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Organic coatings have been widely used to protect carbon steel pipelines from external corrosion; however, they often suffer from permeability and weak adhesion. Here we show that synthetic lanthanide bis-phthalocyanine complexes, LnPc2 (Ln = lanthanide metal, Pc = C₂₄H₂₄N₈ denotes the phthalocyanine ligand) can be used to form new nanocomposite coatings to provide corrosion protection to the underlying carbon steel pipelines. Electrochemical studies (EIS and potentiodynamic polarization) showed that the incorporation of LnPc₂ compound (PrPc₂, SmPc₂ and HoPc₂) additives with alkyd coating, leads to a significant increase in the corrosion resistance of carbon steel in 0.5 M HCl solution. The alkyd@LnPc₂ nanocomposite coatings absorb very low water volumes, when compared to the neat alkyd coating. LnPc₂ compounds allowed enhancing the pull-off adhesion of coatings performance from 3.34 MPa to 19.94 MPa. The efficiency of alkyd@HoPc₂ coating appears higher than that of alkyd@PrPc₂ and alkyd@SmPc₂ coatings. The protective properties of alkyd@LnPc₂ coatings were confirmed by SEM, TGA, scratch hardness, impact resistance, bend test and contact angle analysis.

1. Introduction

The best approach to guaranteeing the protection of carbon steel pipelines is to hinder corrosion before it occurs, and organic coatings have long been used for that goal. Organic coatings protect carbon steel pipelines against corrosion by forming a physical barrier that prevents oxygen, water and corrosive ions from reaching the surface of the pipelines.

The two major drawbacks of organic coatings are coating permeability and weak adhesion. Augmenting the adhesion of the organic coating and decreasing the resin pore channels improve the overall performance of the coatings. This extra shielding is typically achieved by incorporating nano sized pigments, which decrease the coating permeability and enhance the coating adhesion.

Recent years have witnessed many efforts to increase the corrosion protection efficiency of organic coating by formation nano-composites formulas. These efforts include adding inorganic and organic particles. Our previous contribution in this field was the application of metal phthalocyanine pigments to improve the corrosion protection performance of the epoxy/metallophthalocyanine nanocomposite coatings. In the present study, lanthanide metal bis-phthalocyanine complexes (LnPc₃) had been used to obtain novel nanocomposite coatings to provide excellent corrosion protection to the underlying carbon steel pipelines. The investigation of the new materials performance involved electrochemical, water permeability and pull-off adhesion SEM, TGA, scratch hardness, impact resistance, bend test and contact angle analysis.

2. Methods

2.1. Materials
Carbon steel plates (supplied from Alex. National Iron and Steel Co.) with the following composition (wt%): 0.02 Cu; 0.012 Ni; 0.7 Mn; 0.005 P; 0.06 C; 0.001 S; 0.002 V; 0.004 Mo; 0.015 Cr; 0.06 Si and balanced Fe, were employed as metallic substrates. Alkyd resin (middle oil length resin, solid content = 50%, viscosity = 2000 mPa s, ATCOAT company), the hardener (isophoronediamine, BASF Co.) and xylene (Purechem Co.) were industrial grade materials and were used as purchased. Hydrochloric acid (35%) used in the study were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

2.2. Synthesis of LnPc₂
The LnPc₂ complexes (Ln = Pr, Sm, Ho) were all synthesized at the Faculty of Chemistry, Opole University (Poland) according to an original procedure reported elsewhere. The lanthanide metals (Ln) typically form double-decker complexes including the trivalent Ln(3+) ion sandwiched between two phthalocyanine ligands. The molecular structure of LnPc₂ along with some average size...
data have been shown in Fig. 1 and the compounds identity was previously confirmed by UV-Vis and FTIR spectroscopy.17

2.3. Preparation of alkyd@LnPc2 nanocomposite coatings
The solvent (xylene), LnPc2 powder (1.0%) and dispersant agent (Polyetherphosphate, Evonik Nutrition & Care GmbH) were mixed and ground to avoid the agglomeration of nanoparticles. Such prepared mixture was incorporated into the alkyd resin (75%). The final composite was mixed using a high speed mechanical stirrer followed by ultrasonication (1 h) and then ground for 2 h to obtain the desired fineness. The hardener was added to the coating composite in the ratio of 1 : 3.

