RSC Advances

PAPER

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2018, 8, 1744

Received 16th November 2017 Accepted 24th December 2017

DOI: 10.1039/c7ra12402c

rsc.li/rsc-advances

1. Introduction

Tetracycline antibiotics (TCs), such as tetracycline (TC), oxytetracycline (OTC), and chlorotetracycline (CTC) are widely used in livestock feeding operations and in disease control for humans and animals.¹ It is reported that only a small portion of TCs is used in metabolism, 50–80% of the parental compounds are excreted through the feces and urine. As a result, TC residues are frequently detected in aquatic environments.² Moreover, heavy metals have been commonly used in various chemical industries such as plating, mining and smelting, electroplating industries and petroleum refining.^{3,4} Also, natural water is polluted by heavy metals along with the discharge of industrial wastewater. Therefore, the coexistence of TCs and heavy metals is observed in the environment.⁵⁻⁷ This has led to great concern due to their increased stability and toxicity.^{6,8-10} For example, a long-term exposure to antibiotics and/or heavy metals causes the occurrence and spread of resistance genes.⁸ Most recently, a signicant increase in TC resistance was found in the presence of environmentally relevant levels of Cu.¹¹ Thus, the treatment of polluted aqueous effluents for simultaneous

Simultaneous removal of tetracycline and Cu(II) by adsorption and coadsorption using oxidized activated carbon

Qingdong Qin,<s[u](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8545-0590)p>a</sup> Xian Wu,^a Liwei Chen,^b Zhongshuai Jiang^a and Yan Xu D^{*a}

Co-contamination of antibiotics and heavy metals prevails in the environment. To overcome the obstacle of low metal uptake on activated carbon and to achieve simultaneous removal of tetracycline (TC) and Cu(ii) from water, coconut shell based granular activated carbon (GAC) treated with nitric acid was utilized. GAC property characterization showed that oxidation treatment distinctly decreased the surface area of GAC and significantly increased the content of oxygen containing functional groups. The oxidized GAC exhibited greater adsorption capacity for individual TC and Cu(II). Kinetics studies demonstrated that although the overall removal rate of coexisting TC and Cu(II) decreased, the ultimate removal efficiency was further enhanced in the binary system. The adsorption isotherms were well described by Langmuir and Freundlich models. Moreover, the maximum adsorption capacities of coexisting TC and Cu(II) with oxidized GAC kept increasing within a pH range of 3.0–6.0, indicating an electrostatic repulsion mechanism as well as a competition for adsorption sites. Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analysis revealed that the enhanced removal of TC and Cu(II) was very likely as a result of coadsorption by forming TC-Cu(II) complexes bridging between the adsorbate and the adsorbent. PAPER
 (A) Cheek for updates
 Simultaneous removal of tetracycline and Cu(II)
 adsorption and coadsorption using oxidized

City of the KS And, 2018, 2018, 2018

2019 the SC And, 2018, 2018

2019 the SC And, 2018 and

removal of TCs and heavy metals is crucial for water pollution control and ecological risk management.

YAL SOCIETY
CHEMISTRY

To date, a variety of processes or methods have been widely employed for the removal of antibiotics or heavy metals from wastewater.^{2,3} Among the treatment options applied, considerable attentions have been paid to adsorption technology as an effective and simple separation process. Many materials are used as adsorbents, such as carbon adsorbents, clay and minerals, metal oxides and chitosan.^{2,3,12} As a most commonly used adsorbent, activated carbon is expected to have a high capacity for the removal of TCs due to its high specific surface area, abundant pore structures, and strong interactions. However, it has a relatively low adsorption capacity for the removal of heavy metals. The possible reason is the limited numbers of targeted functional groups such as –COOH, –OH, –NH₂, –SH and –SO₃H on the surface of activated carbon.^{13–15} Therefore, to improve the adsorption capacity for heavy metals, modification of activated carbon has been proposed.¹⁶

It has been shown that heavy metals adsorption by activated carbon greatly relies upon surface acidity and special surface functionality, where the removal mechanisms may comprise of electrostatic interaction, ion exchange and coordination to functional groups.17,18 A common technique to improve heavy metals adsorption is through chemical oxidation, which is able to introduce a variety of acidic surface functional groups on the surface of activated carbon.¹⁹ A variety of oxidizing agents such as $HNO₃$, $H₂O₂$, $(NH₄)₂S₂O₈$, $KMnO₄$, and NaOCl have been

[&]quot;School of Civil Engineering, Southeast University, Nanjing 210096, China. E-mail: xuxucalmm@seu.edu.cn; Fax: +86 25 83790757; Tel: +86 25 83790757

^bCollege of Biology and the Environment, Nanjing Forestry University, Nanjing 210037, China

widely utilized.^{20,21} Among them, $HNO₃$ is the most frequently used one, as its oxidizing specifications can be easily controlled by concentration and temperature. During oxidation, surface characteristics of activated carbon are altered due to the introduction of new functional groups such as carboxylic, phenolics, lactones and carbonyl, which can ultimately increase the adsorption capacity for heavy metal ions.²²

