E = S in 1 (S, S) both experimentally and theoretically in this study. The interaction becomes much stronger for E = Se in 4 (Se, Se) based on the theoretical investigations. The results are in accordance with those reported recently<sup>14-16</sup> although the strength of  $S \cdots S$  seems to change widely as the structure changes.

Nature of <sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S and <sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>B</sup>S in 1 (S, S) as defined by  $\theta$  and  $\theta_p$ . The nature of <sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S and <sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>B</sup>S in 1 (S, S) was determined using the QTAIM-DFA parameters of  $(R, \theta)$  and  $(\theta_p, \kappa_p)$  with the standard values in Scheme S2 of the ESI† as a reference. The  $\theta$  values are for the classification of interactions, which can then be characterized by  $\theta_p$  with *R* being used to sub-divide the covalent interactions. It is instructive to survey the criteria briefly in relation to this study. The CS and SS interactions have values of  $45^\circ < \theta < 180^\circ$  ( $0 < H_b(\mathbf{r}_c) - V_b(\mathbf{r}_c)/2$ ) and  $180^\circ < \theta < 206.6^\circ$  ( $H_b(\mathbf{r}_c) - V_b(\mathbf{r}_c)/2 < 0$ ), respectively. The CS interactions

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence.

Open Access Article. Published on 05 March 2018. Downloaded on 8/8/2025 4:34:40 PM.

| $1 \left( C_1 \right)_{\text{obsd}}^f \left( \begin{array}{c} \left( {}^{\text{A}} S^{- \underline{*}, \overline{\text{A}}'} S \right) \\ \end{array} \right) = 0.1$ | $a_{o}^{-3}$ | $cv^{-}\rho_{b}(r_{c})^{-}$ (au) | $H_{ m b}(m{r}_{ m c})$ (au) | $k_{ m b} (m{r}_{ m c})^c$ | R<br>(au) | $(\circ)$ | $ u_n \left( n \right)^d \left( \mathrm{cm}^{-1}  ight)$ | $k_{ m f}^e$ (mDyn Å $^{-1}$ ) | $^{	extsf{p}}_{(\circ)}$ | $^{\kappa_{\mathrm{p}}}_{(\mathrm{au}^{-1})}$ | Classification/<br>characterization |
|--|--------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|
|  | .141         | 0.004                            | -0.11                        | -1.92                      |           |           |  |                                |                          |   | r-CS                                |
| $(S_{a}-*-S_{w})$  | .020         | 0.008                            | 0.00                         | -1.00                      |           |           |  |                                |                          |   | p-CS/r-CS                           |
| ( <sup>A'</sup> S-*- <sup>B'</sup> S) 0.(  | .021         | 0.008                            | 0.00                         | -1.00                      |           |           |  |                                |                          |   | p-CS/r-CS                           |
| $1 \left( C_1 \right)_{\text{obsd}}^{g} \left( \left( A_2 \cdot A_2 \cdot A_3 \right) \right) = 0.1$   | .1418        | -0.0111                          | -0.0748                      | -2.424                     | 0.0757    | 188.5     |  |                                |                          |   | SS                                  |
| ( <sup>A</sup> S-*- <sup>B</sup> S) 0.0  | .0229        | 0.0075                           | -0.0004                      | -1.027                     | 0.0075    | 93.1      |  |                                |                          |   | r-CS                                |
| (A'S-*-B'S) 0.0  | .0234        | 0.0076                           | -0.0005                      | -1.031                     | 0.0076    | 93.7      |  |                                |                          |   | r-CS                                |
| <b>1</b> $(C_2)_{\text{calcd}}$ $(^{\text{A}}S^{-}*^{-}A_S)$ 0.1   | .1373        | -0.0097                          | -0.0697                      | -2.383                     | 0.0704    | 187.9     | 518.7(48)  | 1.701                          | 197.5                    | 0.5   | SS/Cov-w                            |
| ( <sup>A</sup> S-*- <sup>B</sup> S) 0.(  | .0227        | 0.0075                           | -0.0004                      | -1.026                     | 0.0075    | 93.1      | 181.1(17)  | 0.209                          | 117.8                    | 68.9  | r-CS/t-HB-wc <sup>h</sup>           |
| $2 (C_2)_{\text{calcd}} (A_{\text{S}}^{\text{A}} S_{\text{-}}^{\text{A}} S) = 0.1$   | .1356        | -0.0089                          | -0.0677                      | -2.354                     | 0.0683    | 187.5     | 502.9(48)  | 1.698                          | 197.5                    | 0.6   | SS/Cov-w                            |
| ( <sup>A</sup> S-*- <sup>B</sup> Se) 0.(   | .0225        | 0.0068                           | -0.0006                      | -1.042                     | 0.0069    | 95.1      | 152.0(16)  | 0.154                          | 128.1                    | 133.3   | $r-CS/t-HB-wc^{h}$                  |
| $3 (C_2)_{\text{calcd}} \qquad (^{\text{A}}\text{Se-}^{*-\text{A}}\text{Se}) \qquad 0.0$   | .0970        | -0.0018                          | -0.0403                      | -2.095                     | 0.0404    | 182.5     | 288.9(28)  | 0.442                          | 186.6                    | 2.5   | SS/Cov-w                            |
| $(^{A}Se^{-*-B}S)$ 0.(   | .0246        | 0.0070                           | -0.0011                      | -1.071                     | 0.0070    | 93.7      | 150.9(15)  | 0.086                          | 140.1                    | 126.4   | r-CS/t-HB-wc <sup>h</sup>           |
| $4 (C_2)_{\text{calcd}} \qquad (^{\text{A}}\text{Se-}^{*-\text{A}}\text{Se}) \qquad 0.0$   | .0948        | -0.0013                          | -0.0387                      | -2.070                     | 0.0387    | 181.9     | 275.5 (28)   | 0.664                          | 187.1                    | 2.4   | SS/Cov-w                            |
| ( <sup>A</sup> Se-*- <sup>B</sup> Se) 0.0  | .0250        | 0.0064                           | -0.0014                      | -1.098                     | 0.0066    | 102.3     | 126.0(15)  | 0.105                          | 150.5                    | 141.8   | r-CS/CT-MC                          |



