



Correction: Recent trends in the structural revision of natural products

Cite this: *Nat. Prod. Rep.*, 2018, 35, 1015

Bhuwan Khatri Chhetri,^{ac} Serge Lavoie,^{bc} Anne Marie Sweeney-Jones^{ac} and Julia Kubanek^{*abcd}


DOI: 10.1039/c8np90031k

Correction for 'Recent trends in the structural revision of natural products' by Bhuwan Khatri Chhetri *et al.*, *Nat. Prod. Rep.*, 2018, 35, 514–531.

www.rsc.org/npr

The authors regret that, upon further examination, the structure for **24b** depicted in the article is incorrect. It was wrongly inferred that the true structure was the one shown in the supplementary information of ref. 68, which differed from the main text of the same paper. Instead, the correct structure is the structure within the main text of ref. 68, which is also shown below, with an α -H at the R³ position. This makes this compound identical to propolone C,¹ as reported in ref. 68. The use of the wrong structure in the computation of NMR properties in ref. 68 was not consequential to the determination of the final structure.

The corrected graphic is shown below:



The Royal Society of Chemistry apologises for these errors and any consequent inconvenience to authors and readers.

References

- 1 I. Márquez Hernández, M. Campo Fernandez, O. Cuesta-Rubio, A. L. Piccinelli and L. Rastrelli, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 2005, 68, 931–934, DOI: 10.1021/np0495884.

^aSchool of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA, 30332, USA. E-mail: julia.kubanek@biosci.gatech.edu

^bSchool of Biological Sciences, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA, 30332, USA

^cAquatic Chemical Ecology Center, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA, 30332, USA

^dParker H. Petit Institute for Bioengineering and Bioscience, Georgia Institute of Technology, USA