So far not much is known about the interactions between TCs and heavy metals in binary system and the literature on adsorption process mainly focus on mono-component solutions.2,3 The presence of multiple pollutants in the same solution may signicantly affect the removal performance of the adsorbent.6,23,24 The interaction between TCs and adsorbents may vary in the presence of heavy metals as well as the presence of TCs may change the behavior of heavy metals toward adsorbent. It has been reported that heavy metal ions and antibiotics could form ternary surface complexes, either through the adsorption of metal–ligand aqueous complexes by electrostatic forces (outer–sphere complexes), or through the formation of inner sphere structures.6,23,25 However, to the best knowledge of the authors, the shifts of the adsorption behaviors of TCs and heavy metals on granular activated carbon (GAC) remain unknown. Paper

We will accel and New York Distribution on the most promotion on Papel on Operation Space are also the state of the state o

In this work, we chose TC and $Cu(II)$ as common representatives of antibiotics and heavy metals, respectively. The ultimate goal of the present study was to simultaneously remove TC and $Cu(II)$ from water. To achieve this objective, GAC was oxidized by nitric acid, and the influences of several operating parameters such as contact time, initial contaminant concentration and pH on the removal of TC and $Cu(II)$ were comprehensively investigated. Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) of oxidized GAC before and after adsorption were also performed to identify the possible adsorption mechanisms. The improved performance of GAC in uptaking TC and $Cu(II)$ and the adsorption and coadsorption mechanisms can provide more insights of complex pollution treatment in aquatic systems.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials

Tetracycline hydrochloride (TC, 95%, w/w) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and its molecular structure is shown in Fig. 1. It has multiple ionizable functional groups, including a dimethylammonium, a tricarbonylamide group and a phenolic diketone group, which exists three pK_a values of 3.3, 7.7 and 9.7.²⁶ Therefore, due to protonation or deprotonation reactions, it

shows different ionic species in different pH values, as depicted in Fig. 2.

All other chemicals (analytical grade) used in the study were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used without further puri fication. All solutions were prepared using 18 M Ω deionized $H₂O$ at neutral pH (Millipore, USA).

Coconut shell based granular activated carbon (GAC) kindly provided by Osaka Gas Chemicals Japan was used in this study. The particle size of GAC was 0.4–0.8 mm. The original GAC was washed by deionized water to an invariable pH value and desiccated at 378 K for 24 h. The oxidation of GAC was carried out as follows: 100 mL of a concentrated nitric acid solution (10 mol L^{-1}) was introduced into a 250 mL flask and heated to 363 K using a constant temperature thermal bath device with condenser. Then 5 g of original GAC was immersed into the boiling nitric acid for 12 h. The modified GAC was washed with deionized water until to neutral pH and dried at 378 K for 24 h. Finally, it was designated as GAC_{ox} .

2.2. Characterization

Prior to characterization, GAC and GAC_{ox} were dried at 105 °C for 24 h to remove the adsorbed moisture and were kept sealed under dry air in a desiccator. The surface acidic functional groups and acidic/basic sites were determined by Boehm titrations as described previously.²⁷ The pH of the point of zero charge (pH_{pzc}) of samples was established using a method suggested by Noh and Schwarz.²⁸ Nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms were measured at 77 K on a Micromeritics ASAP 2020 sorptometer following the manufacture's introduction. Prior to measurement, the samples were outgassed for 16 h at 110 °C and 10^{-6} Torr. FTIR spectra were performed on the Spectrum One spectrometer from 400 to 4000 cm^{-1} by dried KBr pellet. XPS of the above mentioned samples were recorded on a spectrometer (Perkin-Elmer PHI-5300/ESCA, USA) with an Al Ka X-ray source. All the binding energies were referenced to the neutral C 1s peak at 284.6 eV to compensate for the surface charging effects. The XPS results were collected as binding energy forms and fitted using a curve-fitting program following the data analysis guide of XPSPEAK41 software.

Fig. 1 Structure of TC. The structure of TC species as a function of pH.

2.3. Adsorption experiments

The effect of contact time on TC and $Cu(n)$ adsorption onto adsorbent was examined at pH 4.0. The adsorption experiments were carried out by mixing 0.1 g of adsorbent with a 250 mL aqueous solution in a 500 mL stirred flask at a temperature of 25 °C. Samples were periodically withdrawn to determine the residual concentrations of TC and $Cu(II)$ in the solution. The volume of each sample was 1 mL, and the total variation of the solution volume due to sampling was less than 6.0%.

The adsorption percentages of TC and $Cu(II)$ were calculated by the differences of initial and final concentrations using the equation expressed as follow:

$$
\text{Removal}(\%) = \frac{(C_0 - C)}{C_0} \times 100 \tag{1}
$$

where $C_0 \, (\text{mg L}^{-1})$ is the initial concentration of adsorbate in solution, C (mg L^{-1}) is the concentration of adsorbate in solution at time t .

