Energy & Environmental Science



Check for updates

Cite this: Energy Environ. Sci., 2018, **11**, 2993

Received 15th March 2018, Accepted 30th July 2018

DOI: 10.1039/c8ee00779a

rsc.li/ees

Broader context

Elucidating lithium-ion and proton dynamics in anti-perovskite solid electrolytes[†]

James A. Dawson, ^[] *^a Tavleen S. Attari,^b Hungru Chen,^a Steffen P. Emge, ^[] Karen E. Johnston ^[] *^b and M. Saiful Islam ^[] *^a

All-solid-state Li-ion batteries are currently attracting considerable research attention as they present a viable opportunity for increased energy density and safety when compared to conventional liquid electrolyte-based devices. The Li-rich anti-perovskite $Li_{3-x}OH_xCl$ has generated recent interest as a potential solid electrolyte material, but its lithium and proton transport capabilities as a function of composition are not fully characterised. In this work, we apply a combination of *ab initio* molecular dynamics and ¹H, ²H and ⁷Li solid-state NMR spectroscopy to study the mobility of lithium ions and protons in $Li_{3-x}OH_xCl$. Our calculations predict a strongly exothermic hydration enthalpy for Li_3OCl , which explains the ease with which this material absorbs moisture and the difficulty in synthesising moisture-free samples. We show that the activation energy for Li-ion conduction increases with increasing proton content. The atomistic simulations indicate fast Li-ion diffusion but rule out the contribution of long-range proton diffusion. These findings are supported by variable-temperature solid-state NMR experiments, which indicate localised proton motion and long-range Li-ion mobility that are intimately connected. Our findings confirm that $Li_{3-x}OH_xCl$ is a promising solid electrolyte material for all-solid-state Li-ion batteries.

Energy storage is a fundamental technology for a clean energy economy. However, substantial advancements in energy density compared to traditional Li-ion batteries are essential. One possible avenue to higher energy density, as well as improved operational safety, is the combination of a solid electrolyte with a lithium metal anode to create an all-solid-state battery. The discovery of new solid electrolytes and their optimisation are aided by the synergistic combination of computational chemistry and atomic-scale experimental characterisation. Here, we apply a combination of *ab initio* molecular dynamics and solid-state NMR spectroscopy to examine the mobility of lithium ions and protons in the promising anti-perovskite solid electrolyte material, $Li_{3-x}OH_xCI$. We show that Li-ion transport is highly correlated with the proton and Li-ion vacancy concentrations, whilst confirming that proton hopping is restricted as a result of the large separation between oxygen ions. A new Li-ion hopping mechanism is proposed on the basis of the strong correlation between long-range Li-ion transport and OH^- rotation. Our results suggest that the Li-rich anti-perovskite system is an excellent candidate electrolyte for all-solid-state batteries.

Introduction

Interest in fast Li-ion conducting solid electrolytes for the next generation of Li-ion batteries is ever increasing. By replacing the flammable liquid electrolytes currently used in commercial Li-ion batteries with solid electrolytes, the safety of the devices can be greatly enhanced.^{1–3} In addition to the safety benefits,

solid electrolytes have the potential to enable the use of Li metal anodes, resulting in increased energy densities, and extended electrochemical windows.^{4–6} A significant number of materials, typically based on the garnet, LISICON and perovskite structures, have been investigated as possible Li-ion solid electrolytes.^{7–11}

Although the high Li-ion conductivities of Li-rich antiperovskite materials have been known for decades,^{12,13} it is only recently that significant interest has been generated for solid electrolyte applications.^{14–28} Zhao and Daemen¹⁴ reported high ionic conductivities ($>10^{-3}$ S cm⁻¹ at room temperature) and low activation energies (0.18–0.26 eV) for Li₃OX-based compositions, where X = Cl or Br. However, subsequent reports have noted reduced Li-ion conductivities and increased activation barriers for these materials.^{16–21,23}



View Article Online

^a Department of Chemistry, University of Bath, Bath, BA2 7AY, UK. E-mail: j.a.dawson@bath.ac.uk, m.s.islam@bath.ac.uk

^b Department of Chemistry, Durham University, Durham, DH1 3LE, UK. E-mail: karen.johnston@durham.ac.uk

^c Department of Chemistry, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, CB2 1EW, UK

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/ c8ee00779a

It has been suggested that the as-prepared samples in the work of Zhao and Daemen were in fact hydrated compositions, *i.e.*, Li₂OHCl,¹⁹ based on their hygroscopic nature.^{12,13,15,19,22} The measured ionic conductivities of these materials are known to be highly dependent on the sample preparation.¹⁶

Hood *et al.* explored Li₂OHCl as a potential solid electrolyte system and found that it possesses high Li-ion conductivity and a reasonable activation energy.¹⁵ Li *et al.* reported that fluorine doping of Li₂OHX (X = Cl or Br) resulted in a promising solid electrolyte with high Li-ion conductivity.¹⁹ More recently, the ionic conductivities of Li_{3-x}OH_xCl were measured as a function of proton concentration using impedance spectroscopy.²²

However, the Li-ion and proton dynamics of the $Li_{3-x}OH_xCl$ system are not fully characterized, especially at the atomic scale. Here, *ab initio* molecular dynamics (AIMD) calculations and solid-state ¹H, ²H and ⁷Li NMR spectroscopy are used to study the dependence of Li-ion conductivity on the stoichiometry of the $Li_{3-x}OH_xCl$ system and to identify the contribution of proton transport. We show that the reaction of Li_3OCl and water is highly exothermic and that the activation energy for Li-ion transport increases with increasing proton concentration. Long-range proton transport is shown to be inhibited as a result of the large interatomic distances between adjacent oxygen ions.

