Wearable sensors: modalities, challenges, and prospects
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Wearable sensors have recently seen a large increase in both research and commercialization. However, success in wearable sensors has been a mix of both progress and setbacks. Most of commercial progress has been in smart adaptation of existing mechanical, electrical and optical methods of measuring the body. This adaptation has involved innovations in how to miniaturize sensing technologies, how to make them conformal and flexible, and in the development of companion software that increases the value of the measured data. However, chemical sensing modalities have experienced greater challenges in commercial adoption, especially for non-invasive chemical sensors. There have also been significant challenges in making significant fundamental improvements to existing mechanical, electrical, and optical sensing modalities, especially in improving their specificity of detection. Many of these challenges can be understood by appreciating the body's surface (skin) as more of an information barrier than an information source. With a deeper understanding of the fundamental challenges faced for wearable sensors and of the state-of-the-art for wearable sensor technology, the roadmap becomes clearer for creating the next generation of innovations and breakthroughs.

Introduction

Wearable sensing technology has recently and rapidly moved from largely a vision of science fiction to a wide array of established consumer and medical products. This explosion of wearable sensors can be attributed to several factors, such as affordability and ergonomics provided by advances in miniaturized electronics, the proliferation of smart-phones and connected devices, a growing consumer desire for health awareness, and the unmet need for doctors to continuously obtain medical quality data from their patients. However, despite significant initial success, there remains a pent-up demand to obtain even greater information from the body. This demand remains unsatisfied at least in part because most of the sensing modalities found in present wearables (heart rate, galvanic skin response, etc.) are non-specific (e.g. how many things can increase your heart rate or cause you to sweat). Furthermore, most wearable sensor products still rely on techniques that have been available for decades. This is true even for the most advanced wearables, such as continuous transdermal glucose monitors, which leverage more than three decades of advances in enzyme electrodes found in simple and ultra-low-cost finger-prick glucose test strips.1 In fact, transdermal glucose monitoring is arguably the only widespread wearable sensor that specifically measures the continuous status of an important disease (diabetes).

Today, there are diagnostic tools for nearly every analyte that a doctor would care to measure from a patient. Unfortunately, such tools are not wearable and still dominantly require a blood draw and conventional bench-top assay techniques. So the core question on the minds of many is as follows: how can wearable sensor technology begin to bridge over into modalities that measure more specific physiological events, such as confirming the health of a baby through measuring mechanical fetal motion while in the mother’s womb, or differentiating a dangerous seizure from just increased physical exertion, or alerting an athlete or a worker that they are becoming dangerously dehydrated, or telling the health-
conscious just how much that highly-refined white bread spiked their blood glucose levels, or mapping and containing the spread of viral infection across a population well before most of the population becomes symptomatic? This article aims to address such questions through a review of wearable sensors in terms of their present status, critical challenges, and future prospects. It is fitting that we report our review here in the journal Lab on a Chip, because addressing these challenges, without doubt, will require innovative miniaturization of analytical techniques currently only found in bench-top and point-of-care settings. It is further fitting that our review appears here in Lab on a Chip, because creating continuous sensors is one of the next major frontiers for the field, building on the many breakthroughs previously reported in this journal for one-time point-of-care sensors.

The scope of this review will focus on wearable technologies that can extract information from within the body without implanting a sensor into the body. Therefore, even though they are wearable, simple limb-motion accelerometers and environmental sensors are not reviewed herein. We will begin the review with a primer on terminologies, because the next frontier of wearables will delve into techniques and terminologies traditionally utilized by analytical chemists. Even if a sensor is not chemical in nature, such terminology is critical if meaningful data are to be extracted from the body. We will then continue the review with a brief historical perspective on successes and failures in wearable sensors, else many of us are likely to repeat past mistakes or focus on already-solved problems. By definition, if a technology is wearable, it therefore likely interfaces with the epidermis, be it the oral mucosa in the mouth (saliva sensing) or the stratum corneum on our skin. Therefore, this review presents the epidermis in its true form: not so much as an opportunity but rather a challenging barrier to obtaining information from the body.

Understanding the challenges created by interfacing with the epidermis is critical if researchers are to continue to advance wearable sensors. Our reviews of wearable sensor technologies will be broken up into four major categories: mechanical, electrical, optical, and chemical sensors. For each, we will present the basic physics of the body-to-signal transduction method, followed by the state of the art in what is possible, an understanding of unresolved challenges, and finally a commentary on future prospects. In the last section of this review, we will touch upon what roles traditional lab on a chip technology may play in wearables. Certainly, not every condition or analyte can be measured through a simple press-against-skin sensor. Rather, in some cases, fluid handling, preconcentration, incubation, and other techniques may be required to satisfy the most challenging applications in detection. This review will not only serve as an introductory platform for those new to the field of wearable sensors but will benefit even those of us experienced in wearables by deepening our understanding of competing sensing modalities and of the fundamental challenges that face the entire field.

Primer on terminologies and standards

The required characteristics of a wearable sensor depend on the application. There are several key analytical parameters that must be evaluated when developing wearable sensors. The terminologies used here are commonly used for chemical sensors, but can, and often should, be applied to non-chemical measurements as well (mechanical, optical, etc.).

Wearable chemical sensors must be able to detect their target chemicals rapidly, with short response times corresponding to the dynamic concentration variation of the analyte. This requirement mandates also that most wearable sensors will possess a reversible response with no carry-over so that they can provide accurate data with negligible hysteresis.

The selectivity of a wearable sensor reflects its ability to discriminate between the target analyte and co-existing interfering components. This term should not be confused with specificity which measures the proportion of negative results that are correct.

Every sensor is designed to work over a specific dynamic range which spans the lowest measurable concentration to the highest measurable concentration (e.g. saturated sensor signal). Within this dynamic range, the sensor sensitivity is defined as the change in the sensor signal per change in the concentration input. The lowest measurable concentration is referred to as the limit of detection, and is the lowest concentration of the target analyte that can be distinguished from the absence of that analyte (i.e., a blank value) within a stated confidence limit. It is commonly defined as the analyte concentration at which the signal is increased relative to the background level by three times the standard deviation of the noise. Limits of detection reported in the literature can often be misleading, because so many factors can confound a sensor that the limit of detection can be difficult to reproduce except under very special conditions.

Stability deals with the degree to which sensor performance and hence response remain constant over time. Stability is a major issue faced by wearable chemical sensors and by many mechanical sensors that stretch or deform. For chemical sensors, continuous exposure to biofluids may lead to biofouling, chemical changes, or irreversible non-specific adsorption on the transducer surface. For mechanical sensors, they can reach strain limits or experience many actuation cycles, either resulting in mechanical material degradation or failure. Optical and electrical sensors are often inherently robust, especially if they rely on proven metal and semiconductor materials.

Historical perspective

Several historical examples of wearable sensors are provided here. This sampling is not exhaustive and simply touches on several major examples of the introduction of new classes of wearable sensors.
In the 1960s, as the frontiers of space exploration were being challenged, the Apollo Space Program was well aware that space flight would expose humans to physical extremes. This created a need to continuously monitor astronaut health, including transmitting the data back to the earth. Continuous monitoring was achieved with wearable sensors (Fig. 1a) capable of electrocardiogram, a heated thermistor that detected breathing by cooling due to air movement in and out of the mouth, and a rectal probe for accurate body temperature.2

Later, in the 1980s, the general population began to experience the impact of wearable sensors. Wireless electrocardiogram (EKG) heart rate monitors were used in 1977 by the Finnish National Cross-Country Ski team, using a wearable form factor developed by Prof. Seppo Säynäjäkangas. The popularity of this wearable monitor grew to the point of introduction of commercial products by Polar Electro in the early 1980s. A watershed moment occurred in 1982 when Polar introduced the Sport Tester PE2000 (Fig. 1b). Also in the 1980s, Biox (Colorado USA) introduced the first commercial pulse oximeter. Within several years, pulse oximetry emerged as standard measurement during general anesthesia (Fig. 1c).

Wearable chemical sensors took much longer to be meaningfully attempted at commercial introduction. For example, in 1962, Leland Clark and Ann Lyons from the Cincinnati Children’s Hospital developed the first glucose enzyme sensing electrode. It took much longer though for a non-invasive wearable sensor to be attempted. A particularly important historical example is taught by examining the GlucoWatch product introduced by Cygnus in 2002 (Fig. 1d). GlucoWatch was an impressive achievement in non-invasive biosensing of glucose for diabetes patients. The device utilized two gel pads on skin that were cycled with DC potential to extract, by reverse iontophoresis, both interstitial fluid and glucose. The watch-like device utilized a current density of \( \sim 0.5 \text{ mA cm}^{-2} \) to extract interstitial fluid through mainly pre-existing pathways in the stratum corneum (sweat ducts, hair follicles) at a rate of \( \sim 5 \text{ to } 50 \text{ mL min}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \). Reverse iontophoresis generates an electro-osmotic flow of interstitial fluid through paracellular pathways, because plasma membranes are negatively charged which promotes a moving electro-osmotic sheath of Na ions. The DC potential was reversibly cycled every 10 minutes between the gel pads to prevent pH increase at the electrodes, which otherwise would harm the skin. The glucose was sensed using the well-known immobilized glucose oxidase enzymatic electrode system. Cygnus secured FDA approval of the GlucoWatch for diabetes monitoring, which was quite an accomplishment given that the approach was non-invasive and that diabetes can be life-threatening if glucose is not accurately monitored. However, GlucoWatch ultimately failed as a product due to the repeated need for calibration using traditional finger-prick methods, errors in readings if any sweating occurred, and in some cases an unusual tingling sensation or skin damage after multiple hours of reverse iontophoresis. Even today, non-invasive wearable chemical sensors do not exist yet as widespread products (and as a reminder, although widely used, transcutaneous glucose monitors are not applicable in this review because they are invasive).3

Lastly, it is worth briefly discussing wearable sensors as we know them today. Today’s wearable sensors are dominated by commercial wrist-watch sensors such as FitBit and...
The epidermis as an information barrier

That the epidermis is an information barrier is hardly surprising, since it is the first line of defense in our immune system, and because it serves as a barrier to loss of water and circulating nutrients and solutes in blood. The epidermis also protects underlying tissue from damaging ultraviolet light. Furthermore, the stratum corneum is dry and oily, and therefore electrically resistive. The epidermis is also soft, stretchy, and slides over underlying organs, dampening the effects of mechanical forces inside the body. For all these reasons and more, the epidermis generally is more of an information barrier than it is an information source when it comes to wearable sensing. In this section, we first describe the epidermal structure in detail, including sources of chemical contamination. We then examine the impedance and noise sources specific to mechanical, optical, and electrical sensing. Lastly, we should note that there are some applications where the epidermis is not a barrier (e.g., wound healing, transdermal needle-based glucose monitors). As noted previously, such technologies are not included in this review because they are at least partially invasive in nature (i.e., they require a non-natural opening through the skin).

