A redox-active diborane platform performs C(sp$^3$)–H activation and nucleophilic substitution reactions†
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Organoboranes are among the most versatile and widely used reagents in synthetic chemistry. A significant further expansion of their application spectrum would be achievable if boron-containing reactive intermediates capable of inserting into C–H bonds or performing nucleophilic substitution reactions were readily available. However, current progress in the field is still hampered by a lack of universal design concepts and mechanistic understanding. Herein we report that the doubly arylene-bridged diborane(6) 1H$_2$ and its B=\(\equiv\)B-bonded formal deprotonation product Li$_2$[\(\equiv\)] can activate the particularly inert C(sp$^3$)–H bonds of added H$_3$Cl and H$_2$CCl, respectively. The first case involves the attack of [H$_3$Cl]$^-$ on a Lewis-acidic boron center, whereas the second case follows a polarity-inverted pathway with nucleophilic attack of the B=\(\equiv\)B double bond on H$_2$CCl. Mechanistic details were elucidated by means of deuterium-labeled reagents, a radical clock, \(\alpha,\omega\)-dihaloalkane substrates, the experimental identification of key intermediates, and quantum-chemical calculations. It turned out that both systems, H$_3$Cl/Li$_2$ and H$_2$CCl/Li$_2$[\(\equiv\)], ultimately funnel into the same reaction pathway, which likely proceeds past a borylene-type intermediate and requires the cooperative interaction of both boron atoms.

Introduction

For decades, organoboranes remained limited to a passive role as reagents in organic synthesis, where boryl substituents either serve as placeholders for other functional groups (e.g., halides, hydroxy, and amino groups), or are involved in Pd-catalyzed C–C-coupling reactions. Another useful asset, the potential of boron compounds to actively promote the cleavage of element–element bonds, lay dormant until the concepts of “Boron Lewis-acid catalysis”$^{+5,6}$ and “Frustrated Lewis pairs”$^{+7,8}$ were introduced about 15 years ago. Since then, it became increasingly apparent that appropriately selected main group compounds can rival transition metal complexes in mediating the transformation of organic substrates.

Certain organoboranes are catalytically active not only in their Lewis-acidic neutral forms, but also in their exhaustively reduced states. As prominent examples, 9,10-dihydro-9,10-diboraanthracenes (DBAs) catalyze inverse electron-demand Diels–Alder reactions of 1,2-diazines$^8$ as well as the dehydrogenation of ammonia-borane.$^3$ Upon reduction, the corresponding [DBA]$^{12-}$ anions readily add C(sp$^3$)–H or H–H bonds across the two boron atoms; the latter reaction can be exploited for the economic conversion of chlorosilanes into hydrosilanes.$^{10,11}$

Scheme 1 The members of the triad 1H$_2$/Li[\(\equiv\)H]/Li$_2$[\(\equiv\)] are linked through redox processes as well as protonation/deprotonation reactions. Treatment of 1H$_2$ with RCH$_2$Li leads to C(sp$^3$)–H activations and skeletal rearrangements to furnish 1,1-bis(9-borafluorenyl)imethanes (together with Li[\(\equiv\)H]; \(R = \) H, C$_3$H$_7$). The addition of haloalkanes RX to Li$_2$[\(\equiv\)] results in nucleophilic substitution reactions and again skeletal rearrangements to afford 9–\(\equiv\)–9-borafluorenes (in some cases accompanied by C(sp$^3$)–H activations; \(X = \) Cl, Br, I). Carbon atoms marked with asterisks bear tBu substituents.
With the triad $\text{H}_2$/Li[1H]/Li$_2$[1] (Scheme 1), we recently developed a system of ditopic boranes, which is comparable to the DBA/[DBA]$^2^-$ pair, because it encompasses a Lewis-acidic (1H$_2$) together with a dianionic species ([1]$^2^-$). As a decisive difference, however, the boron atoms in [DBA]$^2^-$ are linked by two α-phenylene rings, whereas in [1]$^2^-$ they are directly connected by a double bond. Both systems thus possess different frontier orbitals and should exhibit different reactivities.

The anions [1H$^-$] and [1]$^2^-$ are accessible in good yields via alkali-metal reduction of H$_2$.$^{15-18}$ Stepwise protonation with ethereal HCl cleanly takes [1]$^2^-$ back to [1H$^-$] and finally H$_2$.$^{14}$ The reverse deprotonation reaction of H$_2$ to afford [1H$^-$] is also quantitative, provided that the sterically demanding bases (Me$_3$Si)$_2$NLi and (Me$_3$Si)$_3$CLi are used. In case of the smaller quantitative, provided that the sterically demanding bases are accessible in good yields

\[ \text{H}_2 \text{Cl}_2 \text{Li}^- \quad \text{alkali-metal reduction of \text{H}_2} \]

The anions [1H$^-$] and [1]$^2^-$ show nucleophilic behavior also toward electrophiles other than the proton (i.e., RX).

