Dual-modal imaging and excellent anticancer efficiency of cisplatin and doxorubicin loaded NaGdF₄:Yb³⁺/Er³⁺ nanoparticles†

Zhiyang Zhang, Jiayi Sheng, Miaomiao Zhang, Xiaoyan Ma, Zhirong Geng and Zhilin Wang

NaGdF₄:Yb³⁺/Er³⁺ nanoparticles were synthesized via a modified hydrothermal route. The dependence of structure and morphology on the dosage of sodium polyacrylate was studied by X-ray diffraction (XRD) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM). The as-prepared nanoparticles could be used for T² weighted magnetic resonance imaging due to the paramagnetism of Gd³⁺. cis-dichlorodiammineplatinum (CDDP) could be loaded onto NaGdF₄:Yb³⁺/Er³⁺ nanoparticles through binding carboxyl in the form of Pt–O bonds, and doxorubicin (DOX) could be loaded via hydrogen bonding. DOX could also be loaded onto the NaGdF₄–CDDP composite in the same manner, and the loading efficiency of both drugs remained unchanged. Three as-prepared drug delivery systems were used for tumor inhibition both in vitro and in vivo, and the results indicated that NaGdF₄–CDDP–DOX displayed the greatest inhibitory capacity.

Introduction

Anti-tumor drugs can be toxic to normal tissues and organs when killing tumor cells. However, drug delivery system based on drug-loaded nanoparticles can enter tumors due to their enhanced permeability and retention effects, release drugs, and inhibit tumor growth while reducing side effects to normal tissues and organs caused by the nonspecific accumulation of drugs. Many types of nanoparticles with excellent biocompatibility have been chosen to establish such drug delivery systems, such as Au, SiO₂, polymers, carbon nano-materials, magnetic nanoparticles, rare-earth fluoride nanoparticles, and others. Because rare-earth nanoparticles exhibit superb luminescence properties originating from the f-f transition in the 4f electrons of rare-earth ions, these nanoparticles can be used to trace nanoparticles loaded with drugs. Therefore, rare-earth nanoparticles rank among the most common nanoparticles used to establish drug-delivery systems. Due to their weak auto-fluorescence background, minimum photodamage to organs and depth of light-penetration in tissues when infrared radiation is used as the emission light, rare-earth doped upconversion nanoparticles are especially suitable for tracking and drug delivery.

As a familiar matrix, NaGdF₄ nanoparticles doped with various rare-earth ions can emit various upconversion luminescence spectra. In addition to a superb upconversion luminescence property, the paramagnetism of Gd³⁺ ions makes rare-earth doped NaGdF₄ nanoparticles suitable for both upconversion luminescence imaging and magnetic resonance imaging. Chemotherapy drugs, photodynamics therapy drugs, gene segments, and other molecules, can be loaded onto rare-earth doped NaGdF₄ nanoparticles to build drug delivery systems, which have been confirmed to be useful for tumor inhibition. Chemotherapy is the most common clinical cancer treatment, and usually, two or more chemotherapy drugs are used simultaneously during treatment. Although NaGdF₄ nanoparticles loaded with two drugs for cancer treatment have been reported, the preparation process was complicated, and the loading efficiency could be influenced during the loading process by the hydrophobic interactions of both drugs. Thus, using a simple method to prepare nanoparticles for loading two drugs simultaneously is essential.

Herein, a revised solvothermal method in which sodium polyacrylate (PAAs), acting as a chelating agent, was used to synthesize NaGdF₄:Yb³⁺/Er³⁺ nanoparticles. Carboxyl groups of PAAs on the surface rendered these as-prepared NaGdF₄:Yb³⁺/Er³⁺ nanoparticles hydrophilic and thus suitable for biological application. Green upconversion luminescence, which was used to monitor the cellular uptake process of the drug-loaded nanoparticles could be observed upon excitation with a 980 nm CW laser. NaGdF₄:Yb³⁺/Er³⁺ nanoparticles could also be used as a magnetic resonance imaging agent, due to the paramagnetism of Gd³⁺ ions.
cis-Dichlorodiamineplatinum (CDDP) loaded NaGdF₄:Yb³⁺/Er³⁺ nanoparticles (NaGdF₄-CDDP) were prepared through the binding of CDDP to carbonyl groups at the surface of the nanoparticles by Pt-O bonds. Doxorubicin (DOX) could bind to NaGdF₄:Yb³⁺/Er³⁺ nanoparticles and the NaGdF₄-CDDP compound via hydrogen bond interactions with the carbonyl groups to prepare DOX loaded NaGdF₄:Yb³⁺/Er³⁺ nanoparticles (NaGdF₄–DOX) and DOX loaded NaGdF₄-CDDP compounds (NaGdF₄–CDDP–DOX) (Scheme 1). The as-loaded drugs could be released in both physiological and sub-acid conditions, and they were released more rapidly under sub-acid conditions, which were similar to the tumor microenvironment. These as-prepared drug delivery systems were demonstrated to be effective for tumor inhibition both in vitro and in vivo, and the two-drug-loaded NaGdF₄–CDDP–DOX compounds exhibited a more pronounced therapeutic effect.

