# Journal of Materials Chemistry A

## PAPER

Check for updates

Cite this: J. Mater. Chem. A, 2024, 12, 24694

Received 14th April 2024 Accepted 18th July 2024

DOI: 10.1039/d4ta02570a

rsc.li/materials-a

## 1. Introduction

High-efficiency devices for renewable and sustainable energy conversion are essential to reduce dependence on conventional fossil fuels and address global environmental and resource challenges.<sup>1–5</sup> Hydrogen, a clean fuel and energy carrier, is currently enjoying unprecedented political favour and business momentum, with a rapidly increasing number of policies around the world and being at the core of the circular economy.<sup>6</sup> Addressing the challenges of hydrogen implementation as an alternative fuel could significantly mitigate greenhouse gas emissions and have a positive environmental impact.<sup>7</sup>

# Hydrogen absorption boosting in mildly annealed bulk MoS<sub>2</sub>†

Jairo Obando-Guevara, <sup>[b]</sup> \*<sup>ab</sup> Álvaro González-García,<sup>a</sup> Marcin Rosmus, <sup>[b]</sup> <sup>c</sup> Natalia Olszowska, <sup>[b]</sup> <sup>c</sup> César González, <sup>ad</sup> Guillermo Morón-Navarrete, <sup>[b]</sup> <sup>a</sup> Jun Fujii, <sup>[b]</sup> <sup>e</sup> Antonio Tejeda, <sup>[b]</sup> <sup>b</sup> Miguel Ángel González-Barrio <sup>[b]</sup> <sup>a</sup> and Arantzazu Mascaraque <sup>[b]</sup> <sup>a</sup>

The basal plane of MoS<sub>2</sub> has been considered a potential source of active catalytic sites in hydrogen absorption. Sulfur vacancies can activate the inert basal plane of MoS<sub>2</sub>; however, achieving sufficient catalytic efficiency requires a high defect concentration of about 12%. We investigated the effect of defects on the hydrogen adsorption on the basal plane of MoS<sub>2</sub> using angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) and density functional theory (DFT) calculations. Mild annealing in terms of temperature and time effectively introduces single sulfur vacancy (V<sub>S</sub>) defects, as observed from the electronic structural changes that are in excellent agreement with DFT calculations for a V<sub>S</sub> concentration of ~4%. Subsequent exposure to molecular hydrogen showed that the higher hydrogen exposure restores the electronic structure to a state similar to that of pristine MoS<sub>2</sub>. These results suggest that the controlled introduction of V<sub>S</sub> defects *via* annealing is a promising strategy for enhancing hydrogen adsorption on MoS<sub>2</sub>, paving the way for its potential use in future catalytic applications.

Electrochemical water splitting (WS) stands out as one of the most eco-friendly approaches for hydrogen production.<sup>8-10</sup> This process involves two half-reactions: hydrogen evolution reaction (HER:  $2H^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow H_2$ ) occurring at the cathode and oxygen evolution reaction (OER:  $2H_2O \rightarrow O_2 + 4H^+ + 4e^-$ ) at the anode.<sup>11,12</sup> Catalysts play a crucial role in enhancing the efficiency of electrochemical devices by speeding up reactions and reducing the required energy input.<sup>8-10</sup> Although platinum group metals (PGMs) are often used as catalysts due to their exceptional performance in a wide range of applications, there is a strong interest in finding alternative catalysts for economic, environmental, and technological reasons.<sup>13,14</sup>

MoS<sub>2</sub> has emerged as a promising alternative for PGMs in the HER, driven by various advantageous features.<sup>15,16</sup> Its catalytic activity can be modulated by altering its structure through diverse approaches, such as layer number manipulation,<sup>17</sup> Moedge exposure,<sup>18–20</sup> nanostructuring,<sup>21–24</sup> phase engineering,<sup>25</sup> doping with metal-<sup>26,27</sup> and non-metal atoms<sup>28,29</sup> or defect introduction,<sup>30</sup> thereby rendering it adaptable to specific requirements. Furthermore, MoS<sub>2</sub> demonstrates stability under a broad range of electrochemical conditions, retaining its catalytic performance even in harsh environments.<sup>31–33</sup>

Defects play a pivotal role in  $MoS_2$ , significantly impacting its physicochemical properties and offering a rich test field for tailoring and introducing new functionalities. Notably, single sulfur vacancies (V<sub>S</sub>) at the basal plane have been identified as catalytic centres for the adsorption of hydrogen intermediates.<sup>34-37</sup>

C ROYAL SOCIETY OF CHEMISTRY

> View Article Online View Journal | View Issue

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Dto. de Física de Materiales, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, 28040 Madrid, Spain. E-mail: jairoban@ucm.es

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Laboratoire de Physique des Solides, CNRS, Université Paris-Saclay, 91405 Orsay, France

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>National Synchrotron Radiation Centre SOLARIS, Jagiellonian University, Czerwone Maki 98, PL-30392 Kraków, Poland

