Characterisation, coverage, and orientation of functionalised graphene using sum-frequency generation spectroscopy
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We report the unambiguous detection of phenyl groups covalently attached to functionalised graphene using non-linear spectroscopy. Sum-frequency generation was employed to probe graphene on a gold surface after chemical functionalisation using a benzene diazonium salt. We observe a distinct resonance at 3064 cm$^{-1}$ which can clearly be assigned to an aromatic C–H stretch by comparison with a self-assembled monolayer on a gold substrate formed from benzenethiol. Not only does sum-frequency generation spectroscopy allow one to characterise functionalised graphene with higher sensitivity and much better specificity than many other spectroscopic techniques, but it also opens up the possibility to assess the coverage of graphene with functional groups, and to determine their orientation relative to the graphene surface.

Introduction

Graphene, a monolayer of sp$^2$-hybridised carbon atoms arranged in a two-dimensional hexagonal lattice, has received much attention due to its remarkable electronic, physical, and chemical properties.\(^7\) Graphene is a semimetal owing to the band crossing at the Dirac points of the Brillouin zone which gives it unique conducting properties. Various processes are employed to obtain graphene including chemical exfoliation and mechanical cleavage of graphite.\(^2,3\) In addition, as some applications require graphene to be bound to a surface, chemical vapor deposition (CVD) on transition metals substrates has also been intentionally modified by hydrogenation in a plasma\(^12–14\) and wet chemical methods.\(^15,16\) Functionalisation of graphene including chemical functionalisation and mechanical cleavage of graphene now has defects, but it does not provide any information regarding the type of defect, i.e. one cannot distinguish between e.g. carbon atom vacancies in the 2D lattice as compared to sp$^3$ carbon atoms on functionalised graphene; (2) while it has been attempted to correlate the ratio of D/G peak intensities to the number density of defects, this calculation is ambiguous for certain coverage regimes,\(^18\) and furthermore requires a scaling factor in some cases.\(^19\) Alternatively, a number of groups have recently employed sum-frequency generation (SFG) spectroscopy as a means to characterise modified graphene.\(^20-22\) In SFG, a resonant infrared (IR) and visible (VIS) laser beam are spatially and temporally overlapped at a surface where in a second-order non-linear process, mixing of the two waves occurs to produce a photon with a frequency which is the sum of the two incoming photons' frequencies.\(^22\) SFG is a powerful surface analysis technique owing to its surface sensitive and interface selective properties and has a major advantage over Raman spectroscopy for the characterisation of functionalised graphene: SFG allows one to identify the functional groups directly based on their vibrational signatures. In addition, it is more sensitive compared...
to e.g. reflection absorption infrared-red spectroscopy (RAIRS),\textsuperscript{8,15} and sensitive to hydrogen atoms (e.g. in C–H bonds), unlike X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy.\textsuperscript{18} Scanning probe microscopies such as atomic force microscopy are very time-consuming,\textsuperscript{24} while techniques such as secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS) are destructive.\textsuperscript{25}

SFG can overcome all these shortcomings and has in fact already been employed to characterise both contaminated and chemically functionalised graphene. Hasselbrink and co-workers used SFG to detect the stretching vibration of hydrogen chemically bound to a graphene sheet on an Ir(111) single crystal surface.\textsuperscript{22,26,27} Probing contaminations and/or residues on graphene has also been reported using SFG. Tian et al. observed C–H stretches of CH\textsubscript{2} moieties which they assigned to polyethylene-like molecules adsorbed on the graphene during the transfer process.\textsuperscript{21} In contrast, Holroyd et al. observed aliphatic C–H vibrations associated with PMMA which is typically used as a material to transfer CVD graphene from its copper substrate to a substrate of choice,\textsuperscript{20} in agreement with a SIMS study by Wang et al.\textsuperscript{25}