The adsorption isotherm experiments of TC and $Cu(II)$ were performed using a batch experiment. In brief, 0.02 g of adsorbent was placed in a 100 mL flask, into which 50 mL of adsorbate solution with varying initial concentrations were added. The experiments were performed in a temperature-controlled water bath shaker for eight days (time to reach equilibrium) at a mixing speed of 180 rpm. When the equilibrium was thought to be established, the solutions were filtered and analyzed for the remaining concentration of adsorbate. Solidphase adsorbate concentrations at equilibrium, $q_\mathrm{e}\ (\mathrm{mg}\ \mathrm{g}^{-1}),$ were calculated according to eqn (2):

$$
q_{\rm e} = \frac{(C_0 - C_{\rm e})V}{M} \tag{2}
$$

where $C_{\rm e}$ (mg $\rm L^{-1})$ is the equilibrium aqueous-phase concentration of adsorbate; $V(L)$ is the volume of the aqueous solution; M (g) is the mass of dry adsorbent used in the experiments.

The effect of solution pH on adsorbate adsorption was investigated according to the following procedure. In brief, 0.02 g of $\mathrm{GAC}_{\mathrm{ox}}$ was added to a series of 100 mL flasks each containing 50 mL of adsorbate solution. The solution pH was adjusted to a pH range from 3.0 to 6.0 using 0.1 mol L^{-1} HCl or 0.1 mol L^{-1} NaOH solutions. NaNO $_3 \, (0.01 \, \mathrm{mol} \, \mathrm{L}^{-1})$ was used to keep constant ionic strength. The flasks were then sealed and placed in the shaker at 25 °C with a speed of 150 rpm for eight days. Unless stated otherwise, all experiments were performed in duplicate.

2.4. Analytical method

Aqueous TC concentration was determined by a reversed phase High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) (Waters, USA) equipped with UV-visible detection at the wavelength of 360 nm, using a symmetry C18 column $(4.6 \times 150 \text{ mm}, 5 \text{ }\mu\text{m})$ spheres, Waters). The injection volume was $20 \mu L$ and the mobile phase was a mixture of methanol–water containing 0.01 mol L^{-1} oxalic acid at a flow rate of 1 mL min⁻¹. Due to the presence of relatively high concentration of oxalic acid, $Cu(II)$ could not affect the UV absorption of TC. Moreover, it should be pointed out that no apparent peaks were detected in the HPLC spectra for potential degraded/transformed products of TC. The residual concentration of $Cu(II)$ in the solution was determined by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) method.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Characterization of GAC and GAC_{ox}

The textural and chemical characteristics of the carbon samples are given in Table 1. The oxidation treatment significantly decreased the specific surface area of the original GAC and almost completely destroyed the pore structure, which can be attributed to erosion and blockage of pores by degradation products produced during chemical reaction.¹⁶ Similar results were also found by other researchers using concentrated nitric acid to modify GAC.²⁹ The elemental composition obtained from the XPS analysis showed a significant increase in oxygen content between the original and modified GAC. This is directly related to the increase in oxygen containing surface groups that was confirmed by acid/base titration. As a result, the pH_{pzc} value of GAC changed from 7.4 to less than 5.8, which is favored for adsorption of heavy metals under neutral pH. **Excess Articles Contains Conservation** The different conservation on 2018. The conservation of the significant of the signifi

3.2. Adsorption study

Adsorption of individual TC and $Cu(n)$ on GAC with and without oxidization is shown in Fig. 3. It is observed that the amount of the TC or Cu(π) adsorbed by GAC_{ox} was much greater than that of the raw GAC. Notably, the amount of the $Cu(II)$ adsorbed by GAC_{ox} was approximately one order of magnitude higher than that of raw GAC, which was mainly due to the increased content of acidic surface functional groups on the surface of GAC after oxidization. It was proposed that more content of acidic surface functional groups could reduce the pH_{pzc} (Table 1).¹⁶ At experimental pH 4.0, the surface of raw GAC was expected to be dominantly positive-charged, which was not conducive to $Cu(II)$ adsorption due to electrostatic repulsion. Although the surface of GAC_{ox} was also positive-charged, the content of acidic surface functional groups in GAC_{ox} was significantly higher than that in GAC, which favored $Cu(II)$ adsorption. Similar results were also observed by other researchers using oxidized activated carbon

Fig. 3 Adsorption of TC (a) and Cu(II) (b) by GACs (adsorbent dosage $=$ 0.4 g L⁻¹, $T = 25$ °C, pH = 4.0).

to remove heave metals.¹⁴ Therefore, GAC_{ox} was used in the following adsorption experiment.

