Layered gadolinium hydroxides for simultaneous drug delivery and imaging†
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The potential of the layered gadolinium hydroxide (LGdH) \([\text{Gd}_2(\text{OH})_5\text{Cl} \cdot \text{yH}_2\text{O}}\) (LGdH-Cl) for simultaneous drug delivery and magnetic resonance imaging was explored in this work. Three non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (diclofenac [dic], ibuprofen [ibu], and naproxen [nap]) were intercalated into LGdH-Cl for the first time, using three different routes (ion exchange intercalation, coprecipitation, and exfoliation-self-assembly). X-ray diffraction, elemental microanalysis and IR spectroscopy confirmed successful incorporation of the drug into the interlayer spaces of the LGdH in all cases. From a comparison of the guest anion sizes and interlayer spacings, the active ingredients are believed to adopt intertwined bilayer configurations between the LGdH layers. The materials prepared by coprecipitation in general have noticeably higher drug loadings than those produced by ion exchange or self-assembly, as a result of the incorporation of some neutral drug into the composites. The LGdH-drug intercalates are stable at neutral pH, but rapidly degrade in acidic conditions to free Gd^{3+} into solution. While LGdH-nap releases its drug loading into solution very rapidly (within ca. 1.5 h) at pH 7.4, LGdH-dic shows sustained release over 4 h, and LGdH-ibu extends this to 24 h. The latter composites therefore can be incorporated into enteric-coated tablets to provide sustained release in the small intestine. The drug intercalates are highly biocompatible and retain the proton relaxivity properties of the parent LGdH-Cl, with the materials most promising for use as negative contrast agents in MRI. Overall, the LGdH-drug intercalation compounds appear to have great potential for use in theranostic applications.

Introduction

Layered materials have attracted a great deal of attention in the literature. Such systems typically contain two-dimensional networks of metal cations surrounded by anions, and a three-dimensional lamellar structure is formed by the stacking of these layers.¹ This structure endows layered materials with a rich interlayer chemistry, and the ability to be exfoliated into individual layers. If the layers carry an overall charge, ions are located between them to balance this. The latter are frequently exchangeable, and such materials can be divided into two broad classes: cation-exchange and anion-exchange systems. In comparison to the wealth of cation-exchangeable layered materials (e.g. smectite clays,² metal phosphates and phosphonates,³ aluminophosphates⁴), anion-exchangeable systems are much less common.

In anion-exchangeable layered systems, atoms in the host layers interact with each other through covalent bonding to form sheets bearing an overall positive charge. This is balanced by anions located between the layers, and electrostatic interactions with these hold the layers together in a three-dimensional stack.⁴ The most commonly explored class of such materials are the layered double hydroxides (LDHs). These contain positively charged mixed-metal hydroxide layers and can be described with the generic formula \([\text{M}^{2+}_{x-1}\text{M}^{3+}_x(\text{OH})_2]^{(\text{x}-2)}/\text{yH}_2\text{O}\), where usually M^{2+} = Ca^{2+}, Mg^{2+}, Zn^{2+}, Ni^{2+} and M^{3+} = Al^{3+}, Ga^{3+}, Fe^{3+}, or Mn^{3+}. A^{n-}\) is a charge-compensating inorganic or organic anion (e.g. CO_{3}^{2-}, Cl^-, SO_{4}^{2-} and NO_{3}^{-}), and \(x\) is normally between 0.2–0.33.⁵
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LDHs have been very widely explored as as flame retardants,6,7 catalysts and catalyst precursors,8 CO2 adsorbents,9–13 cement additives,14 and drug delivery systems.15–18

Drug anions have been intercalated into the interlayer gallery of LDHs on a number of occasions. There are four synthesis routes that have been commonly employed: ion exchange (replacement of an initial interlayer anion such as Cl− or NO3− with the drug),19–21 reconstruction (calcination followed by reacting the calcined LDH with a solution of the drug),22,23 exfoliation-reassembly (separation of the LDH into single layers, and then reassembly in a solution of the drug),24 and coprecipitation (addition of a mixture of metal salts to an alkaline solution of the drug of interest to prepare an intercalate in a single-step).25–28 Beyond LDHs, there exist other layered hydroxides which can anion-exchange and have been explored for drug delivery, such as the hydroxy double salts29,30 or layered rare earth hydroxides (LRHs).

LRHs with general formula [Ln(OH)3]A2+n1−1/3nH2O have been known for decades, but only infrequently studied since the 1970s.31–34 This is likely due to the fact that the anions in these compounds are usually incapable of being replaced, because they are directly coordinated to the lanthanide centers. Anion-exchangeable LRHs were not discovered until 2006, when Monge et al.35 reported a new type of pillared materials enabling anion-exchange in the interlayer gallery. These compounds, Yb4(OH)12[C12H18O2(SO3)2]−4H2O and Y4(OH)16[C10H16(SO3)2]−4H2O, were proposed to be promising in green chemistry because of the active metal centers in the hydroxide layers. Two years later, [Ln5(OH)18]NO3−1.5H2O36 and [Ln2(OH)5]Cl·2H2O37–39 were successfully synthesized. Since this time, the intercalation chemistry of LRHs has received increasing attention.