Materials and methods

Materials

Gd(NO₃)₃·6H₂O, Yb(NO₃)₃·6H₂O, and Er(NO₃)₃·6H₂O were purchased from Shanghai Diyang Chemical Co., Ltd. Sodium polyacrylate (PAAs) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Co., Ltd. CDDP was obtained from Shandong Boyuan Chemical Co., Ltd. DOX was purchased from Adamas Co., Ltd. Ethylene glycol (EG) was obtained from Nanjing Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. Other chemical reagents were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. All reagents were used as received without further purification.

Preparation of NaGdF₄:Yb³⁺/Er³⁺ nanoparticles

NaGdF₄:Yb³⁺/Er³⁺ nanoparticles were prepared through a modified solvothermal route. A total of 1.2 mmol of Ln(NO₃)₃·6H₂O (Ln = Gd, Yb, Er, Gd : Yb : Er = 78 : 20 : 2) and 2.4 mmol of NaCl were dissolved in 20 mL of EG. Then, a certain amount of PA PAAs As was added to the solution. After stirring for 1 h, 10 mL of EG containing 5 mmol NH₄F was added to the mixture under stirring. The mixture was then transferred into a 50 mL Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave and heated at 200 °C for 12 h. After the autoclave was cooled to room temperature, the white powders were collected by centrifugation, washed with ethanol three times and kept in ethanol.

CDDP loading to NaGdF₄:Yb³⁺/Er³⁺ nanoparticles

A NaGdF₄–CDDP composite was obtained by the following procedure: 25 mg of NaGdF₄:Yb³⁺/Er³⁺ nanoparticles was dispersed in 10 mL of phosphate buffered saline (PBS buffer) (pH = 6.0). After 5 min of ultrasonic pulsation, 7.5 mg of CDDP was added to the above mixture, which was then stirred in the dark for 12 h. The as-prepared NaGdF₄–CDDP composite was centrifuged and washed with distilled water several times to remove the residual CDDP. All of the supernatants were collected, and the CDDP concentration in the supernatant was detected by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry. The drug loading content was calculated by subtraction.

DOX loading to NaGdF₄:Yb³⁺/Er³⁺ nanoparticles and NaGdF₄–CDDP composite

A total of 2 mg of NaGdF₄:Yb³⁺/Er³⁺ nanoparticles and 2 mg of NaGdF₄–CDDP composite (NaGdF₄:Yb³⁺/Er³⁺ equivalent) were each dispersed in 1 mL of PBS buffer (pH = 8.0). After 5 min of ultrasonic pulsation, 200 μL of DOX (2 mM) was added to each mixture, and the mixtures were shaken in the dark at the speed of 200 rpm overnight. NaGdF₄–DOX and NaGdF₄–CDDP–DOX were collected by centrifugation. The concentrations of DOX in the supernatants were measured using ultraviolet and visible spectrophotometry, and the DOX loading contents were assessed by subtraction.

In vitro release of CDDP and DOX from NaGdF₄–CDDP–DOX composite

The releases of CDDP and DOX from the NaGdF₄–CDDP–DOX composite were evaluated using PBS buffer with various pH values (7.4 and 5.5). Typically, 1 mg of NaGdF₄–CDDP–DOX composite was dispersed in 1 mL of PBS buffer, and the mixture containing Eppendorf tubes were shaken in the dark at 37 °C for various time periods. After 5 min centrifugation, the supernatants were collected. The amounts of released CDDP and DOX were detected using inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry and ultraviolet and visible spectrophotometry, respectively.