In the work reported here, we have chemically functionalised CVD graphene on a gold substrate using a benzene diazonium salt to chemisorb phenyl rings to graphene. The C–H vibration of the \textit{para}-hydrogen atoms on the benzene rings (now attached to graphene) were unambiguously detected by SFG vibrational spectroscopy, taking advantage of their blue-shift compared to aliphatic C–H stretches. Furthermore, we evaluated the surface coverage by relative comparison with a self-assembled monolayer of phenyl rings, and – by exploiting the polarisation-dependent signal intensities in SFG – even determined the orientation of the functional groups relative to the graphene surface. Orientational information for a similar system, namely toluene physisorbed on highly oriented pyrolytic graphite (HOPG), has been previously studied by Geiger and co-workers using SFG; they were able to detect aliphatic C–H stretches with a tilt angle of toluene in the range of 37° to 42° from the surface normal.\textsuperscript{28}

**Experimental**

**Optical setup**

Fig. 1 shows the SFG setup which consists of a broadband Mai-Tai Ti:Sapphire mode-locked laser (Spectra-Physics) which produces \( \sim 100 \) fs pulses at 803 nm at a repetition rate of 78.9 MHz. This laser seeds a Ti:Sapphire Legend Elite F-HE (Coherent) amplifier which produces \( \sim 120 \) fs pulses with a 1 kHz repetition rate. The amplifier is externally pumped by an Evolution 3.0 Q-switched Nd:YLF laser (Spectra-Physics) which produces 100 to 250 ns pulses with a wavelength of 527 nm at a repetition rate of 1 kHz. Half of the output from the amplifier was used as the VIS beam, while the other half was steered to an OPerA Solo Optical Parametric Amplifier (OPA, Coherent) to produce a tuneable IR beam. The bandwidths of the VIS and IR beams were \( \sim 12 \) cm\(^{-1}\) and \( \sim 200 \) cm\(^{-1}\), respectively, and the beams were p-polarised during the measurements. An etalon and delay stage were employed to suppress the non-resonant background typically generated from metal surfaces by delaying the narrowband VIS beam by 1 ps.\textsuperscript{29} The generated SF beam was steered into a spectrograph (Shamrock 750, Andor Technology) coupled to an intensified charge-coupled device (ICCD) camera (iStar ICCD DH 374, Andor Technology).

**Sample preparation**

Commercially available CVD graphene, which was grown on copper substrates and transferred onto gold-coated silicon wafers (from Sigma-Aldrich), was purchased from 2-DTech. The diazonium salt was synthesised from aniline and sodium nitrite following the procedure used by Lackner and Fürstner.\textsuperscript{30} The benzene diazonium salt (0.80 g, 7.61 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of sodium dodecyl sulphate (1 wt%, 10 mL, Alfa Aesar), and the solution was heated (35 °C) to allow the salt to dissolve. The graphene sample was submersed in the solution and left to react for 24 hours. We also prepared control samples by immersing CVD graphene in pure aniline solution (i.e. without the addition of nitrite such that no diazonium salt was formed) for 24 hours. After the reaction, the substrates were washed (ultra-filtrated water) and dried in nitrogen gas.\textsuperscript{8}

**Results and discussion**

Graphene samples were investigated using our SFG spectrometer to deliver vibrational spectra such as those shown in Fig. 2, which display SFG spectra of CVD graphene (a) before and (b) after functionalisation together with the best fits to a Lorentzian lineshape. SFG spectra are typically fitted to eqn (1) which describes the response of a vibrational transition in a typical SFG experiment:\textsuperscript{31}

\[
I = A_0 e^{i\phi} + \sum_{n=1}^{N} A_n \left[ \cos(n \omega_0 - n \omega_{IR} - \Gamma_n) \right]^2
\]

\( A_0 \) is the non-resonant contribution while \( e^{i\phi} \) accounts for the phase difference between the resonant and non-resonant signal with \( A_n \), \( \omega_n \), and \( \Gamma_n \) representing the amplitude, centre wave-number, and linewidth of the \( n \)th vibrational mode, respectively.

Both spectra (before and after functionalisation) show two peaks at wavenumbers below 3000 cm\(^{-1}\); aliphatic C–H stretches...
typically appear at those wavelengths,\textsuperscript{20} and are due to PMMA residue originating from the transfer of CVD graphene from the copper substrate to the gold-coated silicon wafers. The spectrum after functionalisation, however, also shows a clear peak at $3064\ \text{cm}^{-1}$ emerging from the non-resonant background; since aromatic C–H stretches typically appear at wavenumbers above $3000\ \text{cm}^{-1}$, we are confident that the peak at $3064\ \text{cm}^{-1}$ can be assigned to the aromatic C–H stretch of the phenyl ring, and is not due to impurities, e.g. PMMA. We are also confident that the observed stretch is due to chemical functionalisation, i.e. the formation of a covalent bond between the graphene and the phenyl ring, as experiments with our control samples (i.e. graphene treated with aniline, but no nitrite) did not show any aromatic C–H stretches which may have been due to physisorbed benzene moieties. We have hence unambiguously identified functionalised graphene using SFG spectroscopy.