View Article Online RSC Advances

**Fig. 6** Deformation density map for the  ${}^{B}S...{}^{A}S-{}^{A'}S...{}^{B'}S$  interaction drawn on the  ${}^{B}S^{A'}S$  plane of **1** (S, S), of which contour level is 0.05 e Å ${}^{-3}$ . The red and blue lines correspond to the increased and decreased electron densities, respectively, in the formation of the chemical bonds or interactions.

are sub-divided into p-CS and r-CS for values of  $45^{\circ} < \theta < 90^{\circ}$  (0 <  $H_{\rm b}(\mathbf{r}_{\rm c})$  and 90° <  $\theta$  < 180° ( $H_{\rm b}(\mathbf{r}_{\rm c})$  < 0), respectively. The  $\theta_{\rm p}$  value plays an important role in characterizing the interactions. In the p-CS region of  $45^{\circ} < \theta < 90^{\circ}$ , when  $45^{\circ} < \theta_{p} < 90^{\circ}$ , the interactions will be vdW type character, while for  $90^{\circ} < \theta_{p} < 125^{\circ}$ , they will be typical HB (t-HB)-type without covalency ( $\theta_{\rm p}$  of 125° corresponds to  $\theta = 90^{\circ}$ ).<sup>18-21</sup> CT interactions will occur in the r-CS region, where  $90^{\circ} < \theta < 180^{\circ}$ . The *t*-HB interactions with covalency (*t*-HBwc) appear in the range of  $125^{\circ} < \theta_p < 150^{\circ}$  (90° <  $\theta < 115^{\circ}$ ). CT-MC (molecular complex through charge transfer) and CT-TBP (trigonal bipyramidal adduct through CT) type interactions will appear in the ranges of  $150^\circ < \theta_p < 180^\circ (115^\circ < \theta < 150^\circ)$  and  $180^{\circ} < \theta_{p} < 190^{\circ} (150^{\circ} < \theta < 180^{\circ})$ , respectively, although CT-TBP was not observed in this study. R provides a way to sub-classify the SS interactions, where the classical covalent SS chemical bonds are weak (Cov-w) when R < 0.15 au.