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>Instituto de Magnetismo Aplicado UCM-ADIF, E-28232 Las Rozas de Madrid, Spain <sup>e</sup>Istituto Officina dei Materiali (IOM)-CNR, Laboratorio TASC, I-34149 Trieste, Italy † Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Additional figures and ARPES data to support the results in the main text: comparison of the valence band of an undoped and a Nb-doped MoS<sub>2</sub> sample; comparison of the core-levels of an undoped and a Nb-doped MoS<sub>2</sub> sample; DFT band structure calculations of a pristine Mo<sub>2</sub> single-layer and a single-layer with H atoms adsorbed in the V<sub>s</sub>; details of the formation of a Fermi step after hydrogenation; comparison between the hydrogenation at high and low pressures. See DOI: https://doi.org/10.1039/d4ta02570a

V<sub>s</sub> is the most prevalent defect in MoS<sub>2</sub> since its enthalpy of formation is the lowest compared to that of other defects.<sup>38-40</sup> This indicates that V<sub>s</sub> has a lower tendency to combine. Additionally, Mo and S antisites are rarely observed due to their higher enthalpies of formation.41 However, achieving good catalytic efficiency requires a high defect concentration of approximately 12% under normal conditions.34,35 The approaches described above for adjusting the catalytic activity of MoS<sub>2</sub> vary in complexity. Among these, thermal annealing is recognized as the most straightforward for generating Vs. Thermal annealing, yielding S sublimation in the basal plane, has been previously reported for singlelayered<sup>42-45</sup> and bulk<sup>46</sup> MoS<sub>2</sub>. The threshold temperature at which the defect-related effects are observed in a single layer is 200 °C. While for the bulk case, a threshold temperature is less known. No signs of defect-related effects are observed below 400 °C,42 whereas surface defects have been observed microscopically at 650 °C.46 These studies consistently employ temperatures below 900 °C, which is the point at which MoS<sub>2</sub> degradation begins.<sup>47</sup> It is important to consider that, the annealing treatment in previous works typically maintain the target temperature for periods ranging from 30 (ref. 43 and 45) to 120 (ref. 44) minutes, after which defect-related effects become evident.

In this study, we investigated the role of S defects and the underlying physical mechanisms driving the enhancement of hydrogen adsorption on the  $MoS_2$  basal plane. To this end, we employed mild annealing, *i.e.* at a relatively low temperature and of short duration, under ultra-high vacuum as a simple and reliable method to create S defects in the basal plane. We monitored the appearance of novel spectral signatures in the band structure upon defect creation and further hydrogen exposure using angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES). The comparison of the experimental results with density functional theory (DFT) band structure calculations for pristine and V<sub>S</sub>-defective  $MoS_2$  before and after hydrogenation allows us to identify which electronic states are involved in hydrogen absorption boosting.

### 2. Methods

#### 2.1. Sample preparation

The samples used were synthetic bulk-like p-doped 2H-MoS<sub>2</sub> (Nb concentration  $\sim 2 \times 10^{17}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>) crystals from 2DSemiconductors,  $3 \times 3 \text{ mm}^2$  approx. in the lateral size. Structural and morphological information, including photoluminescence, Raman spectroscopy, X-ray diffraction and transmission electron microscopy, is available elsewhere.48 Three samples were exfoliated in an ultrahigh vacuum (UHV) chamber at a pressure of  $5 \times 10^{-8}$  mbar, which produces flat surfaces with large terrace widths. Following exfoliation, the samples were transferred to a preparation chamber (base pressure  $\sim 2 \times 10^{-10}$  mbar). Any possible surface adsorbates were removed by a cleaning method that involved annealing with a resistive heater at 150 °C for 15 min (temperature was monitored with a thermocouple attached to the back of a sample holder). Controlled annealing was performed by electron bombardment (temperature was monitored with a calibrated pyrometer aimed at the sample surface centre). The increasing temperature ramp lasted  $\Delta t = 10$  min and the final temperature

preparation was kept constant for 5 min. The hydrogenation treatment involved exposing the samples to pure H<sub>2</sub> (purity better than 99.999%). For this purpose, a preparation chamber operating at room temperature (RT) and a base pressure below  $5 \times 10^{-10}$  mbar was filled with hydrogen up to a target partial pressure. Total exposures were achieved by maintaining a constant H<sub>2</sub> partial pressure while varying the exposure time. The exposures are expressed in Langmuir units (L).

#### 2.2. ARPES

ARPES measurements were performed at the URANOS beamline of the SOLARIS National Synchrotron Radiation Centre (Krakow, Poland) and the APE-LE beamline at ELETTRA Sincrotrone (Trieste, Italy). All spectra were measured using light linearly polarised in the horizontal plane with a photon energy of  $h\nu =$ 70 eV and at a temperature of 110 K. The maximum beamline spot size was 65 × 130 µm<sup>2</sup> (vertical and horizontal, respectively). Photoelectrons were collected using a Scienta Omicron DA30-L electron spectrometer with energy and angle resolutions better than 16 meV and 0.1°, respectively. The Fermi level was determined by measuring a poly-crystalline Au mounted in electrical contact with the sample. As MoS<sub>2</sub> is semiconducting, we employed the standard approach to give any energy reference or displacement with respect to the valence band maximum (VBM) (see Fig. S1c† for the determination of the VBM).