Cellular uptake of NaGdF₄–CDDP, NaGdF₄–DOX and NaGdF₄–CDDP–DOX

The cellular uptake of the NaGdF₄–CDDP composite was monitored by detecting the upconversion luminescence of the NaGdF₄:Yb³⁺/Er³⁺ nanoparticles. Briefly, HeLa cells were planted on coverslips in a 6-well plate at a density of 2.0 × 10⁵ cells per well overnight for attachment. After being rinsed with PBS buffer, the cells were incubated in culture medium containing NaGdF₄–CDDP (500 μg mL⁻¹) for 24 h at 37 °C in 5% CO₂. Then, the coverslip was washed with PBS three times to remove residual nanoparticles, and the cells were fixed using a 4% paraformaldehyde solution for 10 min. After being washed with PBS buffer, the side of the coverslip that contained cells was turned
onto a glass slide containing glycerol. The upconversion luminescence imaging was performed using an optical microscope, with a CW NIR laser at $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 980$ nm as an additional excitation source. The cellular uptake process of NaGdF$_4$-DOX and NaGdF$_4$-CDDP-DOX was assessed using laser confocal fluorescence microscopy to detect the luminescence of DOX. A total of $1.0 \times 10^5$ HeLa cells were plated in a 35 mm Petri dish at 37 °C in 5% CO$_2$ overnight until attachment. Then the cells were washed with PBS buffer twice, and incubated with NaGdF$_4$-DOX and NaGdF$_4$-CDDP-DOX (125 µg mL$^{-1}$) for various time periods. After the excess nanoparticles were washed off with PBS, the cells were observed using a laser confocal fluorescence microscope at the excitation wavelength of 488 nm.

**In vitro cytotoxicity assay**

The cytotoxicity of sodium polyacrylate, polyacrylate and acrylate, CDDP, DOX, NaGdF$_4$:Yb$^{3+}$/Er$^{3+}$ nanoparticles, NaGdF$_4$-CDDP, NaGdF$_4$:DOX and NaGdF$_4$:CDDP-DOX was measured using the 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay against HeLa cells. HeLa cells were seeded in 96-well plates at a density of $2.0 \times 10^4$ cells per well in culture medium at 37 °C in 5% CO$_2$ overnight until attachment. Then, fresh medium containing various quantities of determinants was added to the wells, and the cells were subsequently incubated for 24 or 48 h. Next, 20 µL of MTT (5 mg mL$^{-1}$) was added to the wells, and the mixtures were incubated for another 4 h. After the medium was extracted, 150 µL of DMSO was added to resolve formazan. The absorbance of formazan was monitored at 570 nm using an automatic enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay plate reader, and the cytotoxicity was expressed as the percentage of cell viability based on the data of four replicate tests.

**In vivo tumor inhibition**

ICR mice were obtained from the Model Animal Research Centre of Nanjing University and maintained in animal facilities of Jiangsu Province Hospital of Chinese Medicine. The mice were allowed free access to rodent feed and tap water, under the Chinese Guidance of Humane Use of Laboratory Animals. The mice were sacrificed by cervical vertebrae dislocation after anesthesia (pentobarbital sodium, 40 mg kg$^{-1}$), and tumors were collected. The protocol was approved by the Committee on the Ethics of Animal Experiments of Jiangsu Province Hospital of Chinese Medicine ( Permit number: 2017-DWLL-8). H22 tumor cells were dispersed in normal saline and inoculated subcutaneously into ICR mice at the armpit of the left forelimb at a density of $1.0 \times 10^7$ cells per mouse. On the fifth day after inoculation, when the tumor volume ($V = a \times b^2/2$, where $a$ and $b$ are the longest and shortest diameter of the tumor, respectively) reached 80 to 100 mm$^3$, the mice were randomly allocated into 8 groups with 5 mice in each group. As bovine serum albumin (BSA) could contribute to the dispersion of drug loaded nanoparticles and is compatible with mice, all the determinants were dispersed in a 1.5% BSA solution. Tumor bearing mice were injected via the tail vein on the 1st and 7th day with BSA solution, NaGdF$_4$:Yb$^{3+}$/Er$^{3+}$ nanoparticles, CDDP (1.1 mg kg$^{-1}$), DOX (0.75 mg kg$^{-1}$), CDDP (1.1 mg kg$^{-1}$) + DOX (0.75 mg kg$^{-1}$), NaGdF$_4$:CDDP (1.1 mg kg$^{-1}$ on CDDP basis), NaGdF$_4$:DOX (0.75 mg kg$^{-1}$ on DOX basis) and NaGdF$_4$:CDDP-DOX (1.1 mg kg$^{-1}$ on CDDP basis, 0.75 mg kg$^{-1}$ on DOX basis). For the tumor volume calculations, the two dimensions of the tumors were measured using Vernier caliper every other day for 13 days. After the mice were sacrificed on the 13th day, the tumors were peeled off and weighted to assess the tumor inhibition efficiency.