The combination of a rich intercalation chemistry and the presence of rare earth elements with magnetic and fluorescent properties in the LRH layers can lead to integrated materials with many applications in medical science,40,41 catalysis,42 separation science,43 sensors,44 and luminescence devices.45–55 For instance, Yang et al.48 intercalated organic sensitizers into layered europium hydroxides and observed enhanced red luminescence of Eu3+. As a result of their magnetic properties, layered gadolinium hydroxides (LGdHs) have potential for use as contrast agents in magnetic resonance imaging (MRI).56 This was first reported by Lee et al. in 2009.40 Multimodal contrast agents for MRI and fluorescent imaging can also be prepared via surface modification of the LGdH nanosheets with phospholipids, and intercalation with fluorescein anions.41

The anion exchange ability of LRHs should permit them to act as effective drug delivery systems, similarly to LDHs. However, to date there has been little effort expended to explore this, with only four studies in the literature. In the first, Stefanakis et al. intercalated several pharmaceutical anions including an antibiotic, amino acids, and a fatty acid into the interlayer region of LGdH matrix by ion exchange, but the functional performance of the products was not explored.56

In the second, Roa et al. reported the intercalation of microRNA into the LGdH matrix, and found the resultant material to have promising delivery and MRI properties.57 More recently, Gu and co-workers generated a naproxen-intercalated layered europium hydroxide, which was found to release the incorporated drug over about 200 min, retain the inherent luminescence of Eu3+, and to be highly biocompatible.58 Finally, Ju and Gu have reported an aspirin intercalate of a layered terbium hydroxide formed by ion exchange, and observed enhanced Tb luminescence intensity and sustained drug release over 10 h.59 Therefore, it appears that LRH-drug composites have great promise, but more work is required to explore this in detail.

In this work, we systematically study the intercalation of the common non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs ibuprofen, naproxen and diclofenac (Fig. 1) into [Gd2(OH)5]Cl·2H2O (LGd-HCl). We investigate the effect of the intercalation method used on the nature of the composite formed, probe the drug release profiles, and quantify the biocompatibility and magnetic resonance properties of the composites.

### Experimental

#### Materials

Gadolinium chloride hexahydrate was supplied by Alfa Aesar. Diclofenac sodium and naproxen sodium were procured from Acros Organics, while ibuprofen sodium was obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. All water was deionized prior to use, and all other chemicals were of analytical grade and used without further purification.

#### Synthesis

**Ion exchange reactions.** The [Gd2(OH)5]Cl·2H2O (LGdH-Cl) precursor was first synthesized by a hydrothermal method. 15 mL of a 0.4 M GdCl3·6H2O solution was added dropwise to 5 mL of an aqueous solution containing NaCl (1.4 M) and NaOH (2.1 M). After 10 min of vigorous stirring, the mixture was then transferred to a Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave (23 mL) and aged at 150 °C for 15 h. The solid product was...
filtered, washed with deionized water and ethanol, and dried at 40 °C for 24 h.

Ion exchange was then performed by taking 150 mg of well crystallized LGdH-Cl precursor (ca. 462 g mol⁻¹) and dispersing this in 15 mL of an aqueous solution containing a 3-fold molar excess of the drug ion of interest (310 mg for diclofenac sodium, 222 mg for ibuprofen sodium, and 245 mg for naproxen sodium). Ion exchange reactions were conducted with vigorous stirring at 60 °C for 24 h. The product was collected by filtration, washed with deionized water and ethanol, and dried at 40 °C for 24 h.

Coprecipitation. 10.5 mmol of the sodium salt of each drug (diclofenac, ibuprofen, or naproxen) and 15 mmol (0.6 g) of NaOH was dissolved in 18 mL of deionized water, 6 mL of which was then added to 7.5 mL of a 0.4 M GdCl₃·6H₂O solution under vigorous stirring. The pH was then adjusted to ca. 8, 10 or 12 by adding 2 M NaOH and 0.1 M NaOH solutions (the total resultant volume was ca. 20–23 mL). After 10 min of constant stirring, the mixture was transferred to a 23 mL Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave and treated at 90 °C for 14 h. The products were filtered, washed with deionized water and ethanol, and dried at 40 °C.