#### 2.3. DFT calculations

DFT calculations were performed using the plane-wave Quantum ESPRESSO (QE) package.49 We used the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) developed by Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) for the exchange and correlation functional<sup>50</sup> as well as the projector-augmented wave (PAW) pseudo-potentials.<sup>51</sup> The cut-off used for the plane waves was 49 Ry. The calculations converged until the difference in the energy (force) was less than 5 imes 10 $^{-5}$  Ry (4 imes 10 $^{-4}$  Ry per bohr radius). The pristine band structure was calculated before simulating the Vs inclusion and hydrogen exposure. The pristine monolayer structure consisted of a S-Mo-S trilayer (a MoS<sub>2</sub> single-layer) with  $1 \times 1$  periodicity. We included a vacuum of 15 Å to reduce the interaction between neighbouring layers. The twodimensional first Brillouin zone (1BZ) k-mesh has a  $12 \times 12$ size. The lattice parameter obtained was 3.167 Å, leading to a band structure with a direct band gap of 1.8 eV at the K point. To simulate the V<sub>s</sub> defects, we expanded the periodicity of the pristine structure to a 5  $\times$  5 supercell by removing one atom from the cell. This situation corresponds to a 4%  $V_{\rm S}$  concentration. Once we had created the vacancy, we introduced H<sub>2</sub> molecules around. The calculations with the larger size used 16 k-points in the 1BZ. With the relaxed structures, we calculate the corresponding band structure of the 5  $\times$  5 supercell using now 91 k-points along the M-G-K direction. To compare the calculations with the experimental results, we converted the supercell band structure into unfolded bands in the  $1 \times 1$  unit cell with the extensively used unfolding methodology explained before52 and implemented in the unfold-x code, suitable for the QE package.



Fig. 1 (a) Side and top view of the 2H-MoS<sub>2</sub> crystalline structure. Mo atoms (blue) and S atoms (orange). (b) Hexagonal BZ (dark blue line) and its high-symmetry points. On top, we depict the projected BZ (red line). (c) Schematic representation of V<sub>S</sub> defects generation by thermal annealing. (d) ARPES intensity showing the MoS<sub>2</sub> band structure along the  $\overline{MTKM}$  high-symmetry points path. (e) Stack of constant energy maps at different binding energies. (f) DFT orbital-projected band structure calculated for a single-layer of MoS<sub>2</sub> along the  $M\Gamma KM$  path. The symbol size is proportional to the weight of the state.

#### 3. Results and discussion

Fig. 1a shows the 2H-MoS<sub>2</sub> structure consisting of a hexagonal unit cell of two rotated hexagonal S-Mo-S trilayers (space group symmetry is  $P6_3/mmc$ ). In this structure, the Mo atoms of one layer are covalently bonded to the neighbouring S atoms layers. S-Mo-S trilayers (hereafter referred to as single-layers) are bonded to each other by van der Waals (vdW) forces and stack to form the bulk. Fig. 1b presents the BZ and the projected BZ of the reciprocal space.

Panel d in Fig. 1 shows the valence band (VB) of a Nb-doped MoS<sub>2</sub> sample. The strong dispersion features two minima, about halfway between the M and  $\Gamma$  points and between the  $\Gamma$ and K points. Notably, Nb doping does not alter the electronic structure shape;<sup>53</sup> however, it induces a significant p-type rigid shift of  $\sim$ 0.63 eV in the electronic band structure, placing the VBM very close to the Fermi level (see Fig. S1 and S2<sup>†</sup>). Doping with Nb has a beneficial effect on hydrogen adsorption by bringing the d-band centre closer to the Fermi level,<sup>27,54</sup> thereby reducing the free energy of hydrogen adsorption on the basal plane.55 In addition, the increased conductivity mitigates problems, ensuring sample charge reliable ARPES measurements.

The electronic structure around the K point shows spin-orbit splitting (160 meV), indicating the high crystalline quality of the

samples<sup>56</sup> (see Fig. S1d<sup>†</sup>). Unlike single-layer MoS<sub>2</sub>, in bulk  $MoS_2$  the VBM is at the  $\Gamma$  point, leading to an indirect band gap of 1.2 eV (the  $\Gamma$  point is ~0.6 eV higher than the K point).<sup>56,57</sup> As a result, the constant energy contour plots shown in Fig. 1e present the characteristic circle and triangles centred at the  $\Gamma$ and K points, highlighting the crystalline symmetry.

To identify the orbital origin of the VB, in Fig. 1f we display the VB orbital projection calculated for a single-layer of MoS<sub>2</sub>. The top band is dominated by Mo d orbitals ranging from  $d_{z^2}$  at the  $\Gamma$  point to  $d_{x^2+y^2}/d_{xy}$  near the K point. In contrast, the two adjacent bands at higher binding energy mainly consist of S p orbitals. Knowing the orbital origin of the bands is crucial in elucidating the physical origin of the changes observed after annealing and hydrogenation.

