COMMUNICATION

Check for updates

Generation of a functional, semisynthetic [FeFe]-hydrogenase in a photosynthetic microorganism†

Adam Wegelius,‡[a] Namita Khanna,‡§[a] Charlène Esmieu,[b] Giovanni Davide Barone,[a] Filipe Pinto,¶[cd] Paula Tamagnini,[cd] Gustav Berggren [ID] *[b] and Peter Lindblad [ID] *[a]

[FeFe]-Hydrogenases are hydrogen producing metalloenzymes with excellent catalytic capacities, highly relevant in the context of a future hydrogen economy. Here we demonstrate the synthetic activation of a heterologously expressed [FeFe]-hydrogenase in living cells of *Synechocystis* PCC 6803, a photoautotrophic microbial chassis with high potential for biotechnological energy applications. H_2-Evolution assays clearly show that the non-native, semi-synthetic enzyme links to the native metabolism in living cells.

Cyanobacteria, photosynthetic microbial chassis with high potential for biotechnological applications, are considered strong candidates for photobiological fuel and chemical production.[1] Almost all cyanobacteria contain an inherent capacity to produce hydrogen gas catalyzed by a nitrogenase and/or a bi-directional hydrogenase.[2] Wild type (WT) cells of *Synechocystis* harbor a single, bidirectional, oxygen sensitive [NiFe]-hydrogenase encoded by the *hox*-genes. Hydrogen production occurs both under dark, fermentative conditions and, in a short burst, during the transition from darkness to light.[3] In darkness, the hydrogen production is dependent on external or internal fermentation substrate(s).[4] In light, the native hydrogenase can utilize electrons from PSII-catalyzed water splitting and/or fermentative sources, although it is rapidly inactivated by oxygen generated by PSII.[3,4]

Broader context

[FeFe]-Hydrogenases are metalloenzymes known for their spectacular performance in hydrogen production. In natural systems the synthesis of the active site containing a unique [2Fe]-subcluster is dependent on a complicated enzymatic maturation machinery. Recently, a synthetic maturation procedure was successfully employed for the first time *in vivo* in the heterotrophic bacteria *Escherichia coli*. Here we demonstrate the synthetic *in vivo* activation of a heterologously expressed [FeFe]-hydrogenase in a unicellular cyanobacterium and describe how the non-native, semi-synthetic enzyme links to the native metabolism in a living photosynthetic cell. Our model organism, *Synechocystis* PCC 6803, represents a photoautotrophic microbial chassis with high potential for biotechnological energy applications. The activated hydrogenase evolves hydrogen both in light and in darkness with an activity directly linked to the metabolic status of the cell. The procedure reported in the present work opens up unique possibilities to investigate not only [FeFe]-hydrogenases but also other metalloenzymes in a photosynthetic microbial cell environment, completely bypassing the many challenges of *e.g.* biological maturation and regulations.

[a] *Microbial Chemistry, Department of Chemistry-Ångström, Uppsala University, Box 523, SE-751 20 Uppsala, Sweden. E-mail: Peter.Lindblad@kemi.uu.se*

[b] *Molecular Biomimetics, Department of Chemistry-Ångström, Uppsala University, Box 523, SE-751 20 Uppsala, Sweden. E-mail: Gustav.Berggren@kemi.uu.se*

[c] *i3S – Instituto de Investigação e Inovação em Saúde, IBMC – Instituto de Biologia Molecular e Celular, Universidade do Porto, 4200-135 Porto, Portugal*

[d] *Faculdade de Ciências, Departamento de Biologia, Universidade do Porto, 4169-007 Porto, Portugal*

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Including: methods & suppl figures, table, note. See DOI: 10.1039/c8ee01975d

‡ Equal contribution.

§ Current address: Department of Biotechnology, Birla Institute of Technology & Science, Pilani Dubai Campus, Dubai International Academic City, P.O. Box 345055, Dubai, United Arab Emirates.

¶ Current address: School of Biological Sciences & Centre for Synthetic and Systems Biology, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3FF, UK.