Epidermal structure

The epidermis is a stratified squamous epithelium with each of the strata serving an important role (Fig. 2). The deepest layer, the stratum basale, forms a continuous sheet of cells (largely keratinocytes, but also melanocytes, Langerhans cells, Merkel cells) that separates the dermis from the epidermis. The highly proliferative keratinocytes in this layer divide and migrate upward to form the stratum spinosum. The keratinocytes of this layer actively synthesize fibrillar proteins that serve as the precursor to desmosomes, a type of cell-to-cell adhesion structure important for tissues to resist high shear stresses. These keratinocytes mature to form the stratum granulosum, which is responsible for inducing cell dehydration then cell death, cross-linking keratin fibers, and releasing lamellar bodies to form the intercellular hydrophobic barrier of the stratum corneum.4 The tight junctions between cells of the stratum granulosum further impede the flow of water and solutes between the viable epidermis and the stratum corneum. Some areas of thick skin possess a stratum lucidum, a region of several additional layers of keratinocytes found between the stratum granulosum and the stratum corneum. The stratum corneum is held together by corneodesmosomes. Proteases degrade these junctions and eventually cause the dead cells at the surface to shed in a process called desquamation. The tight junctions of the stratum granulosum and the organized intercellular lipid lamellae of the stratum corneum form the epidermal barrier.5 Skin appendages such as hair, sebaceous glands, and sweat glands provide a natural pathway through the stratum corneum barrier but still have layers of surrounding live cells that separate the outside world from the inside of the body.

Epithelia like the epidermis are common in other areas and organs of the body where a barrier function is required. The oral mucosa (mouth lining) is made up of both keratinized and non-keratinized stratified squamous epithelia. Keratinized regions are found in the masticatory mucosa where abrasion is common such as the surface of the tongue, hard palate, and gingiva. The lining mucosa is largely non-keratinized and lacks a stratum corneum. The corneal and conjunctiva epithelia of the eye are also examples of similar structures. However, the focus of our next discussion will be on the skin, because the skin is where most wearables currently interface with the body.

Chemical impedance and contamination

Chemical impedance. As noted in the previous section, the skin is by design a barrier to transport of chemicals. The superficial layers of the epidermis, which include the tight junctions of the stratum granulosum and the interlamellar
hydrophobic barrier of the stratum corneum are the major contributors to chemical impedance of the epidermis. Disrupting this epidermal barrier is possible and has been extensively studied for transdermal drug delivery purposes. The barrier can be disrupted by mechanical methods such as microneedles, tape-stripping which removes the stratum corneum, sonophoresis, electroporation and reverse iontophoresis, and chemical methods such as permeability enhancers that increase paracellular pathways. The effectiveness of all these methods, and/or determining the integrity of the epidermis, is often assessed by measuring a change in the transepidermal water loss (TEWL). Of these techniques, only the invasive methods that form an actual physical pore can allow access to analyte concentrations at their blood and interstitial fluid levels. For all non-invasive methods, even with skin-permeability enhancers, the chemical impedance of the skin remains very high.

Chemical contamination. Not only does the skin serve as a barrier to analytes but it can also contaminate analyte concentrations when collecting samples such as sweat, interstitial fluid, and blood. For example, estimates of the density of bacteria found on the skin are as high as 10 billion per cm². Bacteria can consume analytes such as energy sources like glucose and secrete analytes such as proteins or cellular waste products. These alterations of levels of analytes by the microflora pose a challenge for chemical biosensing applications. In addition, sweat minerals have been shown to accumulate in the superficial layers of the epidermis and possibly in the sweat duct itself prior to sweating events. It can be assumed that similar accumulation may occur with other analytes, including proteins. For example, simply washing the skin surface does not mitigate contamination, as shown in Table 1 where even small analytes (calcium) to large analytes (proteins) exist at concentrations high enough cause significant errors in the concentrations measured in sweat.

These contaminants can also cause significant errors for blood or interstitial fluid samples when the sample volume is very small and a needle is used to puncture the skin for fluid extraction. Finally, the skin surface is constantly being coated with waste products. These alterations of levels of analytes by the skin remains very high.

Chemical contamination. Not only does the skin serve as a barrier to analytes but it can also contaminate analyte concentrations when collecting samples such as sweat, interstitial fluid, and blood. For example, estimates of the density of bacteria found on the skin are as high as 10 billion per cm². Bacteria can consume analytes such as energy sources like glucose and secrete analytes such as proteins or cellular waste products. These alterations of levels of analytes by the microflora pose a challenge for chemical biosensing applications. In addition, sweat minerals have been shown to accumulate in the superficial layers of the epidermis and possibly in the sweat duct itself prior to sweating events. It can be assumed that similar accumulation may occur with other analytes, including proteins. For example, simply washing the skin surface does not mitigate contamination, as shown in Table 1 where even small analytes (calcium) to large analytes (proteins) exist at concentrations high enough cause significant errors in the concentrations measured in sweat.

These contaminants can also cause significant errors for blood or interstitial fluid samples when the sample volume is very small and a needle is used to puncture the skin for fluid extraction. Finally, the skin surface is constantly being coated with waste products. These alterations of levels of analytes by the microflora pose a challenge for chemical biosensing applications. In addition, sweat minerals have been shown to accumulate in the superficial layers of the epidermis and possibly in the sweat duct itself prior to sweating events. It can be assumed that similar accumulation may occur with other analytes, including proteins. For example, simply washing the skin surface does not mitigate contamination, as shown in Table 1 where even small analytes (calcium) to large analytes (proteins) exist at concentrations high enough cause significant errors in the concentrations measured in sweat.

### Table 1 Evidence of contamination in initial sweat samples collected from skin into a bag with: true sweat levels based on dripping sweat collection and an oil layer on skin to block contamination; dripping sweat collection without an oil layer on skin to block contamination; scraping sweat collection without an oil layer to block contamination. cAMP is cyclic adenosine monophosphate. The skin was washed/rinsed/dried before collection. Adapted 11, 15

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>M.W. (Da)</th>
<th>Wash &amp; true level</th>
<th>Wash &amp; drip collect</th>
<th>Wash &amp; scrape collect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calcium</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>~0.25 mM</td>
<td>+150%</td>
<td>+500%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>~4 mM</td>
<td>+40%</td>
<td>+150%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cAMP</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>~0.2 mM</td>
<td>+200%</td>
<td>+650%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>10’s k</td>
<td>~25 mg dL⁻¹</td>
<td>+60%</td>
<td>+150%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chemical contamination does not always have to be a problem. For example, in non-invasive sweat sensing applications, epidermal contaminants can be avoided by preventing sweat from coming into contact with the epidermis by coating the skin with an occluding layer of petroleum jelly or oil. Furthermore, with the growing awareness of the linkages between the microbiome and health status, measuring the microbe-induced concentrations of analytes on the skin could represent a significant opportunity in itself.

### Mechanical impedance, noise, delamination, and stretching

#### Mechanical impedance. Due to the complex, highly anisotropic composition of the human skin, the skin produces a non-linear stress-strain curve when elongated. The collagen fibers present in the dermis align, resisting further deformation at around 30% strain. Silver et al. calculated Young’s moduli of 0.10 MPa rising up to 18.8 MPa at approximately 30% strain of human skin tested within 7 days of autopsy. The mechanical properties of skin are also orientation dependent defined by Langer lines, which are directions having the lowest elastic modulus on the human skin. Young’s modulus (elasticity) of the human skin is also largely variable with age, hydration, and location on the human body.

The human skin is also frequency dependent and can be modeled as springs, dampers, and masses. When the human skin is stimulated with a variable mechanical input, the mechanical impedance of the skin changes as a function of frequency. As the frequency of a normal force increases, the mechanical resistance (damping component) of the skin increases and the elasticity (spring and mass component) of the skin becomes stiffer.

In addition to normal forces, elastic wave propagation systems have been used to evaluate shear wave attenuation along the skin. At lower frequencies, shear waves propagate along the surface of the human skin (stratum corneum), while at higher frequencies shear waves propagate through the bulk medium in the dermis containing mucopoly saccharide-water gel components. Shear wave propagation is transmitted via viscous coupling within the human skin medium. Therefore, water, which affects the viscosity of the stratum corneum, can directly affect the mechanical properties of the human skin within physiologically relevant frequencies.

#### Coupling to the skin. To best match the skin’s modulus, silicone elastomers, such as polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS), have been used. PDMS is a common silicone elastomer with the Young’s modulus of ~3 MPa (Sylgard 184, 10 : 1) but is far too stiff in comparison with the human skin which can lead to delamination. Alternatively, softer materials such as the silicone elastomer Ecoflex (Smooth-On) have been widely used due to its Young’s modulus (125 kPa) matching closely that of the human skin, allowing for conformal contact to the human body.

### Mechanical noise. The noise from wearable mechanical sensors can be classified into two categories: motion induced
noise and sensor intrinsic noise. Motion induced noise is challenging for applying mechanical sensors in use cases, such as body movement during respiration rate measurements, or bending effects during pressure measurements. These types of noise usually can be reduced by using a redundant sensor, while also applying algorithms to pick out the real signal from noise. Sensor intrinsic noise is also a challenge in wearable mechanical measurements such as temperature noise for resistive sensors and parasitic noise in capacitive sensors.