3.2.1. Effect of contact time. The removal of TC and $Cu(II)$ by GAC_{ox} varying with contact time is illustrated in Fig. 4. For TC adsorption (Fig. 4a), in single system, the equilibrium was reached after 120 h for TC adsorption and the removal of TC (initial concentration 250 mg L^{-1}) at equilibrium was 62.1%. In binary system, where $Cu(n)$ was present in the solution, the equilibrium was reached after around 200 h. The removal of TC (initial concentration 250 mg L^{-1}) in the presence of 50 mg L⁻¹ Cu(II) and 100 mg L⁻¹ Cu(II) was found to be 76.2% and 72.1%, respectively. The coexisting $Cu(II)$ even enhanced the overall adsorption of TC, but with a much longer equilibrium time. For $Cu(II)$ adsorption (Fig. 4b), the equilibrium time in single system was about 12 h and the removal of $Cu(II)$ (initial concentration 50 mg L^{-1}) at equilibrium was 63.0%. When TC was coexisted in the solution, the equilibrium was reached after around 200 h. The removal of $Cu(II)$ (initial concentration 50 mg L^{-1}) in the presence of 250 mg L^{-1} TC and 500 mg L^{-1} TC was 76.3% and 73.9%, respectively. In other words, the presence of TC favored the overall removal of $Cu(II)$ but with a much slower uptake rate. These results indicate that the coexistence of TC and $Cu(II)$ could significantly increase mass transfer resistances. On the other side, the synergic effect of TC and $Cu(II)$ leads to an increasing number of available adsorption sites on GAC_{ox} .

Fig. 4 Effect of contact time on TC (a) and Cu(II) (b) removal by GAC_{ox} (adsorbent dosage = 0.4 g L⁻¹, T = 25 °C, pH = 4.0).

In order to estimate the rate of TC and $Cu(II)$ adsorption on GAC_{ox} , adsorption rate constants were determined by fitting the experimental adsorption kinetics data to first-order and secondorder kinetic models, respectively.^{30,31}

The pseudo-first-order kinetic model is given as follows:

$$
q_t = q_e (1 - e^{-k_1 t})
$$
 (3)

The above equation can also be expressed in terms of C by using the mass balance equation at time t as follows:

$$
q_t = \frac{(C_0 - C)V}{M} \tag{4}
$$

$$
\frac{C}{C_0} = 1 - \frac{M}{V} q_e (1 - e^{-k_1 t})
$$
\n(5)

The pseudo-second-order kinetic model is expressed by the following equation:

$$
q_t = \frac{k_2 q_e^2 t}{1 + k_2 q_e t} \tag{6}
$$

This equation can be also formulated in terms of C , yielding the following equation:

$$
\frac{C}{C_0} = 1 - \frac{M}{V} \frac{k_2 q_e^2 t}{1 + k_2 q_e t} \tag{7}
$$

where q_t is the amount of adsorbate adsorbed on GAC_{ox} at time t (h), k_1 is the rate constant of pseudo-first-order adsorption $(\mathrm{h}^{-1}),$ k_2 is the rate constant of the pseudo-second-order model $(g \text{ mg}^{-1} \times h)$.

The results from fitting experimental data with pseudo-first and pseudo-second-order models are presented in Table 2. It is clear to see that adsorption rate could be fitted well using both two kinetic models (evidenced from the correlation coefficients, >0.970). The k_1 and k_2 values decreased significantly in the presence of TC or $Cu(n)$, indicating the increase of resistance to mass transfer. The possible reason might be competition adsorption and steric effect. Given that TC and $Cu(II)$ can be both adsorbed by GAC_{ox} , they may compete with each other for space and/or sites on GAC_{ox} , resulting in a decrease of adsorption rate. Moreover, TC and $Cu(II)$ could form complexes in the binary system. The complexation constants (log K) of TC with Cu(II) were -0.6 and -7.3 and the speciation distributions of TC complexation with $Cu(II)$ are shown in Fig. 5.²⁶ It can been seen that approximately 65.4% of the TC forms CuHTC⁺ with Cu(II) at pH 4.0, which leads to the increasing of molecular size and forms pore blockage and finally reduces the adsorption rate. These results provide more insights in the design of an adsorption column, as the adsorption rate in the binary system is signicantly slower than that in the single system. **Excel**
 $C_0 = 1 - \frac{M}{l} + \frac{K_B T_l}{R_c^2}$ (1)

(b), k , is the race constant of persistention $64C_{\text{tot}}$ articles.

(p) $\frac{1}{l}$, k is the race constant of persistention $\frac{2}{l}$

(g) $\frac{1}{l}$, k , is the race const

3.2.2. Adsorption isotherms. Adsorption isotherms of TC and Cu(π) onto GAC_{ox} at temperature of 25 °C are plotted in Fig. 6. As seen in Fig. 6a, the amount of TC adsorbed on GAC_{ox} in the absence of Cu(II) increased from 190.0 to 546.7 mg $g^$ when the initial TC concentration increased from 100 to 500 mg L^{-1} . The adsorption amount of TC in the presence of $Cu(II)$ was also investigated, and the results reveal that the coexisting $Cu(II)$ increases the adsorption capacity for TC onto GAC_{ox}. Similarly, the adsorption of Cu(π) was also enhanced in the presence of TC (Fig. 6b).

