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To improve the thermoelectric performance of molecular junctions formed by polyaromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) cores, we present a new strategy for enhancing their Seebeck coefficient by utilizing connectivities with destructive quantum interference combined with heteroatom substitution. Starting from the parent PAH, with a vanishing mid-gap Seebeck coefficient, we demonstrate that the corresponding daughter molecule obtained after heteroatom substitution possesses a non-zero, mid-gap Seebeck coefficient. For the first time, we demonstrate a “bi-thermoelectric” property, where for a given heteroatom and parent PAH, the sign of the mid-gap Seebeck coefficient depends on connectivity and therefore the daughter can exhibit both positive and negative Seebeck coefficients. This bi-thermoelectric property is important for the design of tandem thermoelectric devices, where materials with both positive and negative Seebeck coefficients are utilized to boost the thermovoltage. Simple parameter-free rules for predicting the Seebeck coefficient of such molecules are presented, which form a powerful tool for designing efficient molecular thermoelectric devices.

Introduction

The ability to convert waste heat into electricity via the Seebeck effect is an attractive technology, because it is silent, environmentally friendly and when operated in reverse enables Peltier cooling.7 Recently, the ability to measure the Seebeck coefficient of single molecules has revealed that quantum interference (QI) plays a fundamental role in determining their room temperature thermoelectric properties.2 In a molecular-scale junction,3,4 transport properties are determined by the probability $\Gamma(E)$ that electrons with energy $E$ can pass from one electrode to another. In particular, the Seebeck coefficient $S$ is proportional to the slope of the natural logarithm of $\Gamma(E)$, evaluated at the Fermi energy $E_F$ of the electrodes, provided $\Gamma(E)$ changes linearly with $E$ in the scale of $k_B T$.5 Most thermoelectric devices utilize inorganic materials, which are difficult to process and have limited global supply. Therefore in recent years, organic thermoelectric materials have been investigated as a possible alternative,6,7 resulting in a body of experimental measurements showing that single organic molecules bridging two metallic electrodes can exhibit negative and positive Seebeck coefficients ranging from $\sim -30$ μV K$^{-1}$ for fullerene families8–12 to $+38$ μV K$^{-1}$ in oligophenyldithiol derivatives.13–18

Although theory predicts that a large Seebeck coefficient of $\sim -500$ μV K$^{-1}$ is possible in Au/C$_{60}$/Au junctions,8 the measured values are far from this theoretical value, because the Fermi energy does not lie close to a transport resonance, where a high slope of $\Gamma(E)$ and a high Seebeck coefficient is expected. The reported experimental values8–10 could be further enhanced by electrostatic gating to $\sim -50$ μV K$^{-1}$.9 However electrostatic gating is not desirable, because a thermoelectric device is designed to generate a voltage, whereas gating consumes electrical power. Furthermore, because the coupling to electrostatic gates is usually weak, the voltages needed to move resonances towards the Fermi energy can be rather large. Since high slopes of $\Gamma(E)$ associated with resonances appear to be inaccessible without gating, high slopes due to the anti-resonances might be more useful. In organic molecules such as polyyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), anti-resonances occur when two de Broglie waves with similar amplitudes, but opposite phases meet each other after travelling from one electrode to another through different paths.19 Since the lengths of such paths depends on the connection points at which electrons are injected into and collected from the PAH core, such QI effects are connectivity dependent.

Results and discussion

The aim of this paper is to show how connectivity and anti-resonances in PAHs20–22 can be exploited to achieve high Seebeck coefficients. As an alternative to electrostatic gating, we discuss how thermoelectric properties can be tuned by heteroatom substitution.23,24 For the first time, we demonstrate a “bi-thermoelectric” property, where for a given heteroatom, the sign of the mid-gap Seebeck coefficient depends on connectivity.
and therefore both positive and negative Seebeck coefficients can be exhibited by a given molecular core. We derive simple parameter free rules to predict the Seebeck coefficient of PAHs molecules, which provide a powerful tool for designing future efficient thermoelectric devices.