However, neither nitrogenase nor [NiFe]-hydrogenase can be considered Nature's premier H_2 production catalysts. Indeed, the [FeFe]-hydrogenases (HydA), found in some eukaryotes and in prokaryotes outside the cyanobacteria phylum, are known to have catalytic activities that vastly outperform any hydrogen producing enzyme naturally occurring in cyanobacteria.[2] Moreover, the [FeFe]-hydrogenases are also much less prone to catalyse the oxidation of H_2 to protons and electrons.[2] Thus, the introduction of such an [FeFe]-hydrogenase is expected to result in significant enhancement in H_2 production from the cyanobacterial host.

The heterologous expression of HydA is complicated by the requirement for efficient co-expression of its dedicated maturation machinery.[5] At the core of the enzyme sits the unique H-cluster, responsible for the remarkable catalytic activity. This cofactor consists of a [4Fe–4S] cluster connected to a [2Fe] subcluster *via* a cysteine residue.[6] In nature, biosynthesis of the [2Fe] subcluster requires three hydrogenase specific maturases, HydE, HydF and HydG.[7] HydEFG assemble the subcluster and

transfer it to the unmatured HydA protein, already containing the [4Fe–4S] cluster inserted by the universal iron–sulfur cluster synthesis machinery.[8]

Co-expression of HydA and the three maturases in *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) results in an active enzyme and subsequent hydrogen production.[7]

In recent years, it was discovered that maturation of HydA can be readily accomplished even without the involvement of the HydEFG machinery. This was first demonstrated for purified, unmatured HydA which was shown to form an active enzyme by addition of synthetic analogues of the [2Fe] subcluster.[9,10] For a few years, this technique was limited to *in vitro* conditions with purified HydA. However, recently artificial maturation of HydA was demonstrated *in vivo* in living cells of *E. coli*.[11–13] In the present work, we employ, for the first time, this technique beyond *E. coli*, and synthetically activate heterologously expressed HydA in living cells of the unicellular cyanobacterium *Synechocystis* PCC 6803. Moreover, we demonstrate that this artificially maturated, "semi-synthetic", HydA links to the native metabolism of the cells and is active both in light conditions and in darkness. To our knowledge, this is the first example of synthetic activation of a metalloenzyme within any photosynthetic microorganism. The ability to artificially mature hydrogenases in living cyanobacterial cells is an important step forward in hydrogen based bioenergy research. It will facilitate screening photosynthetic hosts and enable straightforward investigations of enzymes with non-native [2Fe]-subclusters and/or amino acid sequences in a photosynthetic environment. This will be an important tool in the search for efficient catalysts for photo-biohydrogen production and future renewable energy systems.

We expressed the [FeFe]-hydrogenase HydA1 from the green algae *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* (*CrHydA1*) in WT and a hydrogenase deficient mutant (Δ*hoxYH*) of *Synechocystis* PCC 6803 (*Synechocystis* WT and *Synechocystis* Δ*hox*, respectively) using a broad host range shuttle vector. To reliably express the *hydA1*-gene in the cyanobacterial host strains, we used the Ptrc$_{core}$ promoter together with a bicistronic design adapter (supplementary Note 1, ESI†). The employment of the engineered, hydrogenase free, host strain *Synechocystis* Δ*hox* offers a unique opportunity to elucidate the activity of the heterologous hydrogenase without interference from any native hydrogen metabolism.

When an anaerobic culture of *Synechocystis* Δ*hox CrHydA1* was incubated with 100 μg (156 nmol) of synthetic [2Fe] subcluster mimic [Fe$_2$(adt)(CN)$_2$(CO)$_4$]$^{2-}$ (complex 1, adt = –SCH$_2$NHCH$_2$S–)[14] significant hydrogen accumulation was observed after 48 h incubation in darkness with glucose-supplemented growth medium (Fig. 1). Cells of *Synechocystis* Δ*hox CrHydA1* not receiving complex 1, but otherwise handled identically, did not reveal any detectable hydrogen production. Likewise, *Synechocystis* Δ*hox* without the unmatured HydA did not exhibit hydrogen production when treated with complex 1 (data not shown). Together this demonstrates the necessity of having both the heterologously expressed unmatured HydA and the synthetic cofactor present for proton reduction to occur. Under the same conditions, hydrogen production from *Synechocystis* WT *CrHydA1* increased upon