Self-assembly. Drug intercalates were also obtained by self-assembly, after the exfoliation of freshly prepared LGdH-Cl precursor into nanosheets. The same solutions of Gd chloride, NaOH and NaCl as used for LGdH-Cl synthesis were employed. 7.5 mL of the GdCl₃ solution was combined with 2.5 mL of the NaOH/NaCl solution and stirred for 18 h under ambient conditions, with no hydrothermal treatment. The resulting precipitate was collected by centrifugation, washed with deionized water, redispersed in 45 mL of deionized water, and then subjected to ultrasonication to prepare a colloidal aqueous suspension. This suspension was centrifuged at 2000 rpm to remove any aggregates. The LGdH-drug intercalates were prepared by simply mixing 15 mL of the colloidal LGdH-Cl suspension and 5 mL of a 0.2 M solution of diclofenac sodium, ibuprofen sodium, or naproxen sodium. The reaction was carried out at room temperature for 24 h with mild stirring. The products were collected by centrifugation, washed with water, and dried at 40 °C for 24 h.

Characterisation

X-ray diffraction. X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were recorded over the 2θ range from 3 to 45° on a Rigaku MiniFlex 600 diffractometer using Cu Kα radiation (λ = 1.5418 Å) at 40 kV and 15 mA.

Elemental analysis. CHN microanalysis was undertaken using the quantitative combustion technique on a Carlo Erba CE1108 elemental analyzer at the School of Human Sciences, London Metropolitan University. The Gd content of the materials was determined using energy-dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectroscopy on a Hitachi S3400N scanning electron microscope fitted with an Oxford Instruments EDX system.

Infrared spectroscopy. Infrared (IR) spectra were obtained using a Spectrum 100 spectrometer (PerkinElmer) over the range 650–4000 cm⁻¹ with a resolution of 2 cm⁻¹.

Scanning electron microscopy. Samples were sputter coated with gold, and then imaged on a FEI Quanta 200 instrument.

Stability assays. Stability studies were carried out in both an acidic solution and phosphate buffered saline (PBS). 20 mg of the LGdH-Cl precursor was dispersed in 20 mL of a pH 1.5 HCl solution or pH 7.4 PBS, each containing 0.05 M Arsenazo III. Experiments were carried out with mild stirring at 37 °C, for 2 h in HCl solution and 24 h in PBS. The resulting solutions were filtered through a PVDF-type syringe filter (0.22 μm), and subsequently analyzed at 652 nm with a Cary 100 UV-visible spectrophotometer to determine the concentrations of Gd⁴⁺ in solution. GdCl₂·6H₂O and HCl or PBS were used as positive and negative controls respectively.

Drug release studies. Dynamic drug release studies were tested using a USP-II apparatus (PTWS model, PharmaTest), pH 7.4 Krebs buffer solution (1.18 mM KH₂PO₄, 118.67 mM NaCl, 4.69 mM KCl, 1.18 mM MgSO₄·7H₂O, 2.52 mM CaCl₂·2H₂O, 24 mM NaHCO₃) was employed as the release medium, to simulate the intestinal fluid. The pH was kept constant using an Auto-pH system. A typical experiment used 0.15 g of the LGdH-drug hybrid in 1 L of Kreb’s buffer solution. The temperature was maintained at 37 ± 0.5 °C, and the mixture stirred with a paddle rotation speed of 50 rpm. 5 mL of solution was withdrawn at specified time intervals and replaced with an equivalent volume of fresh pre-heated Krebs buffer. Samples were filtered through a PVDF-type syringe filter (0.22 μm), and the resulting filtrates analyzed by UV-visible spectroscopy (Cary 100 instrument). Quantifications were performed at λmax values of 276 nm (diclofenac), 222 nm (ibuprofen) or 224 nm (naproxen). Dilutions were performed when necessary to bring concentrations into the linear range of the calibration curve. Experiments were performed in triplicate and the results are reported as mean ± standard deviation (S.D).

Proton relaxivity. LGdH samples were first dispersed in 1% w/v agarose solution to give suspensions with a range of Gd concentrations. The suspensions were loaded into a 10 mm diameter NMR tube, and then subjected to ultrasonication and microwave treatment to make homogeneous dispersions. The longitudinal (T₁, 20 data points) and transverse (T₂, 400 data points, 8 echoes) relaxation times were then recorded on a Minispec mq20 relaxometer (20 MHz, 0.47 T). Data were obtained using inversion recovery (T₁) and CPMG (T₂) pulse sequences. All measurements were carried out at 37 °C.

MRI. MRI was performed on 0.2 mL Eppendorf tubes containing 200 μL of a 1% w/v agarose gel, and 1% w/v agarose gels loaded with selected LGdH-drug intercalates to give Gd concentrations of 0.25 or 0.5 mM. The tubes were centrally located in a quadrature volume radiofrequency coil (72 mm internal diameter; Bruker Biospin MRI GmbH) and placed into a 7T horizontal bore MRI system (Bruker Biospin). T₁-weighted MRI was performed using a 2D spin-echo sequence with a repetition time (TR) of 200 ms, echo time (TE) of 11 ms, and 1 scan. Data were collected from a single slice 4 mm thick, with field of view 55 × 55 mm and matrix size 256 × 256.
Cell culture
Caco-2 cells, a colorectal adenocarcinoma cell line (ATCC HTB-37), were employed for in vitro studies. Cells were cultured at 37 °C under 5% CO₂, in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM-HG; Gibco) supplemented with penicillin-streptomycin (1% v/v) and l-glutamine (1% v/v) solutions (Life Technologies), non-essential amino acid solution (1% v/v, Life Technologies), and 10% v/v heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (Gibco) (termed “complete DMEM”).