In this paper, we discuss two groups of molecules: bipartite PAH molecules, in which even numbered atoms are connected to odd numbered atoms only, and heteroatom-substituted bipartite PAHs. We start by considering PAH molecules such as benzene, naphthalene, anthracene, pyrene and anthanthrene, which can be represented by bipartite lattices (see Fig. S1 in the ESI†). In these lattices, each site represents a p-orbital connected to a nearest neighbour by a π–π coupling. Fig. 1a shows an example of the bipartite lattice representing benzene. Fig. 1a shows core transmission coefficients \( t_{lm}(E) \) between different pairs of injection and collection sites \( l,m \) obtained using the definition \( t(E) \propto |(E – H)|^{-1/2} \) where \( H \) is tight binding (Hückel) Hamiltonian of core. The quantities \( t_{lm}(E) \) capture the contribution to transmission from the core of the PAH, without reference to the electrodes. For the same connectivities \( l,m \), Fig. 1c shows the full transmission coefficient \( T_{lm}(E) \) obtained from a corresponding DFT material-specific mean field Hamiltonian. The details of these transport calculations are given in the methods section. For each choice of \( (l,m) \), the associated electrical conductance \( G_{lm} \) is given by \( G_{lm} = G_{0} t_{lm}(E_{F}) \) where \( G_{0} \) is the conductance quantum and \( E_{F} \) is the Fermi energy. Fig. 1a and c show that the transmission coefficient \( T_{2,5}(E) \) between sites 2,5 is much higher than the transmission \( T_{2,6}(E) \) between sites 2,6 for energies \( E \) in the vicinity of middle of HOMO–LUMO gap, for both the simple tight binding model (Fig. 1a in the vicinity of \( E_{F} = 0 \)) and the more complex multi-orbital DFT Hamiltonian (Fig. 1c in the vicinity of \( E = 0.8 \) eV) in agreement with experiments.24,25 This connectivity dependence illustrates a general property of PAHs, namely provided the Fermi energy is close to the vicinity of middle of HOMO–LUMO gap (mid-HL), when a PAH core is weakly coupled to the electrodes, the conductances between odd to odd (i.e. 1,3) or even to even (i.e. 2,6) sites are low due to a destructive QI, whereas the conductances between any odd and even (i.e. 2,5) sites are high due to a constructive QI.

For a given transmission coefficient, the Seebeck coefficient \( S \) can be calculated for different Fermi energies \( E_{F} \) at a given temperature (see Methods). Fig. 1b shows the room-temperature (\( T = 300 \) K) Seebeck coefficient for different connectivities to benzene obtained from the core transmissions of Fig. 1a. The transmission spectrum is symmetric about \( E = 0 \) and therefore \( S \) vanishes when \( E_{F} \) coincides with the middle of the HOMO–LUMO gap, (i.e. when \( E_{F} = 0 \)). However, different connectivities behave differently in the vicinity of \( E_{F} = 0 \). \( S \) is much lower for constructive-QI connectivities such as 2,5 since in the vicinity of mid-HL gap, the transmission function is small (see Fig. 1a) compared with the 2,6 connectivity. This is a general feature of bipartite PAH molecules, for which the Seebeck coefficient at Fermi energies above and below the mid-gap is greater for destructive-QI cases compared with constructive cases. Fig. 1d shows that this behaviour is also found in DFT calculations of \( S \). Fig. 1d shows the Seebeck coefficient of benzene connected to two gold electrodes through acetylene linkers (see ESI† for the molecular structure of the junction) using two different connectivities. Clearly \( S \) passes through zero near the gap center (\( E_{F} \sim 0.8 \) eV shown shaded in Fig. 1d), but when \( E_{F} \) is either side of the mid-gap, \( S \) is higher for the 2,6 connectivity (destructive) compared with the 2,5 connectivity (constructive). Although destructive connectivity can produce large positive and negative Seebeck coefficients, in a real experiment, environmental effects, including fluctuations in the electrodes, would randomly shift the destructive interference minimum to either side of \( E_{F} \) and produce non-zero values of \( S \), but with random signs, whose ensemble average would be low. Therefore in what follows, we propose a general strategy to bias the sign and increase the magnitude of \( S \) in such PAH molecules, by introducing a heteroatom at an appropriate position to shift the energy at which destructive QI occurs.

By choosing different heteroatoms and connectivities, we demonstrate that one can systematically control this energy and shift destructive QI to above or below the Fermi energy, thereby creating HOMO or LUMO-dominated devices with

Fig. 1 Transmission coefficients and Seebeck coefficients for different connectivities of benzene. When considering the connectivity dependence of transport properties, what seems a natural labelling system to a physicist often conflicts with chemical convention and therefore here we have used both conventions. In what follows, a pair of indices, \( n,m \) refers to the ‘physics convention’ whereas a pair of indices in curly brackets \( \{n,m\} \) refer to the chemical convention. For example the chemical convention \((1,4)-\text{connectivity for para}, (1,3)-\text{for meta and (1,2)}-\text{for ortho} \) correspond to the physics labelling of 2,5 for para, 2,4 for meta and 2,3 for ortho.