Fig. 1 Accumulated hydrogen from *Synechocystis* PCC 6803 WT and *Synechocystis* PCC 6803 Δ*hox* expressing *CrHydA1* from *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. 0 μg or 100 μg complex 1 was added to anaerobic cultures in media supplemented with glucose. Columns represent nmol hydrogen accumulated per mL culture after 48 h incubation in darkness, normalized to culture optical density (O.D. 750 nm). Data points represent means of 2–4 independent experiments. Error bars represent s.d.

addition of complex 1 (Fig. 1). It is thus apparent that the native hydrogen production can be increased by addition of a second hydrogen producing enzyme to the biological system. Moreover, it is noteworthy that hydrogen production was significantly higher in *Synechocystis* Δ*hox* cells containing an active *CrHydA1* than in WT cells, underscoring the possibility to increase *Synechocystis* hydrogen productivity through enzyme optimization. However, the combined hydrogen production from the native NiFe-hydrogenase and the activated HydA did not reach a higher level than observed in cells of *Synechocystis* Δ*hox CrHydA1* with activated HydA which may indicate an underlying limitation of substrate under the experimental conditions employed. Time profiles of the hydrogen production (Fig. S1, ESI†) revealed that all the observed hydrogen was produced already within 24 h of incubation, further strengthening this remark.

We further assayed the hydrogen production from the semi-synthetic *CrHydA1* enzyme expressed in *Synechocystis* Δ*hox* cells under altered growth conditions. After treatment with complex 1 under anaerobic conditions, cells were incubated in darkness or in light (100 μmol of photons m^{-2} s^{-1} with DCMU (3-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-1,1-dimethylurea)) in media with or without nitrate (BG11 and BG11$_0$, respectively) and/or glucose, giving rise to 8 different environmental conditions. After 48 h, accumulated hydrogen was measured (Fig. 2a and c). Activations in BG11$_0$ were done with cells already deprived of nitrate for 12 h, showing clear signs of on-going chlorosis. Vast differences in hydrogen production became apparent in the different environmental conditions examined, and most notable was the difference between light with DCMU (light + DCMU) (Fig. 2a) and darkness (Fig. 2c). The highest recorded accumulation of hydrogen in darkness (194 ± 15 nmol per OD per mL, obtained without glucose and nitrate) was almost 20 times higher than the highest accumulation

3164 | *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2018, **11**, 3163–3167

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2018

Fig. 2 Hydrogen production by cells of *Synechocystis* PCC 6803 Δ*hox* harbouring an activated HydA and exposed to different growth conditions. (a and c) Accumulated hydrogen after 48 h with different media compositions in (a) light with DCMU inhibition and (c) darkness. (b and d) Time resolved cumulative hydrogen production in $BG11_0$ (cubes) and $BG11_0$ with glucose (triangles) for (b) light with DCMU inhibition and (d) darkness. Figures display nmols of accumulated hydrogen per mL culture, normalized to optical density (O.D. 750 nm). Data points represent means of 2–4 independent experiments. Error bars represent s.d.

in light (10 ± 2 nmol per OD per mL, obtained in nitrate free media supplemented with glucose). Presence of DCMU in the growth medium had no effect on the hydrogen accumulation under dark conditions (data not shown). Cells incubated in light + DCMU and BG11 without glucose showed low, but detectable (below 1 nmol per OD per mL), levels of hydrogen accumulation, while it was undetectable in darkness. The considerable differences in accumulated hydrogen, depending on medium composition and light regime, clearly indicate that the activated hydrogenase is operating *in vivo* and is coupled to the metabolic status of the living cells. Exactly how the complex enters the cell to activate the hydrogenase is not known. The anionic nature of the subcluster mimic may suggest an involvement of transmembrane transporters.