**Stretching.** Another challenge in fabricating robust mechanical sensors is designing materials to stretch. Any materials that are significantly thin inherently are able to withstand larger bending strains, but these materials cannot stretch, fracturing at tensile strains of ~1%. Research has shown that materials that are strained fail due to fracturing, slipping, or delamination of the thin film. These failure modes occur due to the weak adhesion between the thin film and substrate. Improving the adhesion of the thin film to the substrate has been found to significantly improve the mechanical robustness of thin films due to strain delocalization. Li et al. reported theoretical calculations illustrating the importance of interfacing strain between the thin film and substrate in strain delocalization. Their calculations have shown that the thin film deforms uniformly over large tensile strains, whereas weaker interfacing strength leads to necking at areas of metal debonding or slipping from the substrate. Improving the adhesion of the active sensing material to the substrate can then improve the robustness and reliability of the mechanical sensor.

**Electrical impedance and noise**

**Electrical impedance.** Skin-interfaced electrodes in wearable sensors transduce naturally occurring, time dependent ionic flows in the human body to measurable electrical signals; alternatively, as actuators such as for nerve stimulation, they stimulate changes in these flows. The quality of recordings and the efficiency of stimulation largely depend on the electrical impedance of the electrode–skin–body interface. The best interface typically consists of a 'wet' electrode contact, typically achieved using a hydrogel or electrically conductive adhesive, both containing electrolytes. Prolonged use of wet electrodes will also hydrate the skin, reducing its electrical impedance. Without a wet contact (i.e. a dry electrode) the roughness of skin introduces pockets of air that can result in a higher electrical impedance. The electrical impedance of skin with a dry electrode can therefore vary greatly with even slight changes in the pressure of electrode contact. We will continue our discussion assuming a good 'wet electrode' contact to the upper surface of the skin. In this case, the electrical impedance is limited to the skin itself and the underlying body.

This electrical impedance of the skin can be approximated using equivalent circuit models that consist of parallel and series combinations of resistors (R) and capacitors (C) (Fig. 3). These models attempt to capture the effective behaviors of the complex structures and the properties of the various layers of the skin and its contact with the electrodes. The top layer of the skin, known as the epidermis, plays the most important role in this context. The construction involves multiple sublayers, depending on the location across the body, and each of these evolves continuously with time. The topmost layer, the stratum corneum consists of flattened, stacked non-nucleated dead cells (corneocytes) and intercellular lipids, with a thickness (10–100 μm) that varies with the number of corneocyte layers (15–20 layers on most body sites) and the state of hydration. The stratum corneum is electrically insulating, with a resistance that is significantly higher than that of the underlying layers of the epidermis. The resistance and capacitance of the stratum corneum are in the order of 10⁶ Ω cm² and 30 nF cm², respectively. This capacitance is easily calculated assuming a thickness of 15–20 μm and a dielectric constant of ~1.5. For measurement frequencies between 1 Hz to 10 kHz, the stratum corneum dominates the overall impedance of the electrode/skin contact. This impedance can vary strongly depending on the activity and density of sweat glands which can form a path of ionic conduction, and on the local thickness and composition of the stratum corneum.

Using a series of parallel RC-circuit models, the impedance of each skin layer, including epidermis, dermis and hypodermis, can be approximated as a complex expression, Z(ω) = R/(1 + jωCR), where R and C are the resistance and capacitance of the skin layer, ω is the angular frequency, and j is the imaginary unit. The entire epidermis, including the SC, can be treated equivalently with a resistance Rₑ and a capacitance Cₑ which is chosen according to the body location and the presence of electrodes (discussed in the next paragraph). The underlying dermis and hypodermis layers are significantly more conductive than the epidermis, such that their capacitance can be neglected and the impedance can be treated as purely resistive (Rₑ). The mode of electrode contact must be considered as well, including any contact potential that might result from metal contact. In extreme cases, a parallel RC circuit representing the electrode–epidermis interface and the entire system for various types of electrodes.

Our discussion will now return to dry electrodes. Dry electrodes eliminate the electrolyte materials entirely, and rely instead on direct contact with the skin. The formats range from flat metal pads to open network mesh structures to soft conductive composites. Although such electrodes do not offer direct skin-hydrating effects, they can trap some moisture from natural transepidermal water loss and/or sweating. The impedance depends on these effects and on the contact quality of electrodes on the skin. As reported in the literature, in the presence of dry electrodes, the resistance Rₑ ranges from 30 kΩ cm² to 1 MΩ cm² and the capacitance Cₑ ranges from 10 nF cm⁻² to 50 nF cm⁻². In extreme cases, a parallel RC circuit representing the electrode–electrolyte interface that results from trapped
moisture can be added in series, similar to the case of wet electrodes. Additional detailed discussion on advanced dry-electrode formats is reserved for the wearable electrical sensors subsection.

**Electrical noise.** Electrical noises affecting the signal quality and statistical power of wearable electrophysiological recordings mainly include intrinsic body noise, skin–electrode interface noise and environment noise.\(^{50,62,63}\) Body noise is unavoidable and not dominating in most cases, including undesirable eye movements, muscle activity, cardiovascular activity and skin potentials. This type of noise can be largely lessened with data processing techniques. Skin–electrode interface noise contributes to a significant part of the signal noises for various electrodes as discussed above. Motion artifacts often arise from the interface due to relative motion of electrodes to the skin. Wearable systems with robust mechanical attachment of electrodes on the body can be designed to decrease these motion artifacts. Environment noises come from 50/60 Hz powerline interference, electromagnetic interference from surrounding electronics and moving electric charges in the recording environment. The implementation of a buffer at the electrode sites, shielding electrodes and cables, and driven right leg circuits can effectively reduce these interference noises.
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**Fig. 3** Equivalent circuit models of electrode–skin interfaces for different electrode designs. (a) Gel electrodes, including wet and solid forms (disposable deep EEG cup electrode, Rhythmlink; ECG electrode H1354LG, Kendall). (b) Dry contact electrodes.\(^{61}\) (c) Dry capacitive (non-contact) electrodes.\(^{51}\)
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**Fig. 4** Schematic diagram of optical pathways in skin. Species largely responsible for absorption and scattering in the skin are: keratinized squamous cells (1) and large melanin aggregates (2). The vascularized dermis (3) includes absorbers such as oxygenated and deoxygenated hemoglobin, carotene and bilirubin. Scattering occurs on collagen fibrils and bundles.
Optical impedance and noise

Optical measurements performed through the skin offer non-invasive, contactless modes for acquiring essential information of relevance to physiological health. In some cases, the skin offers a passive window as an optical interface to underlying vascular structure and organ systems; in others, the optical properties of the skin itself are important.64 Optical impedance. Transmission, absorption and scattering properties associated with the human skin can be considered by dividing the system into three layers of distinct tissue types and their optical characteristics65 (Fig. 4): (1) the stratum corneum, a thin layer which predominantly consists of dead squamous cells, which are highly keratinized,66 (2) the underlying epidermis, which contains skin pigmentation composed of mainly melanin which absorbs shorter wavelengths such as UV, and visible light is also absorbed to some extent, and (3) the dermis, which is highly vascularized and contains absorbers in the visible spectral range, including blood hemoglobin, carotene and bilirubin.67 Visible light attenuation is also dominantly determined by the dermis because it is thicker than the layers above it.

The optical characteristics of the stratum corneum are mainly defined by its rough surface which results in non-specular (diffuse) reflection. Interfacial Fresnel reflection due to the refractive index (nd) mismatch of air (nd = 1) and the stratum corneum (nd ~ 1.55) at this layer is typically 4–7% for normal incident light.68 Part of the incoming radiation undergoes diffuse forward scattering within this layer, thereby causing collimated light to diffuse.69 The scattering characteristics of the epidermis follow from interactions with large melanin aggregates, known as melanosomes (>300 nm in diameter), which exhibit mainly forward scattering, and with melanin particles (30-300 nm in diameter), which create Mie scattering. Scattering in the dermal layers results from collagen fibrils and bundles (1–8 μm)69 that create a combination of Mie and Rayleigh scattering. Overall scattering of the skin is dominated by the dermis partly because its thickness (~4 mm) is much larger than that of the epidermis (~100 μm) and the stratum corneum (~10 μm). For some surfaces, like the Palmer surface of the hand, the stratum corneum can be much thicker and become more dominant in the optical impedance (e.g. an extreme example, being calluses on the hand).

The skin can also serve as a window to investigate the health of underlying organs. One such approach, known as functional near infrared spectroscopy (fNIRS),70 allows for spatially resolved observations of oxygenation changes in the brain. Techniques such as diffuse optical tomography allow for insights into tissue health and are effective tools for breast cancer detection.

Optical noise. Optical noise sources interfering with the signal acquisition can be classified into two categories, environmental noise and motion artefacts. Environmental noise such as ambient and natural light can emit slow light transients such as variations in day or room light or high frequency noise such as pulse width modulated or fluorescent artificial light sources.71 These environmental noise sources are less significant due to the high absorption of the skin and generally low light intensity of the parasitic light in comparison with the measured signal. Environmental noise is also eliminated easily by covering the sensing area with an opaque material. Motion artefacts, however, which are induced by relative motion to the sensor, is the primary source of noise that presents a major challenge in many measurement techniques.72,73

Wearable sensors

We will now discuss mechanical, electrical, optical, and then chemical sensors. For each sensing modality, we will first discuss the basic body-to-signal transduction method. Next, actual devices and demonstrations will be reviewed. Lastly, we will briefly touch on unmet challenges and outlook, which should help those new to the field determine what innovations they could contribute.

Wearable mechanical sensors

In this section, four classes of mechanical sensors will be discussed: piezoresistive, capacitive, iontronic, and piezoelectric. Within each class of mechanical sensors, different mechanical modalities will be discussed individually.