(a) Using the physics numbering in the inset, the blue curve shows the core transmission coefficient for 2,5 (1,4) para connectivity and the purple curve shows the core transmission coefficient for 2,6 (1,3) meta connectivity, obtained from the definition \( t(E) \propto G(E)^{-1/2} \) (see Methods for more details).

(b) Seebeck coefficient obtained from the \( t(E) \) in (a) at \( T = 300 \) K. (c) The full transmission coefficient \( T(E) \) for connectivities obtained using DFT (d) corresponding DFT Seebeck coefficient at room temperature. Dashed lines in (a and b) are obtained using the mid-gap theory of thermoelectricity presented in the ESI† eqn (3) and (4) and coincide with the solid lines near the middle of the HOMO–LUMO gap.
negative or positive Seebeck coefficients. We find (see mid-gap theory below) that after heteroatom substitution at site \( l_o \), the mid-gap Seebeck coefficient \( S \) is a product of two parameters; i.e. \( S = s_i S_j \). The first parameter \( (s_i) \) depends on whether or not the heteroatom donates or accepts electrons, but not on connectivity \( i \) or \( j \) and the second term \( S_j \) depends on connectivity and the location of the substitution site \( l_o \). Since the sign of \( S_j \) depends on the choice of connectivity, the mid-gap Seebeck coefficient for a given heteroatom can be positive or negative, depending on connectivity. We called this property "bi-thermoelectricity". Note that if an electrostatic field is applied to a molecular junction, which shifts anti-resonances relative to the Fermi energy, then the slope of the transmission function at the Fermi energy and the Seebeck coefficient could indeed change sign. However as mentioned in the introduction, electrostatic gating is not desirable, because a thermoelectric device is designed to generate a voltage, whereas gating consumes electrical power. Therefore we reserve the term 'bi-thermoelectricity' to describe materials, which can exhibit Seebeck coefficients of both signs, without electrostatic gating.

To demonstrate that introducing a heteroatom changes the sign and magnitude of the Seebeck coefficient, we now consider a benzene ring with nitrogen \( N \) substituents at site number 1 (inset of Fig. 2a). Fig. 2a shows the transmission coefficient obtained using a simple tight-binding model, in which the heteroatom is taken into account by inserting non-zero site energy \( \epsilon_l \neq 0 \) at the site occupied by the heteroatom, while all other site energies are zero. It is apparent that both odd-to-odd (i.e. 3,5) and even-to-even (i.e. 2,4) connectivities show destructive QI and the anti-resonance associated with odd-to-odd (i.e. 3,5) connectivity has not moved from the mid-HL gap. The constructive connectivities (i.e. 2,5) are not affected by heteroatom substitution at site 1, whereas the destructive QI feature of even-even connectivities (i.e. 2,6 and 2,4) has moved to higher or lower energies. This is in agreement with our analysis in ref. 22, which predicts that when the heteroatom is substituted into an odd-numbered site (i.e. site 1 in Fig. 1a) the transmission coefficient of odd–odd and even–odd connectivities are unchanged, whereas those of even–even connectivities are shifted.

Fig. 2b shows that the corresponding Seebeck coefficient profile moves with the anti-resistance. In an experiment where the Fermi energy of the parent PAH is coincident with the minimum of a destructive interference feature, and therefore a low ensemble-averaged Seebeck coefficient is predicted, departure of the anti-resonance from the mid-HL gap creates a robust, well-defined high Seebeck coefficient. In addition, shifting the anti-resistance away from the mid-HL gap using heteroatom substitution leads to a higher conductance as we recently predicted\(^\text{22}\) and confirmed by experiment.\(^\text{23}\) This simultaneous increase of the Seebeck coefficient and conductance is expected to lead to a device with higher efficiency. Fig. 2c and d show the DFT-predicted transmission coefficient and Seebeck coefficient in the presence of a nitrogen heteroatom connected to gold electrodes (see ESI\(^\dagger\) for the structures). It is apparent that except for the 2,4 connectivity, all the main features predicted using the simple TB model of orbital transport are also obtained from DFT, which takes into account material specific properties, sigma orbitals and charge transfer due to the heteroatom substitution.