Derivatization reactions of the inert C(sp$^3$)-H bond are as topical as they are challenging – even if transition-metal catalysts are present.$^{15-18}$ The few known boron-promoted examples fall into the three categories compiled in Scheme 2: (1) Braunschweig performed the reductive dechlorination of a dichloroborane precursor to generate an intermediate borylene, which inserted into the H$_3$C group of a nearby mesityl substituent.$^{19}$ (2) Wang et al. observed hydrogen-atom abstraction from a H$_3$C group with concomitant formation of B–H and B–C bonds when they reduced 2,6-bis(BMes$_2$)mesitylene to its diboryl product (30%; Scheme 1, R = C$_6$H$_5$)$_2$. These remarkable results immediately raise the following questions: (i) can H$_2$ activate C(sp$^3$)-H bonds of added alkylolithium reagents RCH$_2$Li? (ii) Will [1]$^2^-$ show nucleophilic behavior also toward electrophiles other than the proton (i.e., RX)?

Before the background provided by the literature and our own previous results, we regarded the triad H$_2$/Li[1H]/Li$_2$[1] as a perfect platform for further studies into boron-promoted C–H-activation processes and boron-centered nucleophiles. Herein we present evidence that the reactions of H$_2$ with RCH$_2$Li indeed proceed through C(sp$^3$)-H-cleavage steps and that the boron-bridging H atoms in the diborylmethane products stem from the organolithium reagents and are not remains of H$_2$ (cf. Scheme 1; R = H, C$_6$H$_5$)$_2$. We also show that the B=B double bond of the diion [1]$^2^-$ behaves as a closed-shell nucleophile toward organohalides and that specifically H$_3$CCl/Li$_2$[1] and H$_3$CLi/1H$_2$ funnel into the same reaction channel. When H$_3$CCl is replaced by an excess of H$_3$C–I, C–H-activation is completely suppressed by a second nucleophilic substitution reaction to afford 2 equiv. of 9-methyl-9-borafluorene (Scheme 1; R = H,C). Employing α,ω-dihaloalkanes X(CH$_2$)$_n$X and Li$_2$[1], we gained

\[ \text{H}_2 \text{Cl}_2 \text{Li}^- \quad \text{alkali-metal reduction of \text{H}_2} \]

The umpolung of carbon electrophiles through their conversion in, e.g., nucleophilic organolithium or Grignard reagents was one of the most important breakthroughs for the laboratory synthesis of organic compounds. A comparably high impact on the future progress of boron chemistry can be expected from the development of efficient tools to accomplish a polarity inversion of the intrinsically electrophilic boron center.$^{22}$

In 2006, Yamashita and Nozaki pioneered the field of nucleophilic boron compounds by disclosing a lithium boryl isostere of stable N-heterocyclic carbenes (NHCs; Fig. 1).$^{23}$ More than 10 years later, Hill expanded the class of compounds to include an isolable magnesium pinacolatoboryl complex.$^{24}$ In the intervening period, a wealth of chemistry had already been developed based on the in situ generation of pinacolatoboryl nucleophiles via the alkoxide-induced heterolytic cleavage of bis(pinacolato)diboron (Lin, Kleeberg, Marder and others).$^{25}$ Boryl nucleophiles can also be stabilized through π delocalization of the boron lone pair, as exemplified by Braunschweig’s NHC-adduct of a borolyl salt (which may in fact react via radical pathways),$^{26}$ the cyclic (alkyl)(amino)carbene-coordinated BH fragment of Kinjo/Beida,$^{27}$ as well as Willner’s/Finze’s alkali metal tricyanoborate (Fig. 1).$^{28}$

Before the background provided by the literature and our own previous results, we regarded the triad H$_2$/Li[1H]/Li$_2$[1] as a perfect platform for further studies into boron-promoted C–H-activation processes and boron-centered nucleophiles. Herein we present evidence that the reactions of H$_2$ with RCH$_2$Li indeed proceed through C(sp$^3$)-H-cleavage steps and that the boron-bridging H atoms in the diborylmethane products stem from the organolithium reagents and are not remains of H$_2$ (cf. Scheme 1; R = H, C$_6$H$_5$)$_2$. We also show that the B=B double bond of the diion [1]$^2^-$ behaves as a closed-shell nucleophile toward organohalides and that specifically H$_3$CCl/Li$_2$[1] and H$_3$CLi/1H$_2$ funnel into the same reaction channel. When H$_3$CCl is replaced by an excess of H$_3$C–I, C–H-activation is completely suppressed by a second nucleophilic substitution reaction to afford 2 equiv. of 9-methyl-9-borafluorene (Scheme 1; R = H,C). Employing α,ω-dihaloalkanes X(CH$_2$)$_n$X and Li$_2$[1], we gained
further insight into the competition between the nucleophilic substitution and C–H-activation scenarios as well as the cooperativity of the two adjacent boron centers (X = Cl, Br).

