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Characterization of a 4-inch GaN wafer by X-ray diffraction topography

The investigation of the crystal quality of a 4-inch GaN wafer is presented. Synchrotron X-ray diffraction images are reconstructed and mapping of maximum intensity and FWHM of rocking curves and the lattice-plane tilting are evaluated.
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We have investigated the crystal quality of a 4-inch GaN wafer by X-ray diffraction topography. GaN (1124) diffraction images at various incident angles were obtained to determine the image of maximum intensity and full-width at half-maximum (FWHM). The images reconstructed from the maximum intensity at each detector pixel position indicated that the inhomogeneous crystal quality of the wafer originated from seed crystals during wafer manufacturing. The evaluated FWHM distribution tended to increase and become broader from the center to the edge of the wafer. The q-vector components evaluated from the two rocking-curve images at different azimuthal angles combined with the rotation matrix revealed that the overall lattice planes bowed towards the diagonal direction. A histogram on the tilting angle of the wafer showed that the most frequently observed angle was about 0.03°. We expect that our findings could be applied to wafer quality estimation.

1 Introduction

Growing a high-quality large-sized GaN crystal is becoming important because of its wide engineering applications in optoelectronics and power devices. Not only the growth technique but also techniques to determine quality is in demand as the wafer size increases. A laser-based curvature measurement system has been used to examine the bending of the wafer. However, this method does not provide details of the crystal structure of the wafer but rather those along the surface normal direction. One conventional method to determine crystal quality is to use X-ray diffraction. To describe the crystallinity of wafers qualitatively, X-ray rocking curve measurements are performed at several points on the wafer. Although these rocking curve measurements have been performed, so far, they only yield details of the local structure at some wafer points. To obtain details of the whole wafer, other imaging techniques are required such as X-ray diffraction topography. However, the imaging technique based on the Berg–Barrett method only provides the diffracted X-ray intensity without the orientation details. To solve this issue, rocking curve imaging techniques have been proposed. This method is suitable for understanding the one-directional orientation of the local structure. Rocking-curve imaging combined with the two rotation matrix operation that has been recently developed has yielded the q-vector components of the GaN (1124) lattice planes and the lattice plane tilting of the wafer.

Here, we propose a method to evaluate the crystal quality using X-ray diffraction topography of the wafer. We discuss the crystallinity and homogeneity of a 4-inch GaN wafer through FWHM distributions as a function of the wafer radius. In addition, we evaluate the q-vector components of GaN 1124 by rotation matrix operations to understand the lattice tilting directions and distributions of the 4-inch wafer.

2 Experimental details and results

GaN seed crystals were grown by a conventional hydride vapour phase epitaxy (HVPE) method. After the growth is terminated, one side is polished so as to determine the crystal quality. The sample investigated was a 4-inch wafer. The X-ray diffraction topography was performed at BL20B2, SPring-8, Japan, which provided a large monochromatic beam [Fig. 1(a)]. The X-ray wavelength of 1.284 Å was selected using a Si (111) double crystal monochromator. GaN (1124) lattice planes were chosen for the purpose of shining X-rays at a grazing incident. The incident angle was set to 0.58° with respect to the sample surface, which satisfied the GaN 1124 Bragg conditions. The corresponding 2θh angle was about 79.3°. To irradiate monochromatic X-rays onto the whole wafer, the beam size for the incident X-rays was adjusted to 130 mm (h) × 10 mm (v) and fully covers the whole wafer under...
the grazing incident angle conditions. A flat-panel detector (FPD) was mounted almost parallel to the incident X-ray beam. The pixel size of the FPD is 50 × 50 μm², and the distance from the wafer center to the detector center is 50 cm. By changing the X-ray incident angle θ₀, we found that the image was partially diffracted from the wafer. We checked the upper and lower limits of θ₀ for the rocking curve at every point of the wafer. The rocking angle (±0.2°) was incremented to 10 arcsec, small enough to describe the rocking curves at every point of the wafer. The X-ray exposure time was set to 20 s for each exposure. Fig. 1(b) shows the partial-diffracted GaN images at various incident angles. Because the wafer bends, only a partially diffracted image was obtained.