**Characterization**

X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) of the as-prepared NaGdF$_4$:Yb$^{3+}$/Er$^{3+}$ nanoparticles was performed on a Bruker D8 Advanced instrument with Cu Kz radiation ($\lambda = 0.15406$ nm). The morphology of the products was assessed using JEM-1011 transmission electron microscopy (TEM) with an acceleration voltage of 100 kV. Ligand on the surface of the products was analyzed using a Bruker IR vector22 infrared spectrometer. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was carried out on a PerKinElmer Pyris 1 thermo-analytical instrument. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was performed using Thermo Scientific K-Alpha equipment. The concentration of Pt in the supernatant was detected by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) using a standard Plasma-Quad II instrument. UV-visible and violet spectrophotometry (UV) performed on a Bruker UV-3600 was used to measure the concentration of DOX in the supernatant. Upconversion luminescent spectra were acquired on a Zolix luminescence spectrometer equipped with a 980 nm laser device. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) was performed using a Bruker PharmaScan 7.0T small animal MRI scanner. The cellular uptake of NaGdF$_4$:CDDP was observed using a Zeiss primo star optical microscope equipped with 980 nm CW laser, and images were taken with a Samsung pad. The uptake process of NaGdF$_4$:DOX and NaGdF$_4$:CDDP-DOX was observed using a Zeiss LSM 710 confocal laser fluorescence microscope at the excitation wavelength of 488 nm.

**Results and discussion**

The crystal structures of the as-obtained NaGdF$_4$:Yb$^{3+}$/Er$^{3+}$ nanoparticles were influenced by the dosage of PAAs. As shown in Fig. 1a, when the dosage of PAAs was 100 mg, the products were primarily cubic phase NaGdF$_4$ (JCPDS no. 27-0697) with

![Fig. 1](image-url) XRD patterns of products prepared in the presence of various amount of PAAs (a) 100 mg, (b) 200 mg, (c) 300 mg.
The product was a mixture of cubic and hexagonal phase NaGdF$_4$ (Fig. 1b) when the dosage of PAAs was 200 mg. When the dosage of PAAs was raised to 300 mg, the product was primarily hexagonal phase NaGdF$_4$ (Fig. 1c). As reported previously,\textsuperscript{29,32} hexagonal phase NaGdF$_4$ was more commonly achieved when the crystallization process was slow. Raising the dosage of PAAs decreased the effective concentration of Gd$^{3+}$ cations, and further reduced the crystallization velocity of NaGdF$_4$. Therefore, the proportion of hexagonal phase NaGdF$_4$ increased when more NaOH was used.

The morphology of the as-prepared NaGdF$_4$:Yb$^{3+}$/Er$^{3+}$ nanoparticles was observed using TEM. The NaGdF$_4$:Yb$^{3+}$/Er$^{3+}$ nanoparticles were small particles with a diameter less than 20 nm when the dosage of PAAs was 100 mg (Fig. 2a). Fig. 2b shows that when the amount of PAAs was increased to 200 mg, hexagonal prisms and nanospheres with an agglomerated structure were obtained. According to the XRD patterns, these prisms and nanospheres were hexagonal phase NaGdF$_4$:Yb$^{3+}$/Er$^{3+}$. When the dosage of PAAs was increased to 300 mg, the number of nanoparticles with a size of 20 nm decreased and the product were primarily nanospheres with a cluster structure (Fig. 2c).

IR spectra were used to identify the capping ligands on the surface of these NaGdF$_4$:Yb$^{3+}$/Er$^{3+}$ nanoparticles (Fig. 3). The peaks at 2953 and 2880 cm$^{-1}$ correspond to the asymmetric and symmetric stretching vibrations of the C–H bond in PAAs. The peaks at 1575 and 1458 cm$^{-1}$ represent the asymmetric and symmetric stretching vibrations of bound carboxyl groups respectively, suggesting the binding of carboxyl to rare earth ions on the surface of these NaGdF$_4$:Yb$^{3+}$/Er$^{3+}$ nanoparticles.\textsuperscript{29,33} The strong peak at 1728 cm$^{-1}$ is assigned to the C=O asymmetric vibration of the free carboxyl groups of PAAs which improve the hydrophilicity of the as-obtained NaGdF$_4$:Yb$^{3+}$/Er$^{3+}$ nanoparticles.\textsuperscript{29,34}