In the case of 2,4 connectivity, the anti-resistance at \( E_{\gamma} = -0.25 \) in Fig. 2a is much closer to the HOMO in the DFT results (i.e. near \( E = -0.2 \) eV) and therefore the simple parameter free rules for the core transmission do not reproduce the DFT results. In other words, the Fano line shape of the red curve in Fig. 2b does not appear within the HOMO–LUMO gap in the red curve of Fig. 2d. This difference arises, because magic number theory is aimed at teasing out the role of connectivity alone using the simplest possible Hamiltonian and deliberately ignores other complexities, which may occur in real molecules. In particular the electronic structure of the linker groups connecting the central core to the electrodes is assumed to be unaffected by connectivity. Fig. S4 of the ESI\(^\dagger\) shows that this is indeed the case for all molecules except, 2,4, where the symmetry of the HOMO is strongly broken. As discussed in ref. 26, this means that the HOMO orbital product is much smaller than the LUMO orbital product and therefore the anti-resistance moves away from the mid-gap, towards the HOMO.

Consider a molecule which possesses only a HOMO molecular orbital \( \psi^H(r) \) of energy \( E_H \) and a LUMO molecular orbital \( \psi^L(r) \) of energy \( E_L \), whose Green's function is given by

\[
g_{\gamma\gamma}(E) = \frac{a_H}{E - E_H} + \frac{a_L}{E - E_L} \tag{1}
\]

In this equation, the quantities \( a_H \) and \( a_L \) are orbital products, given by \( a_H = \psi^H(r)\psi^H(r_m) \) and \( a_L = \psi^L(r)\psi^L(r_m) \), where \( \psi^H(r) \) is...
and $\psi^H(r_i)$ are the amplitudes of the HOMO and LUMO on connection site located at position $r_i$, while $\psi^H(r_{rm})$ and $\psi^L(r_{rm})$ are the amplitudes of the HOMO and LUMO on connection site located at position $r_{rm}$. Since the core transmission coefficient for connectivity $lm$ is given by $t_{lm}(E) = |g_{lm}(E)|^2$ a destructive interference feature occurs at an energy $E$ given by $g_{lm}(E) = 0$, or equivalently

$$\frac{|a_H|}{a_L} = \frac{E - E_H}{E_L - E}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

If the energy $E$ at which the destructive interference feature occurs lies within the HOMO–LUMO gap, then $E - E_H > 0$ and $E_L - E > 0$. This can only occur if the left hand side of eqn (2) is positive and therefore the condition that a destructive interference feature occurs within the HOMO–LUMO gap is that the orbital products $a_L$ and $a_H$ must have the same sign. Conversely, if they have opposite signs, there will be no destructive interference dip within the HOMO–LUMO gap. In the most symmetric case, where $a_L = a_L$, this yields $E = (E_L - E_H)/2$ and therefore the interference dip occurs at the middle of the HOMO–LUMO gap. On the other hand, if $|a_H| < |a_L|$, then $E \approx E_H$ and the dip is close to the HOMO. In this case, for a real molecule with many orbitals, the approximation of retaining only the LUMO and HOMO breaks down, and the effect of the HOMO–1 should also be considered.

The molecular orbitals of all molecules are shown in Fig. S4 of the ESL† where the intercepts between the vertical guide lines and the points of connection between the acetylene linkers and the central 6-fold ring show the points at which molecular orbitals $\psi^H(r_i)$, $\psi^L(r_i)$, $\psi^H(r_{rm})$ and $\psi^L(r_{rm})$ are to be evaluated. (Red = positive and blue = negative.) As expected, for the para-connected molecules, the orbital products $a_L$ and $a_H$ have opposite signs, so no interference dip occurs within the HOMO–LUMO gap. On the other hand, for the meta-connected molecules, the orbital products have the same sign. One exception to this is the meta-2,4 molecule, where $\psi^H(r_{rm})$ is almost zero. In this case, $a_H \ll a_L$ and therefore the interference dip is expected to be close to the HOMO. This expectation is confirmed by Fig. 2c, where the red curve shows that the interference dip has indeed moved close to the HOMO.

From the point of view of ‘magic number’ theory, the differences between the red curves of Fig. 2a and c means that the simple approximation of modelling the heteroatom using only a simple site energy $\omega_c$ should be modified. As shown in Fig. S5 of the ESL† when this additional complexity is introduced, the interference dip is indeed shifted towards the HOMO.