For viability tests, Bioline 96 well Multidish clear plates (ThermoFisher) were used. The seeding density was 5.6 × 10⁴ cells per mL, and each well contained 180 µL of cell suspension. 10 mg mL⁻¹ suspensions of selected LGdH-drug formulations were prepared in sterile culture medium. These were then either added to the cells directly, or solubilised with 1% v/v DMSO and filtered through a 0.22 µm filter before being added to the cells. In either case, 5 or 10 µL of the LGdH/culture medium mixture was added to the wells of the plate, giving final concentrations of 526 or 270 µg mL⁻¹. The cells were incubated with the formulations for 24 h. Cell viability was determined with the CellTiter-Glo™ assay (Promega) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. After addition of the fluorescent reagent (100 µL per well), the plate was left for 30 min at room temperature before luminescence was recorded using a SpectraMax M2e spectrophotometer.

Results and discussion
Ion exchange intercalation
XRD patterns of the materials obtained from ion exchange intercalation with diclofenac (dic), ibuprofen (ibu) and naproxen (nap) are given in Fig. 2. The pattern for the LGdH-Cl raw material clearly matches well the pattern calculated from the reported structure. Strong basal (000l) reflections show the material to have a layered structure, while the large number of non-basal reflections confirms that there is extensive in-layer ordering. After intercalation, the reflections become broader and weaker, indicative of a loss of crystallinity. The (000l) reflections also shift to lower angle, consistent with the layers moving apart to accommodate intercalation of a larger guest. The (001) reflection of LGdH-Cl at 8.45 Å is not visible in any of the drug intercalates, confirming complete reaction. A summary of the interlayer spacings is provided in Table 1.

For LGdH-dic and LGdH-nap, there appears to be a single phase present; with LGdH-ibu the (001) reflection is a doublet, suggesting that two intercalates with slightly different interlayer spacings have been formed. The end-to-end lengths of dic, ibu, and nap have previously been estimated as 11.76, 10.30, and 12.88 Å respectively. The layer thickness of LGdH is approximately 6.5 Å, which gives gallery heights for LGdH-dic, LGdH-ibu and LGdH-nap of 15.46, 16.96, and 15.80 Å. These values are around 1.2 to 1.6 times greater than the guest sizes, suggesting that the drug anions form intertwined bilayers in the interlayer space. This is illustrated in Fig. 3. The interlayer spacing for the nap intercalate is close to that reported for the analogous layered europium hydroxide, where the presence of two intercalate phases with different interlayer spacings was also noted.

The elemental analysis data (Table 1 and Table S1, ESI†) reveal that there is still some residual Cl⁻ present in the system, with around 80–90% of this having been replaced.

These Cl ions are presumably distributed between the same layers as the drug anions, since there is none of the starting LGdH-Cl phase present (see XRD data in Fig. 2).

Table 1 A summary of the XRD and elemental analysis data on the intercalates prepared by ion exchange

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>d₀₀₁ (Å)</th>
<th>Chemical formula</th>
<th>Drug loading (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LGdH-Cl</td>
<td>8.45</td>
<td>[Gd₂(OH)₃]Cl₀.₈(CO₃)₀.₁(H₂O)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGdH-dic</td>
<td>21.96</td>
<td>[Gd₂(OH)₃(C₁₄H₁₀Cl₂NO₂)₀.₈Cl₀.₂H₂O]</td>
<td>35.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGdH-ibu</td>
<td>23.46, 20.73</td>
<td>[Gd₂(OH)₃(C₁₃H₁₇O₂)ₐ₀.₈Cl₀.₁₅H₂O]</td>
<td>30.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGdH-nap</td>
<td>22.30</td>
<td>[Gd₂(OH)₃(C₁₄H₁₉O₃)ₐ₀.₈Cl₀.₁₇₅H₂O]</td>
<td>30.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Molecular Devices). The viability of the cells was then calculated as follows:

\[
\text{viability} = \frac{100 \times (\text{fluorescence of sample} - \text{background})}{(\text{fluorescence of untreated cells control} - \text{background})}.
\]

Three independent experiments were performed, with triplicate conditions within each experiment. Data are presented as mean ± S.D.