Results and discussion

We started our study by addressing the question: why and how does the reaction of 1H2 with nBuLi furnish not only the deprotonation product Li[1H], but also the diborylmethane-hydride adduct shown in Scheme 1 (R = C8H17)?

First, we confirmed that a simplified system using H3CLi in place of nBuLi maintains the same general reactivity (Scheme 3). From equimolar mixtures of 1H2 and H3CLi, the products Li[1H] and Li[2] are formed in slightly varying relative amounts but constant combined yields of close to 50% (the analogous finding holds for the nBuLi case). The 1H NMR spectroscopic monitoring of the reaction in a sealed NMR tube (THF-d8, room temperature) showed no free H2 (£ 4.55 ppm),29 which is an important observation considering that the starting materials 1H2 and H3CLi contain a sum of five BHB/H3CLi protons, of which only three remain in the product Li[2].

Deuterium-labeling experiments with D3CLi/1H2 or H3CLi/1D2 combinations furnished isotopically pure Li[2-d3] or Li[2], respectively (Scheme 4). Thus, not only the methylene linker (£(1H) 0.49 ppm, d), but also the boron-bridging hydrogen atom (£(1H) 1.94 ppm, br) in Li[2] originate from the organolithium reagent. None of the two BHB atoms of 1H2 is still present in the product Li[2-d3] (see the ESI† for more information). We also note the appearance of two sets of aryl-proton signals that neither belong to Li[1H] nor Li[2] (or their partly deuterated counterparts) and are consequently accountable for the missing 50% product yield (see below).

In the following, a plausible mechanistic model for the conversion of 1H2 with H3CLi will be described (black arrows in Scheme 5), which accounts for all available experimental evidence. It explains (i) the C–H activation of [H3C]−, (ii) the fate of the boron-bonded hydrogen atoms of 1H2, and (iii) the combined yield of only 50% for Li[1H] and Li[2]: similar to the case (Me3Si)3CLi/1H2, the reaction H3CLi/1H2 starts with the deprotonation of 1H2 to afford Li[1H]. The byproduct CH4 was detected by 1H and 13C[1H] NMR spectroscopy; when D3CLi was employed as the Brønsted base, we instead observed the formation of D3CH (sealed NMR tubes; see the ESI† for more details).

Contrary to the case (Me3Si)3CLi/1H2, the reaction involving H3CLi does not necessarily stop at the stage of Li[1H], because the small [H3C]− ion also has the potential to act as a Lewis base. Nucleophilic attack of H3CLi on a boron atom of Li[1H]

![Scheme 4](image-url) The reactions D3CLi/1H2 (top) or H3CLi/1D2 (bottom) give the C–D- or C–H-activation products Li[2-d3] or Li[2], respectively. Carbon atoms marked with asterisks bear tBu substituents.

![Scheme 5](image-url) Proposed reaction mechanism explaining the formation of Li[1H], Li[2], and Li[7] from an equimolar mixture of H3CLi and 1H2 (top: carbon atoms marked with asterisks bear tBu substituents). The alternative pathway (a) leads from Li[3] to Li[5], first via hydride elimination and second via a 1,2-phenyl shift. Molecular structures of [K2(thf)6][8], [Li(thf)3][Li(thf)2][9], and [Li(thf)2(Et2O)][7] in the solid state (bottom). The solvent-separated cations, all tBu groups, and all CH atoms are omitted for clarity.
establishes a B–CH₃ bond and shifts the boron-bridging hydrogen atom to a terminal position. The structural motif of the resulting intermediate [3]⁻⁻ has precedence in the crystallographically characterized dianion [8]⁻⁻, which carries a further hydrogen atom rather than a boron-bonded methyl group (Scheme 5, top and bottom). Li₂[3] rearranges to Li₂[4] through a 1,2-phenyl shift, accompanied by a 1,2-hydride shift. Again, a comparable hydrogen-containing species Li₂[9] exists (Scheme 5, bottom), and its molecular structure has been confirmed by X-ray analysis.²³ Li₂[9] can isomerize to Li₂[FluB(H)⁻–(H)BFlu] (BFlu = 9-borafluorenyle),¹³ thereby providing an example of a 1,2-phenyl/1,2-hydride-shifting cascade closely related to the isomerization of Li₂[3] to Li₂[4]. The latter reaction continues with an LiH-elimination step to generate Li[5], which possesses a three-coordinate boron atom with a vacant pz orbital and thereby reduces its strong Lewis acidity.²⁴ Finally, this interaction turns into C···H-bond activation accompanied by B···B-bond cleavage and ultimately results in the formation of Li[2]. It is well known that B(sp³)-B(sp³) diboranes readily undergo B···B-bond heterolysis and thereby act as mild sources of nucleophilic boron.²⁵ Moreover, the core parts of [2]⁻ and [6]⁻ are isoelectronic with protonated cyclopropane [C₃H₃]⁺. This cation has been thoroughly investigated by experimental²⁶–²⁸ and theoretical²⁹–³⁰ methods and found to be a highly fluctuating system,³¹ which supports the idea of [6]⁻ rearranging to [2]⁻. At this stage, the dynamic behavior comes to an end, because, contrary to the case of [C₃H₃]⁺, the three corners of [2]⁻ are not equivalent and the BHB bridge should be thermodynamically favored over alternative BHC bridges.