The TB model calculations of Fig. 2a and b reveal the surprising property of connectivity-driven bi-thermoelectricity in molecular junctions. As shown in Fig. 2a, for a given heteroatom substitution (i.e. nitrogen N), the anti-resonance due to the 2,4 connectivity moves to a lower energy, whereas the anti-resonance due to the 2,6 connectivity moves to a higher energy (Fig. 2b). Therefore as shown in Fig. 2b, in the vicinity of mid-HL gap (around $E_F = 0$), the 2,4 connectivity produces a negative Seebeck coefficient, whereas the 2,6 connectivity possesses a positive Seebeck coefficient. This behaviour is also predicted for more complicated molecules such as naphthalene, anthracene, pyrene and anthanthrene (Fig. 3–6).

As mentioned above, if an anti-resonance happens to be located at the Fermi energy, then the sign of the Seebeck coefficient will be sensitive to slight shifts in the position of the anti-resonance and the ensemble-averaged Seebeck coefficient will be low. However, introducing a heteroatom will move the anti-resonance away from the mid-gap, so the slope of the transmission coefficient and hence the sign of the Seebeck coefficient becomes better-defined and insensitive to small variations. Consequently, the Seebeck coefficient of the daughter can be more robust than that of the parent.

Fig. 3 and 4 show the results for naphthalene and anthracene with and without heteroatom substitution (Fig. 3a and 4a), obtained using the TB model (corresponding DFT calculations was shown in Fig. S2 in the ESL†). All constructive connectivities (odd to even connectivities shown in Fig. 3b, d and 4b, d) possess a smaller Seebeck coefficient (shown in Fig. 3c, e and 4c, e) compared with destructive connectivities (i.e. odd to odd or even to even connectivities). It should be noted that heteroatom substitution has no effect on constructive connectivities and would change the energy in which destructive QI happens only for even to even (odd to odd) connectivities when heteroatom substituted on odd (even) site. For example, in naphthalene, when a heteroatom is substituted at the odd site 1 (Fig. 3a),
the destructive QI energy is changed for 4,8 connectivity (Fig. 3c and e), whereas the destructive QI energy for connectivity 3,9 is unchanged. In addition, the odd–even constructive connectivities are not affected in all cases (i.e. 3,8 in naphthalene shown in Fig. 3b and d and 4,11 in anthracene shown in Fig. 4b and d). Consequently, thermopower is also only affected for even to even (odd to odd) destructive connectivities when a heteroatom is substituted on an odd (even) site, as shown in Fig. 3c and e for naphthalene and Fig. 4c and e for anthracene.

Fig. 5 and 6 show the transmission and Seebeck coefficient for two larger molecules, pyrene and anthanthrene with and without heteroatoms (Fig. 5a and 6a). Fig. 5b, d and 6b, d show the transmission coefficient for pyrene, pyrene with heteroatom substitution, anthanthrene and anthanthrene with heteroatom substitution, respectively. The corresponding Seebeck coefficients are shown in Fig. 5c, e and 6c, e. These results demonstrate that all qualitative conclusions made for smaller molecular cores (i.e. benzene, pyridine, naphthalene, quinolone, anthracene with and without heteroatoms) are preserved for larger molecules. Furthermore, the maximum Seebeck coefficient increases with the size of the molecule. For example, for the connectivities that are affected by heteroatom substitution, the maximum Seebeck coefficient is \(169 \mu V \text{ K}^{-1}\) in pyridine (2,4 connectivity in Fig. 2b), \(184 \mu V \text{ K}^{-1}\) in quinolone (4,8 connectivity in Fig. 3e), \(227 \mu V \text{ K}^{-1}\) in heteroatom substituted anthracene (4,8 connectivity in Fig. 4e), \(242 \mu V \text{ K}^{-1}\) in heteroatom substituted pyrene (2,6 connectivity in Fig. 5e) and \(319 \mu V \text{ K}^{-1}\) in heteroatom substituted anthanthrene (14,22 connectivity in Fig. 6e). This demonstrates that the maximum Seebeck coefficient increases with the size of molecular core. Furthermore, this tuning of the position of the QI energy and the Seebeck coefficient by heteroatom substitution is confirmed by a more material-specific calculations shown in Fig. S2 of the ESI.

### Mid-gap theory of thermoelectricity

To rationalize the results of Fig. 1–6, we now present an analytic theory of thermoelectricity for Fermi energies near the mid-gap of PAH molecules. Recently we predicted that for molecules, whose central core is weakly connected to external electrodes, the Fermi energy lies within the HOMO–LUMO gap, the ratio of conductances \(\sigma_{ij}\) and \(\sigma_{lm}\) associated with connectivities \(i\) and \(j\) or \(l\) and \(m\) is given by the ‘magic ratio rule’ (MRR) \(^{20,21}\)

\[
\sigma_{ij}/\sigma_{lm} = \tau_{ij}(E_F)/\tau_{lm}(E_F) = |M_{ij}(E_F)/M_{lm}(E_F)|^2,
\]

where \(\tau_{ij}(E_F)\) and \(\tau_{lm}(E_F)\) are core transmission coefficients and contain no information about the electrodes except via the parameter \(E_F\).