In order to describe the adsorption isotherms, two most important isotherms were used in this study, the Langmuir and Freundlich isotherms:

$$
q_{\rm e} = \frac{Q_0 K_{\rm L} C_{\rm e}}{1 + K_{\rm L} C_{\rm e}} \tag{8}
$$

Table 2 Adsorption rate parameters for the pseudo-first and pseudosecond-order models

Adsorbate	Coexisting compound	Pseudo-first- order model		Pseudo-second-order model	
		k_1 (h ⁻¹) R^2		k_2 (g mg ⁻¹ × h) R^2	
TC	No	0.058	0.979	0.065	0.994
	50 mg L ⁻¹ Cu(II)	0.018	0.994	0.007	0.993
	100 mg L^{-1} Cu(II)	0.017	0.992	0.008	0.992
Cu(_{II})	No	0.312	0.993	0.246	0.986
	$250 \mathrm{~mg}~\mathrm{L}^{-1} \mathrm{~TC}$	0.035	0.984	0.030	0.993
	500 mg L^{-1} TC	0.019	0.980	0.016	0.974

Fig. 5 Speciation distributions of TC complexation with Cu(II) as a function of pH (TC = 250 mg L⁻¹, Cu(ii) = 50 mg L⁻¹).

$$
q_{\rm e} = K_{\rm F} C_{\rm e}^{1/n} \tag{9}
$$

where $q_e \, (\text{mg g}^{-1})$ is the amount of adsorbate adsorbed per gram of GAC $_{\rm ox}$ at equilibrium; $C_{\rm e}$ $({\rm mg\; L^{-1}})$ is the equilibrium concentration of adsorbate in solution; Q_0 (mg g^{-1}) is the maximum monolayer adsorption capacity; $K_{\rm L}$ (L mg $^{-1}$) is the constant related to the free energy of adsorption; K_F is a Freundlich isotherm constant for the system and the slope 1/

Fig. 6 Adsorption isotherms of TC (a) and Cu(ii) (b) on GAC_{ox} (adsorbent dosage = 0.4 g L⁻¹, T = 25 °C, pH = 4.0).

Adsorbate	Coexisting compound	Langmuir model			Freundlich model		
		Q_0 (mg g^{-1})	$K_{\rm L}$ (L mg ⁻¹)	R^2	$K_{\rm F}$	1/n	R^2
TC	No.	634.0	0.017	0.993	67.4	0.37	0.961
	50 mg L $^{-1}$ Cu(II)	691.1	0.040	0.956	122.9	0.32	0.991
	100 mg L ⁻¹ Cu(II)	714.8	0.028	0.970	97.0	0.36	0.988
Cu(_{II})	No.	117.4	0.169	0.980	40.4	0.25	0.971
	$250 \mathrm{\; mg \; L}^{-1} \mathrm{\; TC}$	131.4	0.203	0.977	43.9	0.27	0.906
	500 mg L^{-1} TC	126.8	0.240	0.963	43.8	0.27	0.979

Table 3 Parameters of adsorption isotherms of TC and Cu(II) on GAC_{ox}

 n , ranging between 0 and 1, is an indicative of the degree of nonlinearity between solution concentration and adsorption. The isotherm parameters obtained from the fitting curves by Langmuir and Freundlich models are given in Table 3. It is clear to see that adsorption isotherms of TC and $Cu(II)$ can be fitted well using Langmuir and Freundlich models. To facilitate comparison, the maximum adsorption capacities were discussed according to results obtained from the Langmuir model. The maximum monolayer adsorption capacity (Q_0) of TC increased from 634.0 mg g^{-1} to 691.1 mg g^{-1} , when the concentration of Cu(II) increased from 0 to 50 mg L^{-1} . Similar trends were also observed in $Cu(n)$ adsorption. The enhanced adsorption of TC and Cu (n) might be ascribed to the strong complex formation between TC and Cu $\left(\Pi\right)$. As TC and Cu $\left(\Pi\right)$ can be both adsorbed by GAC_{ox} , the TC and Cu(II) could act as a bridge between the adsorbate and the adsorbent, leading to the increase of TC and $Cu(II)$ adsorption. Moreover, when the concentration of TC or Cu(II) further increased, the Q_0 did not change significantly. The possible reason may be the competition adsorption and/or pore blockage at a higher TC or $Cu(II)$ concentration. Paper **Fraction of Cause Article Contents Article Contents Article Contents Article Contents Article Contents Article Contents Article is likely and a straight of the model of the straight of the straight of the straight**

To compare the performance of GAC_{ox} with other adsorbents, the adsorption capacities for organic pollutants and heavy metals found in binary system are summarized in Table 4. Comparative values of Q_0 clearly suggested that GAC_{ox} exhibited excellent adsorption for TC and relatively high adsorption for $Cu(II)$. These results indicate that GAC_{ox} can serve as a potential adsorbent for the simultaneous removal of TC and $Cu(II)$ from contaminated water.