In addition to the qualitative comparison with the all-carbon model system [C₆H₆]⁻⁻, we studied the key C···H activation step of the organoboron anion [6]⁻ by quantum-chemical calculations (Fig. 2). Apart from the Li⁺ counterion, which likely is solvent-separated in THF solution (cf. the solid-state structure of [Li(thf)₄][2]; Scheme 3, right), we also omitted the tBu substituents. The computed parent systems will be denoted with a superscript ‘c’ (e.g., [5]⁻ represents Li[5]). The 1,2-phenyl shift in [5]⁻ proceeds via TS1 with an activation barrier of ΔG‡₁ = 9.9 kcal mol⁻¹ and is endoergic by ΔG_R = 5.9 kcal mol⁻¹. The resulting open-chain rearrangement product [6-open]⁻ features a large B···CH₃ bond angle of 121° and the vacant pz orbital of the B(sp³) atom is oriented almost orthogonal to the B···CH₃-bond vector, which precludes an agostic interaction in this isomer. To establish the B···H–C bridge proposed above, the tricoordinate borafluorene fragment must be rotated by approximately 70° and the B···CH₃ bond angle contracted – ultimately to a value of 68° in the local-minimum structure [6]-. The conversion of [6-open]⁻ to the cyclic isomer [6]⁻ via TS2 (ΔG = 7.0 kcal mol⁻¹) is associated with a moderate energy penalty of ΔG_R = 4.6 kcal mol⁻¹. The actual C···H-activation process involves the transition state TS3 in which the B···B bond and one C···H bond are concertedly cleaved and a new B···C bond is formed (ΔG‡ = 4.4 kcal mol⁻¹).

The primary, open-chain activation product [2-open]⁻ is thermodynamically favored by −14.1 kcal mol⁻¹ and −3.6 kcal mol⁻¹ compared to [6]- and [5]⁻, respectively. A further stabilization is achievable through rotation about a B···C bond and placement of the hydrogen atom into a boron-bridging position to obtain the final product [2]⁻⁻ (TS4: ΔG‡ = 2.7 kcal mol⁻¹; ΔG_R = −6.3 kcal mol⁻¹). In summary, the reaction cascade from [5]⁻ to [2]⁻ possesses an overall activation barrier of ΔG‡ = 14.9 kcal mol⁻¹, which is easily surmountable at room temperature. An appreciable thermodynamic driving force is provided by the exergonicity of the [2]⁻⁻ formation (ΔG_R = −9.9 kcal mol⁻¹).

Fig. 2 Reaction pathway for the conversion of [5]⁻⁻ to [2]⁻⁻, calculated at the PBE0D/TZVP level of theory with the SMD polarized continuum model for solvation in THF. Gibbs free energies at 298 K (ΔG) are given in kcal mol⁻¹ relative to [5]⁻⁻.
To experimentally substantiate the role of Li[1H] as the first intermediate along the pathway from 1H2 to Li[2], we treated an isolated sample of Li[1H] with 1 equiv. of H2ClLi in THF. Even though the reaction started as expected, it stopped at the stage of Li[4] (which enabled us to record a 1H NMR spectrum of this compound). The elimination of LiH from Li[4] is thus not a spontaneous process, but apparently requires a hydride-trapping reagent. Compound 1H2 constitutes an ideal candidate for this purpose and, indeed, after the addition of 1 equiv. of 1BuH, Li[4] quantitatively vanished and Li[2] formed instead. Moreover, we found two sets of proton resonances that are assignable to two isomeric hydride-trapping products of 1H2 (cf. Li[7], Li[10]; Schemes 5 and 6).