1. Piezoresistive sensors

Resistive strain sensors: body-to-signal transduction. When conductive materials are subjected to mechanical deformation, their electrical properties change. This electromechanical response is known as the piezoresistive effect, as seen in Fig. 5. Due to the Poisson ratio (ν), materials that are elongated also contract in the transverse direction of elongation. Consequently, the resistance R of a conductive material will change, as shown by the following equation:

\[ R = \rho L/A \]

where \( \rho \) is the resistivity, \( L \) is the length, and \( A \) is the cross-sectional area of the conductor. The piezoresistive effect has been widely used in wearable electronics for the detection of human physiological movement due to its simple readout, high sensitivities, and simple device designs.74-76

Resistive strain sensors: devices and demonstrations. A wearable resistive strain sensor must meet certain criteria including high stretchability and flexibility, low hysteresis, and high sensitivity. A device that is able to stretch and flex will be mechanically reliable when mounted on the body, allowing for long-term use. A wearable strain sensor ideally will also not exhibit extensive plastic deformation when subjected to repeated strain. Most importantly, strain sensors must exhibit high sensitivity to strain to improve signal acquisition and detection of dynamic strain. The strain sensitivity is typically characterized with a gauge factor (GF):
GF = \( \Delta R / R_o \varepsilon \)

where \( \Delta R \) is the change in resistance, \( R_o \) is the unstrained resistance, and \( \varepsilon \) is strain.

A typical stretchable strain sensor consists of a thin film conductor on a silicone elastomer (i.e. PDMS). When these conductors are stretched, the geometrical change induces a change in electrical resistivity. Therefore, it is possible to
Fig. 7  a) SEM images of the processing of a Pt : Au thin film using a shrinking fabrication process: deposition, shrinking, and then transferring to a silicone elastomer from left to right. The scale bar is 5 μm. b) Strain sensitivity curves of Pt wrinkled thin films of different thicknesses. c) Wrinkled Pt thin films were put in adhesive and mounted onto the body to detect respiration. d) The electrical resistance response to chest wall expansion during respiration is shown on the left. The right graph shows correlated lung volumes using spirometric and strain sensor data.81
mount these strain sensors on the human body to detect and quantify motion, such as the bending of a finger, elbow, or knee.

In addition to the simple geometrical change in resistance, microcracking of the conductor has been shown to contribute to even higher GFs. For example, Kang et al. reported nanoscale crack junctions in Pt thin films inspired by the crack-shaped slit sensory organs of spiders, as shown in Fig. 6. When strained, the microcrack junctions become larger thereby increasing the electrical resistance of the sensor. These nanoscale crack junctions were achieved by bending Pt thin films over a set curvature. Using this controlled cracking strain sensor, a GF of 2000 (450-fold increase in GF at 0.5% strain) over a range of 0–2% was achieved, allowing detection of physiological signals such as speech patterns and heart rate. However, the durability and stretchability was limited, showing signal degradation at about 500 cycles of 2% strain.

Microcracked strain sensors exhibit high GFs but are not able to withstand large amounts of strains. To address this issue, high aspect ratio nanomaterials, such as carbon nanotubes (CNTs), have been used to greatly improve stretchability. During high strains, each individual nanoparticles remain in contact due to their high aspect ratio. For example, CNTs spray deposited onto a silicone elastomer could achieve strains of up to 500% with a measured GF of 1.75. Silver nanowires (AgNWs) have also been shown to withstand strains of up to 70% with a range of GFs from 2–14. It is also possible to incorporate buckled structures within CNT thin films to greatly improve stretchability up to 750% strain, but exhibiting a lower GF of 0.65.

Resistive strain: unmet challenges and outlook. In general, to fabricate highly sensitive strain sensors, stretchability is typically compromised. Conversely, highly stretchable strain sensors are generally characterized with low GFs or strain sensitivities. In addition, stretchable strain sensors suffer from hysteresis due to the viscoelastic properties of silicone elastomeric substrates. Pegan et al. have shown that wrinkled microstructures in platinum thin films were able to achieve GFs of 42 while still being able to elongate up to 185% strain using a shrinking fabrication process. Correlation with spirometry data and the wrinkled stretchable strain sensors were made as shown in Fig. 7. Although high GFs and stretchability were achieved, hysteresis could not be eliminated, rendering high frequency dynamic measurements difficult.

Resistive pressure: body-to-signal transduction. Piezoresistive sensors can also be designed to detect subtle pressures such as pulsatile blood flow or ‘touch’. Unlike strain sensors, piezoresistive pressure sensors are typically composed of two electrodes with a nominal resistivity coming in contact with each other. This nominal resistivity can then be modulated by increasing or decreasing the number of electrical contact points between the electrodes by applying pressure. The pressure sensitivity (PS) can then be defined as

$$PS = (AR/R_o)/\Delta P$$

where $R$ is the resistance, $R_o$ is the initial resistance, and $P$ is the pressure. As with strain sensors, an ideal pressure sensor would be highly flexible, exhibit low hysteresis, and have high pressure sensitivities. Strategies to improve mechanical compliance are similar to those as discussed before with strain sensors.

Resistive pressure: devices and demonstrations. To improve the sensitivity of piezoresistive pressure sensors, structural surface modification of the electrodes is necessary. Incorporation of nano/micro-scaled structures can provide large changes in contact resistance, allowing for detections of smaller pressures. For example, Yao et al. demonstrated that a fractured micro-structure graphene coated polyurethane sponge produces a two-order of magnitude increase in sensitivity within the 0–2 kPa regime in comparison with a sensor with no fractures. Dynamic bridging of AgNWs and graphene oxide allowed for pressure sensitivities of up to 5.8 kPa. The fracturing provides an increasing amount of electrical contact points when pressure is applied, allowing for higher pressure sensitivities. Similarly, Pan et al. achieved pressure sensitivities of 133.1 kPa using elastic microstructured films prepared from a polypyrrole hydrogel, allowing for detections of less than 1 Pa, as seen in Fig. 8.

Resistive pressure: unmet challenges and outlook. Although characterized with high pressure sensitivities, piezoresistive pressure sensors are typically fabricated using thick PDMS substrates, which poses limitations in wearable applications. In addition, piezoresistive sensors still require an external power source for continuous monitoring applications. Current available wearable piezoresistive strain sensors include Velostat, a flexible conductive polymer impregnated with carbon black, and conductive rubbers from Adafruit. However, these products lack stretchability (maximum of 70% strain), conformality to the human body, and high strain sensitivities (GF = 1). Velostat has a response that is sensitive to changes in temperature and its performance suffers from effects of viscoelastic creep. Therefore, further research is needed in achieving commercially available highly stretchable, sensitive, and robust sensors for wearable applications. Addressing these issues could provide steps toward an ideal continuous wearable monitoring system using piezoresistive sensors.

2. Capacitive sensors

Capacitive: body-to-signal transduction. Capacitive sensors are highly attractive sensing mechanisms for mechanical stimuli, as they have gained popularity in consumer electronic touch screens with good device sensitivity, low power consumption, and adaptive sensing configurations. The parallel-plate configuration is the most popular architecture adapted in mainstream capacitive sensor designs as it is easy to construct and straightforward to model. The capacitive change is governed by the classic equation

$$C = \varepsilon A/d$$

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2018 Lab Chip, 2018, 18, 217–248 | 227
in which $\varepsilon$ is the permittivity of the cavity between two plates, and $A$ and $d$ represent the overlap area and the distance between two plates, respectively. As the distance, area, or permittivity is altered by the external loads, it leads to the change of capacitive readouts,\textsuperscript{36,86–94} which can be measured either using a passive capacitor\textsuperscript{36,86–91} or through modifying the response curve of an active component, such as using field-effect transistors\textsuperscript{92–94}.

**Capacitive: devices and demonstrations.** Capacitive pressure sensors have been largely employed in consumer electronics and industrial applications, and more recently, with emerging wearable trends, they extend their applications to various human pressure-sensing interfaces, including electronic skin mimicking tactile sensation,\textsuperscript{79,92,95,96} body pressure mapping,\textsuperscript{36,89} and joint bending detection.\textsuperscript{36,88} As the key element of a capacitive sensor, new electrode materials have always been a subject of interest to improve the flexibility and stretchability.\textsuperscript{36,79,97} Example electrode materials include conductive nanostructures\textsuperscript{36,79} and polymeric conductors.\textsuperscript{94} In addition, modified sensing structures and interfaces have been explored to further increase the device sensitivity.\textsuperscript{90,94} Bao’s group introduced a series of capacitive wearable sensors.\textsuperscript{79,91,92} In 2011 they introduced a flexible capacitive pressure and strain sensing array based on a carbon nanotube coated polymer film where pressure and strain can be measured in a transparent and flexible package (Fig. 9a).\textsuperscript{79} Then, a microstructure patterned elastic layer was introduced to the capacitive pressure sensor, creating the electrical response of a thin-film FET (Fig. 9b).\textsuperscript{90,92} Human radial artery pulse waves could be captured by this device, benefitting from its high sensitivity (Fig. 9c).\textsuperscript{92}

Besides pressure, other sensing modalities, such as stretch and bending, have also been achieved with capacitive sensors. Suo’s group synthesized highly stretchable biocompatible ionic hydrogel films\textsuperscript{98} to function as the electrode plates of a parallel plate capacitor (Fig. 9d).\textsuperscript{97} The ionic conductor/dielectric/ionic conductor hybrid structure can measure pressure and stretch by attaching its ultraflexible, stretchable and transparent sensing film on human skin.\textsuperscript{97} A recent effort by Bao’s group has led to a multifunction wearable sensor that can differentiate pressure, stretch and bending, and provide an energy harvesting function, all in a multilayer porous polymer/single-walled nanotube structure (Fig. 9e).\textsuperscript{91}

**Capacitive: unmet challenges and outlook.** Currently, parallel plate capacitive sensors dominate the commercial flexible pressure sensor market, such as Pressure Profile Systems, Inc. (PPS) flexible tactile sensation\textsuperscript{99} and body pressure mapping\textsuperscript{100} systems. Although parallel plate capacitive sensors suffer from parasitic noises from the body and from the environment, particularly in wearable applications, they offer high sensitivity, low power consumption and FET integratability in comparison with other sensing modalities.
3. Iontronic sensors

**Iontronic: body-to-signal transduction.** To tackle the challenges of high sensitivity and low parasitic noise, a new iontronic interface sensing mechanism has been introduced with significant improvements on device sensitivity and signal to noise ratio. Electrical double-layer (EDL) based supercapacitors have long been used in energy storage devices, relying on very high surface areas that provide high energy density in small package. The EDL supercapacitor exists at the nanoscale interface between the electrode and the electrolyte. When utilized in flexible mechanical sensors, it enables a high surface area and an electrical capacitance that is at least 1000 times higher than that of similarly sized traditional parallel plate capacitive sensors. This sensing mechanism enables greater immunity to environmental or body capacitive noises (can be hundreds of pF), which is of importance for wearable applications. By integrating with ionic materials, such as ion gels and ionic liquids, electrical double-layer (EDL) based capacitive sensing devices have been studied for wearable sensing applications.