Thermogravimetric analysis was used to assess the amount of capped ligands on the surface of the as-prepared NaGdF$_4$:Yb$^{3+}$/Er$^{3+}$ nanoparticles. Fig. 4 shows that all of the products lost weight at the temperature of 371.1 °C, indicating that the capped PAAs were oxidized. The degree of weight loss increased with increasing PAAs. However, the size of the particles was smaller and the specific surface area of the particles was larger when the dosage of PAAs was 200 mg, accordingly the particles could bind more PAAs. Thus, the weight loss of products obtained under the condition of 200 mg PAAs (Fig. 4b) was higher than that of nanoparticles obtained under the condition of 300 mg of PAAs (Fig. 4c).

Upconversion luminescence spectra were measured at room temperature (Fig. 5). When excited using a 980 nm CW laser, these as-prepared NaGdF$_4$:Yb$^{3+}$/Er$^{3+}$ nanoparticles emitted green lights. Emission peaks at $\sim$520, $\sim$538 and $\sim$652 nm correspond to the $^2H_{11/2}$/$^4I_{15/2}$, $^4S_{3/2}$/$^4I_{15/2}$ and $^4F_{9/2}$/$^4I_{15/2}$ transition in Er$^{3+}$, respectively.\textsuperscript{35} At the same concentration, the luminescence intensity of products prepared with differing amount of PAAs was variable. It has been reported that rare-earth doped hexagonal phase NaGdF$_4$ exhibits a preferable luminescence property.\textsuperscript{36,37} In this study, the luminescence intensity decreased as the content of hexagonal phase NaGdF$_4$:Yb$^{3+}$/Er$^{3+}$ nanoparticles increased, primarily because the agglomerated structure of these hexagonal phase NaGdF$_4$:Yb$^{3+}$/Er$^{3+}$ nanoparticles could lead to larger surface defect, which results in luminescence quenching.\textsuperscript{38}

More amount of free carboxyl groups on the surface of the particles enable nanoparticles to bind more molecules, such as anticancer drugs, and stronger luminescence intensity...
enhances the cell imaging of drug-loaded nanoparticles. Thus, NaGdF₄:Yb³⁺/Er³⁺ nanoparticles synthesized with 200 mg PAAs were chosen to establish the drug delivery system.

XPS was used to study the loading process of CDDP in NaGdF₄:Yb³⁺/Er³⁺ nanoparticles. As shown in Fig. 6, the binding energy of Gd 4d, Er 4d, Yb 4d, F 1s and Na 1s in NaGdF₄:Yb³⁺/Er³⁺ was located at 142.9, 172.8, 187.4, 685.1, and 1072.2 eV respectively. The photoelectron peaks at 284.9 and 532.3 eV correspond to C 1s and O 1s respectively. The peak at 74 eV is assigned to Pt –O bond. The content of residual CDDP in the supernatant was compared with the NaGdF₄ using the subtraction method. The mass percentage of CDDP in the supernatant a

Fig. 5 Upconversion luminescence spectra of products prepared in the presence of various amount of PAAs.

shows the loading amounts of NaGdF₄:Yb³⁺/Er³⁺ nanoparticles and the NaGdF₄-CDDP composite with various concentrations of DOX in PBS buffer (pH = 8.0), illustrating that the loading capacity of DOX is almost equal, and therefore, the loading of CDDP has no influence on the carrying of DOX.

In ddH₂O, −NH₂ in DOX-HCl was protonated, and could bind –COO⁻ in PAAs via electrostatic interactions. However, due to the weak electrolyte property of PAAs, −COO⁻ would hydrolyze into –COOH, which could weaken the electrostatic interaction between −NH₃⁺ and −COO⁻. In addition, because CDDP was bound in the form of Pt–O bond, occupying –COO⁻ in PAAs would also decrease the loading amount of DOX. In PBS buffer (pH = 8.0), −NH₃⁺ in DOX-HCl lost H⁺ and bound to −COO⁻ in PAAs through hydrogen-bond interaction. Moreover, the ionization of −COO⁻ contributed to the swelling of PAAs, which exposed more −COO⁻, resulting in an increased DOX loading amount. The subtraction method was used to calculate the DOX loading capacity and the mass percentages of DOX in the NaGdF₄–DOX composite and the NaGdF₄–CDDP–DOX composite were 8.9% and 7.8%, respectively. ICP-MS was introduced to detect CDDP in the supernatant after the NaGdF₄–CDDP was loaded with DOX, and only 1.5% of the loaded CDDP could be detected, indicating that the loading of DOX scarcely influenced the loading amount of CDDP.