As a caveat we emphasize that the reaction from 1H2 to Li[2] may bypass the intermediate Li[4] if hydride transfer from Li[3] to 1H2 is faster than the rearrangement from Li[3] to Li[4] (blue path (a) in Scheme 5). Arguments in favor of this alternative route include: (i) the 1,2-phenyl shift required to generate intermediate Li[5] should be more facile on a B(sp3)–B(sp3) rather than on a B(sp3)–B(sp3) scaffold (cf. Li[3] → Li[4]; Scheme 5). (ii) Li[4] was observed only when the reaction was started from Li[1H], i.e., when the hydride trap 1H2 was absent, thus rendering the blue path impassable.

After the above discussion of a plausible mechanistic picture underlying the overall reaction scenario, we now present analytical data of key intermediates and products. The reaction H2ClLi/1H2 furnishes Li[1H] and Li[2] besides the isomeric hydride-trapping products Li[7] and Li[10]. The first species, Li[1H], is a known compound and therefore does not require further discussion.14 The second species, Li[2], is reminiscent of the published C–H-activation product obtained from the reaction nBuLi/1H2 (cf. Scheme 1, R = C2H5).13 The main difference between both compounds relates to the fact that Li[2] possesses an average C2v symmetry in solution, whereas a pending C2H5 substituent reduces the symmetry to Cv. Consequently, the 1H NMR spectrum of Li[2] contains only one set of signals for all four t-Bu–C6H2 rings. The corresponding spectrum of its C2v-symmetric congener features two sets of resonances,14 one of them with chemical shift values almost identical to those of Li[2] and thus likely assignable to those halves of the 9-borafluorene subunits, which point into the same direction as the proton residing on the methylene bridge. A similar interpretation is valid for the 13C{1H} NMR spectrum of Li[2]. Single crystals of [Li(thf)4][2] suitable for X-ray analysis were grown from THF-hexane (Scheme 3). Like its C2H2 derivative,14 [Li(thf)4][2] forms solvent-separated ion pairs in the crystal lattice, and all key geometric parameters of the two anions are identical within the experimental error margins. We also note a pleasingly good agreement between the experimentally determined structure of [2]− and the computed structure of [2]− (cf. the ES† for full details).

1H NMR spectra measured on H2ClLi/1H2 mixtures reproducibly showed resonances pointing toward a primary hydride-trapping product Li[10], which features a BHB bridge and two terminal hydrogen substituents in mutual trans arrangement (Scheme 6). For comparison, we prepared an authentic sample of Li[10] from 1H2 and 1 equiv. of the ‘superhydride’ Li[HBEt3]. At low temperatures, Li[10] forms quantitatively; since the compound is thermolabile, its NMR spectra had to be recorded at −30 °C. Li[10] gives rise to a double set of proton resonances in THF solution. On average, the two 2,2′-biphenylene fragments of the anion [10]− should be related by a mirror plane containing the B2H3 core. The two phenylene rings of each individual 2,2′-biphenylene moiety, however, are chemically inequivalent (as confirmed by 2D NMR experiments).

At room temperature, Li[10] readily isomerizes to the secondary hydride-trapping product Li[7], which we have isolated and characterized by NMR spectroscopy as well as X-ray crystallography. The anion of [Li(thf)3(Et2O)]−[2]7 consists of one 9-borafluorenyl and one BH3 fragment that are linked by a µ-H atom and a 2,2′-biphenylene bridge (Scheme 5, bottom). As a result, both boron atoms are tetracoordinate and placed at a distance of B–B = 2.382(8) Å. In the solid state, the central seven-membered HB3C4 ring is non-planar and the anion possesses C1 symmetry (the torsion angle of the bridging 2,2′-biphenylene amounts to 36°).

The molecular scaffolds of [7]− and the known anion [9]− are essentially superimposable, apart from the fact that the latter features a covalent B–B bond (1.810(5) Å) instead of the µ-H atom (Scheme 5, bottom).13 In line with their marked structural resemblance, both anions exhibit similar 1H NMR spectra: in each case, three sets of aryl resonances are detectable. Two of those are well resolved at room temperature (H-a, H-b), whereas the third set consists of very broad signals, each of them integrating 2H (H-c; Scheme 6). This points toward a dynamic behavior of the compounds in solution, which likely arises from conformational changes of the twisted boron heterocycles. The
**Chemical Science**

The $^{11}$B NMR spectrum of [7] is characterized by two resonances with chemical shift values of $\delta = -3.6$ and $-10.1$ ppm, testifying to the presence of two magnetically inequivalent, tetracoordinate boron nuclei.\(^{28}\)