The  $(\theta, \theta_p, R)$  values for <sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>A</sup>S in **1** (S, S) are (187.9°, 197.5°, 0.0704 au), which is therefore classified as SS and predicted to have weak covalent nature (SS/Cov-w). Similarly,  $(\theta, \theta_p, R) =$ (93.1°, 117.8°, 0.0075 au) for <sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>B</sup>S, which is therefore classified as r-CS. The interaction is predicted to have t-HB-wc nature irrespective of  $\theta_p = 117.8^{\circ}$  (<125°) because the  $\theta$  value of 93.1° (>90°) is superior to  $\theta_{\rm p} = 117.8^{\circ}$  (<125°) [ $\theta_{\rm p} = 125^{\circ}$  corresponds to  $\theta = 90^{\circ}$  for typical interactions (see Scheme S2 of the ESI<sup>†</sup>)]. Therefore, <sup>A</sup>S-\*-<sup>B</sup>S in 1 (S, S) is predicted to have r-CS/t-HB-wc nature overall. The nature of <sup>A</sup>E-\*-<sup>A</sup>E and <sup>A</sup>E-\*-<sup>B</sup>E was also predicted for 2-4. The AE-\*-AE interactions in 2-4 have SS/Cov-w nature irrespective of the  $\theta_p$  value of about 187° for 3 (Se, S) and 4 (Se, Se) since  $\theta$  values (larger than 180°) are superior to the  $\theta_p$  values in the classification. Although <sup>A</sup>E-\*-<sup>B</sup>E in 2-4 are classified as r-CS interactions based on the criterion of  $93^{\circ} < \theta <$ 102°, they are predicted to be of t-HB-wc, t-HB-wc and CT-MC nature, respectively, based on the  $\theta_p$  values. These results are summarized in Table 2.



Fig. 7 Molecular graphs for 1 (S, S) with contour plot (a) and negative Laplacian map (b) calculated with MP2/BSS-A. BCPs (bond critical points) are denoted by red dots, RCPs (ring critical points) by yellow dots and CCPs (cage critical points) by green dots. BPs (bond paths) are drawn as pink lines and the secondary one as pink dots. They are associated with the BCPs. Carbon, hydrogen and sulfur atoms are shown in black, gray and yellow, respectively. The contours  $(ea_0^{-3})$  for (a) are at  $2^l$  ( $l = \pm 8, \pm 7...$  and 0) with 0.0047 (bold line). Positive and negative areas in (b) are in blue and red lines, respectively.



**Fig. 8** Plots of  $H_b(\mathbf{r}_c)$  versus  $H_b(\mathbf{r}_c) - V_b(\mathbf{r}_c)/2$  for <sup>A</sup>E-\*-<sup>A</sup>E and <sup>A</sup>E-\*-<sup>B</sup>E of **1**-4. (a) Whole plot and (b) magnified plot for <sup>A</sup>E-\*-<sup>B</sup>E. Marks and colours for the species are shown in the figure.

## Conclusion

The high-resolution X-ray diffraction determination of electron densities supported by a rigorous theoretical treatment was performed for **1** (S, S). The valence electron density map exhibits (three-dimensional) saddle points of  $\rho(\mathbf{r})$  between <sup>A</sup>S and <sup>B</sup>S and between <sup>A'</sup>S and <sup>B'</sup>S. Enhanced charge densities at <sup>B</sup>S and <sup>B'</sup>S direct toward to the depleted area around <sup>A</sup>S and <sup>A'</sup>S, respectively, and extend over the backside of the <sup>A</sup>S-A'S bond. The results demonstrate the formation of S<sub>4</sub>  $\sigma(4c-6e)$  of the