The carbon steel plates were cut into 3.0 × 1.5 cm² pieces. The test plates were pre-treated by mechanical cleaning, degreasing in acetone and rinsing with distilled water before being coated. The nanocomposite coatings were applied on the surface by dip-coating on pretreated carbon steel plates. The dry thicknesses of the coatings (35 ± 10 μm) were measured by a micrometer (B.C. Ames Co.). For the sake of comparison, a number of experiments were conducted with the neat alkyd coating only, without the addition of LnPc2.

2.4. Electrochemical experiments
Electrochemical experiments were recorded in 3 electrodes mode using an ACM potentiostat (Gill AC) controlled with the Z-View program software. A platinum mesh was used as counter electrode and the reference electrode was a saturated calomel electrode (SCE) and all potentials were referenced versus the SCE. EIS measurements were conducted after 7 days of immersion, at open circuit potential (OCP) in the frequency range of 30 kHz to 0.01 Hz, with perturbation amplitude of 10 mV.

The potentiodynamic polarization experiments were carried out in the potential range of (−250 mV) to (+250 mV) vs. OCP with a sweep rate of 0.166 mV s⁻¹.

Each experiment was repeated at least three times under practically identical conditions to provide good reproducibility for the results.

2.5. Characterization experiments
The morphology of the selective samples before and after the corrosion analysis was observed using a scanning electron microscopy (SEM; JEOL).

Pull-off adhesion tester (PAT model GM01/6.3 kN) was used to investigate the adhesion strength of coatings according to the ASTM D4541 standard method.

Scratch hardness for coatings was performed according to ASTM D7027 using Elcometer 3025 Scratch/Shear Tester.

Impact resistance for coatings was performed according to ASTM D2794 using BYK-Gardner ISO Impact Tester.

The bend test was performed in accordance with ASTM D522 using TQC Bend Test Conical Mandrel.

The contact angle test between water and coatings surface was measured using OCA 15EC (Dataphysics) in accordance with ASTM D7334.

The TGA analysis of the coatings was carried out using TGA 550 TA Instruments in accordance with ASTM E1131, within the temperature range 10–800 °C, at a heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹ in a nitrogen atmosphere.

3. Results and discussion
3.1. Electrochemical studies
Fig. 2 depicts the electrochemical impedance spectroscopy approach (Nyquist and Bode module) used to study the influence of LnPc2 on the corrosion performance of nanocomposite coatings. The EIS plots (Fig. 2) were recorded for carbon steel coated with the alkyd resin only and when including the PrPc2, SmPc2 and HoPc2, after 7 days of storage in 0.5 HCl solution at 298 K.

Generally, a carbon steel surface protected with an undamaged coating layer has very high impedance values. The neat alkyd coating degrades with time, resulting in more complex impedance behavior. The Nyquist plot for neat alkyd coating is characterized by two well-defined time constants (see Fig. 2). After a certain period of time, the electrolyte penetrates into the coating and forms a new liquid/metal interface under the coating.18 A corrosion process can occur at this new interface.19 The simple equivalent circuit for neat alkyd coating was schematized in Fig. 3.20 In this equivalent circuit, R is the solution resistance, C represents the capacitance of the intact coating, R (pore resistance) is the resistance of ion conducting paths that have been developed within the coating. On the carbon steel surface side, the corrosive solution penetrates through the defective neat alkyd coating. The interface between this corrosive solution and the surface of carbon steel is modeled as
two semi-circles is the sum of \( R_s \) and \( R_{po} \). The semi-circle in the high frequency region is due to the coating film and the second semi-circle at the low frequency region is due to the double layer capacitance.\(^{22}\)

Upon incorporating of the LnPc\(_2\) additives with alkyd coating, a high performance coating with excellent barrier properties was formed. At this stage, \( R_{po} \) is extremely high. In this case, the Nyquist plot exhibits the characteristic semi-circle of a Randles-like cell which may be due to the resistance and capacitance of the coating (see Fig. 4).