Nitrate depleted media consistently gave higher hydrogen production than corresponding condition with nitrate (Fig. 2a and c). This is in line with what has previously been reported for native hydrogen production in *Synechocystis* WT[3] and is a consequence of slowing down the reductant-demanding process of nitrate assimilation, thereby making the reduction capacity available for other cellular processes.[15] It is interesting to note how the heterologous, synthetically activated [FeFe]-hydrogenase is able to connect with the cell metabolism and respond to cellular changes in the redox balance similarly to the [NiFe]-hydrogenase in *Synechocystis* WT. Considering the effect of glucose, it is apparent that the hydrogen production is glucose dependent when nitrate is present in the media. The effect of glucose under nitrogen deprived conditions is more complicated. While clearly showing a positive effect on hydrogen accumulation in light + DCMU (Fig. 2a), the addition of glucose in darkness had a negative effect (Fig. 2c), decreasing the accumulated hydrogen to about one fourth. *Synechocystis* is known to accumulate storage compounds, like PHB (poly[(*R*)-3-hydroxybutyrate])

upon nitrogen starvation,[16,17] and addition of external carbon sources can enhance this accumulation.[18,19] We speculate that the decrease in hydrogen production upon addition of glucose results from the enabling of anabolic cell processes, making the cell environment less reducing compared to when both nitrate and glucose are absent. Under such conditions the cells have to survive exclusively by catabolism of internal compounds. The exact nature of the response to glucose in our highly engineered, semi-synthetic system under these particular conditions clearly needs further investigations.

Time profiles for the hydrogen production of nitrate deprived cells (Fig. 2b and d) shows no significant hydrogen production after 24 h in $BG11_0$ light + DCMU. This is also the case for cells in $BG11_0$ in darkness when glucose is provided and in BG11 with glucose in both light and darkness (Fig. S2, ESI†). In contrast, when neither nitrate nor glucose is present in darkness, hydrogen production does not plateau but seemingly continues for the duration of the experiment (Fig. 2d), the only condition in this study where significant hydrogen production could be detected beyond 24 h. Compared to the reported activity from semi-synthetic *CrHydA1* in *E. coli*[11] where hydrogen production ceased after 3–4 h, the lifetime of the hydrogen production by the synthetically activated, heterologously expressed *CrHydA1* in *Synechocystis* is remarkable. Hydrogen production beyond 24 h is statistically verified, and this again signifies the interdependence of and direct link to cellular metabolism as well as the long-term supply of intrinsic reductant power to the activated non-native hydrogenase.

To further experimentally address the electron flow resulting in hydrogen production under the environmental conditions examined, specific inhibitors (schematically outlined in Fig. 3a) were used. The low hydrogen accumulation in light + DCMU,

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2018

Energy Environ. Sci., 2018, **11**, 3163–3167 | **3165**

Fig. 3 (a) Schematic representation of plausible electron donors to hydrogenase and selected parts of cell metabolism in unicellular cyanobacterium *Synechocyctis* PCC 6803. Effects of inhibitors used in this study, DCMU (3-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-1,1-dimethylurea), DBMIB (2,5-dibromo-3-methyl-6-isopropyl-1,4-benzoquinone) and DCC (*N,N'*-dicyclohexyl-methanediimine), are indicated in red. (b and c) Accumulated hydrogen from *Synechocystis* PCC 6803 Δ*hox* harbouring an activated HydA after 48 h in light with different inhibitors and inhibitor combinations (DCMU, DBMIB, DCMU+DBMIB and DCMU+DCC) in (b) BG11$_0$ and (c) BG11$_0$ with glucose. Data points represent means of 2–4 independent experiments. Error bars represent s.d. Abbreviations: Cyt b6f: Cytochrome b6f; Fd: Ferredoxin; FNR: Ferredoxin-NADP reductase; NDH-I: NADPH dehydrogenase I; PC: Plastocyanin; PSI and PSII: Photosystem I and II.

compared to dark conditions, may indicate a competitive relationship with the cyclic electron flow around PSI. Indeed, when DBMIB (2,5-dibromo-3-methyl-6-isopropyl-1,4-benzoquinone), known to inhibit the electron transfer from PQ to cytochrome b6f, was added instead of, or in addition to, DCMU in nitrogen deprived light conditions without glucose, a substantial increase in accumulated hydrogen after 48 h was observed (Fig. 3b). This was 34 times higher when DBMIB was added in addition to DCMU and 11 times higher when only DBMIB was used. Limited oxygen evolution could be detected in the latter case and the lower hydrogen production is probably a result of oxygen inactivation due to the absence of PSII inhibition. As expected, no detectable hydrogen was accumulated in light when neither DCMU nor DBMIB was present. The considerable increase in hydrogen production upon DBMIB inhibition rules out any plastoquinone (PQ) dependent pathway as the main contributor of reductants to the activated *CrHydA1*.