![Fig. 6 XPS spectrum of NaGdF₄–CDDP. Inset: enlarged spectrum of Pt4f.](image)

![Fig. 7 UV-Vis absorbance spectra of DOX in the supernatant before and after loading onto NaGdF₄:Yb³⁺/Er³⁺ nanoparticles (a) before loading, (b) after loading onto NaGdF₄–CDDP in ddH₂O, (c) after loading onto NaGdF₄–CDDP in PBS buffer (pH = 8.0), (d) after loading onto NaGdF₄:Yb³⁺/Er³⁺ in ddH₂O, (e) after loading onto NaGdF₄:Yb³⁺/Er³⁺ in PBS buffer (pH = 8.0).](image)

![Fig. 8 Loading amount of DOX onto NaGdF₄:Yb³⁺/Er³⁺ and NaGdF₄–CDDP versus concentration of DOX.](image)
An acidic environment resembles the microenvironment of tumor cells, and the pH value of the physiological environment is approximately 7.3 to 7.4. Accordingly, PBS buffer with a pH value of 5.5 and 7.4 was used to simulate the environment of tumor cells and normal physiology, respectively. Fig. 9 shows the CDDP and DOX release from the NaGdF\textsubscript{4}–CDDP–DOX composite (mass concentration of DOX is 7.8%) in PBS buffer with pH = 5.5 and pH = 7.4. Similar to a previous study, the release of CDDP from the NaGdF\textsubscript{4}–CDDP–DOX composite in PBS buffer with a pH of 5.5 was much faster compared with PBS buffer with a pH of 7.4, indicating that the acidic environment enhanced the release of CDDP. Similarly, for DOX, the release rate was higher in PBS with a pH of 5.5 than in PBS with a pH of 7.4. In the case of pH 5.5, H\textsuperscript{+} in PBS buffer could disrupt hydrogen-bond interactions between –NH\textsubscript{2} in DOX and –COO\textsuperscript{−} in PAA\textsubscript{s}, inducing the quick release of DOX. The hydrogen-bond interaction was partly weakened when the pH value of PBS buffer was 7.4, so a small amount of DOX could release at first. However, decreasing the pH value would enhance the protonation of –NH\textsubscript{2}, which could strengthen the static electricity with –COO\textsuperscript{−}, inducing further binding of DOX as the time extended. In short, an acidic environment enhances the release of anti-cancer drugs, making the NaGdF\textsubscript{4}–CDDP–DOX composite suitable for drug delivery.

HeLa cells were used to monitor the cellular uptake process of the NaGdF\textsubscript{4}–CDDP composite by detecting the upconversion luminescence of NaGdF\textsubscript{4}:Yb\textsuperscript{3+}/Er\textsuperscript{3+}. HeLa cells incubated with the composite were examined with a microscope equipped with a 980 nm CW laser. After incubation with the NaGdF\textsubscript{4}–CDDP composite for 24 h, compared with the bright field image (Fig. 10a), cells in the upconversion luminescence image (Fig. 10b) exhibited green luminescence, revealing that the NaGdF\textsubscript{4}–CDDP composite could be internalized by HeLa cells.

Upon excitation at 488 nm, DOX emitted intense red luminescence. Accordingly, the cellular uptake processes of DOX loaded NaGdF\textsubscript{4}:Yb\textsuperscript{3+}/Er\textsuperscript{3+} nanoparticles and the NaGdF\textsubscript{4}–CDDP composite could be monitored via observing the luminescence of DOX. Fig. 11 and 12 show the laser confocal fluorescence microscope images of HeLa cells incubated with NaGdF\textsubscript{4}–DOX and the NaGdF\textsubscript{4}–CDDP–DOX composite for various durations. The confocal laser scanning microscope (CLSM) images on the left shows that after incubation for 1 h, red light could be observed in HeLa cells, indicating the uptake of NaGdF\textsubscript{4}–DOX and the NaGdF\textsubscript{4}–CDDP–DOX composite. When the incubation time was prolonged to 2 h, the luminescence intensity enhanced, which indicating that more of the drug loaded nanoparticles entered the cells. Merged images combining the laser confocal fluorescence microscope images and bright field
images revealed that red light was primarily observed in the cytoplasm. When the incubation time was increased to 6 h, intense red light could be detected both in the cytoplasm and the cell nucleus, revealing that DOX released from drug loaded nanoparticles and entered cell nucleus. Therefore, NaGdF₄–DOX and the NaGdF₄–CDDP–DOX composite could be internalized into cells in a time-dependent manner.