When comparing the \( R_{po} \) and \( C_c \) values obtained from different coatings (see Table 1) it is clear that the barrier effect of a coating increases in the following order: PrPc\(_2\) < SmPc\(_2\) < HoPc\(_2\).

The percent protection efficiency (\( \eta_R \% \)) (see Table 1) is related to \( R_{po} \), by the formula:

\[
\eta_R \% = \frac{R_{po}^0 - R_{po}}{R_{po}^0} \times 100
\]

where \( R_{po}^0 \) and \( R_{po} \) represent pore resistance for carbon steel coated by alkyd resin only and when including the LnPc\(_2\) particles, respectively.

We can see from Table 1 that the alkyd@LnPc\(_2\) nanocomposite coatings provided improved protection efficiency \( \eta_R \% \) in acid solution. This confirms that the alkyd@LnPc\(_2\) nanocomposite coatings were effective in protecting the carbon steel when exposed to a 0.5 M HCl solution.

To corroborate EIS data, the effect of alkyd@LnPc\(_2\) nanocomposite coatings on carbon steel corrosion behavior was investigated in a 0.5 M HCl solution using potentiodynamic polarization (see Fig. 5).

Major polarization parameters, corrosion current density (\( j_{corr} \)), corrosion potential (\( E_{corr} \)), and inhibition efficiency (\( \eta_j \% \)) are presented in Table 2.

The inhibition efficiency (\( \eta_j \% \)) is related to corrosion current density (\( j_{corr} \)), by the formula:

\[
\eta_j \% = \frac{j_{corr}^0 - j_{corr}}{j_{corr}^0} \times 100
\]

where \( j_{corr}^0 \) and \( j_{corr} \) represent the corrosion current density for carbon steel coated by the alkyd resin only and when including the LnPc\(_2\) particles, respectively.

From Table 2 it is clear that carbon steel coated by the alkyd@LnPc\(_2\) nanocomposite coatings exhibits a decreased \( j_{corr} \) as well as an increased \( E_{corr} \), compared with neat alkyd coating. Further, it was found that the alkyd resin containing LnPc\(_2\) particles showed better inhibition efficiency \( \eta_j \% \) than the neat alkyd coating (see Table 2).

### 3.2. Absorption of H\(_2\)O studies

H\(_2\)O has a higher dielectric constant (\( \varepsilon_{H_2O} = 80 \)) than that of the alkyd one, so the value of \( C_c \) with absorbed H\(_2\)O is higher than that of the dry coating.\(^{23}\) Information available on the amount of water absorbed by the coating at different stages of exposure (\( \mathcal{O} \)) is provided by the Brasher–Kingsbury equation (see eqn (3)).\(^{24}\)
\[ \varnothing = \log(C_t/C_0)\log \varepsilon_{H_2O} \]  
\[ (3) \]

here \( C_t \) is the coatings capacitance at time \( t \), \( C_0 \) is the initial coatings capacitance, and \( \varepsilon_{H_2O} \) is the dielectric constant of \( H_2O \) \((\varepsilon_{H_2O} = 80)\).

The coating capacitance can be measured at different immersion time by fitting the equivalent circuit to the EIS data. Fig. 6 reports on the amount of water \( \varnothing \) absorbed by the various coatings during different immersion times. It was observed that the alkyd@LnPc2 nanocomposite coatings gave a lower \( \varnothing \) value, when compared to the neat alkyd coating. This would have indicated that the lanthanide bis-phthalocyanine compounds prevent the passage of water and corrosive ions throughout the coating layers. The permeability compared to the neat alkyd coating is considerably reduced by the use of LnPc2. A possible explanation one may relate to blocking of the resin pores by the LnPc2 particles and/or repelling of water molecules by the highly hydrophobic LnPc2 species. The lowest water absorption was demonstrated by the alkyd/HoPc2 nanocomposite coating.

