PCCP



CORRECTION

View Article Online



Cite this: Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2018, 20, 12323

Correction: The stability of biradicaloid versus closed-shell $[E(\mu-XR)]_2$ (E = P, As; X = N, P, As) rings. Does aromaticity play a role?†

Rafael Grande-Aztatzi,*a Jose M. Mercerob and Jesus M. Ugaldea

DOI: 10.1039/c8cp91727b

Correction for The stability of biradicaloid versus closed-shell $[E(\mu-XR)]_2$ (E = P, As; X = N, P, As) rings. Does aromaticity play a role?' by Rafael Grande-Aztatzi et al., Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2016, 18, 11879-11884.

rsc.li/pccp

We would like to inform the reader of a correction that needs to be made to our previous publication. The energy differences (ΔE) between the planar and butterfly isomers of the $[P(\mu-PH)]_2$, $[P(\mu-AsH)]_2$, and $[As(\mu-AsH)]_2$ compounds are incorrectly reported to be -98.9, -176.3 and -180.7 kcal mol^{-1} , respectively, on page 11882 and in Table 1. The correct values are -47.3, -46.4, and -44.1 kcal mol⁻¹, respectively, which appeared in the Table S1 of the supporting information.†

Thus the correct sentence should read "However, when N is substituted by heavier atoms, like P or As, the butterfly isomer turns out to be the most stable isomer, by $47.3 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ for $[P(\mu\text{-PH})]_2$ and $46.4 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ for $[P(\mu\text{-AsH})]_2$, and by $27.7 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ for $[As(\mu-PH)]_2$ and 44.1 kcal mol⁻¹ for $[As(\mu-AsH)]_2$ ".

The corrected version of Table 1 is given below.

Table 1 Relative energies at the MCQDPT level, and the lowest frequency and geometry parameters of the MCSCF(2,2)/aug-cc-pVTZ optimizations. ΔE in kcal mol⁻¹, ν_{min} in cm⁻¹, bond lengths in Å, bond angles and dihedral angles in degrees

	$[P(\mu$ -AsH)] ₂			$[As(\mu-PH)]_2$		
	N	P	As	N	P	As
Planar						
ΔE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
$ u_{\mathrm{min}}$	338.6	175.8	39.3	238.6	102.3	-19.8
E-X	1.684	2.055	2.333	1.814	2.268	2.453
E-E	2.580	3.308	3.393	2.814	3.494	3.531
X-H	0.990	1.396	1.508	0.992	1.400	1.510
E-X-E	100.0	107.2	93.3	101.8	100.5	92.1
X-E-X	80.0	77.9	86.7	78.2	100.8	87.6
X-E-E-X	180.0	176.5	179.9	180.0	180.0	167.8
Butterfly						
ΔE	21.5	-47.3	-46.4	17.2	-27.7	-44.1
$ u_{\mathrm{min}}$	468.4	234.5	148.4	280.9	192.1	127.0
E-X	1.760	2.212	2.333	1.893	2.339	2.450
E-E	2.084	2.206	2.170	2.337	2.445	2.463
X-H	1.004	1.410	1.516	1.004	1.409	1.515
E-X-E	72.6	58.8	55.4	76.2	63.0	60.3
X-E-X	80.1	82.1	84.3	78.1	80.4	81.6
X-E-E-X	106.0	98.6	97.5	106.4	98.4	98.1

The Royal Society of Chemistry apologises for these errors and any consequent inconvenience to authors and readers.

a Kimika Fakultatea, Euskal Herriko Unibertsitatea (UPV/EHU), and Donostia International Physics Center (DIPC), P.K. 1072, 20080 Donostia, Euskadi, Spain. E-mail: aztatzi26@gmail.com

^b IZO-SGI SGiker, Euskal Herriko Unibertsitatea (UPV/EHU), and Donostia International Physics Center (DIPC), P.K. 1072, 20080 Donostia, Euskadi, Spain

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: See DOI: 10.1039/c8cp91727b