The electronic structures upon annealing at 600 °C and 700 ° C are displayed in Fig. 2. We focus on the  $\Gamma K$  direction, as this is the region that exhibits the relevant changes. The quality of the ARPES maps demonstrates that annealing at these temperatures effectively preserves the overall crystallinity of the sample. This preservation is particularly evident at the K point, where the spin splitting remains well resolved.56

Although qualitative changes are apparent in the marked regions, a deeper insight into the effect of annealing is obtained by analyzing the energy dispersion curves (EDCs). Fig. 2d presents the EDCs centred at  $\Gamma$  of the annealed samples. A



**Fig. 2** ARPES intensity showing the evolution of the MoS<sub>2</sub> dispersion along the  $\overline{TK}$  direction after annealing: (a) pristine, (b) 600 °C and (c) 700 °C. The dashed lines and rectangles mark the regions from which EDCs are taken. (d) EDCs at *I*<sup>\*</sup> integrated over a  $\Delta k = 0.1 \text{ A}^{-1}$ . The EDCs of the annealed samples were shifted towards their respective pristine VBM for comparison. Inset: enlarged region near the VBM, all the EDCs are multiplied by 0.01.

distinctly sharp VB edge can be observed, indicating the crystallinity preservation following the annealing treatments. However, while the EDC of the pristine sample exhibits a steep drop, a gradual emergence of tail states can be noted for each of the annealed samples. According to the Anderson model, a disordered lattice structure leads to the formation of localised electronic states immediately above (below) the valence (conduction) band.<sup>58,59</sup> This tail states constitutes an exponentially decaying density of states that extends into the gap<sup>59,60</sup> that we can assign to the generation of defects.<sup>61,62</sup>

The formation of  $V_S$  implies a broken Mo–S bond, so regions of the reciprocal space with well-differentiated S p and Mo d orbital contributions are more likely to show modifications. Thus, the region prone to exhibit changes due to the presence of  $V_S$  is marked with a dashed red rectangle in Fig. 2. Fig. 3a and b shows a set of EDCs taken in this region corresponding to the 600 °C and 700 °C annealing samples. The set of EDCs of the pristine sample is added for comparison. It is seen that some shoulders appear, marked with ticks, as shown in the figure. The intensity of these shoulders is more prominent at an annealing temperature of 700 °C. The appearance of such features in this region indicates that the annealing does not affect the entire band structure equally.

To better understand the observed modifications after the annealing, Fig. 3c presents the orbital contribution of the band structure calculated for a single-layer of  $MoS_2$  with 4% of V<sub>S</sub>. To compare with the experimental results, we display in Fig. 3d a set of EDCs from a region analogous to the red dashed



Fig. 3 (a and b) Set of EDCs corresponding to the rectangle regions marked in Fig. 2 for annealing at 600 °C and 700 °C, respectively. The black curves correspond to the pristine sample. (c) DFT orbital-projected electronic band structure calculated for a single-layer of  $MoS_2$  with 4% of  $V_{S}$ . (d) Set of theoretical EDCs taken from the dashed rectangle regions in (c), corresponding to the sum of the Mo d and S p orbitals. The orbital projection of the central EDC is shown in (e).

rectangles. The figure shows the development of a shoulder in the same place as those observed in the experimental bands. Furthermore, Fig. 3e presents the orbital projection of the marked EDCs in the set. The shoulder formation appears in the S p orbital after the introduction of  $V_S$  and it is absent in the pristine sample band structure (the calculation of the pristine band structure is presented in Fig. S3a<sup>†</sup>). In addition, the Mo d orbital hardly shows any change. This remarkable agreement between the experimental and theoretical results indicates not only the presence of  $V_S$  in the annealed samples but also points to the S p orbital origin of the observed electronic structure changes. The amount of 4% of  $V_S$  has been selected for the



Fig. 4 (a) ARPES intensity showing the evolution of the MoS<sub>2</sub> dispersion along the  $\overline{TK}$  direction for the annealed sample at 700 °C after exposure to 1000 L of H<sub>2</sub> at a pressure of  $1 \times 10^{-4}$  mbar (relative high pressure). (b) EDC at  $I^{-}$  of the hydrogenated sample taken from (a). Inset: enlarged region near the VBM, all the EDCs are multiplied by 0.03. (c) Set of EDCs taken from the dashed rectangle regions in (a). (d) Set of theoretical EDCs taken from the dashed rectangle region in Fig. S3b.†

theory to obtain good agreement with the experimental results. Therefore, it suggests that the density of defects obtained after annealing should be around the same order. Moreover, no changes in the chemical composition of the sample could be detected by X-ray photoemission spectroscopy (XPS) for such a low defect concentration.<sup>30</sup>