Fig. 2 XRD patterns for intercalation compounds of LGdH prepared by ion exchange. *: the (003) basal reflection of LGdH-dic overlaps with the in-plane (101) reflection.
The successful incorporation of the drug ions was verified by IR spectroscopy, and the spectra are depicted in Fig. 4. LGdH-Cl shows broad bands ranging from 3646 to 3383 cm\(^{-1}\), which can be attributed to stretching vibrations of the hydroxyl groups from both interlayer water and the hydroxide layers. The absorption peak at around 1667 cm\(^{-1}\) corresponds to the \(\delta\)-bend of water. Considering the IR spectra of the LGdH-drug hybrids, again there is a broad band from OH stretching at around 3500 cm\(^{-1}\). All the intercalates exhibit a range of additional bands, which arise in very similar positions to those in the spectra of the pure drug salts, except that they are often shifted to lower wavenumbers as a result of electrostatic interactions between the drug anions and hydroxide layers.\(^{62}\) The similarity of the spectra of the intercalates and drug salts confirms successful intercalation.

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of the LGdH particles are presented in Fig. 5. LGdH-Cl and all the drug intercalates prepared by ion exchange comprise large (5–10 \(\mu\)m) aggregates of rod- and plate like particles with sizes from around 100 nm to 1–2 \(\mu\)m. The particle morphology is less regular and the average size smaller after the ion exchange process.

**Coprecipitation**

Coprecipitation intercalation of the drug ions was explored at pH 8, 10, and 12. The XRD patterns of the products obtained (denoted LGdH-drug-c) are presented in the ESI, Fig. S1.\(^\dagger\) In the case of dic, it proved impossible to stabilise the pH at 8, and thus experiments were undertaken at pH 7.7 and 9.3, as close to 8 as could be obtained. For all three drugs and at all pHs explored, intercalation appeared to be successful, with the patterns exhibiting basal (00l) reflections and no Bragg reflections attributable to LGdH-Cl. However, the products appear more poorly crystalline than those from ion exchange, with broader reflections and in some cases only the (001) reflection visible in the data. Impurities were also observed in some cases. Elemental microanalysis was obtained in selected cases to confirm intercalation, and a summary of these results, plus the interlayer spacings from XRD is provided in Table 2. Full data are given in Table S2\(^\dagger\).
The interlayer spacings obtained by coprecipitation are similar to those from ion exchange. There is no clear relationship between the pH and interlayer spacing, with this tending to decline in the case of dic and increase with ibu and nap. In general, it can be said that the products are better crystallised at higher pH, with more basal reflections visible. In all cases, the distinctive bands of the drug ions can be seen in the IR spectra of the coprecipitation products (Fig. S2, ESI†), confirming successful intercalation. The elemental analysis data indicate that higher drug loadings are obtained from coprecipitation than ion exchange (Table S2 cf. Table S1†). The amounts of drug calculated to be incorporated are greater than those which can be intercalated on the basis of charge balance alone, suggesting that some neutral drug is present, either in the interlayer space or surface adsorbed. It should be noted however that the agreement between observed and calculated values is less close for the coprecipitation products than those from ion exchange.

SEM images for the LGdH-dic-c intercalates (Fig. S3†) reveal them to consist of large aggregates of platelet-shaped particles. In general, the secondary particle size decreases and the primary particle size increases as the pH rises. The LGdH-ibu-c materials (Fig. S4†) also show an increase in the primary particle size with pH; their morphology is similar to the LGdH-dic-c analogues, but with more regular habits. Similar trends can be observed with LGdH-nap-c (Fig. S5†), except that there are also large amorphous-looking particles at pH 8 and 10. Overall, it is clear that the products of coprecipitation have less regular morphologies than the analogous systems prepared by ion exchange.

**Self-assembly**

Intercalation by self-assembly was also explored. This involves the exfoliation of the LRH into individual layers, followed by restacking around the desired guest. XRD patterns of LGdH-drug intercalates prepared by this route (LGdH-dic-sa, LRH-ibu-sa, and LRH-nap-sa) are shown in Fig. 6.