If \(H\) is a Hamiltonian matrix describing the isolated core, then the core transmissions are given by \(\tau_{ij}(E) = \left|G_{ij}(E)\right|^2\), where \(\overline{G}\) is the corresponding Green’s function matrix \(\overline{G} = (\overline{E} - \overline{H})^{-1}\). \(M_{ij}(E)\) is proportional to \(G_{ij}(E)\), with a constant of proportionality which does not depend on \(i, j\), but may depend on \(E\). The constant of
proportionality cancels in the above ratios and is chosen to yield the simplest possible values for the "magic numbers" $M_{ij}(E_F)$.

A simple parameter-free description of electrical conductance through polyaromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) cores is then obtained by assuming $E_F = E_0$, where $E_0$ is the middle of the HOMO–LUMO gap and identifying the Hamiltonian $H$ of the core with a simple connectivity matrix $C$, whose entries are either $C_{ij} = -1$ if sites $i, j$ are nearest neighbours or $C_{ij} = 0$ otherwise. This yields $\sigma_{ij} \propto [M_{ij}(E_0)]^2$, where $M_{ij}(E_0) \propto (E_0 - C)^{-1}$. It was shown experimentally that this simple rule can predict the statistically-most-probable experimental conductance ratios measured in for example a break-junction set up.\(^{20, 21}\) The remarkable agreement between conductance ratios obtained from the quantities $M_{ij}(E_0)$ and experimental measurements carried out by different groups,\(^{20}\) led us to refer to them as 'magic numbers.’ We now generalize the above theory to describe the Seebeck coefficient of molecules whose central core is weakly connected to external electrodes.

The above expressions are valid, because provided the Fermi energy lies within the HOMO–LUMO gap, when electrons of energy $E$ passing from one electrode to another enter the core at orbital $i$ and leave the core from orbital $j$, the transmission coefficient $T(E)$ is proportional to $\tau_{ij}(E)$. The low-bias, electrical conductance is given by the Landauer formula $\sigma_{ij} = G_0 T(E_F)$, where $T(E)$ is transmission coefficient and $G_0 = 2e^2/h$ is the quantum of conductance. This form of the Landauer formula is valid, provided the transmission coefficient does not vary significantly on the scale of $k_B T$, where $T$ is the temperature and $k_B = 8.6 \times 10^{-5}$ eV K$^{-1}$ is Boltzmann’s constant. Similarly the Seebeck coefficient is given by

$$S = -\frac{1}{|e| T} \frac{L_1}{L_0}$$

where $L_n = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (E - E_F)^n T(E) \left( \frac{\partial f(E, T)}{\partial E} \right) dE$ is the $n$-th moment of $T(E) \left( \frac{\partial f(E, T)}{\partial E} \right)$ and $f(E) = (1 + \exp((E - E_F)/k_B T))^{-1}$ is the Fermi–Dirac distribution function. Hence for a connectivity $i$, $j$, the core Seebeck coefficient can be written

$$S_{ij} = -\frac{1}{|e| T} \frac{L_{1ij}}{L_0^{1.2}}$$

where $L_{1ij} = \int dE [(E - E_F)^2] \tau_{ij}(E) \left( \frac{df}{dE} \right)$. Provided the transmission coefficient does not vary significantly on the scale of $k_B T$, it is useful to approximate $\tau_{ij}(E)$ by

$$\tau_{ij}(E) = \tau_{ij}^{(0)} + (E - E_F) \tau_{ij}^{(1)} + (E - E_F)^2 \tau_{ij}^{(2)}$$

Since $-\partial f/\partial E$ is approximately an even function of $(E - E_F)$, this yields $L_{1ij}^{(0)}/L_0^{1.2} = \tau_{ij}^{(0)} + b \tau_{ij}^{(1)}$ and $L_{1ij}^{(1)} = b \tau_{ij}^{(1)}$ where $b = \int dE [(E - E_F)^2] \left( -\frac{df}{dE} \right) = (\pi k_B T)^2 / 3$. Dashed lines in the left panel of Fig. 1–6 show the transmission coefficient obtained using this approximation. It is apparent that this is a good approximation in the vicinity of middle of the HOMO–LUMO gap. From $\tau_{ij}(E)$ and eqn (4), we obtain

$$S_{ij} = -\frac{1}{|e| T} \frac{b \tau_{ij}^{(1)}}{\tau_{ij}^{(0)} + b \tau_{ij}^{(2)}}$$

Dashed lines in the right panel of Fig. 1–6 show that this formula reproduces the main features of the Seebeck coefficient at room temperature.