After revealing how the creation of sulfur defects modifies the VB, we exposed the annealed samples to H<sub>2</sub> to evaluate their reactivity at two different pressures. Two samples annealed at 700 °C were dosed up to 1000 L at RT and pressures of  $1 \times 10^{-4}$ mbar (hereafter referred to as "high pressure") and  $1 \times 10^{-6}$ mbar (hereafter referred to as "low pressure"). Fig. 4a shows the ARPES intensity of the sample dosed at high pressure. A new spectral weight below 0 eV binding energy was observed. Fig. 4b presents an EDC at the  $\Gamma$  point, highlighting the appearance of a well-defined intensity step. The formation of this step at the Fermi energy across the entire BZ is shown in Fig. S4<sup>†</sup> and it induces a -0.17 eV downward n-type shift of the VB. The retrieval of the "pristine" electronic structure after hydrogenation, as it is seen in panel a, provides additional experimental evidence of hydrogen incorporation and bond formation into the  $V_{S}\!.$  This effect is consistent with the "V\_S passivation" observed in MoS<sub>2</sub>.<sup>63,64</sup> Unlike other previous works,<sup>63</sup> we have not detected any ambipolar VB or band replicas after hydrogenation.

Fig. 4c displays the set of EDCs corresponding to the rectangular region marked in panel a. Surprisingly, the shoulders due to the presence of  $V_s$  are suppressed and the overall band structure exhibits increased sharpness after hydrogenation. This experimental observation is in good agreement with the DFT calculation with H atoms adsorbed on a single layer of MoS<sub>2</sub> with 4% of  $V_s$  (see Fig. S3b†). Fig. 4d presents a set of theoretical EDCs taken from Fig. S3b.† A comparison between the theoretical and the experimental data reveals an identical suppression of the shoulder intensity maxima.

Calculations indicate that molecular hydrogen chemisorbs dissociatively near a V<sub>S</sub> only under high H<sub>2</sub> pressure at RT.<sup>65</sup> Molecular hydrogen physisorbs on the surface when there are no sulphur vacancies. Furthermore, the molecule remains in the same orientation over a  $V_s$  at 0 K temperature. Increasing the temperature leads to desorption of the isolated molecule. Including other H2 molecules facilitates its rotation and leads to molecular dissociation. In this situation, the H atoms remain linked to the Mo atoms. At high pressure, the energy barrier disappears, favouring the dissociative process (see Sec. III of ESI<sup>†</sup> for more details). Our experimental findings suggest that the high H<sub>2</sub> pressure yields a much larger dissociative chemisorption that can thus be explained within this theoretical scenario. For similar H<sub>2</sub> exposure but at lower pressure, minimal changes in the band structure were observed (see Fig. S5<sup>†</sup>).

The appearance of a Fermi step after hydrogenation, showing a transition from semiconducting to a metallic surface upon hydrogen adsorption has been reported in other semiconductors, including Ge(111),<sup>66</sup> Si-terminated n- and p-doped  $\beta$ -SiC(100),<sup>67</sup> SrTiO<sub>3</sub>(001),<sup>68</sup> and ZnO(1010).<sup>69</sup> Similar to these semiconductors, the formation of a metallic Fermi step and the n-type shift of the VB in MoS<sub>2</sub> indicates that the V<sub>S</sub> present in the annealed sample can adsorb and dissociate H<sub>2</sub>. V<sub>S</sub> is either in neutral or negative charge state and acts as an acceptor.<sup>38</sup> The adsorption of hydrogen involves the formation of Mo–H bonds, facilitating charge transfer from the adsorbate to the surface. The removal of sulfur causes an excess of electrons on the Mo atoms, which is compensated through hydrogen bonding, thereby enhancing overall surface stability.

The HER activity for S defect concentrations between 2 and 22% has been studied in previous works.<sup>34,35</sup> Our results indicate that a high concentration of  $V_S$  is not necessary to observe significant changes in the electronic structure. Conversely, our results are consistent with the observation that a high density of S defects leads to an inert basal plane for hydrogen adsorption, and consequently a low HER activity.<sup>34,35,70</sup> This comparison also highlights the dissociative capability of  $V_S$  defects compared to other types of defects.

## 4. Conclusions

We investigated the effect of sulfur vacancies upon hydrogen adsorption on the basal plane of Nb-doped MoS<sub>2</sub> bulk crystals exfoliated in UHV. Our work demonstrates that mild annealing

In situ exposure of the S-defective samples to  $H_2$  adsorption causes a charge transfer from the adsorbate to the surface and restores the electronic structure to resemble the pristine state. The hydrogenation at high-pressure results in the metallisation of the surface, evidenced by a well-defined Fermi-like step close to the VBM. On the other hand, hydrogen exposure at low pressure has a similar but less dramatic effect on the band structure, indicating that the partial hydrogen pressure is more relevant in the  $H_2$  absorption than  $V_S$  defect concentration. From theoretical calculations, we can conclude that high pressure accelerates the hydrogen adsorption kinetics, shifting the equilibrium towards more adsorbed molecules and explaining the observed differences between high and low  $H_2$  partial pressures (even when large doses were used in both cases).

Our results demonstrate that mild annealing can effectively enhance hydrogen adsorption. The significant dreceasing in the annealing time has positive implications for the reduction of energy resources needed to transform  $MoS_2$  into a catalytically active material. In this sense, the relatively "high" hydrogen pressure required to enhance dissociation and adsorption is an advantage in real catalytic reactions in industry, where the working conditions are far from UHV experiments.