Turning our attention from the products of the reaction CH$_3$Li/1H$_2$ to its intermediates, we note that the $^1$H NMR spectrum of Li$_2$[4] shows the same peculiarities as those of its structural congeners Li[7] and Li$_2$[9]: well resolved resonances coexist with severely broadened signals. Together with a BCH$_3$ resonance at $\delta = -0.1$ ppm, this can be taken as a support for our structural proposal of Li$_2$[4], but the motional broadening precludes the measurement of meaningful $^{13}$C($^1$H) NMR and 2D correlation spectra. Despite numerous efforts, we have not succeeded in growing crystals of Li$_2$[4] and therefore considered replacing the H$_2$C group with an alternative stericly under-demanding organic substituent: The reaction tBuCCLi/Li[1H] provided the alkynyl analogue Li$_2$[11] of Li$_2$[4] in single-crystalline form ([Li(12-crown-4)(thf)][Li(thf)$_2$] Scheme 6). X-ray crystallography confirmed the proposed ring-contracted, H-shifted structure of [11]\(^{2-}\).

NMR spectroscopy reproduced the characteristic distribution of well-resolved and motionally broadened line shapes; the chemical shift values of the aryl protons of Li$_2$[11] are reasonably close to those of Li$_2$[4] (cf. the ESI† for an overlay of the respective $^1$H NMR spectra). Remarkably, Li$_2$[11] is also accessible *via* a different approach, starting from the doubly boron-doped dibenzog[p]chrysene Li$_2$[1] and tBuCCH, the conjugate weak acid of [tBuCC]- (Scheme 6).

The facile protonation\(^{24}\) of Li$_2$[1] prompted us to investigate whether an umpoling approach to synthesize compounds of the type Li[2] might also be successful, which would provide fundamentally interesting insights into the reactivities of B=B double-bonded species. As mentioned above, the intermediate Li[6] of the reaction H$_2$CCLI/1H$_2$ can be regarded as the [H$_2$C]\(^{-}\) adduct of a diboration(4). Conceptually, it should be possible to arrive at the same molecule by formally transferring two electrons from the carbon nucleophile to the redox-active organoborane and thus starting from methylium-ion sources and the anion [1]$^{2-}$ (Fig. 3).\(^{29}\)

Indeed, when a THF solution of Li$_2$[1] is stirred at room temperature under a blanket of H$_2$CCl gas (1 atm), a quantitative conversion to Li[2] occurs (Scheme 7).\(^{40}\) This approach is far more atom- and time-economic than the previous access route *via* the polarity-inverted couple H$_2$CCLI/1H$_2$, because we avoid wasting 50% of 1H$_2$ as a hydride-trapping reagent and do no longer have to separate the resulting hydride-trapping products. Mechanistically, the electron-rich B=B fragment of Li$_2$[1] likely acts as a nucleophile toward H$_2$CCl to form [12]$^{2-}$, which carries a boron-bonded methyl substituent and contains a central B-B single bond. The B(sp$^3$)-B(sp$^3$) species Li[12] then undergoes a 1,2-phenyl shift to afford Li[5] and thereby funnels into the reaction cascade outlined above for the formation of Li[2] from H$_2$CCLI/1H$_2$ (Scheme 7).

When H$_2$CCl is replaced by 1 equiv. of iodomethane (H$_3$C-I), the outcome is a mixture of Li[2], 9-methyl-9-borafuorene (13), and residual Li$_2$[1] (Scheme 8). After increasing the relative amount of H$_3$C-I to 3 equiv., we almost exclusively obtained 13. The different behaviors of the two halomethanes can be rationalized by viewing the intermediate Li[6] as an adduct between the 9-borafuorenyl anion ([BFlu]$^{\cdot}$) and (H$_3$C)BFu (13; Scheme 9). [BFlu]$^{\cdot}$ is isoelectronic to the carbene 9-fluorenylidene. A formal carbene-like reactivity is reflected by the intramolecular insertion of [BFu]$^{\cdot}$ into the C-H bond of the 9-methyl-9-borafuorenone moiety to afford Li[2]. When the strong electrophile H$_3$C-I with its excellent iodide leaving group is present, also the nucleophilic character of [BFu]$^{\cdot}$ comes into play and opens a competing intermolecular pathway, which ultimately leads to 13. As the relative amount of H$_3$C-I is increased, the substitution reaction becomes dominant (we note in passing that the reaction with H$_3$C-I can alternatively be viewed as a carbene-like insertion of [BFu]$^{\cdot}$ into the C-I bond with subsequent elimination of LiI).