 $n_p({}^BS) \rightarrow \sigma^*({}^AS^{-A'}S) \leftarrow n_p({}^{B'}S)$  type. This is supported by the valence electron density map(s) and the deformation density maps in the region around  ${}^BS^{-A'}S^{-A'}S^{-B'}S$ . A pair of VSCCs originating from  ${}^AS$  and  ${}^BS$  merge with each other confirming the presence of the  ${}^AS^{-A'}S^{-B'}S$  merge with each other confirming the presence of the  ${}^AS^{-B'}S$  interaction as well as those on  ${}^{A'}S$  and  ${}^BS$  forming the  ${}^{A'}S^{-B'}S$  interaction. These results together with the conventional  ${}^AS^{-A'}S$  bond confirm the formation of  $S_4 \sigma(4c-6e)$  in 1 (S, S). The formation of  $S_4 \sigma(4c-6e)$  is experimentally demonstrated clearly by BPs with BCPs in the molecular graph for  ${}^BS^{-A'}S^{-A'}S^{-B'}S$ . The  ${}^AS^{-B'}S$  and  ${}^{A'}S^{-B'}S$  interactions are observed on the border area between the p-CS and r-CS interactions. These experimental results are well supported and rationalised by complementary theoretical calculations.

The dual experimental-theoretical approach provides a solid basis for understanding the behavior of  ${}^{B}E^{...A}E^{-A'}E^{...B'}E$  of  $E_4$  $\sigma(4c-6e)$  not only in 1 (S, S) but also in 2–4. This methodology has previously been applied to 2-(2-pyridylimino)-2*H*-1,2,4-thiadiazolo[2,3-*a*]pyridine,<sup>57</sup> for which the behavior of N–E–N  $\sigma(3c-4e)$ (E = S, Se and Te) was clarified. Compilation of these results makes it possible to confirm the real existence and chemistry of hypervalent and extended hypervalent interactions.

### Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was partially supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 17K05785) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan. The authors would like to thank the UK Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council for funding the UK National Crystallography Service.

## Notes and references

- 1 (a) G. C. Pimentel, J. Chem. Phys., 1951, **19**, 446; (b) J. I. Musher, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1969, **8**, 54–68.
- 2 (*a*) W. Nakanishi, S. Hayashi and S. Toyota, *Chem. Commun.*, 1996, 371–372; (*b*) W. Nakanishi, S. Hayashi and S. Toyota, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1998, **63**, 8790–8800.
- 3 W. Nakanishi, S. Hayashi and T. Arai, *Chem. Commun.*, 2002, 2416–2417.
- 4 W. Nakanishi, S. Hayashi, S. Morinaka, T. Sasamori and N. Tokitoh, *New J. Chem.*, 2008, **32**, 1881–1889.
- 5 (a) W. Nakanishi, S. Hayashi and N. Itoh, *Chem. Commun.*, 2003, 124; (b) W. Nakanishi, S. Hayashi and N. Itoh, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2004, 69, 1676; (c) W. Nakanishi, S. Hayashi, S. Yamaguchi and K. Tamao, *Chem. Commun.*, 2004, 140–141.
- 6 (a) W. Nakanishi, Hypervalent Chalcogen Compounds in Handbook of Chalcogen Chemistry: New Perspectives in Sulfur, Selenium and Tellurium, ed. F. A. Devillanova, Royal Society of Chemistry, London, 2006, ch. 10.3, pp. 644–668;
  (b) W. Nakanishi and S. Hayashi in Handbook of Chalcogen Chemistry: New Perspectives in Sulfur, Selenium and Tellurium, ed. F. A. Devillanova and W.-W. du Mont, Royal Society of Chemistry: Cambridge, U.K., 2<sup>nd</sup> edn, 2013, ch. 12.3, vol. 2, pp. 335–372; (c) W. Nakanishi, S. Hayashi, M. Hashimoto, M. Arca, M. C. Aragoni and V. Lippolis in The Chemistry of Organic Selenium and Tellurium Compounds, ed Z. Rappoport, Wiley, New York, 2013, ch. 11, vol. 4, pp. 885–972.
- 7 (a) M. C. Durrant, *Chem. Sci.*, 2015, 6, 6614–6623; (b)
  M. S. Schmøkel, S. Cenedese, J. Overgaard,
  M. R. V. Jørgensen, Y.-S. Chen, C. Gatti, D. Stalke and
  B. B. Iversen, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2012, 51, 8607–8616.
- 8 The Chemistry of Organic Selenium and Tellurium Compounds, ed. Z. Rappoport, Wiley, New York, 2013, ch. 13–16, vol. 4, pp. 989–1236.
- 9 T. P. Kilian, F. R. Knight and J. D. Woollins, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2011, **17**, 2302-2328.
- 10 (a) G. Mugesh, A. Panda, H. B. Singh, N. S. Punekar and R. J. Butcher, Chem. Commun., 1998, 2227-2228; (b) G. Mugesh, A. Panda, H. B. Singh, N. S. Punekar and R. J. Butcher, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2001, 123, 839-850; (c) G. Mugesh, A. Panda, S. Kumar, S. Apte, H. B. Singh and R. J. Butcher, Organometallics, 2002, 21, 884-892; (d) S. Kumar, K. Kandasamy, H. B. Singh and R. J. Butcher, New J. Chem., 2004, 28, 640-645; (e) S. C. Menon, H. B. Singh, J. M. Jasinski, J. P. Jasinski and R. J. Butcher, Organometallics, 1996, 15, 1707–1712; (f) J. E. Drake, M. B. Hursthouse, M. Kulcsar, M. E. Light and A. Silvestru, Phosphorus, Sulfur Silicon Relat. Elem., 2001, 169, 293-296; (g) J. E. Drake, M. B. Hursthouse, M. Kulcsar, M. E. Light and A. Silvestru, J. Organomet. Chem., 2001, 623, 153-160; (h) J. R. Anacona, J. Gomez and D. Lorono, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C: Cryst. Struct. Commun., 2003, 59, 0277o280; (i) G. Mugesh, H. B. Singh and R. J. Butcher, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., 1999, 1229-1236; (j) G. Mugesh, H. B. Singh