As was observed with the ion exchange and coprecipitation routes, it is possible to incorporate all three drug ions into the LGdH material using self-assembly. However, the reflections in the XRD patterns are broad, indicative of stacking defects and poor crystallinity. While LGdH-dic-sa and LGdH-nap-sa have a series of (00l) reflections, only the (001) can be seen for LGdH-ibu-sa, suggesting a greater degree of disorder for this material. IR spectra (ESI, Fig. S6†) confirm the successful intercalation of the drug anions. The interlayer spacings and chemical formulae are detailed in Table 3 and Table S3.† The former are somewhat lower for nap and ibu compared to the other intercalation methods, possibly as a result of different guest orientations in the interlayer spaces. The formulae and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>d&lt;sub&gt;001&lt;/sub&gt; (Å)</th>
<th>Chemical formula</th>
<th>Drug loading (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LGdH-dic-sa</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>22.60</td>
<td>[Gd₂(OH)₃][C₁₄H₁₀Cl₂NO₂]₀.₉Cl₀.₁·2.1H₂O</td>
<td>35.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>23.85</td>
<td>[Gd₂(OH)₃][C₁₄H₁₀Cl₂NO₂]₀.₉Cl₀.₁·2.1H₂O</td>
<td>30.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>23.48</td>
<td>[Gd₂(OH)₃][C₁₄H₁₀Cl₂NO₂]₀.₉Cl₀.₁·2.1H₂O</td>
<td>31.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGdH-ibu-sa</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>22.86</td>
<td>[Gd₂(OH)₃][C₁₃H₁₇O₃][C₁₃H₁₇O₂]₀.₉Cl₀.₁·2.1H₂O</td>
<td>38.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>23.85</td>
<td>[Gd₂(OH)₃][C₁₃H₁₇O₃][C₁₃H₁₇O₂]₀.₉Cl₀.₁·2.1H₂O</td>
<td>47.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>24.52</td>
<td>[Gd₂(OH)₃][C₁₃H₁₇O₃][C₁₃H₁₇O₂]₀.₉Cl₀.₁·2.1H₂O</td>
<td>49.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGdH-nap-sa</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>20.82</td>
<td>[Gd₂(OH)₃][C₁₄H₁₃O₃][C₁₄H₁₄O₃]₀.₈Cl₀.₁₂·1.₅H₂O</td>
<td>42.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20.82</td>
<td>[Gd₂(OH)₃][C₁₄H₁₃O₃][C₁₄H₁₄O₃]₀.₈Cl₀.₁₂·1.₅H₂O</td>
<td>38.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>23.48</td>
<td>[Gd₂(OH)₃][C₁₄H₁₃O₃][C₁₄H₁₄O₃]₀.₈Cl₀.₁₂·1.₅H₂O</td>
<td>35.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3** A summary of the XRD and elemental analysis data on the intercalates prepared by self-assembly.
drug loadings are very similar to those obtained by ion exchange, with better agreement between the observed and calculated values than with the coprecipitation products.

As for the materials prepared by ion exchange and coprecipitation, the self-assembled materials comprise multimicron aggregates of plate-like primary particles, with the latter being ca. 100–500 nm in size (Fig. S7†). The morphology is more similar to the coprecipitation products than to those from ion exchange.

Stability
Free Gd\(^{3+}\) is toxic to humans. Therefore, we assessed the stability of the formulations at pH 1.5 (representing the stomach) and pH 7.4 (the general physiological pH), using the Arsenazo III assay.\(^{63}\) Arsenazo III forms strongly-bound 1:1 complexes with Gd\(^{3+}\), the absorbance of which is highly dependent on pH.\(^{64}\) The \(\lambda_{\text{max}}\) of Gd\(^{3+}\)-Arsenazo complexes is reported to be 650 nm over the pH ranges from 3 to 4 and 6.4 to 8.65–67 The results of these assays are given in Fig. S8† and Fig. 7.

The stabilities of the LGdH-Cl precursor and LGdH-dic were investigated in acidic solution and neutral PBS. Samples were incubated at 37 °C in pH 1.5 HCl solution for 2 h or in pH 7.4 PBS for 24 h. GdCl\(_3\) and HCl/PBS incubated with Arsenazo III were used as positive and negative controls respectively. Under both pH conditions, the GdCl\(_3\) positive control shows strong absorbance at 652 nm, corresponding to complex formation, while the HCl and PBS solutions show very low absorbance at this wavelength. After the immersion of LGdH-Cl and LGdH-dic in a pH 1.5 medium, the solution has strong absorbance at 652 nm, demonstrating that free Gd\(^{3+}\) leaches from the material at this pH. A calibration curve was constructed (data not shown), and based on this it was calculated that approximately 43% of the Gd\(^{3+}\) from LGdH-Cl and 48% from LGdH-dic was released after 2 h. In contrast, at pH 7.4 the absorbance at 652 nm is similar to the negative control, even after 24 h. Therefore, it can be concluded that the LGdH-drug composites are stable at neutral pH, but not in acidic conditions. In order to be suitable for drug delivery and diagnostic purposes, the LGdH composites must thus be encapsulated inside an enteric coating to protect them from the acidic conditions in the stomach.

Drug release
The release of the incorporated active ingredients was studied for selected systems in Krebs buffer. This is a carbonate-based buffer which more accurately represents the physiological environment of the lower parts of the intestinal tract (where the LGdH-drug particles would first encounter physiological media if administered in an enteric coated formulation as suggested above) than traditional phosphate buffers. The release plots are shown in Fig. 8.