In case of the system H$_3$C-I/Li$_2$[1], the methyl group initially gets attached to only one of the symmetry-related boron centers, but the other is equally important for the subsequent C-H-activation and nucleophilic substitution steps. The degree of B-B cooperativity in Li$_2$[1] as well as the insertion vs. nucleophilic behavior of [BFu]$^{\cdot}$ thus deserve a detailed assessment. To this end, we conducted a systematic study using 1:1 mixtures of Li$_2$[1] and $\eta^8$-dihaloalkanes X(CH$_2$)$_n$X with chain lengths in the range of $n = 2$–6 and leaving groups of different qualities (e.g., X = Cl, Br). In these experiments, smaller alkylidene linkers are supposed to mimic higher local concentrations of the electrophile. As summarized in Scheme 8, clean

![Diagram](image-url)
activation reactions occur with the long-chain substrates (Fig. 4) were characterized by X-ray crystallography. The observed chain-length dependence of the product distribution suggests that the carbene-type insertion and the second nucleophilic substitution both follow an intramolecular pathway involving two cooperating boron atoms.

If the remaining CH\textsubscript{2}X center and the BCH\textsubscript{2} group are similarly close to the B–B bond, the nucleophilic process occurs at a higher rate than the carbene-type C–H-activation. As the alkyldiene spacer grows, the second electrophilic functionality moves further apart whereas the reactive \(\alpha\)-CH\textsubscript{2} unit stays in place such that the C–H-activation becomes more and more relevant until it finally takes over.

Although the reaction between Li\textsubscript{2}[1] and, \textit{e.g.}, H\textsubscript{2}C–I can convincingly be rationalized by assuming a nucleophilic pathway, the possible operation of a radical mechanism remains to be ruled out. We first note in this context that 1,2-dihaloethane in the presence of Li\textsubscript{2}[1] did not undergo reductive dehalogenation with ethene formation. Yamashita, Nozaki \textit{et al.} have treated their boryllithium compound with methyl trifluoromethanesulfonate (H\textsubscript{3}COTf)\textsuperscript{41} on the one hand and benzyl bromide (BnBr) on the other (Scheme 10, top). In the first case, they observed the corresponding methyl borane in yields of 85\%, whereas in the second case exclusively the bromoborane was obtained.\textsuperscript{43} To explain the different outcomes, they proposed halogenophilic attack of the boryllithium or single electron transfer to the benzyl halide. We repeated Nozaki’s

### Scheme 8

The outcome of the reaction H\textsubscript{2}C–I/Li[1] depends on the stoichiometries employed. While 1 : 1 mixtures give 13 together with Li[2], 3 : 1 mixtures exclusively furnish 13. Use of \(\alpha\),\(\omega\)-dihaloalkanes X(CH\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{n} instead of H\textsubscript{2}C–I affords ditopic boranes 14\textsuperscript{42} (\(n = 2–4\)) and/or Li[15\textsuperscript{Cl,Br}] (\(n = 4–6\); \(X = Cl, Br\)). Carbon atoms marked with asterisks bear tBU substituents.

### Scheme 9

The intermediate Li[6] can be interpreted as an adduct between the 9-borafluorenyl anion ([BFlu]\textsuperscript{–}) and 9-methyl-9-borofluorene (13). Intramolecular C–H insertion of the carbene-like [BFlu]\textsuperscript{–} furnishes Li[2]: intermolecular nucleophilic attack on H\textsubscript{2}C–I affords 2 equiv. of 13. Carbon atoms marked with asterisks bear tBu substituents.

---

**Fig. 4** Molecular structures of 14\textsuperscript{Cl} and of the terminally chlorine-substituted [Li(12-crown-4)]\textsuperscript{[15Cl,Cl]} in the solid state. The solvent-separated [Li(12-crown-4)]\textsuperscript{+} cation, all tBu groups, and all CH atoms are omitted for clarity.
experiments with Li$_2$[1] (Scheme 10, middle): H$_3$COTf showed the same reactivity as described above for H$_2$C−I (cf. Li[2] and 13); BrnBr (as well as BrnCl) gave the C−H-activation product Li[16] rather than any haloboranes, as confirmed by NMR spectroscopy and X-ray crystallography on [Li(thf)$_4$][16].

As the ultimate test, we added Li$_2$[1] to 1 equiv. of (bromomethyl)cyclopropane, a well-established radical clock (Scheme 10, bottom). A quantitative conversion to the C−H-activation product Li[17] still carrying an intact cyclopropyl substituent, occurred (NMR-spectroscopic control). The absence of the ring-opened olefin derivative Li[18] in the reaction mixture strongly supports the proposal of a closed-shell scenario in contrast to an open-shell process.

The results collected thus far are not only fundamentally interesting with respect to the reactivities of electron-rich B=B double bonds, but open new access routes to ditopic boranes of high Lewis acidity. Molecules containing two or more potentially cooperating boron sites are of great current interest, *inter alia*, as organocatalysts or electron-storage media.

Compounds of the class $^{14}$C$_n$ already constitute free Lewis acids, but do not contain functional groups amenable to further derivatization.