**Iontronic: devices and demonstrations.** An EDL-based pressure sensor was first reported using an electrolytic sensing droplet sealed in a polymeric package. This iontronic interface droplet sensing concept was later implemented in a matrix format for flexible pressure mapping and radial arterial waveform monitoring (Fig. 10a and b). Furthermore, ionic gel has been introduced to this EDL sensing mechanism to achieve pressure measurement using a package made entirely of soft materials. The ultrahigh pressure sensitivity of this device (3.1 nF kPa$^{-1}$) not only enabled it to measure subtle body interface pressure changes such as radial arterial pulse waveform measurement but also detected pressure variation in a high capacitive noise environment (under water) (Fig. 10c and d). In a medical application where interface pressure for chronic venous disorder compression therapy is to be measured, the EDL-based iontronic pressure sensor array has been introduced to determine pressure distribution for real-time measurement in a wearable health monitoring device construct (Fig. 10e).

Besides pressure measurement, ionic liquids have also been employed as EDL capacitive sensing elements to resolve three-dimensional contact forces in a flexible and transparent microfluidic package for reconstructing finger tactile sensation. Benefiting from the highly sensitive and adaptive...
EDL capacitive sensing principle, a 29.8 nF N$^{-1}$ sensitivity can be achieved in a 5 mm by 5 mm compact microfluidic package (Fig. 10f).

**Iontronic: unmet challenges and outlook.** Since the iontronic sensors are only a recently discovered technology, integrating this technology with industrial mass manufacturing is an unresolved challenge. Furthermore, when utilized for body-wearable applications, material toxicity must be considered as ionic materials sometimes have bio-compatibility issues when in contact with the body.

**4. Piezoelectric sensors**

**Piezoelectric: body-to-signal transduction.** The sensing mechanism for a piezoelectrical sensor is based on the piezoelectric effect of the materials that generate electrical charges under external mechanical force, pressure, or strain.$^{107-110}$ When a mechanical stress is applied to a piezoelectric material, there is a change in electrical polarization inside the material (e.g. reconfiguration of the dipole-inducing surrounding or re-orientation of molecular dipole moments). This change in polarization results in a change in surface charge (voltage) at the surface of the piezoelectric material. The piezoelectric material usually used in wearable applications are PZT,$^{107,108,111}$ ZnO nanowires,$^{112}$ and P(VDF-TrFE).$^{109,110,113}$

**Piezoelectric: devices and demonstrations.** Applications of this technology include skin-mounted sensors for tactile sensation,$^{109}$ finger bending motion detection,$^{107,108}$ measuring

---

**Fig. 10** (a) Iontronic droplet sensor operation principle. (b) Photo of an iontronic microdroplet sensing array. (c) Photo of a flexible ionic gel film on an electrode substrate. (d) Real-time pulse pressure waveforms in dry and underwater environments. (e) Photo of a commercial inelastic legging integrated with the iontronic flexible sensing array. (f) Prototypes of microfluidic tactile sensors for three-dimensional force measurements.
arterial pulse pressure waveform, detecting body movements, and biomechanics characterization. A tattoo-like PZT pressure sensor has been introduced by the Rogers group for monitoring of vital signs. A device with a sensitivity of 0.005 Pa and a mechanical response time of 0.1 ms was achieved in a 25 μm-thick package (Fig. 11a). Later in clinical setting, this piezoelectric device has been configured into a biomechanics characterization tool to detect soft tissue viscoelasticity (Fig. 11b). The device has been conformably brought into contact with textured skin and organ surfaces to conduct the measurement under quasi-static and dynamic conditions.

**Piezoelectric: unmet challenges and outlook.** Commercial products such as piezoelectric film sensors have become available from multiple vendors. As an example, piezoelectric sensors are used in sleep monitoring bands (Beddit). The main disadvantage of these sensors is the charge leaking nature of the material which makes it difficult or impossible to detect stationary or low frequency mechanical stimuli. However, the high sensitivity and fast response time of piezoelectric sensors are still useful for the detection of vibrations or dynamic pressure changes. Piezoelectric mechanical sensors also have the potential of achieving self-powered detection in wearable applications.

**Wearable electrical sensors**

**Electrical sensors: body-to-signal transduction.** Electrical sensors measure a change in electrical resistance of the skin or measure changes in capacitive or conductively coupled charge at the skin surface. In most cases, high-input-impedance electronics are used to detect these very small changes in charge. That leaves one major challenge for the body-to-signal transduction: good electrical contact with skin. There are two types of electrical contacts, wet electrodes and dry electrodes. Wet electrodes combine a solid conductive pad interfaced to the skin via an electrolyte gel that minimizes the impedance of skin by: (1) hydrating it; (2) forming a conformal electrical contact with its textured surface (Fig. 3). Dry electrodes eliminate the electrolyte materials entirely, and rely instead on direct contact with the skin. Further details on the electrical coupling (impedance) of wet electrodes and of dry electrodes were previously discussed in the Electrical impedance and noise sections of this review.

**Electrical sensors: devices and demonstrations.** Many wearable sensing devices require repeated placement and removal of the device, prolonged use, and/or other factors that may not permit the use of a wet-electrode format. Therefore, this sub-section begins with a detailed discussion on optimized dry-electrode configurations. Optimized dry-electrode interfaces minimize air gaps between the electrodes and the skin, and eliminate artifacts associated with relative motions between the electrodes and skin. Some of the most successful designs involve electrodes in ultrathin, low-modulus, stretchable configurations. The image in Fig. 3b highlights the degree of conformality that is possible with a filamentary open mesh type electrode. In these designs, inert, bio-compatible metals such as gold minimize any chemical reactions with biofluids and/or immune reactions by the skin itself. Layout possibilities range from simple periodic

Fig. 11. (a) Photograph of the piezoelectrical pressure sensor wrapped on a cylindrical glass support and laminated on a wrist. (b) Photographs of a piezoelectric device fully laminated on the skin and its SEM image on an artificial skin sample for tissue viscoelasticity measurement.
serpentine geometries to fractal designs with enhanced stretchability and with orientationally and spatially tailored responses. A rich range of available fractal motifs can serve as space-filling structures with generalizable design rules. Fig. 12f shows devices in mesh architectures conformally mounted on the skin. Mechanical simulations in these and related geometries show that appropriate layouts can ensure that the strains in the metals remain well below their elastic limit. Optimized designs enable measurements of bio-potentials with clinically relevant quality. One disadvantage is that the open mesh geometry reduces the area of the contact between the conducting parts of the electrode and

Fig. 12 Demonstration of dry epidermal electrodes. (a) An electronics platform with multifunctionality and matched physical properties to skin. (b) The device is conformally attached to the skin through van der Waals forces with negligible mass or mechanical loading on the skin. (c) ECG signals measured with an active epidermal electronic device shown in (b), showing a clear physiological signal corresponding to a single heartbeat (right) and (d) EMG measurements showing the comparison with that collected using conventional gel electrodes. (e) EEG measurements using a passive electronic device, including the discrete Fourier transform coefficient of EEG alpha rhythms at ~10 Hz (left), the spectrogram of the alpha rhythm corresponding to the eyes being closed and open, and demonstration of Stroop effects in EEG. (f) Epidermal electronics with fractal architectures, showing devices laminated on the auricle and mastoid and finite element method analysis on the device with simultaneous bending along two orthogonal axes. (g) Conformal contact of carbon nanotubes (CNT)/PDMS adhesives with the textured skin surface, confirmed by a SEM cross-sectional image. (h) Structure of an ECG electrode composed of a CNT/PDMS interfacial layer and serpentine interconnect metal wires. (i) Schematic and photograph of dry electrodes with PEDOT:PSS coatings.
the skin, thereby increasing the resistance and decreasing the capacitance of the interface. Composites that consist of soft silicone matrices and electrically conductive dopants, such as carbon nanotubes, graphene or carbon black, represent alternatives that improve the area coverage (Fig. 12g–j). For long term use, dry electrodes must be constructed in a manner that allows some degree of trans-epidermal water loss and minimal thermal load, either through the use of thin backing materials that themselves are water permeable or through the introduction of physical microperforations.

Another type of dry electrode involves a purely capacitive interface, sometimes referred as noncontact dry electrodes. In the equivalent circuit for this case, an insulating layer that separates the surface of the skin from the conducting electrodes can be approximated as a capacitor (Fig. 3c). The interface can be described by a series connection of the capacitor with a parallel arrangement of resistance $R_e$ (100 kΩ cm$^{-2}$·1 MΩ cm$^2$) and capacitance $C_e$ (10–50 nF cm$^{-2}$). In most cases, the capacitance of the insulating layer (1 pF–10 nF) dominates the interface impedance. The nature of this electrical coupling leads to high levels of sensitivity to motion artifacts and time-dependent stray charges, thereby typically demanding the need for actively shielded amplifiers, as shown in Fig. 3c. Capacitive electrodes eliminate irritation and allergic reactions that can sometimes be caused by the presence of electrolyte gels or by the direct contact of metal electrodes, and they also prevent exposure to leakage currents or electrical shorts. Under ideal testing conditions, the signal quality with such set-ups can approach that of standard wet electrodes, but in wearable applications, the artifacts can be prohibitive. It is worth noting that epidermal mesh electrodes can also be designed for capacitive sensing by fully encapsulating them with an insulating layer. Here, acquired electrophysiological signals can be less susceptible to motion artifacts associated with the coupling capacitance compared to conventional flat, rigid electrodes.