A reduced net electron influx due to the PSII inhibition, together with an operating cyclic electron transport around PSI, may rapidly lead to high levels of ATP being accumulated in the cells. ATP inhibition of key enzymes in glycolytic pathways is well studied and has been reported for pyruvate kinase in cyanobacteria.[20] When ATP-synthase was inhibited with DCC

(*N,N'*-dicyclohexylmethanediimine),[21] in addition to the PSII inhibition, a limited positive effect on hydrogen production (5-fold increase) could be observed in nitrogen and glucose deprived cells (Fig. 3b), suggested to be a consequence of decreased ATP inhibition of the glycolytic pathways. Interestingly, ATPase inhibition by DCC has been reported to increase the NADPH/NADP$^+$-ratio in nitrogen starved cells of *Synechocystis* WT under aerobic conditions[15] which may also explain the observed increased hydrogen production.

Addition of DBMIB to cells with glucose dependent hydrogen production in BG11 light (Fig. 3c) had a distinct positive effect on hydrogen accumulation, although not as drastic as under nitrogen deprivation. DBMIB inhibition alone resulted in the same production of hydrogen as the combined DCMU + DBMIB inhibition, indicating that hydrogen production had already ceased for other reasons when the oxygen levels became high enough to inactivate the activated hydrogenase. DCC inhibition resulted in a 6-fold increase, similar to the result obtained without nitrate and glucose.

The results from the inhibition studies, together with the high production in darkness, strongly imply that the hydrogen production from the activated *CrHydA1* is driven mainly by a fermentative, PQ independent source of electrons. This result is surprising since the electron donor to *CrHydA1* in the native *Chlamydomonas* host is known to be reduced ferredoxin from the photosynthetic electron transport chain.[22,23] Based on our results, we suggest that either a fermentatively reduced ferredoxin or NADH/NADPH from the glycolytic pathways is the electron donor to the semi-synthetic HydA in the *Synechocystis* cells.

Conclusions

With this report we demonstrate, for the first time, how the concept of *in vivo* synthetic activation of [FeFe]-hydrogenases can be taken beyond *E. coli* and employed to a living photosynthetic microorganism, the unicellular cyanobacterium *Synechocystis* PCC 6803. The *in vivo* generation of functional semi-synthetic, or artificial, metalloenzymes is a very young research field, and the interplay between such non-native enzymes and their hosts remain to be established.[11,12,24] We show that the semi-synthetic heterologous hydrogenase is evidently linked to cellular metabolism, both in light and darkness, resulting in significant and sustained hydrogen production. Indeed, the heterologous [FeFe]-hydrogenase significantly outperforms the activity of the native [NiFe]-hydrogenase, underscoring the possibility to improve H$_2$ production from cyanobacteria *via* enzyme optimization.

The reported procedure enables easy and robust acquirement of active [FeFe]-hydrogenases operating in a living cyanobacterial cell environment, avoiding *e.g.* the challenges of any biological maturation system co-expression. This opens up for unique studies of photobiohydrogen production from modified [FeFe]-hydrogenases and other biotechnical applications in a photosynthetic cell environment where molecular tools are well-studied and available. In addition, it will aid in the search

3166 | *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2018, **11**, 3163–3167

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2018

for more oxygen tolerant variants of [FeFe]-hydrogenases as well as provide a platform for efficient screening of modified cofactors and/or enzymes in photosynthetic cells. In a wider context, the successful preparation of an active semi-synthetic hydrogenase in *Synechocystis* PCC 6803 highlights how synthetic chemistry can be used as a tool to manipulate these cellular factories *via in vivo* preparation of artificial enzymes with novel reactivates.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

References

1 R. Miao, A. Wegelius, C. Durall, F. Liang, N. Khanna and P. Lindblad, in *Modern Topics in the Phototrophic Prokaryotes: Environmental and Applied Aspects*, ed. P. Hallenbeck, 2017, vol. 11, pp. 351–393.