Methods

Ab initio simulations

All calculations were performed using density functional theory (DFT) based on the Vienna *ab initio* simulation package (VASP).²⁹ A plane-wave cut-off energy of 400 eV, the projector augmented wave method³⁰ and the PBEsol exchange-correlation functional were employed. This functional has been used in previous successful studies of Li-ion battery materials.^{27,31-33} The k-space was sampled with a k-point mesh spacing smaller than 0.05 $Å^{-1}$ and the gamma-point only for the reaction enthalpy and AIMD calculations, respectively. Structural optimisation was performed until the residual force on each atom was smaller than 0.03 eV $Å^{-1}$. AIMD simulations were carried out to examine Li⁺ ion diffusion in $Li_{3-r}OH_rCl$ with $4 \times 4 \times 4$ supercells containing 320 atoms. Each composition was first equilibrated for 10 ps. Statistical properties were then obtained from the following 50 ps simulations using the NVT ensemble with a Nose-Hoover thermostat.³⁴ The AIMD calculations were carried out at 600, 700, 800, 900 and 1000 K, with a short time step of 1 fs due to the presence of hydrogen. Self-diffusion data for Li were obtained from the mean square displacement (MSD) according to:

$$\langle r_i^2(t) \rangle = 6D_{\rm Li}t \tag{1}$$

where $\langle r_i^2(t) \rangle$ is the MSD, D_{Li} is the diffusion coefficient for Li and *t* is time. The diffusion coefficients were then converted to conductivities using the Nernst–Einstein relationship:

$$\frac{\sigma}{D_{\rm Li}} = H_{\rm R} \frac{nq^2}{kT} \tag{2}$$

where *n* is the number of charge carriers per unit volume, *q* is the electron charge, *k* is the Boltzmann constant, *T* is the temperature and $H_{\rm R}$ is the Haven ratio. A Haven ratio of one was used in our calculations. The methods described in this work have been successfully applied to examine Li-ion transport in a variety of solid electrolyte materials,^{35–37} including Li₃OX (X = Cl or Br),^{25,26,38} and extend our previous computational work on Li-ion battery materials.^{39–44}

Synthesis and characterisation

Samples of Li₂OHCl were synthesised *via* solid-state methods. Stoichiometric amounts of commercial LiCl (Alfa Aesar, ultra dry, 99.9%) and LiOH (Acros Organics, anhydrous, 98%) were mixed and ground in an agate mortar and pestle inside an argon-filled glovebox. The powdered sample was placed in an alumina crucible and heated at 350 °C for 30 min in a muffle furnace located inside the Ar-filled glovebox. Once the reaction was complete, the furnace was allowed to cool to room temperature and the sample was recovered. A sample of Li₂OHCl was deuterated for ²H NMR experiments using the same procedure outlined above, using the deuterated starting reagent LiOD.

Samples in the series $\text{Li}_{3-x}\text{OH}_x\text{Cl}$, x = 0.25, 0.5 and 0.75, were also synthesised *via* a solid-state route using the same precursors. The powdered samples were placed in an alumina crucible that was placed inside a quartz tube. The quartz tube was sealed, removed from the glovebox, connected to a conventional Schlenk line apparatus and evacuated to 10^{-3} mbar for 1 h. All samples were heated at 350 °C for 30 min. Once the reaction was complete, the sealed quartz tube was removed from the furnace and allowed to cool to room temperature. Once cooled, the tube was returned to the Ar-filled glovebox, where the product was recovered. Post synthesis, the proton content of each sample was determined *via* integration of the appropriate ¹H NMR spectrum, as detailed in the ESI.[†]

X-ray diffraction

All samples were characterised by X-ray diffraction (XRD) using a Bruker d8 diffractometer using Mo ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å) radiation. Variable-temperature (VT) XRD patterns were obtained for Li₂OHCl, where the temperature was increased at a rate of 2 °C h⁻¹.

NMR spectroscopy

All solid-state NMR spectra were acquired using a Bruker 500 Avance III HD spectrometer, equipped with a wide-bore 11.7 T Oxford magnet, using Larmor frequencies of 499.69 MHz for ¹H (I = 1/2), 76.77 MHz for ²H (I = 1) and 194.20 MHz for ⁷Li (I = 3/2). Powdered samples were packed into conventional 4.0 mm ZrO₂ rotors under an Ar atmosphere, and a magic-angle spinning (MAS) rate of 10 kHz was employed. ¹H chemical shifts were referenced to neat tetramethylsilane, by setting the resonance from a sample of adamantane to $\delta_{iso} = 1.9$ ppm. ⁷Li chemical shifts were referenced to (CD₃)₄Si using a sample of CDCl₃, $\delta_{iso} = 7.24$ ppm.

Standard VT ¹H, ²H and ⁷Li MAS NMR experiments were completed for samples in the series $Li_{3-x}OH_xCl$ between -19 and 110 °C using conventional hardware and the parameters detailed in the ESI.[†] Additional VT static ⁷Li NMR experiments were completed for Li₂OHCl over a temperature range of -65 to 230 °C using a Bruker 400 Avance III HD spectrometer, equipped with a wide-bore 9.7 T magnet, using a Larmor frequency of 155.5 MHz for ⁷Li. The sample was packed into a 5.0 mm ceramic rotor inside an Ar-filled glovebox and placed into a Bruker 5.0 mm static probe. In all cases, quoted temperatures have been calibrated and reflect the true temperature of the sample during the experiment. Additional experimental details are given in the ESI.[†]

Pulsed-field gradient (PFG)-NMR spectroscopy

⁷Li PFG-NMR experiments were performed using a Bruker Diff50 PFG probe equipped with a Z-gradient and an EVT ⁷Li saddle coil in a 7.046 T magnet. Spectra were acquired using a stimulated diffusion pulse sequence (Scheme S1, ESI†) and varying gradient strengths. The effective gradient length, δ , was set to 5 ms and a maximum gradient strength of 1800 G cm⁻¹ was used. The diffusion time, Δ , was kept constant and set to either 100, 175 or 250 ms. Diffusion coefficients were obtained by fitting the decaying signal. All acquisitions were performed at an elevated temperature of 373 K. All samples were sealed into glass tubes under an Ar atmosphere.