3.2.3. Effect of pH. The pH values of solution can affect surface charges of GAC_{ox} , as well as the degree of the ionization of the TC. The speciation of TC molecules can exist as cation species H₃TC⁺ (pH < 3.3), zwitterion species H₂TC⁰ (3.3 < pH < 7.7) and anion species HTC⁻ and TC²⁻ (pH > 7.7). The pH_{pzc} of GAC_{ox} was 5.8. The surface of GAC_{ox} was positively charged at $pH \sim pH_{pzc}$, whereas the surface of GAC_{ox} was negatively charged at $pH > pH_{pzc}$. Fig. 7 shows the influence of solution pH on the removal of TC and Cu(π) by GAC_{ox}. Obviously, solution pH is a crucial factor to affect the extent of TC and $Cu(II)$ adsorption onto GAC_{ox}. The removal of TC and Cu(π) was enhanced in the binary system ranged from pH 3.0 to 6.0. Similar observation was also found in the coadsorption of TC and Cu(II) on other adsorbents at $pH < 6.0$ ^{25,26}

As seen in Fig. 7a, the removal of TC in the absence of $Cu(II)$ went up with increasing pH up to 6.0. At pH 6.0, the removal of TC achieved 75.7%. At the pH below 6.0, the low removal efficiencies were mainly due to a repulsive force prevailing between the cationic species of TC in solution and the positively charged surface of GAC_{ox}, and/or a competition between H⁺ ions and the cationic species of TC for binding sites on the surface of GAC_{ox} . When the pH increased from 3.0 to 6.0, most of TC existed as zwitterionic species (Fig. 2). Thus, the adsorption of TC on GAC_{ox} increased along with the decreased electrostatic repulsion between TC and the positively charged surface of GAC_{ox} . When $Cu(II)$ was coexisted in the solution, the removal of TC slightly increased in the pH range of 3.0–6.0. The removal of TC in the presence of $Cu(II)$ reached 85.3% at pH 6.0. Seen in Fig. 5, the predominant TC species is $CuHTC⁺$ at pH values between

Table 4 Comparison of adsorption capacities of other adsorbents for simultaneous removal of organic pollutants and heavy metals in binary system⁶

Adsorbents		$T(^{\circ}C)$	Q_0 (mg g^{-1})		
	pН		Organic pollutants	Heavy metals	References
GAC_{ox}	4.0	25	714.8 (TC)	126.8 (Cu(II))	This work
Chitosan	5.0	25	41.4 (TC)	95.1 $(Cu(n))$	32
Chelating resin	5.0	25	107.1 (TC)	128.4 (Cu(II))	33
Activated carbon	6.0	25	442.5 (CIP)	4.7 (Ni(n))	34
Bifunctional resin	5.0	20	230.1 (TC)	38.2 $(Cu(n))$	25
Fe-N,N-SBA15	5.5	25	49.9 (TC)	36.0 $(Cu(n))$	24
$MMIC-Fe(m)$	8.0	25	516.3 (TC)	194.3 $(Cd(n))$	12

 a Abbreviations in this table: CIP, ciprofloxacin.

Fig. 7 Effect of pH on TC (a) and Cu(II) (b) by GAC_{ox} (adsorbent dosage $= 0.4$ g L⁻¹, T = 25 °C).

4.0 and 6.0. Meanwhile, the surface of GAC_{ox} was positively charged at pH < 5.8. Therefore, it is expected that the removal of TC in the presence of $Cu(II)$ should be lower than that in the absence of $Cu(II)$ due to electrostatic repulsion. However, the observed removal of TC even slightly increased in the presence of $Cu(II)$. This phenomenon was possibly due to the complexation of TC with the adsorbed $Cu(II)$, that was, through formation of a GAC_{ox} -Cu(II)–TC ternary complex.⁷

As seen in Fig. 7b, the removal of $Cu(II)$ in the absence of TC with an increase of pH from 3.0 to 6.0 raised from 65.6% to 91.3%. At pH values below the onset of $Cu(II)$ precipitation, the variations in adsorbed amount with pH could be explained on the basis of the number of negatively charged sites on the surface of GAC_{ox} . As pH increased, more and more H⁺ ions tended to leave the GAC_{ox} surface resulting in more negatively charged sites available, which favored $Cu(II)$ adsorption due to the reduced electrostatic repulsion. Hence, positively charged $Cu(II)$ ions will ion exchange and/or complex with oxygenated surface functional groups at pH values in the range 3.0–6.0. In the presence of TC, TC was found to favor $Cu(II)$ adsorption at $pH \leq 6.0$. The role of TC on Cu(II) adsorption may incorporate several different mechanisms: (1) enhanced adsorption by forming ternary surface complexes, (2) reduced Cu (n) adsorption due to competition between the surface ligands and the TC ligands for Cu(π), and (3) competition between TC and Cu(π) for surface sites.⁶ Therefore, the reason for the enhanced $Cu(II)$

adsorption was most likely due to $Cu(n)$ adsorption onto GAC_{ox} surface through complexation of $Cu(II)$ with the adsorbed TC to form a GAC_{ox} -TC-Cu(II) ternary complex. Additionally, in the pH range of 3.0–6.0, Cu(π) can complex with H₂TC⁰ to form $CuHTC⁺$ species (Fig. 5), which helps to decrease the number of positively charged $Cu(II)$ and reduce electrostatic repulsion between Cu(π) and the positively charged surface of GAC_{ox} and ultimately enhances the Cu(π) adsorption on GAC_{ox}.