The FTIR spectra of the CVD graphene samples before and after functionalisation in Fig. 3 also support the successful phenyl functionalisation of graphene, as two aromatic C–H stretches around $3050\ \text{cm}^{-1}$, although weak, are only observed after functionalisation. One of these stretches is also Raman active, hence only one peak appears in the SFG spectrum. In addition, the same two peaks below $3000\ \text{cm}^{-1}$ already observed in the SFG spectra corresponding to C–H stretches of PMMA used in the graphene transfer process are visible again.\textsuperscript{20,32} We note that the signal-to-noise (S/N) ratio of the aromatic C–H signature is around 60 : 1 in the SFG spectrum whereas it is less clear ($\sim 5 : 1$) in the FTIR spectrum.

Raman spectra were also recorded before and after functionalisation using a Renishaw System 1000 Raman spectrometer with a 514 nm excitation laser and $\times 50$ objective lens. The laser power was kept below 1 mW to avoid damaging the graphene sheet. Fig. 4(a) clearly shows the two main features of pristine graphene, the so-called G and 2D peaks. The G-band around $1560\ \text{cm}^{-1}$ results from the $E_{2g}$ in-plane stretching mode of the C–C bond and is common to all sp\textsuperscript{2} carbon systems. The 2D-band around $2600\ \text{cm}^{-1}$ is a second-order two-photon process between non-equivalent $K$ points in graphene’s first Brillouin zone.\textsuperscript{4} In the case of the functionalised graphene sample in Fig. 4(b), a prominent D peak is observed which arises due to a breathing mode of $A_{1g}$ symmetry involving phonons near the K zone boundary. This mode is forbidden in perfect graphite and only becomes active in the presence of disorder. Another peak is also observed in the functionalised spectrum labelled as D + D’ peak and accounts for two phonon defect-assisted processes, but its activation mechanism is not fully understood.\textsuperscript{4} At these coverages, we do not observe a noticeable red-shift of the centre of the G peak in the functionalised samples as has been reported for higher coverages.\textsuperscript{33,34} Although the aromatic C–H stretch is Raman active and is detected in Raman spectra of neat benzenethiol, we do not observe such a peak above $3000\ \text{cm}^{-1}$ in our Raman spectra (Fig. 4(b)). While such peaks have been observed for benzenethiol adsorbed on gold using surface enhanced Raman spectroscopy (SERS),\textsuperscript{35–38} the signals in the SER spectra are weak, and given our
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aromatic C–H stretch is observed at a similar wavelength, the spectrum is shown in Fig. 2(c). The distinct peak of the phenyl compound, namely a self-assembled monolayer (SAM) made of benzenethiol grown on a gold substrate; its SFG spectrum is shown in Fig. 2(c). The distinct peak of the aromatic C–H stretch is due to vibrations of the bond between the carbon atom and hydrogen atom in the para-position of the phenyl group. The C–H bonds in the ortho and meta positions of the phenyl group on graphene are pairwise nearly centro-symmetric and hence SFG inactive.

We have also recorded SFG spectra for a structurally similar phenyl compound, namely a self-assembled monolayer (SAM) made of benzenethiol grown on a gold substrate; its SFG spectrum is shown in Fig. 2(c). The distinct peak of the aromatic C–H stretch is observed at a similar wavelength, 3066 cm⁻¹, and likewise can be assigned to the C–H stretch on the para-C atom of the benzene ring, as the other C–H units at the 2,3,5,6 positions are SFG inactive. The recorded spectra of benzenethiol SAMs are also in a good agreement with those recorded by other groups who observed the aromatic C–H stretch at similar frequencies. 29,39