Results and discussion

Hydration of Li₃OCl

The hygroscopic nature of the anti-perovskites has been shown experimentally.^{12,13,15,19} In addition, it has been demonstrated that the preparation of Li₃OX (X = Cl or Br) from dry Li₂O and LiX is challenging, even at high temperatures of 773–873 K.¹⁹ This is in agreement with previous DFT calculations that predict Li₃OX to be metastable relative to Li₂O and LiX.^{45,46} Li₃OX is usually prepared by annealing LiOH and LiX together.^{14,19} The hydration of Li₃OX is charge-compensated by Li-ion vacancies.

To quantify the uptake of hydrogen in Li_3OCl , we first calculate its hydration enthalpy, based on the following reaction:

$$Li_3OCl + \frac{1}{2}H_2O \rightarrow Li_2(OH)Cl + \frac{1}{2}Li_2O$$
(3)

By computing the total energies of the reactants and products in reaction (3), we obtain a hydration enthalpy for the overall reaction of -0.74 eV. Although there are no experimental values, this energy is consistent with measured calorimetry values for other perovskite oxides. Moreover, this strongly exothermic value confirms the favourable proton uptake of Li₃OCl and, hence, the difficulty in synthesising proton-free samples. This finding is in good agreement with our experimental observations, in which numerous synthetic procedures have been attempted to produce phase pure Li₃OCl, including varying the temperature and/or time of the reaction and altering the specific reaction environment. In each case, a complex mix of starting reagents and/or unknown phases is consistently produced, as shown by Fig. S1 (ESI[†]).

Structures of Li3-xOHxCl

Two phases have been confirmed for the hydrated phase, Li_2OHCl , using XRD.¹³ Below ~308 K, Li_2OHCl is reported to exist as an orthorhombic structure in space group Amm2. However, to date, no crystallographic information has been reported for this phase, although DFT-based techniques have been used in an attempt to rectify this.²¹ Above this temperature, Li₂OHCl undergoes a phase transition to the cubic anti-perovskite phase with space group Pm3m.13 This phase transition, which is clearly observed during the present study via both VT XRD and ¹H and ⁷Li MAS NMR studies (Fig. 1 and Fig. S2, ESI[†]), is known to increase the Li-ion conductivity by several orders of magnitude.13,15,19 Moreover, it has been reported that the presence of cubic symmetry is a prerequisite for high ionic mobility in these materials.¹³ There is a strong correlation between the Li motion and OH⁻ group rotation in $Li_{3-x}OH_xCl$, with the OH⁻ groups being able to freely rotate in the cubic phase, whereas they are believed to be static in the orthorhombic phase as a result of the reduced Li motion (vide infra).^{13,47}

In our calculations, we find that the ground state of Li₂OHCl is a tetragonal structure with all the OH⁻ groups aligned along the *a* direction. It is noteworthy that a tetragonal structure was also determined for Li_{2.17}OH_{0.83}Cl using XRD.¹³ For the AIMD simulations, the cubic phase of Li₂OHCl was obtained by heating the tetragonal structure to high temperature. The OH⁻ groups are randomly orientated in the simulated cubic phase.

The tetragonal and cubic Li₂OHCl structures are illustrated in Fig. 2. In the conventional perovskite structure, ABX₃, the A and B sites are occupied by cations and the X site is an anion, whereas in the anti-perovskite structure, the A and B sites are occupied by anions and the X site is a cation. In Li₂OHCl, the B-site oxygen ion is coordinated to four Li⁺ ions and one proton, while the A-site Cl⁻ ion is coordinated to eight Li⁺ ions.

The calculated and experimental lattice parameters of the $Li_{3-x}OH_xCl$ compositions are given in Table 1, indicating good agreement. The results show that the lattice parameters remain mostly unaltered with increasing proton incorporation. This is also the case for the values obtained from XRD experiments. The contracted *a* lattice parameter of tetragonal Li_2OHCl is a result of the alignment of the OH⁻ groups along this direction, as shown in Fig. 2.

Li-ion conductivity of Li_{3-x}OH_xCl

It is possible to monitor ion mobility using variable temperature solid-state NMR spectroscopy. The ¹H and ⁷Li MAS NMR spectra obtained for Li₂OHCl over the temperature range of -19-106 °C are shown in Fig. 1(b) and (c), respectively, where a single resonance is observed in each, indicating a single Li and H environment. In the VT ¹H MAS NMR spectra (Fig. 1(b)), a narrowing of the lineshape is observed with increasing temperature. A corresponding plot of the full width at half maximum (FWHM) *vs.* temperature is shown in Fig. 1(d), which confirms a narrowing of the lineshape with increasing temperature. Between -19 and 33 °C, there is minimal change in



Fig. 1 VT (a) XRD patterns and (b) ¹H and (c) ⁷Li MAS NMR spectra acquired for Li₂OHCl between -19 and 106 °C. The corresponding variation in FWHM of ¹H and ⁷Li are shown in (d) and (e), respectively. All spectra were acquired using a MAS rate of 10 kHz.



Fig. 2 Anti-perovskite structures of (a) tetragonal and (b) cubic Li₂OHCl. The local coordination environments of the oxygen ions (to Li, H and Li vacancies, V_{Ll}) are also displayed.