The conventional technique to obtain the X-ray diffraction topography images is using a plot and selecting the most intense value in the range of rotation angles at the respective pixel positions of the fixed detector. An image reconstructed by this technique is shown in Fig. 2(a). Fig. 2(b) is the high-magnification image of the Fig. 2(a) center. The intensity difference in Fig. 2(a) between the left and right region is due to the low incident angle variations at a fixed incident photon flux. The number of photons per unit area at low angles is proportional to θ₀, which is sensitive to the incident angle and bending angle.

We evaluated the FWHM to check the domain size of the wafer [Fig. 2(c) and (d), size is enlarged]. The color bars indicate FWHM values in units of 0°. The blue areas have low values implying highly oriented crystalline domains. The red areas show large mosaicity of the GaN wafer. The FWHM images show that the domains stemming from the seed crystals have non-uniform sizes but their values are very low and narrow. According to a report on GaN dislocation, the dislocation density was estimated by counting the number of black spots in the lines of the image used for density calculation. However, it is not clear from the image whether the black spots and lines are real dislocations. The best way to observe dislocations is to use transmission electron microscopy techniques at atomic resolutions or the recently developed two-photon excitation photoluminescence technique. Without these techniques, it is hard to evaluate the dislocation density. Even though X-ray rocking curves are used to describe the mosaicity of the GaN domains, obtaining the dislocation density through this method is difficult because many factors affect the diffraction profile.

To examine the FWHM uniformity of the wafer, we evaluated histograms by changing the distance from the wafer center to the edge. The distance r was varied from 5 to 45 mm at 5 mm increments with a constant wall thickness of 5 mm as illustrated in the scheme in Fig. 3. The normalized FWHM histogram was obtained as a function of r [Fig. 3(a)]. In the center of the wafer, the FWHM distribution has two peaks at 0.0067° (24 arcsec) and 0.0092° (33 arcsec). The origin of this peak splitting is not clear in this instance; however, these two values are reasonable when compared with those in other previous reports. The FWHM probability as a function of the radius r normalized by the maximum value at each FWHM angle. As the radius increases from the center, the first peak around 0.0067° becomes drastically weaker up to a distance of 15 mm, implying that the crystal quality at the center of the wafer is slightly better than at its edge. If we trace the second peak around 0.0090° (33 arcsec), the value tended to be broader from 15 mm to 40 mm. For the range up to 40 mm, the crystal quality degrades in accordance with the value at 0.0124°, which represents the low crystallinity region. The decrease in the FWHM distribution

Fig. 1 (a) Schematic view of the experimental configuration. The monochromatic X-rays were selected using a Si (111) monochromator, and then the GaN (0001) surface was exposed with an incident angle of 0.58°. The 2θ₀ angle was adjusted to 79.3°, which satisfied the GaN 1124 diffraction. (b) Partially diffracted wafer images at various incident angles θ₀ were obtained due to the wafer curvature.

Fig. 2 (a) Reconstructed image using maximum intensity at each FPD pixel position. (b) High magnification image of GaN. Regions of strong diffraction intensity (red) and low diffraction intensity (blue) are observed. The overall change in intensity from left to right stems from the different X-ray photons at different incident angles. (c) and (d) FWHM mapping images of the 4-inch GaN wafer; red areas with low crystallinity appear from the GaN seed crystals.
from the wafer center to the edge indicates an increase in mosaicity in the wafer edge direction.

We evaluated the curvature at different azimuthal angles of $\phi = 0$ and $120^\circ$. Fig. 4(a) and (b) are obtained from the peak positions at the respective detector pixels. If we trace a line profile across the wafer center [Fig. 4(c) and (d)], we observe a constant radius of curvature in the wafer center in both directions at $\phi = 0$ and $120^\circ$, respectively. However, the values at the wafer edge are lower than those at the wafer center implying an azimuthal angle dependence of the bending of the wafer. According to Seo et al.,$^{17}$ they showed homogeneous radii of curvature of 20.4 and 20.8 m at $\phi = 0$ and $120^\circ$, which are smaller than our results ($\sim 35$ m), estimated from the center of Fig. 4(a) and (c), but uniform due to the isotropic wafer bending. To understand the exact lattice-plane tilting, we evaluated the $q$-vector components to estimate the curvature with respect to the lattice-plane normal direction. Through an operation of two rocking-curve images obtained at two different azimuthal angles and combining them with the rotation matrix $R(u_x,u_y,u_z,\alpha)$, we were able to obtain $(q_x,q_y,q_z)$ vector components of the GaN (1124) lattice plane.$^{17}$ The 3-dimensional rotation matrix $R$ is given explicitly as.$^{22}$