Our discussion next turns to device demonstrations of wearable electrical sensors (Fig. 12). Wearable systems with electrical interfaces to the skin allow high fidelity measurements of a broad range of physiologically relevant biomarkers, from electrocardiogram (ECG or EKG), electroencephalogram (EEG), electromyogram (EMG), electrooculogram (EOG), and galvanic skin response (GSR, also known as skin impedance or electrodermal activity (EDA)), to electrical impedance tomograph (EIT). Advanced technologies allow simultaneous measurement and analysis in several of these modes, at a single location with a single device or in multiple, time-synchronized positions across the body. The data typically consist of electrical potential, impedance and/or resistance. Dry electrodes are generally preferred due to their ability to operate stably for extended periods (days to weeks) without signal degradation and without causing discomfort. Here, the main limiting factor is the process of natural exfoliation of dead cells from the stratum corneum, such that accumulation to sufficiently high densities can degrade the electrical and mechanical properties of the interface. As discussed in the previous sections, dry electrode designs and supporting electronics must be considered carefully to enable high quality signal acquisition. Open mesh electrodes supported by ultrathin, low modulus elastomers offer excellent conformity to the skin and robust adhesion, with interface impedances in the range of a few tens of kΩ over frequency ranges relevant for most biopotential measurements, comparable with that achievable with solid gel electrodes. These designs can also incorporate capacitive coupling as outlined in the previous paragraph, but without any motion artifacts, due to the nature of the conformal contacts. In both cases, devices that use such electrodes can capture high fidelity electrophysiological recordings, including ECG, EEG, and EMG, without signal degradation and adverse effects on the subjects for up to two weeks, across a bandwidth of 0.3 Hz–2 kHz. In some practical scenarios, noise induced by electromagnetic interference, triboelectric charging and other sources must be considered. A drive right leg (DRL) circuit can minimize the common-mode noise and amplifiers near the sensing site, and can lessen the differential input of common-mode noise. Shielding of the lead wires can also effectively reduce the noise from stray external electric fields.

Materials, mechanics designs and device structures now exist to allow such supporting electronics to be built directly into the same ultrathin, soft platforms as the conformal dry electrodes (Fig. 12). It is ideal for the overall physical characteristics of these systems to match those of the epidermis itself, to enable robust, high quality interfaces without discomfort or irritation at the skin surface (Fig. 12b). Representative electrical measurements, including EEGs, ECGs and EMGs, are shown in Fig. 12c–e. The ECG data provide clear information on the depolarization of the right and left ventricles of the human heart, with quantitative correlation to clinical standards. EMG recordings show signal to noise ratios comparable to those of data obtained using conventional gel electrodes (Fig. 12d). Similarly, high-quality EEG measurements of alpha rhythms are also possible (Fig. 12e), where Peano fractal mesh electrodes enable integration on the highly irregular and textured surfaces on the auricle and the mastoid for up to two weeks (Fig. 12f). Similar electrode interfaces can also be used to perform bioimpedance measurements, for the determination of skin hydration at uniform or variable skin depth. In these measurements, the differential impedance collected from individual isolated capacitive electrodes directly correlates to the skin hydration level due to the electrical contributions of water in the skin. Multiplexed measurements from arrays of electrodes yield spatial maps of hydration, with quantitative accuracy as determined through comparisons to non-wearable hydration sensors.

Soft microfluidic enclosures capture some of the same advantageous mechanical properties of these systems but in a manner that is compatible with standard, chip scale components. Such soft, stretchable electronic platforms integrate
high-modulus, rigid, state-of-the-art functional components and a free-floating highly stretchable interconnect network in a thin elastomeric microfluidic enclosure that supports sensors, radios, circuits, and power supply components, with a wireless operational mode. These systems allow not only electrophysiological sensing, including precision measurements of ECG, EMG, EOG, and EEG but also motion recording with a triaxial accelerometer and temperature measurement with a thermal sensor.

**Electrical sensors: unmet challenges and outlook.** Fundamental advances in electrode interface and integrated circuit design methodologies for wearable electrophysiological sensing will have substantial impact on medical diagnostics and personal healthcare. Beyond measurement of biopotentials that arise from underlying processes, such interfaces can be used to determine the electrical properties of the skin itself, including the hydration level, electrolyte concentration, onset of sweating and others. Additionally, electrical stimulation through the skin can provide a feedback interface for prosthetic control and for augmented computer interfaces. In all cases, new concepts in electrical coupling through the skin will be valuable, particularly those that can circumvent limitations associated with the stratum corneum. Consumer and medical skin-mounted devices with embedded electrical measurement capabilities are just now beginning to become available, thereby foreshadowing the emergence of a significant new commercial opportunity for electronics technology and medical data analytics.

**Wearable optical sensors**

**Optical sensors: body-to-signal transduction.** Optical measurement systems designed for capturing such information vary widely, from highly accurate, large-scale set-ups designed for use in clinical or laboratory settings, to primitive but functional platforms that integrate with consumer electronic goods such as wrist-mounted wearables, to newly emerging skin-like devices that combine the most attractive features of the other two options. In each case, light sources introduce light into the body through the skin, and by changes in light scattering and light absorption the body reveals information related to tissue health, specifically through the detection of naturally occurring fluorescent chromophores (fluorophores) such as NADH, elastin, collagen and flavins or externally administered fluorophores for the detection of malignant or premalignant tissue.143 Popular techniques to study the detailed layered and spatial structures in the skin include coherence tomography imaging methods for blood flow mapping.145

Device geometries depend on application requirements and measurement locations on the skin. Most hard-wired systems, as well as conventional wireless devices, rely on a transmission configuration in which the light source mounts opposite to the detector. This set-up ensures that the detected light interacts through a substantial optical path length with the target tissue146 and thereby yields strong signal attenuation for the extraction of pulsatile changes. A disadvantage of this geometry is that it can be applied easily only to relevant regions of the anatomy, such as the finger or ear lobe,147 and it does not offer a straightforward means for system miniaturization.148 Approaches that explore backscattered reflection enable the light source and detector to be positioned adjacent to one another, in the same plane. The result allows for measurements via interfaces to nearly any region of the body, with a simple means for miniaturization and wireless operation.

Reflectance mode measurements such as these are, however, susceptible to motion artifacts. Here, slight changes in
the relative positioning of the optical components to the probing volume\textsuperscript{146} create parasitic noise. Digital and analog filtering algorithms can be helpful in this context\textsuperscript{149} and systematic compensating approaches that exploit accelerometers as motion sensors\textsuperscript{150} yield significant improvements, but at the expense of additional complexity in device design. As a result, conventional hardware for reflection mode measurements of PPG are typically large and bulky, especially those that involve wireless operation and associated batteries for power supply. Challenges also arise in balancing the total power consumption and overall size of the system with the signal-to-noise ratio of the measurement, where the drive current for the light source and the separation between the source and the detector are critically important parameters.\textsuperscript{151}

Recent advances in soft, bio-integrated device technologies\textsuperscript{116} and supporting concepts in mechanical and materials design\textsuperscript{152,153} provide routes to differentiated types of devices, whose key characteristics are ‘skin-like’ properties and geometries.\textsuperscript{61} In one particularly useful class of such technology,
RF energy harvesting and data communication occur via approaches that exploit near field communication (NFC) technology, thereby bypassing the need for batteries and enabling, as a result, ultrathin, ultraminiaturized designs for lamination directly on the skin, much like a temporary transfer tattoo. Carefully optimized layouts and strategies in heterogeneous integration form the basis for hybrid systems of this type, in which high performance inorganic materials define the active functionality and specialized elastomers and polymers enable bio-compatible physical properties and interfaces. Integrated multi-colored LEDs and photodetectors allow direct readout of the optical properties of the skin using any NFC-enabled platform, such as a smartphone or a tablet computer. In extremely miniaturized embodiments, the devices can be mounted directly on the fingernail, to allow optical assessment of the skin’s temperature, pulse wave velocities and properties of deeply buried structures such as flow rates, bilirubin concentrations, pressure sensors, etc. The epidermis as an information barrier, the skin, oral mucosa in the mouth, the cornea of the eye, and all other externally facing tissue surfaces, are, by design, nearly perfect barriers to most chemicals. Therefore, except for reverse iontophoresis (Fig. 1d and related discussion), non-invasive and wearable access to chemical analytes is only possible by measuring biofluids secreted by the body (e.g. saliva, sweat, tears). These fluids present further challenges, in that most large analytes (large molecules, proteins) are diluted, many analytes are not detected at blood levels and only represent local physiology, and fluids such as sweat and tears are secreted in miniscule volumes. If a wearable chemical sensor can be successfully coupled with one of these bio-fluids, chemical-to-electrical or chemical-to-optical signal transduction can take place.

Chemical sensors: body-to-signal transduction. The identification and quantification of most analytes (ions, molecules, proteins, etc.) is only possible through a probe that relies on direct chemical interactions with the biomarker. Creating such chemical sensors in a wearable format remains a significant challenge but appears promising as will be detailed in later sections. However, even if you can make such sensors, there remains a second, perhaps even greater challenge: how does one reliably and non-invasively extract biomarker analytes from the body? Recalling our discussion in the section on the epidermis as an information barrier, the skin, oral mucosa in the mouth, the cornea of the eye, and all other externally facing tissue surfaces, are, by design, nearly perfect barriers to most chemicals. Therefore, except for reverse iontophoresis (Fig. 1d and related discussion), non-invasive and wearable access to chemical analytes is only possible by measuring biofluids secreted by the body (e.g. saliva, sweat, tears). These fluids present further challenges, in that most large analytes (large molecules, proteins) are diluted, many analytes are not detected at blood levels and only represent local physiology, and fluids such as sweat and tears are secreted in miniscule volumes. If a wearable chemical sensor can be successfully coupled with one of these bio-fluids, chemical-to-electrical or chemical-to-optical signal transduction can take place.

Alternative approaches to similar types of technologies leverage organic semiconductors and electroluminescent materials for the LEDs, and devices can also be applied to the skin to yield signals that can be used for pulse oximetry. The examples in Fig. 14(a) and (b)] and [(c) and (d)] show reflectance and transmission based geometries, respectively. Integrated wireless platforms for these measurement platforms represent topics of current work.