Table 1 Lattice parameters of cubic ${\rm Li}_3{\rm OCl}, {\rm Li}_{2.5}{\rm OH}_{0.5}{\rm Cl}$ and ${\rm Li}_2{\rm OHCl},$ and tetragonal ${\rm Li}_2{\rm OHCl}$

System	Calculation (Å)	Experiment (Å)
Li ₃ OCl Li _{2.5} OH _{0.5} Cl Li ₂ OHCl Li ₂ OHCl	a = 3.847 a = 3.832 a = 3.855 a = 3.591, $b = c = 3.853$	$a = 3.907^{48}$ a = 3.909 a = 3.913

the linewidth, suggesting the protons are static and not freely moving. As the temperature is increased to 52 °C, there is a sudden decrease in linewidth, believed to correspond to the known phase transition to the $Pm\bar{3}m$ phase. There is a further, more gradual, reduction in linewidth above 52 °C, believed to indicate the movement or mobility of protons within the structure, likely rotation or free movement of the OH⁻ groups. However, it is noted that between 33 and 52 °C, it is challenging to accurately differentiate the precise contribution of the phase transition *versus* the mobility of the OH⁻ groups to the change in linewidth.

Similar line narrowing is observed in the VT ⁷Li NMR data (Fig. 1(c) and (e)). The phase transition from orthorhombic to cubic symmetry is clearly observed between 33 and 40 $^{\circ}$ C. Further line narrowing is observed as the temperature is increased, relating to mobile Li species within the structure. It is noted that the degree of line narrowing observed for ⁷Li is

greater than that observed for 1 H, suggesting Li has greater mobility in Li₂OHCl (*vide infra*).

Samples in the series $Li_{3-r}OH_rCl$ (x = 0.25, 0.5 and 0.75) were synthesised and analysed via XRD and solid-state NMR spectroscopy. The XRD patterns obtained at room temperature for each composition are shown in Fig. 3(a), where the same phase observed for Li2OHCl is also observed. The room temperature and VT ¹H and ⁷Li MAS NMR spectra obtained for Li_{2 5}OH_{0 5}Cl are shown in Fig. 3(b) and (c), respectively. In each case, a single resonance is observed and, similar to Li₂OHCl, there is a reduction in the linewidth with increasing temperature, again suggesting both a phase change and ion mobility. The variation in FWHM with increasing temperature for ¹H and ⁷Li is shown in Fig. 3(d) and (e). The changes observed are similar to those observed for Li₂OHCl, suggesting both protons and lithium ions are mobile at temperatures of >45 °C. Similar findings were observed for the remaining compositions in the series (see Fig. S3 and S4, ESI[†]). A comparison of the FWHM with changing composition is shown in Fig. S5 (ESI⁺). Small compositional changes appear to have little effect on the FWHM within this temperature range, as a similar reduction in linewidth is consistently observed across the series.

Fig. 4 shows representative mean square displacement plots for the $Li_{3-x}OH_xCl$ system at 800 K from the AIMD simulations. Several key points emerge from these plots. First, it is clear that with increasing proton concentration, there is a concomitant increase in Li-ion diffusion at this temperature. This is perhaps unsurprising given the increase in Li vacancy concentration as a result of hydration. It is well known that Li vacancies are the dominant charge carriers in the anhydrous Li₃OCl material.^{27,28,38,45} These results reinforce the fact that there is strong correlation between Li-ion transport and proton concentration.

Second, the increase in Li-ion diffusion extends beyond x = 1, *i.e.*, Li₂OHCl. This indicates that the formation of water molecules at low concentrations does not hinder Li-ion transport and the increasing Li vacancy concentration still dominates. However, for large proton concentrations (x = 2), it is known experimentally that LiOH₂Cl (or LiCl·H₂O) is orthorhombic with space group *Cmcm*.¹³ This is indicative of Li ordering, as confirmed by single crystal XRD studies,⁴⁹ and a reduction in Li-ion mobility. The poor Li-ion conductivity of LiCl·H₂O was confirmed by Schwering *et al.*,¹³ with the values dropping below the levels of detection ($<10^{-8}$ S cm⁻¹) of the impedance analyser.

Third, in the Li₃OCl system (x = 0), there is no Li diffusion due to the lack of Li vacancies. Disorder is usually introduced into this system *via* LiCl Schottky defect pairs, as found experimentally¹⁸ and computationally.³⁸ Our calculations indicate that proton incorporation can provide an alternative mechanism for introducing disorder in the anti-perovskite material.

The self-diffusion coefficients for Li were converted into Li-ion conductivities and are presented as an Arrhenius plot



Fig. 3 (a) XRD patterns obtained for the series $Li_{3-x}OH_xCl$, where x = 0.25, 0.5, 0.75 and 1. VT (b) ¹H and (c) ⁷Li MAS NMR spectra obtained for $Li_{2.5}OH_{0.5}Cl$. The corresponding variation in FWHM of ¹H and ⁷Li for $Li_{2.5}OH_{0.5}Cl$ are shown in (d) and (e), respectively. All spectra were acquired using a MAS rate of 10 kHz.



Fig. 4 MSD plots of Li⁺ in five different compositions of $Li_{3-x}OH_xCl$ at 800 K, showing higher Li-ion diffusion with increasing proton concentration.

in Fig. 5. The conductivity data of the four compositions can be divided into two groups. For low proton concentrations of x = 0.50 and 0.56, low activation barriers of 0.32 and 0.30 eV are obtained, respectively. For high proton concentrations of x = 1.00 and 1.06, slightly higher barriers of 0.41 and 0.42 eV, respectively, are found. It is clear that although the Li-ion conductivities of Li₂OHCl and Li_{1.94}OH_{1.06}Cl are higher than those of Li_{2.5}OH_{0.5}Cl and Li_{2.44}OH_{0.56}Cl at high temperatures (>550 K), they are significantly lower at typical solid-state battery operating temperatures (~270-400 K). These results suggest that compositions with small proton concentrations ($x = \sim 0.5$) will have superior Li-ion transport properties in practical battery applications compared to compositions with high levels of protons.