## Data availability

The data that support the findings of this study are openly available at https://zenodo.org/doi/10.5281/zenodo.12659310.

## Author contributions

A. M. and M. A. G.-B. conceived, coordinated and designed the experiments, J. O.-G., Á. G.-G., A. M., M. A. G.-B., N. O., M. R. and J. F. conducted the experiments and collected the data, J. O.-G., Á. G.-G., A. T., A. M. and M. A. G.-B. analyzed the data. C. G. and G. M.-N. realized the theoretical calculations. All the authors contributed to the writing and provided critical revisions to the manuscript.

## Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

## Acknowledgements

M. Á. G.-B. and A. M. thank the Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación (project PID2020-117024GB-C43) and Comunidad de Madrid (project S2108-NMT4321) for financial support. A. T. acknowledges the support from the French Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR) contract ref. NT-09-618999 and DIMAG project from 2019 FLAG-ERA call. Á. G.-G. thanks Universidad Complutense de Madrid and Banco Santander (CT82/20–CT83/ 20) for financial support. N. O. and M. R. thank the Polish Ministry and Higher Education Project Support contract No. 1/ SOL/2021/2. C. G. and G. M.-N. acknowledge the Spanish Supercomputing Network (RES) for the computational resources provided at Altamira (IFCA) through the projects: FI-2023-2-0022 and FI-2023-1-0016 and the financial support by the Spanish Ministry of Research, Innovation and Universities, project: PID2021-123112OB-C21. We acknowledge SOLARIS Center and ELETTRA Sincrotrone for the access to the beamline URANOS and APE-LE, respectively.

## References

- 1 S. Yuan, X. Duan, J. Liu, Y. Ye, F. Lv, T. Liu, Q. Wang and X. Zhang, *Energy Storage Mater.*, 2021, 42, 317.
- 2 J. Tang, H. Ni, R.-L. Peng, N. Wang and L. Zuo, *J. Power Sources*, 2023, **562**, 232785.
- 3 S. Kandpal, T. Ghosh, C. Rani, A. Chaudhary, J. Park, P. S. Lee and R. Kumar, *ACS Energy Lett.*, 2023, **8**, 1870.
- 4 Y. Zhao, H. Liu, Y. Yan, T. Chen, H. Yu, L. O. Ejeta, G. Zhang and H. Duan, *Energy Environ. Mater.*, 2023, **6**, e12303.
- 5 M. K. Debe, Nature, 2012, 486, 43.
- 6 N. Farrell, Renewable Sustainable Energy Rev., 2023, 178, 113216.
- 7 M. Ball and M. Wietschel, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2009, 34, 615.
- 8 Q. Qian, Y. Zhu, N. Ahmad, Y. Feng, H. Zhang, M. Cheng,
  H. Liu, C. Xiao, G. Zhang and Y. Xie, *Adv. Mater.*, 2024, 36, 2306108.
- 9 S. Y. Tee, K. Y. Win, W. S. Teo, L.-D. Koh, S. Liu, C. P. Teng and M.-Y. Han, *Adv. Sci.*, 2017, 4, 1600337.
- 10 Z. W. Seh, J. Kibsgaard, C. F. Dickens, I. Chorkendorff, J. K. Nørskov and T. F. Jaramillo, *Science*, 2017, 355, eaad4998.
- 11 N. Krstajić, M. Popović, B. Grgur, M. Vojnović and D. Šepa, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 2001, **512**, 16.
- 12 J. K. Nørskov, T. Bligaard, A. Logadottir, J. R. Kitchin, J. G. Chen, S. Pandelov and U. Stimming, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2005, **152**, J23.
- 13 I. E. L. Stephens, J. Rossmeisl and I. Chorkendorff, *Science*, 2016, **354**, 1378.
- 14 B. J. Glaister and G. M. Mudd, Miner. Eng., 2010, 23, 438.
- 15 A. Mondal and A. Vomiero, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2022, 32, 2208994.
- 16 Q. Fu, J. Han, X. Wang, P. Xu, T. Yao, J. Zhong, W. Zhong, S. Liu, T. Gao, Z. Zhang, L. Xu and B. Song, *Adv. Mater.*, 2021, 33, 1907818.
- 17 B. Seo, G. Y. Jung, Y. J. Sa, H. Y. Jeong, J. Y. Cheon, J. H. Lee, H. Y. Kim, J. C. Kim, H. S. Shin, S. K. Kwak and S. H. Joo, *ACS Nano*, 2015, 9, 3728.
- 18 N. P. Kondekar, M. G. Boebinger, E. V. Woods and M. T. McDowell, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2017, 9, 32394.
- 19 D. Kong, H. Wang, J. J. Cha, M. Pasta, K. J. Koski, J. Yao and Y. Cui, *Nano Lett.*, 2013, **13**, 1341.