It is clear from Fig. 8(a) that the different active ingredients release at varied rates from their LGdH intercalates. Nap releases very quickly, while dic is slower and ibu releases most slowly. However, the final release percentage is greatest for LGdH-ibu, reaching 105.6 ± 13.9% as compared to 97.3 ± 2.59% for LGdH-dic and 96.3 ± 3.13% for LGdH-nap. The synthesis route used does not appear to have a marked effect on the release profiles (Fig. 8(b)). The material prepared by ion exchange is perhaps freeing its drug cargo fractionally faster than the coprecipitation products, but this effect does not appear to be significant. The final release percentages reached are also similar, with both LGdH-ibu and LGdH-ibu-c prepared at pH 12 reaching 100% release. The material from coprecipitation at pH 8 reaches only 86.2 ± 15.2%, perhaps reflecting the larger ibu loading of this sample. The release profiles observed suggest that the LGdH composites could be used for extended release in the small intestine, if they were packaged into an enteric coated capsule. Release from LGdH-nap is rather fast, but the LGdH-dic system shows sustained release over ca. 4 h, while the LGdH-ibu and LGdH-ibu-c systems extend this to 24 h. The drug loadings are relatively high (30–50% w/w), and thus it would be feasible to use the intercalates to deliver a standard dose of ibuprofen (1200–3200 mg day\(^{-1}\)) or diclofenac (150–225 mg day\(^{-1}\)).

XRD studies were performed on the residual solid from drug release experiments (ESI, Fig. S9†). These materials are
largely amorphous, with some small reflections at around 12.5° corresponding to LGdH-CO$_3$ in the case of dic and ibu. The LGdH-ibu residue also has a small peak corresponding to twice as much ibuprofen as is required to charge-balance, suggesting surface adsorption of some excess ibuprofen. The elemental analysis data for this system indicated the presence of twice as much ibuprofen as is required to charge-balance, suggesting surface adsorption of some excess ibuprofen. Therefore, in this case it may be that the surface adsorbed and intercalated drug are freed through two different mechanisms, resulting in the simple Bhaskar and Avrami-Erofe’ev models not being sufficiently complex to describe this system.

Relaxivity measurements

In order to evaluate the effectiveness of LGdH-Cl and its drug intercalates as MRI contrast agents (CAs), their relaxivities ($r_1$ and $r_2$) in water suspensions were determined from the value of the longitudinal ($1/T_1$) or transverse ($1/T_2$) relaxation rates normalized to the gadolinium concentration in the composites (eqn (3)).

$$\frac{1}{T_i} = \left(\frac{1}{T_i}\right)_{d} + r_i[Gd] \quad i = 1, 2$$

where $d$ represents the diamagnetic contribution (i.e. the solvent relaxation rate in the absence of the contrast agent). For the LGdH-drug composites prepared by ion exchange, $r_1$ and $r_2$ were determined from the slopes of plots of $1/T_{1(2)}$ vs Gd concentration (Fig. 9). Single-point data were collected on a selection of other samples (Table S4†). Since there are only three points on the plots, some caution must be taken when considering the values calculated: however, the trends are very clear. The $r_1$ values for the intercalates, measured at 20 MHz (0.47 T), are 0.96, 0.23, and 0.60 mM$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ for LGdH-dic, LGdH-ibu and LGdH-nap respectively, as compared to 0.51 mM$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ for LGdH-Cl. These are much lower than that of the commercial Gd(DTPA) contrast agent (4.10 mM$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$).
The $r_1$ values are determined by the quantity of Gd$^{3+}$ ions at the surface of the material exposed to solvent water molecules, which facilitate proton exchange of coordinated water molecules with bulk water and thereby accelerate $T_1$ relaxation though an inner-sphere mechanism.\textsuperscript{74} LGdH-Cl has particle surfaces comprising Gd$_3$(OH)$_5$ layers, with each Gd$^{3+}$ coordinated by both OH groups and bound water. The particle surfaces will have many Gd$^{3+}$ ions exposed to bulk water in the suspension, which might be expected to exchange with Gd-bound water.\textsuperscript{40} The experimental $r_1$ values are small for all the samples studied in this work, indicating that virtually no exchange with bulk water occurs. This could be due to a very slow water exchange rate, or to the binding of agarose to the surface of the particles, which could hinder the exchange process.\textsuperscript{72} The contribution of the outer-sphere mechanism to $r_1$ is also rather weak. The incorporation of the drug ions does not seem to significantly change the water accessibility of the surface Gd$^{3+}$ ions, as would be expected. Although the space between the layers increases after intercalation, which could potentially allow more water molecules to diffuse into the interlayer space, the exchange between interlayer and bulk water will still be hindered, leading to a minimal effect on $r_1$.