We can compare our conductivity values to experiment by extrapolating our calculated values to lower temperatures. Hood *et al.*¹⁵ obtained a conductivity of $\sim 1 \times 10^{-4}$ S cm⁻¹ at 373 K for fast-cooled Li₂OHCl, which is in excellent agreement with our extrapolated value of $\sim 1.7 \times 10^{-4}$ S cm⁻¹. In addition,



Fig. 5 Li-ion conductivities and activation energies of $Li_{3-x}OH_xCI$ derived from the AIMD simulations.

Li *et al.*¹⁹ measured the Li-ion conductivity of $\text{Li}_2(\text{OH})_{0.9}\text{F}_{0.1}\text{Cl}$. They obtained a Li-ion conductivity of 1.9×10^{-3} S cm⁻¹ at 373 K, which is an order of magnitude higher than that measured for Li₂OHCl.¹⁵ Fluorine doping was shown to both increase the Li-ion conductivity of Li₂OHCl and stabilise the cubic phase at room temperature.

Our calculated activation energy of 0.41 eV obtained for Li_2OHCl is comparable to the values of 0.56 and 0.52 eV determined by Hood *et al.*¹⁵ and Li *et al.*,¹⁹ respectively, using electrochemical impedance spectroscopy. It is interesting to note that the values of 0.32 eV for $\text{Li}_{2.5}\text{OH}_{0.5}\text{Cl}$ and 0.30 eV for $\text{Li}_{2.44}\text{OH}_{0.56}\text{Cl}$ are similar to the initial value of 0.26 eV for Li_{3}OCl determined by Zhao and Daemen.¹⁴ More recently, Song *et al.*²² reported low activation energies of 0.24–0.26 eV for $\text{Li}_{3-x}\text{OH}_x\text{Cl}$ (x = 0.3–1.0). The proposed reason for these low barriers was related to the ease with which these materials can form Schottky defects.

Lithium-ion vs. proton transport

There has been debate over whether the Li-ion conductivities from impedance measurements may include contributions from fast proton transport in these materials.¹⁹ To probe this issue, we plot the MSDs from our AIMD simulations for each element in Li₂OHCl, as shown in Fig. 6. While long-range diffusion for Li is clear from the linear increase of the MSD with time, this is not the case for proton transport as the proton MSD begins to flatten out before the end of the simulation time. In addition, the proton MSD never exceeds 2 Å², which is far below the O–O distance of 3.91 Å in Li₂OHCl.¹³ This suggests that proton transport *via* the Grotthuss mechanism,^{50,51} which is found in proton-conducting solid–oxide materials, does not occur in Li₂OHCl.

To gain further insight into proton and Li mobility within Li_2OHCl , ¹H and ⁷Li T_1 values were measured and are shown in Fig. 7. It is noted that to determine ⁷Li T_1 values, static ⁷Li NMR experiments were completed over a wider temperature window, namely, -65 to 230 °C. The corresponding spectra are shown in Fig. 7(a). Below room temperature, the static ⁷Li NMR spectra exhibit the lineshape expected for a spin 3/2 nucleus, *i.e.*, a dipolar broadened lineshape at ~0 ppm, corresponding to the central transition (+1/2 \leftrightarrow -1/2). A broad resonance is also



Fig. 6 MSD plots for each element in Li₂OHCl at 800 K.



Fig. 7 NMR spectra for Li₂OHCl. (a) Static VT 7 Li NMR spectra between -65 and 230 °C. Also shown in (b) and (c) are the 1 H and 7 Li T_1 values.

observed, corresponding to the satellite transitions ($3/2 \leftrightarrow 1/2$ and $-1/2 \leftrightarrow -3/2$). Broadening of the central transition is due to strong ⁷Li-⁷Li homonuclear dipolar interactions that are averaged out as the temperature is increased. This is due to greater Li⁺ ion mobility and results in motional narrowing of the NMR linewidths.

All spectra obtained at temperatures >54 °C exhibit a single sharp resonance, corresponding to the cubic phase of Li₂OHCl. The corresponding FWHM plot *vs.* temperature is shown in Fig. S6 (ESI†), which confirms the phase transition occurring between 24 and 54 °C. Between 54 and 230 °C, there is a gradual reduction in the linewidth, indicative of Li ion mobility. The T_1 values obtained (Fig. 7(b) and (c)) appear to indicate that Li is the more mobile species. In both cases, a rapid change in T_1 is observed between 0 and ~60 °C, believed to correspond to the expected phase change from orthorhombic to cubic symmetry. A more gradual change in ¹H T_1 is then observed between 70 and 100 °C, where the value is on the order of seconds. This is in contrast to the ⁷Li T_1 measurements, where there is a gradual, but significant change between 90 and 230 °C, where

the value of T_1 is 0.01 s. It is noted that only a limited temperature range could be studied for ¹H based on current hardware limitations. However, comparing the ¹H and ⁷Li T_1 values at 100 °C, there is an order of magnitude difference in the value of T_1 . Such values of T_1 suggest high Li mobility in Li₂OHCl and limited proton mobility.

Based on the current data it is likely that the protons are only locally mobile, *i.e.*, rotating or flipping around a localised point, whereas the Li can move freely throughout the structure. In good agreement with our *ab initio* simulations, these NMR results indicate that any conductivity within Li₂OHCl will be due to movement of the Li ions. Li-ion diffusion and limited proton mobility were further confirmed *via* PFG-NMR experiments, where the Li diffusion coefficient, D_{Li} , was determined to be ~6 × 10⁻⁹ cm² s⁻¹ at 373 K. Full details are given in the ESI.† This value is in excellent agreement with our *ab initio* MD calculated value of ~3 × 10⁻⁹ cm² s⁻¹ extrapolated at 373 K.