For bipartite PAH cores with equal numbers of odd ‘o’ sites and even ‘e’ sites at the mid-HL gap and for $E_F = 0$, $S_{ij} = 0$. On the other hand, if $E_F \neq 0$, the behaviour of $S_{ij}(E_F)$ in the vicinity of $E_F = 0$ is quite different for odd–even compared with even–even and odd–odd connectivities. It could be shown (see ESI†) that

(a) When $i$ is odd and $j$ is even or vice versa, assuming that $b$ and $E_F$ are small compared with $\tau_{ij}^{(0)}(0)/\tau_{ij}^{(2)}(0)$ yields

$$S_{ij} \approx -\frac{2\pi T |e| \tau_{ij}^{(2)}(0)}{\tau_{ij}^{(0)}(0)} E_F$$

In this case, we see that $S_{ij}$ is proportional to $E_F$ and vanishes linearly with $E_F$ at $E_F = 0$. 

---

**Fig. 6** Transmission and Seebeck coefficients for different connectivities of anthanthrene with and without heteroatom substitution in site 1 (a). Transmission coefficient using simple description of $\tau(E) \propto G(E)^2$ for connectivities 14,22, 3,14 and 7,15 in (b) anthanthrene (without N in a) and (d) with N substitution in site 1 as shown in (a). (c and e) Seebeck coefficient correspond to $\tau(E)$ in b, d at $T = 300$ K. Dashed lines are obtained using simple description of transmission and Seebeck coefficient from eqn (3) and (4) below.
(b) On the other hand, when $i, j$ are both odd or both even, $\tau_{ij}^{(1)}(0) = 0$. Hence

$$S_{ij} = \frac{-2\alpha T|e|\tau_{ij}^{(2)}(0)}{\tau_{ij}^{(0)}(0) + b(E_F)^2} E_F$$

(8)

In this case, $S_{ij}$ is proportional to $E_F$ and therefore exhibits a Fano lineshape. If the aromatic core contains a heteroatom, whose site energy $\epsilon_b$ differs from that of carbon, it can be shown (see ESI†) that

$$S_{ij} = \epsilon_b \tilde{S}_{ij}$$

(9)

where

$$\tilde{S}_{ij} = \frac{-b}{|e|T} \frac{\tau_{ij}^{(1)}(0)}{\tau_{ij}^{(0)}(0) + b(E_F)^2}$$

(10)

Eqn (9) is a key result, because it shows that the mid-gap Seebeck coefficient is a product of two parameters. The first is $\epsilon_b$ which depends on the nature of the heteroatom (electron donating or accepting) and the second is $S_{ij}$ which depends on connectivity, but not on the nature of the heteroatom. Since the sign of the mid-gap Seebeck coefficient depends on connectivity, heteroatom substituted PAHs are bi-thermoelectric. Furthermore, the mid-gap Seebeck coefficient between even and even or odd and even sites is no longer zero, because $\tau_{ij}^{(1)}(0)$ no longer vanishes. On the other hand the mid-gap Seebeck coefficient between odd and odd sites remains at zero. These features are clearly present in the right-hand columns of Fig. 2–6.

One effect of heteroatom substitution, in which a carbon is replaced by another atom such as nitrogen or sulphur, is the introduction of a local electrostatic potential. If the heteroatom is nitrogen, then it will be electron withdrawing and the local potential is negative. Therefore nitrogen substitution decreases the molecular orbital energies. This movement to more negative values is evident in Table S4 (ESI†), where all energies of the nitrogen-substituted ‘daughter’ molecules are more negative than those of the unsubstituted ‘parent.’ At a tight-binding level, this is modelled by choosing the site energy $\epsilon_b$ to be lower than the site energy of the carbons in the parent. On the other hand substitution by an electron donating heteroatom such as sulphur would introduce a negative electrostatic potential, which increases molecular energy levels. This is modelled by choosing the parameter $\epsilon_b$ to be greater than the site energy of the carbons in the parent. This effect is independent of connectivity. In contrast, our mid-gap theory and the results of Fig. 2a and c show that the movement of the anti-resonance relative to the mid-gap is determined both by $\epsilon_b$ and by connectivity.