3.3. FTIR and XPS analysis

The FTIR spectra of GAC_{ox} before and after adsorption are shown in Fig. 8. In the case of pure GAC_{ox} , the spectrum exhibited the absorption bands at 3427, 1719, 1599 and 1219 cm^{-1} . The broadened band around 3427 cm^{-1} can be assigned to the bending vibration of adsorbed molecular water and stretching vibration of hydroxyl group. The peak at 1719 cm⁻¹ was attributed to the stretching vibration of C=O in ketones, lactones, and carboxyl groups.35,36 The peak at 1599 cm^{-1} was ascribed to aromatic ring stretching vibration.^{35,36} The broadened band around 1219 cm^{-1} was usually attributed to a C–O bond.³⁵ It can be seen that the peaks at 1719, 1599 and 1219 cm^{-1} shifted after TC adsorption. This suggests that these groups participate in the formation of a coordination complex with TC. When TC and Cu(π) were adsorbed on GAC_{ox} simultaneously, the observed FTIR spectra were different from those observed in the absence of $Cu(II)$, indicating that $Cu(II)$ adsorption can take place on the sites where TC was specifically adsorbed. It has been reported that the main mechanism of adsorption of TC by activated carbon including hydrogen bonding, electron donor–acceptor, and $\pi-\pi$ dispersion interaction between the aromatic ring of three antibiotics and the delocalized π electrons present in GAC_{ox}.² The Cu(II) adsorption is mainly due to the formation of metal surface complex with hydroxyl and carboxylic groups on the surface of $\mathrm{GAC}_{\mathrm{ox}}$.^{16,22} Seen in Fig. 8, when TC and $TC/Cu(n)$ were adsorbed on GAC_{ox} , the peak at 1599 cm^{-1} ascribed to aromatic ring stretching vibration was shifted to 1578 cm^{-1} and 1586 cm^{-1} , respectively.

Fig. 8 FTIR spectra of GAC_{ox} before and after adsorption.

Fig. 9 XPS spectra of GAC_{ox} before and after adsorption.

These shifts indicate that $TC-Cu(n)$ complexes can be coadsorbed by GAC_{ox} .

To gain further insight into the mechanism of adsorption and coadsorption onto GAC_{ox} , high resolution XPS Cu 2p_{2/3} spectra were carefully analyzed (Fig. 9). The peak of binding energy for Cu(NO₃)₂ appeared at 934.8 eV (assigned to Cu 2p_{2/3}). After adsorption by GAC_{ox} , a remarkable shift to the low region (from 934.8 to 934.0 eV) was observed, indicating a specific interaction between Cu(π) and GAC_{ox}. Furthermore, when TC was coexisted, the peak changed to 934.5 eV, suggesting that TC could directly affect the binding energy of $Cu(II)$ due to complexation. These findings indicate that the enhanced adsorption of TC in the presence of $Cu(II)$ and the enhanced adsorption of $Cu(II)$ in the presence of TC both could be resulted from the formation of ternary complexes of GAC_{ox} -Cu(II)-TC and GAC_{ox} -TC-Cu(π) during adsorption.

4. Conclusions

The present study shows that the treatment by $HNO₃$ significantly changed the physicochemical properties of GAC such as pH_{pzc} , surface area and groups. Compared to raw GAC, GAC_{ox} was able to adsorb significantly higher amounts of $Cu(II)$ mainly due to the increased content of acidic surface functional groups on the surface of GAC_{ox}. Kinetics studies demonstrated that the removal rate decreased by approximately 69% for TC and by 94% for $Cu(II)$ in the binary system. The maximum adsorption capacity was 634.0 mg g^{-1} for TC and was 117.4 mg g^{-1} for Cu(II) in the single system. While, in binary system, the maximum adsorption capacity increased to 714.8 mg g^{-1} for TC and 131.4 mg g^{-1} for Cu(II). Moreover, overall adsorption of coexisting TC and Cu(π) by GAC_{ox} went up within a pH range of 3.0– 6.0. Batch experiments and FTIR and XPS analyses revealed that the enhanced adsorption of coexisting TC and $Cu(II)$ might be due to the formation of $TC-Cu(n)$ complex bridging between the adsorbate and the adsorbent. Compared to other adsorbents, GAC_{ox} showed excellent adsorption for TC and relatively high adsorption for $Cu(II)$ in binary system. These results suggest the

considerable potential for the oxidized GAC as an adsorbent for the simultaneous removal of TC and $Cu(II)$ from contaminated water.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China [51408119, 41671468 and 51408317], Key Programs of the Chinese Academy of Sciences [KFZD-SW-309], National Science Foundation of the Higher Education Institutions of Jiangsu Province of China [14KJB610006] and Priority Academic Program Development of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions (PAPD). The authors would like to thank Analytical Center of NIGLAS for making this study possible by making laboratory facilities available.