To further investigate the local behaviour and mobility of the OH⁻ groups, a sample of Li₂OHCl was deuterated and studied using ²H solid-state NMR. Variable-temperature ²H MAS NMR data was acquired for Li_2ODCl at -19, 33, 63, 69, 95 and 110 °C (Fig. 8) and static ²H NMR data was acquired at -19, 69 and 110 °C (Fig. S12, ESI⁺). In both cases, between -19 and 63 °C a classic, axially symmetric lineshape (MAS) and powder pattern (static) are obtained, indicative of the absence of motion and, hence, static OH⁻/OD⁻ groups. The MAS lineshape was simulated and fitted (Fig. S13, ESI[†]) to obtain the quadrupolar NMR parameters, $C_Q = 259(1)$ kHz and $\eta_Q = 0.0(1)$, which are in good agreement with those commonly reported for static ²H environments.⁵² The known phase transition from orthorhombic to cubic symmetry occurs at \sim 35-40 °C. The ²H NMR data presented indicates that even at 63 °C, when Li₂ODCl is known to be in the cubic phase, the OH⁻/OD⁻ groups are still static, indicating that even though the phase transition has occurred, the OH-/OD- groups are not yet mobile. Hence, the phase transition *alone* is not responsible for mobility of the OH⁻/OD⁻ groups.

At 69 °C, there is an obvious change in the appearance of the ²H MAS NMR spectrum (Fig. 8). The spectrum contains both a broad resonance and the axially symmetric lineshape previously observed at low temperatures. This suggests a change in the mobility of the OH⁻/OD⁻ groups at this temperature and the presence of two different OH⁻/OD⁻ environments simultaneously, *i.e.*, both static and mobile OH⁻/OD⁻ groups. As the temperature is increased to 95 and 110 °C, a single, relatively broad resonance is observed, confirming mobility of the OH⁻/OD⁻ groups.

It is well documented that for fast moving deuterons, a single, sharp "solution-like" lineshape (*e.g.*, 20 Hz), with very high intensity, will be observed in the ²H MAS NMR spectrum, owing to complete averaging of the quadrupolar tensor.⁵³ Such a sharp, narrow resonance is characteristic of a deuteron dissociating and being able to move freely throughout the structure.^{52,53} Here, however, the linewidth of the resonance is still relatively large (*ca.* 2.7 kHz), suggesting movement of the OD⁻ group rather than that of a free deuteron, *i.e.*, rotation of

Open Access Article. Published on 30 July 2018. Downloaded on 8/22/2025 10:13:58 PM.



Fig. 8 Variable-temperature ^2H MAS NMR spectra acquired for Li_2ODCl at –19, 33, 63, 69, 95 and 110 °C. The MAS rate was 10 kHz.

the H/D around the oxygen (*vide infra*). It is also noted that at high temperatures (95 and 110 °C) the manifold of spinning sidebands remains (albeit at very low intensities), indicating the presence of static OH⁻/OD⁻ groups. Hence, two different OH⁻/OD⁻ environments are always present in the cubic phase of Li₂ODCl, both static and locally rotating OH⁻/OD⁻ groups (*vide infra*).

Coupling these findings with our ¹H and ⁷Li NMR data, we believe that mobility of the Li ions in Li₂ODCl is intimately connected to the position of the OH⁻/OD⁻ groups within the structure. Based on both the experimental and computational data presented, we believe that the change from orthorhombic to cubic symmetry alone is not sufficient to increase the ionic conductivity of Li₂OHCl. Our AIMD calculations indicate that, in the cubic phase, the OH⁻/OD⁻ groups point towards a Li vacancy and as the temperature is increased, the Li ions become mobile, starting to 'hop' into the Li vacancies and moving through the structure. As the temperature is increased further, the Li ions start to hop at a faster rate. Since the OH⁻/OD⁻



Fig. 9 Schematic representation of the Li-ion hopping mechanism in the cubic $Pm\overline{3}m$ phase of Li₂OHCl. The OH⁻ groups point towards Li vacancies. Li-ion hopping occurs *via* these vacancies, denoted by the blue dashed arrows. Rotation of the OH⁻ groups occurs as a result of a nearby Li ion hopping to an adjacent site, denoted by the black dashed arrow.

groups point directly towards a Li vacancy, the movement of the Li ions will directly influence the position of the OH^-/OD^- groups, *i.e.*, when a Li vacancy is filled, the H/D will rotate around the oxygen, repositioning itself so that it is always pointing towards a vacancy, as it will therefore have the greatest degree of freedom and movement. Essentially, whatever the position of a Li vacancy, the OH^-/OD^- groups will reorient themselves to ensure they always point in the direction of a vacancy, as illustrated in Fig. 9.

Interestingly, in our AIMD calculations, there are O atoms coordinated to different numbers of Li ions, namely, three, four or five. For oxygen atoms coordinated to three Li ions, the proton movement is faster as it has more space to rotate into (because there are effectively three vacant sites). Conversely, for the O atoms coordinated to five Li ions, the movement is more restricted and hence the OH⁻ groups are limited in the spaces they can rotate into. Hence, the presence of multiple coordination environments in the supercell calculations correlates well with the ²H NMR findings, and the presence of both static and mobile OH⁻/OD⁻ groups.

We also produce diffusion density maps from our AIMD simulations for Li and H in Li₂OHCl, given in Fig. 10, which are a sum of all the trajectories of these species accumulated over the length of the simulation. It can be seen for both Li and H that the largest densities are located around the corresponding crystallographic sites with considerable local oscillation. Moreover, significant Li-ion diffusion occurs *via* a vacancy mechanism, in which Li⁺ ions diffuse to adjacent vacant sites both diagonally and laterally to create long-range diffusion pathways. For Li₃OCl, we find significant Li-ion densities along the edges of the oxygen octahedra (shown in Fig. 10), which is indicative of the favourable migration pathways along these pathways, as found previously.²⁸

In contrast, there are no long-range proton diffusion pathways, with only O–H rotational motion. This is perhaps unsurprising when we consider the O–O distance of 3.91 Å in these materials compared to proton-conducting perovskite





Fig. 10 Density plots of trajectories for lithium ions (blue) and protons (black) in Li_2OHCl at 800 K. Oxygen positions are given in red. Chlorine ions are omitted for clarity. Examples of local Li-ion jumps are highlighted with red dashed lines.

oxides, such as $BaZrO_3$ (with an O–O separation of 2.97 Å).⁵⁴ Clearly, the large O–O distances in these anti-perovskite systems will inhibit significant proton hopping transport.