- 20 H. Wang, Z. Lu, S. Xu, D. Kong, J. J. Cha, G. Zheng, P.-C. Hsu,
  K. Yan, D. Bradshaw, F. B. Prinz and Y. Cui, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2013, **110**, 19701.
- 21 Q. Zhou, S. Su, P. Cheng, X. Hu, X. Gao, Z. Zhang and J.-M. Liu, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2020, **8**, 3017.
- 22 T. H. Ly, J. Zhao, H. Kim, G. H. Han, H. Nam and Y. H. Lee, *Adv. Mater.*, 2016, **28**, 7723.
- 23 J. Kibsgaard, Z. Chen, B. N. Reinecke and T. F. Jaramillo, *Nat. Mater.*, 2012, **11**, 963.
- 24 B. Guo, K. Yu, H. Li, H. Song, Y. Zhang, X. Lei, H. Fu, Y. Tan and Z. Zhu, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2016, **8**, 5517.
- 25 Y. Zhang, L. Wang, Q. Chen, J. Cao and C. Zhang, *Front. Chem.*, 2022, **10**, 1000406.
- 26 H. Li, S. Wang, H. Sawada, G. G. D. Han, T. Samuels, C. S. Allen, A. I. Kirkland, J. C. Grossman and J. H. Warner, ACS Nano, 2017, 11, 3392.
- 27 M. Chhetri, U. Gupta, L. Yadgarov, R. Rosentsveig, R. Tenne and C. N. R. Rao, *ChemElectroChem*, 2016, **3**, 1937.
- 28 X. Xu, Z. Peng, H. Xu and D. Cheng, J. Catal., 2022, 416, 47.
- 29 W. Xiao, P. Liu, J. Zhang, W. Song, Y. P. Feng, D. Gao and J. Ding, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2017, 7, 1602086.
- 30 L. Li, Z. Qin, L. Ries, S. Hong, T. Michel, J. Yang, C. Salameh, M. Bechelany, P. Miele, D. Kaplan, M. Chhowalla and D. Voiry, ACS Nano, 2019, 13, 6824.
- 31 Y.-C. Chen, A.-Y. Lu, P. Lu, X. Yang, C.-M. Jiang, M. Mariano, B. Kaehr, O. Lin, A. Taylor, I. D. Sharp, L.-J. Li, S. S. Chou and V. Tung, *Adv. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 1703863.
- 32 R. V. Mom, J. N. Louwen, J. W. M. Frenken and I. M. N. Groot, *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, **10**, 2546.
- 33 N. Abidi, A. Bonduelle-Skrzypczak and S. N. Steinmann, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2021, 125, 17058.
- 34 H. Li, C. Tsai, A. L. Koh, L. Cai, A. W. Contryman, A. H. Fragapane, J. Zhao, H. S. Han, H. C. Manoharan, F. Abild-Pedersen, J. K. Nørskov and X. Zheng, *Nat. Mater.*, 2016, 15, 48.
- 35 G. Li, D. Zhang, Q. Qiao, Y. Yu, D. Peterson, A. Zafar, R. Kumar, S. Curtarolo, F. Hunte, S. Shannon, Y. Zhu, W. Yang and L. Cao, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, 138, 16632.
- 36 Y. Chen, S. Huang, X. Ji, K. Adepalli, K. Yin, X. Ling, X. Wang, J. Xue, M. Dresselhaus, J. Kong and B. Yildiz, ACS Nano, 2018, **12**, 2569.
- 37 G. Ye, Y. Gong, J. Lin, B. Li, Y. He, S. T. Pantelides, W. Zhou,
   R. Vajtai and P. M. Ajayan, *Nano Lett.*, 2016, 16, 1097.
- 38 H.-P. Komsa and A. V. Krasheninnikov, Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys., 2015, 91, 125304.
- 39 J.-Y. Noh, H. Kim and Y.-S. Kim, Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys., 2014, 89, 205417.
- 40 C. Gonzalez, B. Biel and Y. J. Dappe, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2017, **19**, 9485.
- 41 P. Vancsó, G. Z. Magda, J. Pető, J.-Y. Noh, Y.-S. Kim,
  C. Hwang, L. P. Biró and L. Tapasztó, *Sci. Rep.*, 2016, 6, 29726.
- 42 M. Donarelli, F. Bisti, F. Perrozzi and L. Ottaviano, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 2013, **588**, 198.
- 43 E. Mitterreiter, B. Schuler, A. Micevic, D. Hernangómez-Pérez, K. Barthelmi, K. A. Cochrane, J. Kiemle, F. Sigger, J. Klein, E. Wong, E. S. Barnard, K. Watanabe,