### 3.3. Effect of LnPc2 on physico-mechanical properties

The adhesion strength of coating is known to play a major role in enhancing of the corrosion protection performance of coatings.25

#### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coating type</th>
<th>( R_{po} ) kΩ cm(^2)</th>
<th>( C_c \times 10^{-8} ) F cm(^{-2})</th>
<th>( R_{ct} ) kΩ cm(^2)</th>
<th>( C_{dl} \times 10^{-8} ) F cm(^{-2})</th>
<th>( \eta % )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neat alkyd coating</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>73.5</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>alkyd@PrPc2</td>
<td>140.2</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>84.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>alkyd@SmPc2</td>
<td>151.4</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>85.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>alkyd@HoPc2</td>
<td>173.2</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>87.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coating type</th>
<th>( -E_{corr} ) V vs. SCE</th>
<th>( J_{corr} ) A cm(^{-2})</th>
<th>( \eta % )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neat alkyd coating</td>
<td>0.377</td>
<td>( 18.97 \times 10^{-6} )</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>alkyd@PrPc2</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>( 2.40 \times 10^{-6} )</td>
<td>87.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>alkyd@SmPc2</td>
<td>0.285</td>
<td>( 1.76 \times 10^{-6} )</td>
<td>90.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>alkyd@HoPc2</td>
<td>0.253</td>
<td>( 1.40 \times 10^{-6} )</td>
<td>92.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The effect of LnPc2 on the pull-off adhesion strength of alkyd resin-based coatings was evaluated by pull-off adhesion strength experiments and the results were shown in Fig. 7. As follows from there, incorporation of LnPc2 into the alkyd coating effectively enhanced the adhesion strength of coating. The average adhesion strength of the neat alkyd coating (without LnPc2) was 3.34 MPa, while significantly higher values of 16.73 MPa, 18.34 MPa and 19.94 MPa were obtained for alkyd@PrPc2, alkyd@SmPc2 and alkyd@HoPc2 composites, respectively. Collectively, these results establish the ability of LnPc2 particles to increase the resistance of a coating to separation from a substrate when a perpendicular tensile force is applied.

The effects of the LnPc2 particles on scratch hardness, impact resistance, bend test and contact angle for alkyd coating were examined and the results are listed in Table 3. Interestingly, the scratch hardness of the coatings increased by incorporation of LnPCe2 particles into the coating. The best scratch hardness was gained by the alkyd@HoPc2 composite. This improvement in scratch hardness may possibly be related to the restriction of indentation due to the increase in physical interactions between the alkyd resin and LnPc2 particles.26

Furthermore, the impact resistance of the alkyd resin coating became evidently improved by doping it with LnPc2 (Table 3). Presumably incorporation of LnPc2 particles within the alkyd resin matrix restricts the chain mobility, thus leading to high impact resistance.26

Excellent bend test results were observed also for coatings without film cracks.

The hydrophobic nature of the alkyd@LnPc2 nanocomposites was further characterized through contact-angle measurements. Contact angles determined for the neat alkyd coating, alkyd@PrPc2, alkyd@SmPc2 and alkyd@HoPc2 were 92°, 98°, 99° and 101°, respectively, indicating that all of the alkyd@LnPc2 coatings were hydrophobic by nature. This means that LnPc2 particles decrease the contact of the coating surface with the corrosive solution leading to an improvement in the anticorrosion behavior of the coatings.27

Fig. 8 displays the results of the thermogravimetry analysis (TGA) of the studied coatings. Pure alkyd coating was completely decomposed (100%) at 800 °C, while the alkyd@LnPc2 samples were less decayed (80 ± 5%). This would suggest the addition of LnPc2 into the resin matrix improved the thermal stability of the coatings, presumably due to interaction between LnPc2 particles and the alkyd resin.28