and R. J. Butcher, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1999, 577, 243–248; (*k*) D. Shimizu, N. Takeda and N. Tokitoh, *Chem. Commun.*, 2006, 177–178.

- 11 (a) R.-F. Hu, Y.-H. Wen, J. Zhang, Z.-J. Li and Y.-G. Yao, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. E: Struct. Rep. Online, 2004, 60, 02029–02031; (b) S. Wang, H. Mao-Lin and F. Chen, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. E: Struct. Rep. Online, 2004, 60, m413–m415; (c) X.-J. Wang, Z.-F. Chen, B.-S. Kang, H. Liang, H.-Q. Liu, K.-B. Yu, C.-Y. Su and Z.-N. Chen, Polyhedron, 1999, 18, 647–655.
- 12 (a) J. R. Anacona, J. Gomez and D. Lorono, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C: Cryst. Struct. Commun., 2003, 59, 0277–0280; (b)
  T. C. W. Mak, W.-H. Yip, W.-H. Chan, G. Smith and C. H. L. Kennard, Aust. J. Chem., 1989, 42, 1403–1406.
- 13 W. Nakanishi, Y. Tsubomoto and S. Hayashi, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, 6, 93195–93204.
- 14 Similar  $E_4 \sigma(4c-6e)$  interactions were reported in  $(EPR_2NPR_2E-)_2$  (E = S, Se, Te: R = i-Pr or *t*-Bu), recently. The elongation of the central E–E bonds is estimated to be 2, 6, and 8%, relative to the corresponding typical values, for E = S, Se, and Te, respectively.
- 15 J. S. Ritch, T. Chivers, D. J. Eisler and H. M. Tuononen, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2007, **13**, 4643-4653.
- 16 See also, T. Chivers, D. J. Eisler, J. S. Ritch and H. M. Tuononen, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2005, **44**, 4953–4956.
- 17 (a) G. E. Garrett, G. L. Gibson, R. N. Straus, D. S. Seferos and M. S. Taylor, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2015, 137, 4126-4133; (b) M. E. Brezgunova, J. Lieffrig, E. Aubert, S. Dahaoui, P. Fertey, S. Lebègue, J. A. Ángyán, M. Fourmigué and E. Espinosa, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2013, 13, 3283-3289; (c) F. T. Burling and B. M. Goldstein, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1992, 114, 2313-2320; (d) Y. Nagao, T. Hirata, S. Goto, S. Sano, A. Kakehi, K. Iizuka and M. Shiro, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1998, 120, 3104-3110; (e) A. F. Cozzolino, P. J. W. Elder and I. Vargas-Baca, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2011, 255, 1426-1438; (f) H. Zhao and F. Gabbaï, Nat. Chem., 2010, 2, 984-990; (g) W. Wang, B. Ji and Y. Zhang, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2009, 113, 8132-8135.
- 18 (a) W. Nakanishi, S. Hayashi and K. Narahara, *J. Phys. Chem.* A, 2009, **113**, 10050–10057; (b) W. Nakanishi, S. Hayashi and K. Narahara, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2008, **112**, 13593–13599.
- 19 W. Nakanishi and S. Hayashi, *Curr. Org. Chem.*, 2010, 14, 181–197.
- 20 W. Nakanishi and S. Hayashi, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2010, 114, 7423-7430.
- 21 W. Nakanishi, S. Hayashi, K. Matsuiwa and M. Kitamoto, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2012, **85**, 1293–1305.
- 22 (a) S. Hayashi, K. Matsuiwa, M. Kitamoto and W. Nakanishi, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2013, 117, 1804–1816; (b) Y. Sugibayashi, S. Hayashi and W. Nakanishi, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2015, 17, 28879–28891; (c) S. Hayashi, Y. Sugibayashi and W. Nakanishi, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2016, 18, 9948– 9960; (d) S. Hayashi, K. Matsuiwa, N. Nishizawa and W. Nakanishi, J. Org. Chem., 2015, 80, 11963–11976; (e) Y. Sugibayashi, S. Hayashi and W. Nakanishi, ChemPhysChem, 2016, 17, 2579–2589.
- 23 (a) R. F. W. Bader, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2009, **113**, 10391–10396;
  (b) C. Foroutan-Nejad, S. Shahbazian and R. Marek, *Chem.*-