The opposite is true for the salts Li[15C$_n$X]. Here, the terminal halogen atoms provide ample opportunities, e.g., for grafting the organoboron units onto polymers, dendrimers, or surfaces, but the Lewis acids need to be activated through LiH elimination prior to use.

While the bulky hydride scavenger (H$_3$C)$_3$SiCl failed in this respect, the smaller electrophile H$_3$C−I efficiently transformed the model compound Li[2] to its conjugate acid $^{14}$C$_1$ (Scheme 11). As important diagnostic criteria, the BHB proton resonance vanishes in the course of the reaction, and the $^{11}$B NMR signal shifts from the tetracoordinate (Li[2]: $\delta$ = −14 ppm) to the tri-coordinate spectral region ($^{14}$C$_1$: $\delta$ = 45 ppm).

In line with the reaction H$_2$C−I/Li[2], the haloalkyl derivatives Li[15C$_n$X] are not long-term stable in THF at room temperature: $^1$H NMR monitoring of the solutions revealed in each case a gradual decrease of the CH$_3$X resonance and a concomitant increase of a signal assignable to a terminal CH$_3$ group, which leads to the conclusion that the pending haloalkyl substituent can take a similar role as added H$_2$C−I. It is important to note in this context that the follow-up X/H exchange reactions are completely suppressed at −78 °C and even at room temperature slow enough not to interfere with targeted derivatizations of the CH$_3$X termini.

**Conclusion**

In summary, C(sp$^3$)−H activation and nucleophilic substitution reactions have been performed on the same redox-active diborane platform. We propose that the doubly 2,2′-biphenylylene-bridged diborane(6) 1H$_2$ reacts with H$_3$Cl to furnish the rearranged B(sp$^3$)−B(sp$^3$) intermediate Li[FluB−BFu(CH$_3$)$_2$] (Li[6]; BFu = 9-borafluorenyl). Li[6] also forms via an umpolung approach starting from H$_2$CX and the B=B bond, nucleophilic Li[1], a compound which can be regarded as the product of a double deprotonation of 1H$_2$ (X = Cl, I). Li[6] readily undergoes B-B-bond heterolysis to formally give the [BFu]$^−$ anion and (H$_3$C)BFu (13). The final product distribution depends on the relative amount of H$_3$CX and the leaving-group qualities of X, because [BFu]$^−$ can either insert into a C(sp$^3$)−H bond of 13 or replace the halogen atom of a second equivalent of H$_3$CX. The product of the carbene-type C−H insertion is Li[FluB(μ-CH$_2$)(μ-H)BFu] (Li[2]) while the nucleophilic substitution on C−X generates 2 equiv. of 13. Further insight into the competition between the two scenarios was
gained with the help of $\alpha,\omega$-dihaloalkanes $X(CH_2)_nX$ ($X = \text{Cl}, 
\text{Br}$). In the resulting intermediates $\text{Li}[\text{FluB-Flu}(CH_2)_nX]$, both possible follow-up reactions should be intramolecular processes. A longer alkylidene chain corresponds to a lower local concentration of the electrophile, while the $\text{BCH}_2$ groups are always similarly close to the reactive $\text{B-B}$ bond. Consequently, short chains ($n = 2, 3$) result in double substitution products $\text{FluB}(CH_2)_n\text{BFlu}$ and long chains ($n = 5, 6$) in $\text{C-H}$-activation products $\text{Li}[\text{FluB(μ-C(H)[CH_2]n-1X)(μ-H)BFlu}]$. In the case of the intermediate chain length $n = 4$, a mixture of both compounds is obtained: the worse leaving group $X = \text{Cl}$ leads to a higher proportion of the $\text{C-H}$-activated species, the better leaving group $X = \text{Br}$ furnishes more $\text{FluB}(CH_2)_n\text{BFlu}$. We finally note that the $\text{B-B}$-bond heterolysis of $\text{Li}[6]$ with concomitant transfer of a reactive $[\text{BFlu}]^-$ moiety is reminiscent of the reactivity patterns of the widely used alkoxy-diborane(4) adducts $[\text{pinB-Bpin(OR)}]^{2-}$. As a decisive difference, however, $[\text{BFlu}]^-$ appears to be considerably more reactive than in situ-generated $[\text{Bpin}]^-$, because $\text{C-H}$-insertion reactions of the latter are so far unknown.
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**Notes and references**


Cambridge Structural Database; deposition numbers: CCDC 1819625 ([Li(thf)₄][15⁴Cl₃]:THF), CCDC 1819626 ([Li(thf)₄][15⁵Cl₂]:THF), and CCDC 1819627 ([Li(thf)₄][15⁶Br₃]).

43 See ref. 23b.
49 See ref. 39a.