$T_2$ relaxation is dominated by the outer-sphere contribution, created by local magnetic field inhomogeneities induced by the tumbling magnetic nanoparticles. The presence of agarose (applied as an emulsifier) adsorbed at the particle surface during relaxivity measurements is expected to slow down water diffusion near the particle surfaces due to the formation of hydrogen bonds with the agarose chains, affecting the $r_2$ value.\textsuperscript{73} However, the particle size and shape also determine the field perturbation area experienced by outer-sphere protons. LRHs comprise platelet-shaped particles, and hence their tumbling generates a large area of local field inhomogeneity and therefore larger $r_2$ values. While the intercalation of dic and ibu cause a small $r_2$ decrease compared to the parent LGdH-Cl, nap leads to a more than a two-fold $r_2$ increase. This could result from slower and/or closer water diffusion, or a more asymmetric tumbling of the modified LGdH particles. However, a more complete study of the relaxation mechanisms of these materials is beyond the scope of the present study.

CAs with higher relaxivities give higher contrast and clearer images at lower dosage.\textsuperscript{74} MRI CAs can be classified into two groups based on the ratio of $r_2$ to $r_1$. $T_1$ CAs, otherwise known as positive contrast agents, have $r_2$ to $r_1$ ratios close to 1 (normally between 1 and 2) and can enhance signal intensity, whereas $T_2$ CAs with higher $r_2/r_1$ (>10), have a dominant $T_2$ shortening effect, causing a reduction in signal intensity.\textsuperscript{74} Those CAs with $r_2/r_1$ between 2 and 10 can function either as positive or negative agents.\textsuperscript{74,75} Thus, LGdH-dic can act as either a positive or negative CA, while LGdH-ibu, LGdH-nap, and LGdH-Cl are better suited as negative CAs (see Table S4†).

The materials prepared using the different methods show some variations in the calculated $r_1$ and $r_2$ values, but there are no obvious trends. What is however clear is that the drug intercalates retain the relaxivity properties of the parent LGdH-Cl, and in some cases enhance them. Their ability to be

---

**Fig. 9** Relaxivity data on the LGdH-drug composites prepared by ion exchange, showing (a) $r_1$, (b) and (c) $r_2$ plots.

However, the $r_2$ values for the intercalates are much closer to Gd(DTPA) (4.57 mM$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$), at 4.84, 3.46 and 16.25 mM$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ for the dic, ibu, and nap intercalates respectively (cf. 7.56 mM$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ for LGdH-Cl). The $r_1$ value obtained for LGdH-Cl is slightly smaller than that reported before at 127.8 MHz (3 T), while the $r_2$ value is in good agreement with the previous report.\textsuperscript{40} It is observed that all samples have a shortening effect on both the longitudinal ($T_1$) and transverse ($T_2$) relaxation time, indicating the capability of the LGdH materials to act as CAs.
used in MRI was verified in a preliminary imaging study (Fig. 10). Thus, the new materials prepared in this work have the potential to be used for simultaneous drug delivery and imaging.

**Biocompatibility**

The biocompatibility of selected LGdH-drug composites was assessed using *in vitro* cell viability studies. The results of performing these with the solid LGdH materials are presented in Fig. 11. At concentrations of 526 μg mL⁻¹, both LGdH-Cl and LGdH-ibu are highly biocompatible, and in fact appear to encourage cell growth. In contrast, LGdH-dic causes some cell death, with a mean viability of 71%. At a lower concentration of 270 μg mL⁻¹ all three LGdH materials explored have very good biocompatibility, resulting in cell counts higher than the untreated cells control. Further experiments were undertaken with solutions made from LGdH suspensions (see Fig. S11, ESI†). In all cases here the cell viability was indistinguishable from the untreated cells, thereby confirming the biocompatibility of the LGdH-drug materials.

**Conclusions**

Three non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (diclofenac, ibuprofen, and naproxen) were intercalated into the layered gadolinium hydroxide (LGdH) [Gd₂(OH)₅]Cl·yH₂O for the first time. Intercalation could be achieved successfully using ion exchange, coprecipitation or exfoliation-self-assembly approaches, and in all cases X-ray diffraction and IR spectroscopy confirmed the successful incorporation of the drug anions into the interlayer space. An intertwined bilayer arrangement of anions is proposed. The products obtained from the different routes are similar, but those obtained from coprecipitation generally had higher drug loadings. In the latter case, the drug content calculated is in most samples greater than that required to balance the charge of the layers, and thus some neutral drug molecules are also present, either intercalated or surface adsorbed. The LGdH-drug composites are stable at neutral pH, but degrade rapidly in acidic conditions to free Gd³⁺ into solution. In drug release assays, LGdH-nap freed its drug cargo very quickly at pH 7.4, with 80% release in 60 min. The other materials showed sustained release, over ca. 4 h for LGdH-dic and 24 h for LGdH-ibu. The drug intercalates retain the relaxivity properties of the parent LGdH material, with the materials most promising for use as negative contrast agents in MRI. The LGdH-drug composites are further found to be highly biocompatible. Overall, the new materials prepared in this work can be said to have great potential for theranostic applications, where simultaneous delivery of a drug and imaging are required.
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