2 N. Khanna, P. Raleiras and P. Lindblad, in *The Physiology of Microalgae, Developments in Applied Phycology 6*, ed. M. Borowitzka, J. Raven and J. Beardall, 2016, vol. 5, pp. 101–127.

3 F. Gutthann, M. Egert, A. Marques and J. Appel, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Bioenerg.*, 2007, **1767**, 161–169.

4 L. Cournac, G. Guedeney, G. Peltier and P. M. Vignais, *J. Bacteriol.*, 2004, **186**, 1737–1746.

5 J. B. Broderick, A. S. Byer, K. S. Duschene, B. R. Duffus, J. N. Betz, E. M. Shepard and J. W. Peters, *J. Biol. Inorg. Chem.*, 2014, **19**, 747–757.

6 Y. Nicolet, C. Piras, P. Legrand, C. E. Hatchikian and J. C. Fontecilla-Camps, *Structure*, 1999, **7**, 13–23.

7 P. W. King, M. C. Posewitz, M. L. Ghirardi and M. Seibert, *J. Bacteriol.*, 2006, **188**, 2163–2172.

8 D. W. Mulder, E. S. Boyd, R. Sarma, R. K. Lange, J. A. Endrizzi, J. B. Broderick and J. W. Peters, *Nature*, 2010, **465**, 248–251.

9 G. Berggren, A. Adamska, C. Lambertz, T. R. Simmons, J. Esselborn, M. Atta, S. Gambarelli, J. M. Mouesca, E. Reijse and W. Lubitz, *Nature*, 2013, **499**, 66–69.

10 J. Esselborn, C. Adamska-Vekates, T. Simmons, G. Berggren, J. Noth, J. Siebel, A. Heimschemeier, V. Artero, E. Reijerse, M. Fontecave, W. Lubitz and T. Happe, *Nat. Chem. Biol.*, 2013, **9**, 607–609.

11 N. Khanna, C. Esmieu, L. S. Meszaros, P. Lindblad and G. Berggren, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2017, **10**, 1563–1567.

12 J. A. Birrell, O. Rüdiger, E. J. Reijse and W. Lubitz, *Joule*, 2017, **1**, 61–76.

13 L. S. Mészáros, B. Németh, C. Esmieu, P. Ceccaldi and G. Berggren, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 2596–2599.

14 H. Li and T. B. Rauchfuss, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2002, **124**, 726–727.

15 W. Hauf, M. Schlebusch, J. Hüge, J. Kopka, M. Hagemann and K. Forchhammer, *Metabolites*, 2013, **3**, 101–118.

16 R. Schwarz and K. Forchhammer, *Microbiology*, 2005, **151**, 2503–2514.

17 B. Panda, P. Jain, L. Sharma and N. Mallick, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 2006, **97**, 1296–1301.

18 M. Miyake, K. Kataoka, M. Shirai and Y. Asada, *J. Bacteriol.*, 1997, **179**, 5009–5013.

19 K. Sudesh, K. Taguchi and Y. Doi, *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.*, 2002, **30**, 97–104.

20 V. L. Knowles, C. S. Smith, C. R. Smith and W. C. Plaxton, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2001, **276**, 20966–20972.

21 H. Zürrer, M. Snozzi and R. Bachofen, *FEBS Lett.*, 1983, **153**, 151–155.

22 A. Melis and T. Happe, *Photosynth. Res.*, 2004, **80**, 401–409.

23 M. L. Ghirardi, M. C. Posewitz, P. C. Maness, A. Dubini, J. Yu and M. Seibert, *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.*, 2007, **58**, 71–91.

24 M. Jeschek, R. Reuter, T. Heinisch, C. Trindler, J. Klehr, S. Panke and T. R. Ward, *Nature*, 2016, **537**, 661–677.

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2018

Energy Environ. Sci., 2018, **11**, 3163–3167 | 3167