- T. Taniguchi, M. Lorke, F. Jahnke, J. J. Finley,
- A. M. Schwartzberg, D. Y. Qiu, S. Refaely-Abramson, A. W. Holleitner, A. Weber-Bargioni and C. Kastl, *Nat. Commun.*, 2021, **12**, 3822.
- 44 M. Liu, J. Shi, Y. Li, X. Zhou, D. Ma, Y. Qi, Y. Zhang and Z. Liu, *Small*, 2017, **13**, 1602967.
- 45 S. Tongay, J. Suh, C. Ataca, W. Fan, A. Luce, J. S. Kang, J. Liu, C. Ko, R. Raghunathanan, J. Zhou, *et al.*, *Sci. Rep.*, 2013, 3, 2657.
- 46 W. Lu, B. Birmingham and Z. Zhang, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2020, 532, 147461.
- 47 P. Chen, W. Xu, Y. Gao, P. Holdway, J. H. Warner and M. R. Castell, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2019, **123**, 3876.
- 48 MoS<sub>2</sub> synthetic crystal, https://2dsemiconductors.com/ MoS2-Synthetic-Crystal/, 2024.
- 49 P. Giannozzi, S. Baroni, N. Bonini, M. Calandra, R. Car, C. Cavazzoni, D. Ceresoli, G. L. Chiarotti, M. Cococcioni, I. Dabo, A. D. Corso, S. d. Gironcoli, S. Fabris, G. Fratesi, R. Gebauer, U. Gerstmann, C. Gougoussis, A. Kokalj, M. Lazzeri, L. Martin-Samos, N. Marzari, F. Mauri, R. Mazzarello, S. Paolini, A. Pasquarello, L. Paulatto, C. Sbraccia, S. Scandolo, G. Sclauzero, A. P. Seitsonen, A. Smogunov, P. Umari and R. M. Wentzcovitch, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter*, 2009, 21, 395502.
- 50 J. P. Perdew, K. Burke and M. Ernzerhof, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 1996, 77, 3865.
- 51 A. Dal Corso, Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys., 2010, 82, 075116.
- 52 V. Popescu and A. Zunger, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2012, **85**, 085201.
- 53 M. Li, J. Yao, X. Wu, S. Zhang, B. Xing, X. Niu, X. Yan, Y. Yu, Y. Liu and Y. Wang, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2020, 12, 6276.
- 54 K. Zhang, D. D. Deng, B. Zheng, Y. Wang, F. K. Perkins, N. C. Briggs, V. H. Crespi and J. A. Robinson, *Adv. Mater. Interfaces*, 2020, 7, 2000856.
- 55 J. K. Nørskov, F. Abild-Pedersen, F. Studt and T. Bligaard, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2011, **108**, 937.
- 56 B. S. Kim, J.-W. Rhim, B. Kim, C. Kim and S. R. Park, *Sci. Rep.*, 2016, **6**, 36389.
- 57 W. Jin, P.-C. Yeh, N. Zaki, D. Zhang, J. T. Sadowski, A. Al-Mahboob, A. M. van der Zande, D. A. Chenet, J. I. Dadap, I. P. Herman, P. Sutter, J. Hone and R. M. Osgood, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2013, **111**, 106801.
- 58 P. W. Anderson, Phys. Rev., 1958, 109, 1492.
- 59 N. F. Mott, E. A. Davis, N. F. Mott, and E. A. Davis, *Electronic Processes in Non-crystalline Materials, Oxford Classic Texts in the Physical Sciences*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, New York, 2012.
- 60 J. F. Wager, AIP Adv., 2017, 7, 125321.
- 61 K. Winer and L. Ley, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1987, **36**, 6072.
- 62 M. Sumiya, S. Ueda, K. Fukuda, Y. Asai, Y. Cho, L. Sang,
  A. Uedono, T. Sekiguchi, T. Onuma and T. Honda, *Appl. Phys. Express*, 2018, 11, 021002.
- 63 S. W. Han, G.-B. Cha, K. Kim and S. C. Hong, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2019, 21, 15302.

- 64 D. Pierucci, H. Henck, Z. Ben Aziza, C. H. Naylor, A. Balan, J. E. Rault, M. G. Silly, Y. J. Dappe, F. Bertran, P. Le Fèvre, F. Sirotti, A. T. C. Johnson and A. Ouerghi, *ACS Nano*, 2017, 11, 1755.
- 65 Y. Irusta, G. Morón-Navarrete and C. Gonzalez, *Nanotechnology*, 2024, 35, 355703.
- 66 I. C. Razado, H. M. Zhang, G. V. Hansson and R. I. G. Uhrberg, Proceedings of the Eight International Conference on Atomically Controlled Surfaces, Interfaces and Nanostructures and the Thirteenth International Congress on Thin Films, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2006, **252**, 5300.
- 67 V. Derycke, P. G. Soukiassian, F. Amy, Y. J. Chabal, M. D. D'angelo, H. B. Enriquez and M. G. Silly, *Nat. Mater.*, 2003, 2, 253.
- 68 M. D'Angelo, R. Yukawa, K. Ozawa, S. Yamamoto, T. Hirahara, S. Hasegawa, M. G. Silly, F. Sirotti and I. Matsuda, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2012, 108, 116802.
- 69 Y. Wang, B. Meyer, X. Yin, M. Kunat, D. Langenberg,F. Traeger, A. Birkner and C. Wöll, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2005, 95, 266104.
- 70 S. W. Han, G.-B. Cha, M. Kang, J. D. Lee and S. C. Hong, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 2019, **125**, 085102.