MTT assays were used to estimate the cytotoxicity of CDDP, DOX and a combination of CDDP and DOX. As shown in Fig. 13a, the half-maximal inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀) of CDDP against HeLa cells was 7.30 μM and 2.46 μM after 24 h and 48 h of incubation respectively, and the IC₅₀ value of DOX against HeLa cells was 0.42 μM and 0.09 μM (Fig. 13b) when the incubation time was 24 h and 48 h respectively. When the mass percentage of DOX in the NaGdF₄–CDDP–DOX composite was 7.8%, the molar ratio of loaded CDDP and DOX was 2.86 : 1. This was the ratio chosen to assess the antitumor capacity of a combination of CDDP and DOX. When CDDP and DOX were used simultaneously, the IC₅₀ values were 0.27 μM and 0.05 μM (DOX equivalent, Fig. 13c), which were less than the IC₅₀ value for each component alone, indicating that CDDP also had an inhibiting effect.

\[
CI = \frac{D_1}{D_{m1}} + \frac{D_2}{D_{m2}}
\]

(1)

The Combination Index (CI) and equivalent diagram analysis method were used to study the mechanism of action when these two drugs were used simultaneously.⁴⁶ The CI can be calculated using formula (1), in which \(D_1\) and \(D_2\) are the dosages of drug 1 and drug 2 in combination when producing some specified effect (such as half-maximal inhibitory), and \(D_{m1}\) and \(D_{m2}\) are the dosages that have the same antitumor effect of the drugs when they are used alone. CI values higher than, equal to and lower than 1 reveal antagonism, additivity and synergism, respectively. As shown in Fig. 13d, the CI values under different IC₅₀ conditions are lower than 1, indicating the synergism of CDDP and DOX when they are combined. Therefore, combining CDDP and DOX effectively enhances their antitumor capacity.

NaGdF₄:Yb³⁺/Er³⁺ nanoparticles exhibited high biocompatibility.⁴⁷,⁴⁸ As shown in Fig. S1,† sodium polyacrylate, polyacrylate and acrylate are almost no toxic towards Hela cells. More than 75% of the cells survived even a 48 h incubation with a high concentration of NaGdF₄:Yb³⁺/Er³⁺ nanoparticles (2000 μg mL⁻¹) for 48 h (Fig. 14), suggesting the feasibility of these nanoparticles for drug delivery. NaGdF₄–CDDP and NaGdF₄–DOX exhibited obvious cytotoxicity when compared with NaGdF₄:Yb³⁺/Er³⁺ nanoparticles, revealing the establishment of a drug delivery system. The IC₅₀ value of NaGdF₄–CDDP against HeLa cells was 131 μM and 27 μM after 24 h and 48 h of incubation respectively (Fig. 15a), and that of the NaGdF₄–DOX composite against HeLa cells was 1.18 μM and 0.31 μM after 24 h and 48 h of incubation, respectively (Fig. 15b). The IC₅₀ values of the as-obtained composites were larger than those of

Fig. 14 Cytotoxicity of NaGdF₄:Yb³⁺/Er³⁺ nanoparticles against HeLa cancer cell lines at 24 and 48 h.
CDDP and DOX alone, likely because the composites required time to enter the cells, and they released drugs slowly due to the favorable releasing profile in the acidic environment of cancer cells. In addition, the cytotoxicity of NaGdF4–CDDP was much less than that of CDDP, primarily because CDDP released very slowly due to the strength of the Pt–O bond. Because the cytotoxicity of NaGdF4–DOX was more pronounced than that of NaGdF4–CDDP, the NaGdF4–CDDP–DOX composite carrying less DOX (50 μL of DOX $HCl$ solution was used in preparation of NaGdF4–CDDP–DOX, and the mass percentage of DOX in NaGdF4–CDDP–DOX composite was approximately 1.7%) was chosen to study the antitumor capacity of the two-drug-loaded composite against HeLa cells. As shown in Fig. 15c, the IC50 value of the NaGdF4–CDDP–DOX composite was 0.96 μM and 0.27 μM (DOX equivalent) after 24 h and 48 h of incubation, respectively, which were less than that of the nanoparticles loading DOX only. Compared with NaGdF4–CDDP and NaGdF4–DOX, the NaGdF4–CDDP–DOX composite exhibited a stronger anticancer effect, indicating the successful construction of a two-drug-loaded delivery system.