$$R(u_x, u_y, u_z, \alpha) = \begin{pmatrix}
\cos \alpha + u_x^2 (1 - \cos \alpha) & -u_x u_y (1 - \cos \alpha) - u_z \sin \alpha & u_y (1 - \cos \alpha) - u_z \sin \alpha \\
-u_x u_y (1 - \cos \alpha) + u_z \sin \alpha & \cos \alpha + u_y^2 (1 - \cos \alpha) & u_z (1 - \cos \alpha) + u_x \sin \alpha \\
u_x u_y (1 - \cos \alpha) - u_z \sin \alpha & -u_y (1 - \cos \alpha) - u_z \sin \alpha & \cos \alpha + u_z^2 (1 - \cos \alpha)
\end{pmatrix}$$

Here, $(u_x, u_y, u_z)$ is the rotation axis with a unit vector and $\alpha(x,y,\phi)$ is the angle deviation evaluated from the rocking-curve image corresponding to $\theta(x,y,\phi) - \theta_{ave}(\phi)$, where $(x,y,\phi)$ denotes the detector positions $x$ and $y$ and the sample azimuthal angle, respectively. To extract the $q$-vector components, we multiplied the two rotation matrices for $\phi = 0$ and $120^\circ$ with the $q$-vector of GaN (1124) for which the length equals $6.258 \text{ Å}^{-1}$ ($= 2\pi/d_{1124}$).

$$\begin{pmatrix}
q_x \\
qu_y \\
qu_z
\end{pmatrix} = R\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, 0, \Delta\theta\right) \begin{pmatrix}0 \\ 0 \\ 1\end{pmatrix}$$

As the $q_z$ components are relatively large, we plotted the summation of the $q_x$ and $q_y$ components [Fig. 5(a)]. The evaluated quiver arrows are directed towards the center of the wafer because of lattice-plane bowing during wafer manufacturing. To visualize this lattice bowing, we calculated the amplitude of the $|q_x + q_y|$ vectors [Fig. 5(b)]. The center has low amplitude and, with increasing radius, the amplitude tends to increase. From these results, we can estimate that the 4-inch GaN wafer has an anisotropic curvature even though the surface normal.

---

**Fig. 3** (a) FWHM distribution as a function of the radius from the wafer center. There exists a very high-crystalline region in the wafer center; the overall quality gradually decreases from the center to the edge. (b) Probability of FWHM as a function of the radius. In region 1, the lower angular value of 0.0067° decreases rapidly. In region 2, the FWHM distribution does not change much with the angle. In region 3, the probability of 0.0124° increases rapidly, indicating a relatively low crystallinity.

**Fig. 4** Rocking curve images at $\phi = 0$ (a) and $120^\circ$ (b) are presented along with their corresponding line profiles (c) and (d), respectively. The lattice bending of the wafer edge is higher than that of the wafer center region.
direction is flat. To represent the $q$-vector distribution more simply, we evaluated the distribution of the angles between the $q$-vectors and surface normal vector [Fig. 5(c)]. The smaller values are from the wafer center; the large values are from the wafer edge and have a mean tilting angle of 0.03°, which is similar to that of a previous report. The radius of curvature evaluated from this value is about 50 m, which was larger than that in a previous report on a 2-inch GaN homo-epitaxy.

3 Conclusion

We have observed the crystallinity of a 4-inch GaN wafer substrate. The image reconstructed from the maximum intensity indicated an inhomogeneous intensity distribution. The evaluated FWHM images indicated a higher crystal quality for the seed crystal than for the grain boundaries grown from seed crystals. The crystallinity of the wafer tended to decrease from its center to its edge. The $q$-vector analysis of GaN (1124) at two different azimuthal angles revealed the overall curvature for which the $q_x$ and $q_y$ vector components were directed towards the wafer center. We believe our proposed technique will be useful in understanding the crystal quality deviations of large size wafers.
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