*Eur. J.*, 2012, **18**, 4982–4993; (*c*) M. Garcia-Revilla, E. Francisco, P. L. A. Popelier and A. M. Pendás, *ChemPhysChem*, 2013, **14**, 1211–1218; (*d*) Z. A. Keyvani, S. Shahbazian and M. Zahedi, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2016, **22**, 5003–5009.

- 24 Atoms in Molecules. A Quantum Theory, ed. R. F. W. Bader, Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, 1990.
- 25 C. F. Matta and R. J. Boyd, An Introduction to the Quantum Theory of Atoms in Molecules in *The Quantum Theory of Atoms in Molecules: From Solid State to DNA and Drug Design*, ed. C. F. Matta and R. J. Boyd, WILEY-VCH, Weinheim, Germany, 2007, ch. 1.
- 26 (a) F. Biegler-König and J. Schönbohm, J. Comput. Chem., 2002, 23, 1489–1494; (b) F. Biegler-König, J. Schönbohm and D. Bayles, J. Comput. Chem., 2001, 22, 545–559; (c) R. F. W. Bader, J. Phys. Chem. A, 1998, 102, 7314–7323; (d) R. F. W. Bader, Chem. Rev., 1991, 91, 893–926; (e) R. F. W. Bader, Acc. Chem. Res., 1985, 18, 9–15; (f) T. H. Tang, R. F. W. Bader and P. MacDougall, Inorg. Chem., 1985, 24, 2047–2053; (g) R. F. W. Bader, T. S. Slee, D. Cremer and E. Kraka, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1983, 105, 5061–5068; (h) F. Biegler-König, R. F. W. Bader and T. H. Tang, J. Comput. Chem., 1982, 3, 317–328.
- 27 J. A. Dobado, H. Martînez-Garcîa, J. Molina and M. R. Sundberg, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2000, 122, 1144–1149.
- 28 J. Molina and J. A. Dobado, *Theor. Chem. Acc.*, 2001, **105**, 328– 337.
- 29 S. K. Ignatov, N. H. Rees, B. R. Tyrrell, S. R. Dubberley,
   A. G. Razuvaev, P. Mountford and G. I. Nikonov, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2004, 10, 4991–4999.
- 30 S. K. Tripathi, U. Patel, D. Roy, R. B. Sunoj, H. B. Singh,
  G. Wolmershäuser and R. J. Butcher, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2005,
  70, 9237–9247.
- 31 (a) M. Yamashita, Y. Yamamoto, K.-y. Akiba, D. Hashizume,
  F. Iwasaki, N. Takagi and S. Nagase, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005,
  127, 435–4371; (b) Y. Yamamoto and K.-y. Akiba, *J. Synth. Org. Chem., Jpn.*, 2004, 62, 1128–1137.
- 32 W. Nakanishi, T. Nakamoto, S. Hayashi, T. Sasamori and N. Tokitoh, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2007, **13**, 255–268.
- 33 Some specific interactions are tried to analyze employing QTAIM. See, I. S. Bushmarinov, M. Y. Antipin, V. R. Akhmetova, G. R. Nadyrgulova and K. A. Lyssenko, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2008, **112**, 5017–5023, for an example.
- 34 CrystalClear, Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan, 2009.
- 35 CrysalisPro, Agilent Technologies, Oxfordshire, 2013.
- 36 R. H. Blessing, J. Appl. Crystallogr., 1997, 30, 421-426.
- 37 G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Found. Crystallogr., 2008, 64, 112–122.
- 38 N. K. Hansen and P. Coppens, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Cryst. Phys., Diffr., Theor. Gen. Crystallogr., 1978, 34, 909–921.
- 39 A. Volkov, P. Macchi, L. J. Farrugia, C. Gatti, P. Mallinson, T. Richter and T. Koritsanszky, XD2016, A Computer Program Package for Multipole Refinement, Topological Analysis of Charge Densities and Evaluation of Intermolecular Energies from Experimental and Theoretical Structure Factors, 2016.

