










Cite this: *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*,
2018, 20, 24494

Correction: Surface induced smectic order in ionic liquids – an X-ray reflectivity study of [C₂₂C₁im]⁺[NTf₂][−]

Julian Mars, ^{ab} Binyang Hou, ^{ac} Henning Weiss,^a Hailong Li,^a
Oleg Konovalov, ^c Sven Festersen,^d Bridget M. Murphy, ^{de} Uta Rütt, ^f
Markus Bier ^{gh} and Markus Mezger ^{*ab}

DOI: 10.1039/c8cp91851a

rsc.li/pccp

Correction for 'Surface induced smectic order in ionic liquids – an X-ray reflectivity study of [C₂₂C₁im]⁺[NTf₂][−]' by Julian Mars et al., *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2017, **19**, 26651–26661.

The following errata were found in the published article. All the data analysis and figures in the original article are correct. Likewise, the results and conclusions remain unaffected.

Model profiles

The first sentence of the second paragraph on page 26654 should read:

“The oscillatory function with periodicity d is expanded in a series

$$\psi(z) = \left(1 - \frac{Z_{\text{HC}}}{Z_{\text{IL}}}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j \exp\left(-\frac{z}{\xi_j}\right) \cos\left(2\pi j \frac{z - z_0}{d}\right). \quad (6)$$

with the total number of electrons per [C₂₂C₁im]⁺[NTf₂][−] molecule $Z_{\text{IL}} = 358$ and per 37 CH₂ hydrocarbon equivalents $Z_{\text{HC}} = 37.8$, respectively.⁵⁵”

Surface thermodynamics

The sentence containing eqn (10) on page 26654 should read:

“For complete wetting ($\Delta\gamma < 0$)

$$L(\tau) = A \ln\left(\frac{\tau_1}{\tau}\right) \quad (10a)$$

$$\tau_1 = -\frac{\Delta\gamma V_{\text{m}}}{\Delta H_{\text{m}} A} \quad (10b)$$

is found.”

^a Max Planck Institute for Polymer Research, Ackermannweg 10, 55128 Mainz, Germany. E-mail: mezger@mpip-mainz.mpg.de

^b Institute of Physics and MAINZ Graduate School, Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz, 55128 Mainz, Germany

^c ESRF The European Synchrotron, 71 avenue des Martyrs, 38000 Grenoble, France

^d Institute of Experimental and Applied Physics, Kiel University, Leibnizstr. 19, 24098 Kiel, Germany

^e Ruprecht Haensel Laboratory, Kiel University, Leibnizstr. 19, 24098 Kiel, Germany

^f DESY Photon Science, Notkestr. 85, 22607 Hamburg, Germany

^g Max Planck Institute for Intelligent Systems, Heisenbergstr. 3, 70569 Stuttgart, Germany

^h Institute for Theoretical Physics IV, University of Stuttgart, Pfaffenwaldring 57, 70569 Stuttgart, Germany



Surface structure

In Table 1 on page 26657 the decimal point of a single entry is shifted. The entry should read B^b (115 °C) = 1.93.

Table 1 Model parameters of the XRR best fits (Fig. 5b) using eqn (4)

T (°C)	L (nm)	ξ_b^a (nm)	d (nm)	z_0 (nm)	σ_s (nm)	S^{0b}	S^-	B^b	a_2	ξ_2 (nm)
68	30.0 ± 2.0	4.78	3.73	2.28	0.18	1.71	−98	126	0.15	26
70	16.8 ± 2.2	4.73	3.73	2.29	0.17	1.64	−152	12.6	0.15	18
73	12.5 ± 1.2	4.64	3.73	2.24	0.10	1.62	−189	6.50	0.12	19
87	6.5 ± 0.6	4.21	3.70	2.14	0.18	1.58	−294	2.90	0.15	9
115	2.8 ± 2.5	3.38	3.56	1.89	0.25	1.55	−503	1.93	0.05	20

^a Parameters were fixed to the interpolated bulk values extracted from SAXS.⁶⁷ ^b Parameters were determined by continuity and differential continuity conditions. ξ_s was fixed at 2000 nm.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Moshe Deutsch and Julia Haddad from Bar-Ilan University for pointing out the mistake in eqn (6).

The Royal Society of Chemistry apologises for these errors and any consequent inconvenience to authors and readers.