References

- 1 A. K. Sarmah, M. T. Meyer and A. B. A. Boxall, Chemosphere, 2006, 65, 725–759.
- 2 M. B. Ahmed, J. L. Zhou, H. H. Ngo and W. S. Guo, Sci. Total Environ., 2015, 532, 112–126.
- 3 M. K. Uddin, Chem. Eng. J., 2017, 308, 438–462.
- 4 P. Z. Ray and H. J. Shipley, RSC Adv., 2015, 5, 29885–29907.
- 5 Y. Vystavna, P. Le Coustumer and F. Huneau, Environ. Monit. Assess., 2013, 185, 3581–3601.
- 6 Y. J. Wang, D. A. Jia, R. J. Sun, H. W. Zhu and D. M. Zhou, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2008, 42, 3254–3259.
- 7 D. A. Jia, D. M. Zhou, Y. J. Wang, H. W. Zhu and J. L. Chen, Geoderma, 2008, 146, 224–230.
- 8 Y. Zhang, X. Y. Cai, X. M. Lang, X. L. Qiao, X. H. Li and J. W. Chen, Environ. Pollut., 2012, 166, 48–56.
- 9 R. C. Tuckfield and J. V. McArthur, Microb. Ecol., 2008, 55, 595–607.
- 10 J. Berg, M. K. Thorsen, P. E. Holm, J. Jensen, O. Nybroe and K. K. Brandt, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2010, 44, 8724–8728.
- 11 J. X. Song, C. Rensing, P. E. Holm, M. Virta and K. K. Brandt, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2017, 51, 3040–3047.
- 12 A. W. Chen, C. Shang, J. H. Shao, Y. Q. Lin, S. Luo, J. C. Zhang, H. L. Huang, M. Lei and Q. R. Zeng, Carbohydr. Polym., 2017, 155, 19–27.
- 13 N. Li, X. L. Ma, Q. F. Zha, K. Kim, Y. S. Chen and C. S. Song, Carbon, 2011, 49, 5002–5013.
- 14 H. H. Cho, K. Wepasnick, B. A. Smith, F. K. Bangash, D. H. Fairbrother and W. P. Ball, Langmuir, 2010, 26, 967– 981.
- 15 L. J. Xu and J. L. Wang, Appl. Catal., B, 2012, 123, 117–126.
- 16 J. Rivera-Utrilla, M. Sanchez-Polo, V. Gomez-Serrano, P. M. Alvarez, M. C. M. Alvim-Ferraz and J. M. Dias, J. Hazard. Mater., 2011, 187, 1–23.
- 17 J. Rivera-Utrilla and M. Sanchez-Polo, Water Res., 2003, 37, 3335–3340.
- 18 C. Y. Yin, M. K. Aroua and W. Daud, Sep. Purif. Technol., 2007, 52, 403–415.
- 19 V. Strelko and D. J. Malik, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 2002, 250, 213–220.
- 20 B. K. Pradhan and N. K. Sandle, Carbon, 1999, 37, 1323–1332.
- 21 W. Daud and A. H. Houshamnd, J. Nat. Gas Chem., 2010, 19, 267–279.
- 22 J. P. Chen and S. N. Wu, Langmuir, 2004, 20, 2233–2242.
- 23 Z. G. Pei, X. Q. Shan, J. J. Kong, B. Wen and G. Owens, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2010, 44, 915–920.
- 24 Z. Y. Zhang, H. J. Liu, L. Y. Wu, H. C. Lan and J. H. Qu, Chemosphere, 2015, 138, 625–632.
- 25 Y. Ma, Q. Zhou, S. C. Zhou, W. Wang, J. Jin, J. W. Xie, A. M. Li and C. D. Shuang, Chem. Eng. J., 2014, 258, 26–33.
- 26 Y. P. Zhao, Y. Y. Tan, Y. Guo, X. Y. Gu, X. R. Wang and Y. Zhang, Environ. Pollut., 2013, 180, 206–213.
- 27 S. L. Goertzen, K. D. Theriault, A. M. Oickle, A. C. Tarasuk and H. A. Andreas, Carbon, 2010, 48, 1252–1261.
- 28 J. S. Noh and J. A. Schwarz, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 1989, 130, 157–164.
- 29 G. F. Liu, J. Ma, X. C. Li and Q. D. Qin, J. Hazard. Mater., 2009, 164, 1275–1280.
- 30 G. Blanchard, M. Maunaye and G. Martin, Water Res., 1984, 18, 1501–1507.
- 31 R. Ocampo-Perez, R. Leyua-Ramos, J. Rivera-Utrilla, J. V. Flores-Cano and M. Sanchez-Polo, Chem. Eng. Res. Des., 2015, 104, 579–588. **ISC Advances**

16 C. Y. Yin, M. K. Article and W. Daud, Sep. Party Technol., 28 J.S. Noblished Access Article is licensed under Access Articles. Published on 08 January 2018. Downloaded Articles. Downloaded Articles. 2018
	- 32 J. Kang, H. J. Liu, Y. M. Zheng, J. H. Qu and J. P. Chen, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 2010, 344, 117–125.
	- 33 C. Ling, F. Q. Liu, C. Xu, T. P. Chen and A. M. Li, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2013, 5, 11808–11817.
	- 34 Y. Y. Sun, Q. Y. Yue, B. Y. Gao, Y. Gao, X. Xu, Q. Li and Y. Wang, J. Taiwan Inst. Chem. Eng., 2014, 45, 681–688.
	- 35 Y. P. Guo and D. A. Rockstraw, Carbon, 2006, 44, 1464–1475.
	- 36 H. Demiral and C. Gungor, J. Cleaner Prod., 2016, 124, 103– 113.