The SFG spectrum of the benzenethiol self-assembled monolayer does not only serve to establish the successful chemical functionalisation of graphene with phenyl groups, but can even be used to evaluate the coverage of graphene with phenyl groups. Even though SFG is a non-linear process, we can nonetheless compare the intensities of the aromatic peaks of the benzenethiol SAM with the functionalised graphene. In both cases, only one vibration (C–H in para position) per phenyl ring is responsible for the signal, and both samples are grown on otherwise identical gold-coated silicon wafers. We have kept the conditions in experiments involving the SAMs and the graphene derivatives as close as possible, and the absorption of light as it propagates twice through a single layer of graphene in the reflective SFG experiments can be neglected due to the weak absorption of a single graphene layer. 40 If we assume that the transition dipole moment and Raman polarisability of the aromatic C–H stretches in the para position are not too different for the benzenethiol and the phenyl group attached to graphene, then we can draw conclusions about the relative coverages with phenyl groups. We have furthermore assumed that the Fresnel factors (which are notoriously difficult to measure for monolayers), the hyperpolarisability and the orientation of the C–H stretch (close to the surface normal, as discussed later) are the same for the phenyl and the phenyl-decorated graphene sample.

A typical thioalkane SAM consists of around 5 × 10¹⁴ alkane chains per cm² on a gold substrate. 41 Due to the bulky nature of the benzenethiol moiety, the density is reduced to around 4 × 10¹⁴ cm⁻² for the SAM recorded here. 42 If we define the integrated signal intensity of the C–H stretch in the SFG spectra of our SAM in arbitrary units as 1.00 ± 0.26 (standard deviation over four measurements), then the signal intensity of the phenyl group on graphene is 0.51 ± 0.28 on the same normalised scale. Given the quadratic dependence of the signal intensity on the coverage, this means that the coverage of graphene with phenyl groups is ~ (2.8 ± 1.1) × 10¹⁴ cm⁻², corresponding to functionalisation of ~ 7% of all carbon atoms of graphene, and roughly half of the highest coverage theoretically predicted by Jiang et al. 43 From Fig. 4, the ratio of intensities (D)/((G) in the Raman spectrum of the functionalised graphene yields a coverage of (1.3 ± 0.2) × 10¹⁴ cm⁻², or 3.5%, i.e. roughly half the coverage of our SFG measurements, but reasonably close given the experimental errors; however, the coverage obtained from the Raman intensities is only derived after making the assumption that one works in the low coverage regime. One might have expected coverages derived from Raman spectroscopy to be larger than those derived from SFG spectroscopy, as Raman also detects defects, while SFG is only sensitive to the actual functional group. However, it seems that almost all defects detected in the Raman spectrum are due to the intended functionalisation, and the results from the Raman and the SFG spectra are not too dissimilar given their respective uncertainty. Despite the drawback of SFG spectroscopy due to its non-linear nature, it has the major advantage that no assumptions are required to extract coverages, i.e. it is less ambiguous compared to Raman spectroscopy, if a well-studied sample surface is available for comparison. More importantly, SFG is also more specific as only the actual functional group (rather than any modification to the 2D lattice that causes defects) contributes to the signal. This work hence presents the first demonstration of SFG spectroscopy to directly derive coverages on functionalised graphene surfaces.

The reason for the lower coverage with phenyl groups compared to the number of available C atoms in graphene as well as compared to the number of benzenethiol groups on gold can be rationalised as follows: during the functionalisation of graphene, the reacting C atoms change from sp²- to sp³-hybridisation. 43 The ensuing puckering of the graphene plane puts strain on immediately neighbouring C atoms, which are then more likely to react – in particular in the para position – than those atoms.
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Notes and references


Conclusions

In summary, we reported SFG vibrational spectra of aromatic C–H stretches of CVD graphene functionalised with phenyl groups. We established the concentration of phenyl groups chemisorbed to graphene to be \((2.8 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-2}\) after our synthetic preparation, \(i.e.\) around 7% of all carbon atoms in the graphene lattice have a phenyl group covalently attached to them. Being able to spectroscopically and hence non-invasively quantify the coverage with functional groups can prove exceedingly useful in the production of functionalised graphene as the coverage can be correlated with properties such as \(e.g.\) the band gap.
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