- 40 E. Clementi and C. Roetti, *At. Data Nucl. Data Tables*, 1974, 14, 177–478.
- 41 J. Overgaard and D. E. Hibbs, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Found. Crystallogr.*, 2004, **60**, 480–487.
- 42 E. Espinosa, E. Molins and C. Lecomte, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1997, **56**, 1820–1833.
- 43 P. M. Dominiak and P. Coppens, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Found. Crystallogr., 2006, 62, 224–227.
- 44 S. Pillet, M. Souhassou, Y. Pontillon, A. Caneschi,
  D. Gatteschi and C. Lecomte, *New J. Chem.*, 2001, 25, 131–143.
- 45 D. Leusser, J. Henn, N. Kocher, B. Engels and D. J. Stalke, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 1781–1793.
- 46 S. Dahaoui, V. Pichon-Pesme, J. A. K. Howard and C. J. Lecomte, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 1999, **103**, 6240–6250.
- 47 E. Clementi and D. L. J. Raimondi, *Chem. Phys.*, 1963, 38, 2686–2689.
- 48 International Union of Crystallography (IUCr), *International Tables for Crystallography*, Kluwer Academic, Norwell, MA, 2004, vol. C, pp. 796–811.
- 49 M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. P. Hratchian, A. F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J. L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. A. Montgomery Jr, J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, J. E. Knox, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, V. G. Zakrzewski, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, Ö. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski and D. J. Fox, Gaussian 09 (Revision D.01), Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2009.
- 50 (a) C. Møller and M. S. Plesset, *Phys. Rev.*, 1934, 46, 618–622;
  (b) J. Gauss, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1993, 99, 3629–3643; (c) J. Gauss, *Ber. Bunsen-Ges. Phys. Chem.*, 1995, 99, 1001–1008.
- 51 T. Lu, *Multiwfn: Multifunctional wavefunction analyzer*, http:// Multiwfn.codeplex.com.
- 52 F. Biegler-König, The AIM2000 program (Version 2.0) is employed to analyze and visualize atoms-in-molecules, *J. Comput. Chem.*, 2000, **21**, 1040–1048. See also ref. 21*b*.
- 53 W. Nakanishi and S. Hayashi, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2013, 117, 1795-1803.
- 54 The S–S distance in 1 (S, S) is longer than that in 1-NapS– SNap-1', which will be discussed elsewhere.
- 55 A. Bondi, J. Phys. Chem., 1964, 68, 441-451.
- 56 E. D. Glendening, C. R. Landis and F. Weinhold, *J. Comput. Chem.*, 2013, **34**, 1429–1437.
- 57 W. Nakanishi, S. Hayashi, M. B. Pitak, M. B. Hursthouse and S. J. Coles, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2011, **115**, 11775–11787.