### Table 3 Physico-mechanical properties for neat alkyd and alkyd@LnPc2 nanocomposite coatings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coating type</th>
<th>Scratch hardness (kg)</th>
<th>Impact resistance (kg cm²)</th>
<th>Bend test</th>
<th>Contact angle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neat alkyd coating</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>Pass 92°</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>alkyd@PrPc2</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>Pass 98°</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>alkyd@SmPc2</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>Pass 99°</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>alkyd@HoPc2</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>Pass 101°</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.4. Morphology studies

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was used to investigate the morphology of the surface coated by the alkyd@LnPc2 envelope. The two carbon steel samples coated by the alkyd resin and the alkyd@LnPc2 nanocomposites were exposed to a 0.5 M HCl solution for 168 h. The SEM images are presented in Fig. 9. In the case of the carbon steel coated only by the resin (Fig. 9a), a patterns of small cracks were observed over the coating layer. It is clear then why the coating layer was severely damaged by the corrosive solution. In contrast, the alkyd@LnPc2 coatings (Fig. 9b), featured a much better surface morphology, indicating for a considerable improvement of the coating layer texture by adding LnPc2.

3.5. Explanation of the role of LnPc2

Alkyd@LnPc2 nanocomposite coatings are a class of materials in which LnPc2 particles with nanoscale dimensions are embedded in an alkyd resin matrix.29 The small size of LnPc2 particles allowing their even dispersion within the resin matrix...
The newly developed alkyd@LnPc2 nanocomposite coatings exhibit the largest magnetic moment (μ_{eff}, B.M.), Table 4. Incidentally, the ferromagnetic performance revealed by the holmium metal is even far better than that of Fe. Hence, this particular paramagnetic feature is certainly dominant among the factors that might have contributed to reinforcing the contact between the alkyd matrix and the steel core. This conclusion was plausibly confirmed in the pull-off tests which showed the adhesion strength of the alkyd@HoPc2 nanocomposite was 6 times as large as found for the alkyd resin alone (Fig. 7).

It must be emphasized, that the statement postulated above well correlates with the results reported in Fig. 2, 5 and 6. From the Nyquist and Bode plots (Fig. 2) follows, that the corrosion mechanism in the respective alkyd@LnPc2 coatings seems quite similar, despite some differences in R_{po} values (averaged increment of 9%). On the other hand, in the case of the water absorption process, the differences in Ω are more pronounced, but follow the same sequence of coating quality (Fig. 6). Presumably, both R_{po} and Ω values must somehow be related to the adhesion strength of the coating, which determines its stability and hence also the principal properties. Undoubtedly, the paramagnetism of the lanthanides is crucial here, although it is difficult to give a clear-cut explanation for the differences observed between the studied systems. Most probably these may arise from more specific electronic effects occurring at the atomic quantum level, such as the strong spin–orbit coupling featured by the rare earth elements. One may assume, that interaction with the magnetic field generated by the ferromagnetic domains of iron may impose diverse quantum resonance within the “coating–carbon steel” system. Hence, the sequence of the protection efficiency, as evinced by the Pr and Sm composites, must not necessarily comply with the trend demonstrated by the individual magnetic moments, as reported in Table 4.

### Table 4 Effective magnetic moments, μ_{eff} (B.M.) of the Ln^{3+} ions involved in the investigated LnPc2 compounds (experimental data, according to (ref. 33))

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ln^{3+}</th>
<th>Pr^{3+}</th>
<th>Sm^{3+}</th>
<th>Ho^{3+}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>μ_{eff} (B.M.)</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>10.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

with the ferromagnetic atoms of iron (of the carbon steel) via magnetic field forces. From among the rare earth metals, Ho has the highest magnetic permeability, and the Ho^{3+} ion exhibits the largest magnetic moment (μ_{eff}, B.M.), Table 4.
experimentally determined protection efficiency evidently results from the magnetic properties of the particular Ln³⁺ ions, and increases in the following order: PrPc² < SmPc² < HoPc². This finding may be considered an important progress in the search for sophisticated anti-corrosion composite systems.
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