The important relationship between the Li-ion transport mechanism and O–H rotation in these systems has been recently reported by Song *et al.*²² and Howard *et al.*²¹ It was reported that short and fast rotating O–H bonds provide extra space that facilitates the formation of Frenkel defects, which are crucial in achieving fast, correlated Li-ion transport in these materials.²²

Our simulation findings (Fig. 10) are in good agreement with the VT ¹H and ⁷Li NMR data presented in Fig. 1, 7 and 8. As the temperature is increased, line narrowing is observed in both the ¹H and ⁷Li NMR data. Hence, both the H and Li are, to some extent, mobile within the system. However, the changes observed in both the ¹H T_1 values and the FWHM indicate that the protons are not as mobile as the Li. Higher ¹H T_1 values and reduced line narrowing indicate proton mobility is limited to rotation of the OH⁻ groups. This is further validated by the ²H MAS NMR data.

Conclusions

Through an effective combination of *ab initio* molecular dynamics simulations and variable-temperature ¹H, ²H and ⁷Li MAS NMR spectroscopy, we have elucidated lithium-ion and proton transport mechanisms in the $\text{Li}_{3-x}\text{OH}_x\text{Cl}$ solid electrolyte system. First, we report a high exothermic hydration enthalpy for Li₃OCl, which explains the ease with which this material absorbs moisture and the difficulty in synthesising moisture-free samples.

Second, we show that Li-ion transport is highly correlated with the proton concentration and Li-ion vacancy levels. Our calculations show long-range 3D diffusion of Li ions, but proton hopping is restricted as a result of the large separation between oxygen ions (~ 4 Å). There is excellent agreement between our calculated Li diffusion coefficient of the order 10^{-9} cm² s⁻¹ at 373 K and the value obtained from PFG-NMR measurements. Furthermore, proton incorporation can be used as a strategy for inducing disorder into the solid electrolyte systems and as a possible route to optimising the Li-ion conductivity.

Finally, these findings are supported by variable temperature ¹H, ²H and ⁷Li MAS NMR data, which indicate that proton movement is limited to rotation of the OH⁻ groups and that Li-ion mobility is long range. The presence of both static and locally mobile OH⁻ groups in the cubic phase of Li₂OHCl was confirmed using ²H NMR. Both the simulations and NMR experiments show that the protons point towards Li vacancy sites and that there is a strong correlation between long-range Li-ion transport and OH⁻ rotation.

Given the recent surge of interest in Li-rich anti-perovskite materials for solid electrolytes, atomic-scale studies of ionic conduction in the hydrated anti-perovskites are timely. The results presented here suggest that the $Li_{3-x}OH_xCl$ system is an excellent candidate electrolyte for all-solid-state batteries.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge support from the EPSRC Supergen Energy Storage Hub (EP/H019596), the EPSRC Programme Grant "Enabling next generation lithium batteries" (EP/ M0009521/1), The Royal Society (RG170123) and the Archer HPC facility through the Materials Chemistry Consortium (EP/L000202/1). KEJ and TSA would also like to thank Dr David Apperley for experimental assistance.

References

- 1 J. Janek and W. G. Zeier, Nat. Energy, 2016, 1, 16141.
- 2 J. C. Bachman, S. Muy, A. Grimaud, H.-H. Chang, N. Pour, S. F. Lux, O. Paschos, F. Maglia, S. Lupart, P. Lamp, L. Giordano and Y. Shao-Horn, *Chem. Rev.*, 2016, **116**, 140–162.
- 3 A. Manthiram, X. Yu and S. Wang, *Nat. Rev. Mater.*, 2017, **2**, 16103.
- 4 D. Lin, Y. Liu and Y. Cui, Nat. Nanotechnol., 2017, 12, 194-206.
- 5 Y. Wang, W. D. Richards, S. P. Ong, L. J. Miara, J. C. Kim, Y. Mo and G. Ceder, *Nat. Mater.*, 2015, **14**, 1026–1031.
- 6 Y. Guo, H. Li and T. Zhai, Adv. Mater., 2017, 29, 1700007.
- 7 V. Thangadurai, H. Kaack and W. J. F. Weppner, J. Am. Ceram. Soc., 2003, 86, 437–440.
- 8 R. Murugan, V. Thangadurai and W. Weppner, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2007, **46**, 7778–7781.
- 9 P. G. Bruce and A. R. West, J. Electrochem. Soc., 1983, 130, 662-669.
- 10 R. Kanno, T. Hata, Y. Kawamoto and M. Irie, *Solid State Ionics*, 2000, **130**, 97–104.
- 11 S. Stramare, V. Thangadurai and W. Weppner, *Chem. Mater.*, 2003, **15**, 3974–3990.
- 12 P. Hartwig, A. Rabenau and W. Weppner, J. Less-Common Met., 1981, 78, 227–233.
- 13 G. Schwering, A. Hönnerscheid, L. van Wüllen and M. Jansen, *ChemPhysChem*, 2003, **4**, 343–348.
- 14 Y. Zhao and L. L. Daemen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 15042–15047.
- 15 Z. D. Hood, H. Wang, A. Samuthira Pandian, J. K. Keum and C. Liang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 1768–1771.
- 16 S. Li, J. Zhu, Y. Wang, J. W. Howard, X. Lü, Y. Li, R. S. Kumar, L. Wang, L. L. Daemen and Y. Zhao, *Solid State Ionics*, 2016, **284**, 14–19.
- 17 X. Lu, G. Wu, J. W. Howard, A. Chen, Y. Zhao, L. L. Daemen and Q. Jia, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 11520–11522.
- 18 X. Lü, J. W. Howard, A. Chen, J. Zhu, S. Li, G. Wu, P. Dowden, H. Xu, Y. Zhao and Q. Jia, *Adv. Sci.*, 2016, 3, 1500359.
- 19 Y. Li, W. Zhou, S. Xin, S. Li, J. Zhu, X. Lü, Z. Cui, Q. Jia, J. Zhou, Y. Zhao and J. B. Goodenough, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, 55, 9965–9968.
- 20 J. A. Dawson, P. Canepa, T. Famprikis, C. Masquelier and M. S. Islam, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 362–368.
- 21 J. Howard, Z. D. Hood and N. A. W. Holzwarth, *Phys. Rev. Mater.*, 2017, 1, 75406.
- A.-Y. Song, Y. Xiao, K. Turcheniuk, P. Upadhya, A. Ramanujapuram, J. Benson, A. Magasinski, M. Olguin, L. Meda, O. Borodin and G. Yushin, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2017, 8, 1700971.
- 23 M. Wu, B. Xu, X. Lei, K. Huang and C. Ouyang, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2018, 6, 1150-1160.
- 24 A. K. Sagotra, D. Errandonea and C. Cazorla, *Nat. Commun.*, 2017, **8**, 963.
- 25 A. K. Sagotra and C. Cazorla, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, **9**, 38773–38783.
- 26 Z. Deng, B. Radhakrishnan and S. P. Ong, *Chem. Mater.*, 2015, 27, 3749–3755.
- 27 S. Stegmaier, J. Voss, K. Reuter and A. C. Luntz, *Chem. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 4330–4340.