NaGdF4:Yb3+/Er3+ nanoparticles could be used as carriers for drug delivery in vivo because they are highly biocompatible. All of the drugs were injected via the tail vein on the first and seventh day, and the tumor volumes from different groups varied as time went on, as shown in Fig. 16. The CDDP, DOX and CDDP + DOX groups showed superb antitumor capacities, and the combination of CDDP and DOX exhibited the best antitumor effect. Similar to unloaded drugs, the group that received NaGdF4–CDDP–DOX presented a better inhibitory effect when compared with NaGdF4–CDDP and NaGdF4–DOX.

The tumor weights directly reflected the inhibitory effect of the various treatments. After the tumors were peeled off and weighed on the last day (Fig. 17), consistent with the tumor volumes, CDDP + DOX and NaGdF4–CDDP–DOX showed the strongest antitumor effect. In addition, drug-loaded nanoparticles showed a similar inhibitory capacity similar to the corresponding drugs, revealing the effectiveness of drug delivery systems.

$$\frac{1}{T} = \frac{1}{T_1} + r \times [\text{Gd}]$$

The magnetic resonance relaxivity of the NaGdF4:Yb3+/Er3+ nanoparticles (obtained with 200 mg PAAs) was measured on a 7.0 T small animal MRI scanner. The relaxivity value of $r$ can be calculated using formula (2), in which $T$ and $[\text{Gd}]$ are relaxation time and concentration of Gd, respectively. Fig. 18 shows the relaxivity curves of the NaGdF4:Yb3+/Er3+ nanoparticles, and the relaxivity values of $r_1$ and $r_2$ were 0.95 mM$^{-1}$ S$^{-1}$ and 113.04 mM$^{-1}$ S$^{-1}$, respectively. The ratio of the transversal ($r_2$) to longitudinal ($r_1$) magnetic resonance relaxivity was 119, which ranked these NaGdF4:Yb3+/Er3+ nanoparticles among the high-performance $T_2$ contrast agents.

Fig. 19 shows the $T_2$ weighted MR images of as-prepared NaGdF4:Yb3+/Er3+ nanoparticles at various concentrations, and the brightness of the image decreased as the concentration of Gd$^{3+}$ increased, indicating that the as-prepared nanoparticles were suitable $T_2$ contrast agents.

![Fig. 15](image1.png) Cytotoxicity of NaGdF4–CDDP (a), cytotoxicity of NaGdF4–DOX and NaGdF4–CDDP–DOX at 24 (b) and 48 h (c), respectively.

![Fig. 16](image2.png) Volume of tumors achieved from H22 tumor-bearing mice after indicated treatment.

![Fig. 17](image3.png) Tumor weights of each indicated group at the last day of experiment.
bonding and hydrogen bonding, respectively. DOX could also be loaded onto NaGdF4:Yb3+/Er3+ nanoparticles through Pt–O bonding and hydrogen bonding, respectively. DOX could also be loaded onto NaGdF4–CDDP via hydrogen bonding, and the loading capacity of CDDP and DOX was not influenced by each other. Anticancer assays in vitro and in vivo indicated that CDDP and DOX loaded nanoparticles exhibited a higher antitumor capacity when compared with single-drug-loaded nanoparticles. In addition, the paramagnetism of Gd3+ made the NaGdF4:Yb3+/Er3+ nanoparticles suitable as T2-weighted MRI agents.

Conclusion
NaGdF4:Yb3+/Er3+ nanoparticles coated with carboxyl groups were prepared using a one-step solvothermal method, and the structure, morphology and luminescence influenced by the dosage of PAAs was also studied. CDDP and DOX could be loaded onto NaGdF4:Yb3+/Er3+ nanoparticles through Pt–O bonding and hydrogen bonding, respectively. DOX could also be loaded onto NaGdF4–CDDP via hydrogen bonding, and the loading capacity of CDDP and DOX was not influenced by each other. Anticancer assays in vitro and in vivo indicated that CDDP and DOX loaded nanoparticles exhibited a higher antitumor capacity when compared with single-drug-loaded nanoparticles. In addition, the paramagnetism of Gd3+ made the NaGdF4:Yb3+/Er3+ nanoparticles suitable as T2-weighted MRI agents.
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