Optical sensors: unmet challenges and outlook. The rapidly increasing sophistication of both hybrid and organic bio-integrated optical measurement systems provides many opportunities, both in device research and in studies of relationships between data and health status. In the former, the development of low power computational capabilities for data analytics, on the device, shows great potential. In the latter, schemes for using optics to measure additional parameters such as flow rates, bilirubin concentrations, pressure pulse wave velocities and properties of deeply buried structures are of interest. In this context, additional communication capabilities could facilitate multi nodal networks of sensors that record various vital information across the body to yield a more complete picture of the health status.

Wearable chemical sensors

Existing wearable sensors track primarily the user’s vital signs and mobility. However, continuous real-time monitoring of chemical markers (analytes) is desired for obtaining comprehensive information about the wearer’s health, performance or stress at the molecular level. As discussed in the previous sections, only optical sensors, in only select-few cases, can provide specific detection of a particular chemical analyte. Therefore, the vast majority of chemical analytes (biomarkers) are not measurable without direct chemical detection. Direct chemical detection is used extensively in gold-standard blood and urine tests, but has not yet found widespread use in non-invasive wearable sensors. To begin to understand this challenge is to start with the fundamentals of body-to-signal transduction.

Chemical sensors: body-to-signal transduction. The identification and quantification of most analytes (ions, molecules, proteins, etc.) is only possible through a probe that relies on direct chemical interactions with the biomarker. Creating such chemical sensors in a wearable format remains a significant challenge but appears promising as will be detailed in later sections. However, even if you can make such sensors, there remains a second, perhaps even greater challenge: how does one reliably and non-invasively extract biomarker analytes from the body? Recalling our discussion in the section on the epidermis as an information barrier, the skin, oral mucosa in the mouth, the cornea of the eye, and all other externally facing tissue surfaces, are, by design, nearly perfect barriers to most chemicals. Therefore, except for reverse iontophoresis (Fig. 1d and related discussion), non-invasive and wearable access to chemical analytes is only possible by measuring biofluids secreted by the body (e.g. saliva, sweat, tears). These fluids present further challenges, in that most large analytes (large molecules, proteins) are diluted, many analytes are not detected at blood levels and only represent local physiology, and fluids such as sweat and tears are secreted in miniscule volumes. If a wearable chemical sensor can be successfully coupled with one of these bio-fluids, chemical-to-electrical or chemical-to-optical signal transduction can take place.

Chemical-to-optical signal detection is often colorimetric, similar to the technology used in urine-based pregnancy testing kits. As shown in Fig. 15 a recent example of wearable colorimetric detection of analytes in sweat was recently reported by Rogers et al. Chemical-to-optical sensing can offer two main advantages: (1) ultra-low cost and high simplicity by removing the need for localized electronics, detectors, etc. and (2) being able to leverage some parts of the very large library of colorimetric or fluorometric assays used in conventional benchtop biofluid analyses. In some cases, light sources and electronics can be added, like modern urine-based digital pregnancy test sticks where the detection is colorimetric but surrounded by an optical and electrical readout system which reduces user errors in perception of colors and/or their relative darkness or lightness.

Arguably, in the future, many wearable chemical sensors will be chemical-to-electrical or electrochemical in nature, because: (1) these types of sensors require no action on the user’s behalf to observe or record the data; (2) in some cases these sensors can minimize the required technology (no light sources, optics, or detectors are required); (3) many of these sensors are reagent and label-free such that they start working as soon as they are brought into contact with biofluid; (4)
importantly, many electrochemical sensors are continuous (reversible). Therefore, the bulk of our discussion in this section will focus on wearable electrochemical sensors.160–162

Electrochemical sensors represent an important subclass of chemical sensors in which an electrode serves as the transducer. Such sensors rely on the interplay between electricity and chemistry, namely, the measurements of electrical quantities, such as potential of current, and their relationship to the concentration of the target analyte. Unlike other types of chemical measurements involving the bulk solution, electrochemical reactions occur at the electrode/solution interface. According to the electrical parameter that they measure, the
two major classes of widely-demonstrated electrochemical sensors are potentiometric and amperometric devices (Fig. 16a and b). Both types of electrochemical sensors require at least two electrodes (working and reference) and a contacting sample solution, which constitute the electrochemical cell. High performance sensors often add a third reference electrode which helps stabilize the sensor system over time (avoid sensor drift) and therefore help limit the changes in the transduced signal to be only that of the specific analyte that is to be measured.163

Potentiometric sensors, such as ion-selective electrodes (ISE), rely on measuring a potential response associated with the selective recognition of the target ionic analyte (Fig. 16a). The signal is measured as the potential difference (voltage) between the working electrode and the reference electrode (for simplicity, only a two-electrode system is shown in Fig. 16a). A critical material in the potentiometric sensor is an electrode coated with a membrane that selectively allows passage of only one ionic species that will dominate the voltage signal. For example, a PVC membrane coating that is
embedded with sodium ionophore-X will selectively allow passage of only Na⁺ ions whereas a membrane embedded with valinomycin will selectively allow passage of K⁺ ions (interestingly valinomycin is also a potent antibiotic as it in-
duces K⁺ conductivity in cell membranes). Now, the higher the concentration of ions in solution, the greater the number of ions that will diffuse into the membrane and to the electrode. Because only the cation passes into the membrane (Na⁺ or K⁺), this results in a build-up of electrical potential (voltage) across the membrane. The voltage is theoretically dependent on the logarithm of the ionic activity (e.g. the Nernst equation). Amperometric sensors involve electron transfer processes across the electrode/solution interface and rely on measuring the current signal when a potential is applied between working and reference electrodes. The applied potential is used for driving the electron-transfer reaction of the target analyte while the resulting current signal is proportional to the analyte concentration. Most amperometric sensors rely on an immobilized enzyme to make them specific to a particular analyte. For example, with a glucose electrode, glucose reacts with immobilized glucose oxidase enzyme, and the current response associated with this reaction (or reaction products) can be measured as an electrical current (Fig. 16b). Unfortunately, ion-selective and amperometric electrodes are generally limited to millimolar concentrations of ions (electrolytes) and micro-molar or greater ranges of metabolites, respectively. This falls far short of the wide-array of analytes that exist in secreted biofluids. Few other options exist, and the most attractive options will also be single-step (just place them in biofluid) and inherently reversible just like ion-selective and enzymatic electrodes. One of the more promising options may be electrochemical aptamer-based (EAB) sensors, which can work continuously even in whole blood (Fig. 16c). EAB sensors require an aptamer (DNA sequence) that selectively binds and releases an analyte at actual concentrations of the analyte in the biofluid. The binding event must also cause a shape change, which therefore changes the position of an attached redox couple relative to the electrode surface, and therefore the electrochemical activity. Simply, as the redox couple is brought closer or further away from the electrode, the measured electrochemical current at the redox potential increases or decreases, respectively.

Chemical sensors: devices and demonstrations. Electrochemical devices meet the requirements of on-body wearable systems owing to their inherent miniaturization, low-power requirements, simplicity, speed and low-cost fabrication. Over the past 5 years, we have witnessed significant progress in the field of wearable electrochemical sensors. Wearable electrochemical sensors have been integrated directly onto the epidermis or onto textile materials for a variety of chemical monitoring applications. Sweat, saliva and tears have been used for such non-invasive real-time electrochemical monitoring since these biofluids contain multiple physiologically relevant chemical constituents. Several groups have thus developed wearable electrochemical sensors for real-time non-invasive monitoring of various metabolites and electrolytes, including most recently numerous devices demonstrated for sweat (Fig. 17).
In existing demonstrations, epidermal electrochemical sensors mate intimately with the skin, and hence must be soft and sometimes also stretchable to ensure such conformal contact. Recent efforts have illustrated the use of specially engineered stress-enduring inks for screen-printing of stretchable electrochemical sensors that withstand severe mechanical strain with minimal effects on their performance.\textsuperscript{167} Flexible tattoo and textile-based amperometric or potentiometric sensors have thus been demonstrated for the detection of different chemical markers in human sweat (Fig. 17a). For example, Jia \textit{et al.} described real-time non-invasive lactate biosensing in sweat during exercise activity using a flexible printed temporary-transfer tattoo enzyme electrode that conformed to the wearer’s skin.\textsuperscript{166} The epidermal lactate biosensor was fabricated with a mediated lactate oxidase recognition layer, covered by a biocompatible chitosan layer. Temporal current–time lactate profiles illustrated the suitability of this epidermal biosensor to assess the degree of physical exertion primarily because as exertion increases, sweat rate increases, and lactate concentrations depend on sweat rate.\textsuperscript{59} Subsequent work from Wang’s group has led to epidermal glucose and alcohol tattoo biosensors that combine an iontophoretic extraction with electrochemical detection using the corresponding amperometric enzyme electrodes.\textsuperscript{169,170} The ability to detect the rise in the glucose or alcohol level after a meal or a drink in a non-invasive fashion was demonstrated. The transdermal alcohol sensor integrates a sweat-secretion stimulating drug (pilocarpine)-loaded iontophoretic operation and amperometric biosensing to offer rapid alcohol measurements in the induced sweat (Fig. 18). More recently, sweat stimulation integration has been demonstrated where the sweat stimulation and sensing components are properly spatially separated, which is important to improve the quality of collected data.\textsuperscript{171}

The monitoring of sweat electrolyte concentrations can shed useful information on the chemical and physical state of the body.\textsuperscript{59,158} Diamond’s group described an ISE based sweat sensor belt (SSB) that combines sweat collection and analysis conveniently in a single device.\textsuperscript{172} The same group introduced potentiometric sensors for sweat sodium.\textsuperscript{173} Classical potentiometric sensors are commonly constructed using rigid materials that do not conform to the elastic nature of the human skin, making such potentiometric sensors uncomfortable to the wearer. Solid-contact flexible ISEs have thus been developed as wearable potentiometric sensors for calcium, ammonium and sodium ions.\textsuperscript{163,174,175} These skin-worn potentiometric sensors offer resiliency against mechanical deformations experienced on the skin and display a near-Nernstian response with negligible carry-over. Rogers’s team described recently a multiplexed array of potentiometric sensors for spatio-temporal mapping of a localized ion concentration.\textsuperscript{161} Such a body-compliant potentiometric sensor array continuously monitors transient electrolyte concentration profiles to alert the wearer of potential health risks. Skin-worn electrochemical sensors for trace metals (\textit{e.g.}, Zn, Pb) have been described in connection with highly sensitive stripping voltammetry detection.\textsuperscript{176,177} Such detection involves an electrodeposition (preconcentration) step to offer detection limits down to the ppb (nanomolar) concentration level.