- 28 R. Mouta, M. Á. B. Melo, E. M. Diniz and C. W. A. Paschoal, *Chem. Mater.*, 2014, 26, 7137–7144.
- 29 G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1996, **54**, 11169–11186.
- 30 J. P. Perdew, A. Ruzsinszky, G. I. Csonka, O. A. Vydrov, G. E. Scuseria, L. A. Constantin, X. Zhou and K. Burke, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2008, **100**, 136406.
- 31 B. J. Morgan and P. A. Madden, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2012, 86, 35147.
- 32 T. Baba and Y. Kawamura, Front. Energy Res., 2016, 4, 22.
- J. A. Dawson, A. J. Naylor, C. Eames, M. Roberts, W. Zhang,
 H. J. Snaith, P. G. Bruce and M. S. Islam, *ACS Energy Lett.*, 2017, 2, 1818–1824.
- 34 D. J. Evans and B. L. Holian, J. Chem. Phys., 1985, 83, 4069-4074.
- L. J. Miara, S. P. Ong, Y. Mo, W. D. Richards, Y. Park, J.-M. Lee, H. S. Lee and G. Ceder, *Chem. Mater.*, 2013, 25, 3048–3055.
- 36 R. Xiao, H. Li and L. Chen, Sci. Rep., 2015, 5, 14227.
- 37 C. Yu, S. Ganapathy, N. J. J. de Klerk, I. Roslon, E. R. H. van Eck, A. P. M. Kentgens and M. Wagemaker, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 11192–11201.
- 38 Z. Lu, C. Chen, Z. M. Baiyee, X. Chen, C. Niu and F. Ciucci, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2015, 17, 32547–32555.
- 39 A. R. Armstrong, C. Lyness, P. M. Panchmatia, M. S. Islam and P. G. Bruce, *Nat. Mater.*, 2011, **10**, 223–229.
- 40 R. Tripathi, S. M. Wood, M. S. Islam and L. F. Nazar, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2013, **6**, 2257–2264.
- 41 D. A. Tompsett, S. C. Parker and M. S. Islam, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 1418–1426.
- 42 Y. Deng, C. Eames, J.-N. Chotard, F. Lalère, V. Seznec, S. Emge, O. Pecher, C. P. Grey, C. Masquelier and M. S. Islam, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, 137, 9136–9145.
- 43 J. A. Dawson and J. Robertson, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2016, **120**, 22910–22917.
- 44 P. Canepa, J. A. Dawson, G. Sai Gautam, J. M. Statham, S. C. Parker and M. S. Islam, *Chem. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, 3019–3027.
- 45 A. Emly, E. Kioupakis and A. Van der Ven, *Chem. Mater.*, 2013, **25**, 4663–4670.
- 46 M.-H. Chen, A. Emly and A. Van der Ven, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2015, **91**, 214306.
- 47 C. Eilbracht, W. Kockelmann, D. Hohlwein and H. Jacobs, *Phys. B*, 1997, **234**, 48–50.
- 48 O. Reckeweg, B. Blaschkowski and T. Schleid, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 2012, 638, 2081–2086.
- 49 A. Hönnerscheid, J. Nuss, C. Mühle and M. Jansen, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 2003, 629, 312–316.
- 50 N. Agmon, Chem. Phys. Lett., 1995, 244, 456.
- 51 P. Knauth and M. L. Di Vona, *Solid State Proton Conductors: Properties and Applications in Fuel Cells*, Wiley & Sons Ltd, 2012.
- 52 L. A. O'Dell and C. I. Ratcliffe, in *NMR of Quadrupolar Nuclei* in Solid Materials, ed. R. E. Wasylishen, S. E. Ashbrook and S. Wimperis, Wiley & Sons Ltd, Chichester, 2012, ch. 14, pp. 213–232.
- 53 G. Kim, F. Blanc, Y.-Y. Hu and C. P. Grey, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2013, **117**, 6504–6515.
- 54 J. A. Dawson, J. A. Miller and I. Tanaka, *Chem. Mater.*, 2015, 27, 901–908.