**Conclusion**

We have presented a new strategy for improving the Seebeck coefficient of PAH molecules. First we used a simple tight binding model to illustrate the main principles and then showed that the predicted trends are present in calculations based on density functional theory.

For the parent PAH, before heteroatom substitution, we predict that the Seebeck coefficient $S_{ij}$ corresponding to connectivities $i, j$ has the following properties:

(a) When $i$ is odd and $j$ is even or vice versa, $S_{ij}$ is proportional to $E_F$, where $E_F$ is the Fermi energy, relative to the middle of the HOMO–LUMO gap. Hence $S_{ij}$ vanishes at the gap centre.

(b) When $i, j$ are both odd or both even, $S_{ij}$ is proportional to $E_F$ and therefore exhibits a Fano lineshape. Again $S_{ij}$ again vanishes at the gap centre $E_F = 0$.

Starting from the parent PAH, whose mid-gap Seebeck coefficient is predicted to vanish, we demonstrated that the corresponding daughter molecule obtained after heteroatom substitution possesses a non-zero mid-gap Seebeck coefficient. These trends are confirmed by calculations based on density functional theory.

The above predictions would be of interest experimentally, when the Seebeck coefficient of a parent molecule is measured to have large sample-to-sample fluctuations in sign and a small average, since such behaviour signals the presence of a destructive interference feature close to the parental Fermi energy. In this case, we predict that heteroatom substitution will lead to a decrease in the sample-to-sample fluctuations and a larger ensemble-averaged value of $S$, whose sign depends both on connectivity and on the electron donating or withdrawing character of the heteroatom.

This means that the same molecule can exhibit both positive and negative Seebeck coefficients, without external electrostatic gating. This bi-thermoelectric property is important for the design of tandem thermoelectric devices, where materials with both positive and negative Seebeck coefficients are needed to boost the thermo-voltage. The use of bi-thermolectric molecules ensures that the two materials are completely compatible.

**Computational methods**

The optimized geometry and ground state Hamiltonian and overlap matrix elements of each structure was self-consistently obtained using the SIESTA27 implementation of density functional theory (DFT). SIESTA employs norm-conserving pseudo-potentials to account for the core electrons and linear combinations of atomic orbitals to construct the valence states. The generalized gradient approximation (GGA) of the exchange and correlation functional is used with the Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof parameterization (PBE) a double-$\zeta$ polarized (DZP) basis set, a real-space grid defined with an equivalent energy cut-off of 250 Ry. The geometry optimization for each structure is performed to the forces smaller than 40 meV Å$^{-1}$. The mean-field Hamiltonian obtained from the converged DFT calculation or a simple tight-binding Hamiltonian was combined with our Gollum28 quantum transport code to calculate the phase-coherent, elastic scattering properties of the each system consist of left (source) and right (drain) leads and the scattering region. The transmission coefficient $T(E)$ for electrons of energy $E$ (passing from the source to the drain) is calculated via...
the relation \( T(E) = \text{Tr} (G_{R}(E)G_{R}^{\dagger}(E)G_{L}(E)G_{L}^{\dagger}(E)) \). In this expression, \( G_{L,R}(E) = i \left( \Sigma_{L,R}(E) - \Sigma_{L,R}^{\dagger}(E) \right) \) describe the level broadening due to the coupling between left (L) and right (R) electrodes and the central scattering region, \( \Sigma_{L,R}(E) \) are the retarded self-energies associated with this coupling and \( G^{R} = (E - H - \Sigma_{L} - \Sigma_{R})^{-1} \) is the retarded Green’s function, where \( H \) is the Hamiltonian and \( S \) is overlap matrix. Using obtained transmission coefficient \( (T(E)) \), the conductance \( G \) and Seebeck coefficient \( S \) could be calculated as \( G = G_{0}D_{0} \) and \( S = -L_{0}/eTL_{0} \) where \( L_{0}(T) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dE \frac{E - E_{1}}{\sqrt{E_{1}}^{2}} \) \( T(E)(-\partial f/\partial E) \) where \( G_{0} = 2e^{2}/h \) is the conductance quantum, \( f(E) = (1 + \exp((E - E_{F})/k_{B}T))^{-1} \) is the Fermi–Dirac distribution function, \( T \) is the temperature and \( k_{B} = 8.6 \times 10^{-5} \text{eV K}^{-1} \) is Boltzmann’s constant.
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