Integrated real-time multi-analyte monitoring is essential to widespread future adoption of wearable electrochemical sensors. Gao \textit{et al.} developed an integrated multi-analyte
potentiometric-amperometric sensor wristband platform (Fig. 17d and f). Such sweat-bands can track the wearer’s temperature, glucose, lactate, potassium and sodium from exercise induced sweat, although lactate and sodium are primarily indicative of the sweat generation rate. This new multi-sensor epidermal platform merged the plastic-based sensor array with silicon integrated circuits consolidated on a flexible circuit board for advanced signal conditioning, processing and transmission. Selective independent operation of individual sensors has been demonstrated along with the sweat profile of human subjects engaged in prolonged indoor and outdoor physical activities.

Saliva or tears offer attractive alternatives for wearable electrochemical sensing applications. The non-invasive monitoring of glucose in tears has received particular attention in connection with the management of diabetes (Fig. 19).
For example, Yao et al. has described a microfabricated amperometric glucose sensor integrated onto a contact lens.\textsuperscript{180} The glucose oxidase enzyme was immobilized in a titania sol–gel layer that led to enhanced sensitivity, along with a permselective (anti-interference) Naflon® coating. These developments could pave the way to multi-functional contact lenses capable of non-invasive chemical analysis.\textsuperscript{181} Saliva contains multiple biomarkers that can shed useful insights into the health status. Potentiometric pH and fluoride ion-selective electrodes on a partial denture have been already described in the 1960s.\textsuperscript{182,183} Kim et al. has developed an integrated wireless mouthguard platform for amperometric monitoring of salivary metabolites.\textsuperscript{184,185} A Bluetooth low energy (BLE) chipset provided wireless connectivity to different BLE-enabled devices. The utility of the integrated mouthguard amperometric biosensor was demonstrated for real-time monitoring of salivary uric acid for both healthy people and hyperuricemia patients. Such a mouthguard sensor platform can be readily expanded to multiple salivary analytes.

Chemical sensors: unmet challenges and outlook. In spite of significant recent progress toward wearable chemical sensors, wearable chemical sensors have technological gaps to fill before realizing their full potential. Challenges related to the analytical procedure, power, materials, communication, data acquisition and security, and seamless integration have been discussed recently.\textsuperscript{162} A major challenge, as discussed at the end of the subsection Chemical sensors: body-to-signal transduction is the development and in vivo validation of electrochemical sensors beyond the ion-selective and enzymatic modalities that have been around for decades. Furthermore, effective sampling and transport of biofluids (e.g. sweat) over the sensor surface is crucial for ensuring good reproducibility and avoiding contamination. Therefore, simply placing a sensor against the body surface may be inadequate. Furthermore, non-invasive biofluids are not as reliable as blood, and analyte concentrations are often diluted and in some cases, will require preconcentration techniques.\textsuperscript{158} A major opportunity could be hormone sensing, because many hormones are small and lipophilic, and therefore have a 1:1 ratio in their unbound fractions between blood and secreted biofluids.\textsuperscript{158} Industry remains skeptical of the potential impact of these fluids, but at the same time, breakthroughs solving fundamental and confounding challenges continue.\textsuperscript{15,171} Some on-skin chemical sensing products do exist, such as sweat Cl\textsuperscript{−} testing for infant cystic fibrosis testing,\textsuperscript{158} but these are point-of-care type devices in a medical setting and not true wearable devices. Therefore, no commercial wearable sensing products exist yet for chemical detection with sweat, tears, or saliva, but start-up companies such as Eccrine Systems (sweat) and MouthSense (saliva) are gathering increased attention and investment.\textsuperscript{186}

The challenge of development and in vivo validation of electrochemical sensors beyond ion-selective and enzymatic modalities is worthy of further discussion. There is a very large spectrum of chemical sensors reported in the literature. For wearable sensors, there are some very important considerations that are unresolved, especially in the many publications of sensors stated as potentially useful for wearable applications. In wearable applications, the analyte to be detected will likely be in whole biofluid, so sensor selectivity is critical. In a wearable application, the greatest value is for continuous sensing, so the sensor should be inherently reversible, and the signal changes due to fouling and non-specific binding must be very low or corrected for by some other means. Ion-selective electrodes, as well as EAB sensors, are less sensitive to surface fouling because the charge-transduction mechanisms are fully localized to the sensor surface. This is not true for most other types of charge, impedance, and field-effect chemical sensors found in the literature. Therefore, fundamental research on new and more robust electrochemical sensors is critically important for wearable applications.

Chemical sensors: prospects for lab on a chip integration. As noted in the introduction, it is fitting that this review is published here in the journal Lab on a Chip, because addressing challenges in wearable sensors will require innovative miniaturization of analytical techniques currently only found in bench-top and point-of-care settings. It is further fitting that it is published in this journal, because creating continuous sensors is one of the next major frontiers for the field, building on the many breakthroughs previously reported in this journal for one-time point-of-care sensors. In the last section of this review, we will touch upon what roles traditional lab on a chip technology may play in wearables. Certainly, not every condition or analyte can be measured through a simple press-against-skin sensor. Rather, in some cases, fluid handling, preconcentration, incubation, and other techniques may be required to satisfy the most challenging applications in detection.

Some of the most valuable contributions by introducing a microfluidic or lab-on-a-chip approach are the abilities to mix, introduce, concentrate, and perform other useful functions on solutes. This is particularly powerful, because for almost every blood analyte of great interest, there is an existing assay performed in fluid environments such as conventional...
96-well plate assays. Microfluidics offers the potential to leverage these existing systems. Lateral flow assays (LFAs) are great examples of miniaturized and ultra-simple assay systems and have been widely used to measure a variety of biological samples including urine, saliva, sweat, etc., but such devices are single-use, often not highly quantitative, and therefore their applicability in wearable applications may be very limited.

In this review, we have stated that wearables often require continuous sensing. But continuous does not mean ‘all the time’, rather it means giving the user, a doctor, an athletic trainer, etc. enough data points that biologically relevant information is provided, and/or such that baseline conditions can be recorded before a physiological event occurs. The required data points could be every few minutes (e.g. monitoring stress responses through cortisol concentrations), every 10s of minutes (such as measuring blood sugar levels), or every few hours (e.g. monitoring injury or illness through changes in inflammatory protein biomarkers). If the sampling interval is hours, for example, that could allow ample time to concentrate, mix, incubate, and perform other functions normally only found on the benchtop. However, a wearable normally must be tiny in size and ideally controlled by a simple electronic chip. Therefore, conventional pressure-based transport, valving, and other functions in microfluidics may be less preferable to methods such as electrowetting control of digital microfluidics. The big question for each application will often be, ‘which is more painful, to develop a simple and robust electrochemical sensor, or to develop a sophisticated and complex microfluidic lab-on-chip platform?’ The latter, again, suggests opportunities for researchers in lab-on-chip, if they can figure out how to move beyond the frontier of point-of-care and bench-top devices to fully portable, tiny, and continuous sensing modalities.

Conclusions and general outlook

Market segment projections for wearable sensors in 2020 are shown in Fig. 20. As these data were from 2016, they do not include the recently slower-than-expected pace of introduction of non-invasive wearable chemical sensors. Likely, in 2020 and shortly beyond, biopotential (electrical) and optical sensors will still dominate the wearable sensor market. As stated earlier in this review, inertial sensors are not within the scope of this review as they do not measure information coming from within the body.

Other than side-by-side integration of electrical and optical sensing such as of galvanic skin response and heart rate, the general mechanical, electrical, optical, and chemical sensing modalities have remained isolated from each other in commercial products. This will change over time, especially as more information, and more accurate information is demanded from wearable sensors. Simply, by combining a multitude of sensing modalities, more selective and specific measures of physiological conditions can be determined. Furthermore, a more comprehensive picture of health can be provided. For example, instead of just measuring heart rate, we could understand the origin or cause of increased heart rate and attribute it as healthy or not (e.g. exercise vs. a cardiac event, or positive excitement vs. a panic attack, etc.). Recently, Wang and Mercier described a multi-modal epidermal Chem-Phys hybrid patch platform sweat lactate and heart-rate monitoring. Such hybrid wearable sensors, fusing chemical, physical and electrophysiological sensors on the same platform, should offer a more comprehensive monitoring and understanding of an individual's physiological state. This theme of providing increased information and increased relevancy of the information will perhaps guide most of the future technological breakthroughs in wearable technology. At the same time, the use of more traditional mechanical, optical, and electrical sensors will continue to increase, mainly in specialized adaptation to applications not currently served but which could benefit from such measurement capabilities.

Many of the emerging sensing modalities, such as stretchable mechanical sensors or chemical sensors, require disposable components including adhesives and the sensors themselves. Adhesives can only last as long as it takes for the stratum corneum to fully refresh itself (weeks) and practically have difficulty lasting longer than several days in many instances (skin oils, bathing, irritation, etc.). Most chemical sensors are susceptible to fouling, or utilize probe chemistries that are consumed or which slowly degrade over time. Therefore, unlike today's academic demonstrations, commercial devices will need strategies for easily attaching and detaching disposable components (sensors, adhesives, etc.) with reusable components (batteries, electronics, plastic housings, etc.).

For all of these future endeavors, continued investment in research and development is paramount. It is our hope that this review has served as a baseline for those interested in contributing to this future and as a way to direct talent to...
solving the many fundamental challenges and obstacles that currently exist for wearable sensors.
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