Journal of
Materials Chemistry A

Materials for energy and sustainability

Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: A. K. Pradhan, S. Halder and C. Chakraborty, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2024, DOI: 10.1039/D4TA05630B.

ROYAL SOCIETY OF CHEMISTRY

rsc.li/materials-a

View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/D4TA05630B

Pt-Nanoparticles on ZnO/Carbon Quantum Dots: A Trifunctional Nanocomposite with Superior Electrocatalytic Activity Bosting Direct Methanol Fuel Cell and Zinc-Air Battery

Anup Kumar Pradhan,[a] Sayan Halder,[a] and Chanchal Chakraborty*[,a,b]

[a] Department of Chemistry, Birla Institute of Technology & Science (BITS) Pilani, Hyderabad Campus. Jawaharnagar, Samirpet, Hyderabad, Telangana 500078, India.

[b] Materials Center for Sustainable Energy & Environment (McSEE), Birla Institute of Technology and Science, Hyderabad Campus, Hyderabad 500078, India

*Corresponding Author: Dr. Chanchal Chakraborty

E-mail: chanchal@hyderabad.bits-pilani.ac.in

Abstract

Architecting efficient, multifunctional, and low-cost nano-electrocatalysts plays a vital role in electrochemical energy conversion and storage systems. Low-Pt hybrid catalysts are in high demand, offering cost-effective solutions for electrode materials in direct methanol fuel cells (DMFCs) and Zn-air batteries (ZABs). Herein, we synthesized a ternary nanocomposite (PtNP-ZnO@CQDs) composed of ultrafine platinum nanoparticles (PtNPs) of below 5 nm on photosensitive ZnO and carbon quantum dots (CQDs) via a simple one-pot hydrothermal process for efficient photoinduced electrocatalytic methanol oxidation reaction (MOR), oxygen evolution reaction (OER) and oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) with commendable durability. Comprehensive characterizations through Powder X-ray Diffraction (PXRD), Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FT-IR), X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS), Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET), morphological anlysis and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) confirm the nanocomposite's structure and properties. The catalyst attains

View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/D4TA05630B

a MOR current density of 9.1 mA cm^{-2} in photoinduced electrocatalytic methanol oxidation with high CO tolerance and durability. During OER, the PtNP-ZnO@CQDs catalyst reveals a lower overpotential than the commercial RuO_2 at higher current densities over 30 mA cm^{-2}. In ORR, the catalyst showed a higher half-wave potential of 0.96 V, higher limiting current density, mass activity, and chronoamperometric stability than the commercial Pt/C used as a standard here. The PtNP-ZnO@CQDs also exhibited low peroxide yield, a high number of electron transfers, and photoinduced ORR capability, indicating its superiority over commercial Pt/C catalysts. When used in a rechargeable aqueous ZAB, the PtNP-ZnO@CQDs air cathode delivered an open circuit potential of 1.55 V with an impressive energy density of 668 Wh/kg and a specific capacity of 532 mAh/g, outperforming ZABs with commercial Pt/C and RuO_2. Interestingly, the ZAB composed of PtNP-ZnO@CQDs air cathode shows outstanding long-term cycle stability, maintaining the round trip efficiency of 66.87% after 60 h. The assembled ZABs in series successfully powered LED panels, demonstrating the potential of this low-cost, bifunctional Pt-based electrocatalyst for future ZAB commercialization.

1. Introduction

In the modern era, energy and environmental concerns take center stage. The majority of our energy needs are met by burning fossil fuels, resulting in economic imbalances and significant environmental repercussions such as the emission of greenhouse gases and harmful pollutants into the atmosphere. Energy conversion from renewable sources is considered an encouraging solution to overcome dependency on fossil fuels.[1] Thanks to continuous efforts, various electrochemical energy storage devices like supercapacitors, primary batteries, rechargeable batteries, and fuel cells have found extensive applications in portable electronics, electric vehicles, and emergency power backup systems, among others.[2–4] In the present market scenario, rechargeable lithium-ion batteries dominate the energy storage sector. However, their

View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/D4TA05630B

limited energy densities and the high price of lithium resources owing to less abundance of lithium hinder their progression.[4] In this regard, fuel cells and metal-air batteries can be suitable alternatives in the energy sector due to their high energy density.[4–6] Zinc-air batteries (ZABs) operate through metal oxidation and oxygen reduction reactions, unlike Li-ion batteries, where charge carriers move within lithium. They boast a significantly higher theoretical energy density alongside the inherent low-cost, lightweight, and small-size architecture due to semi-open architecture with air cathode.[5,7,8] Considering the cost, energy density, voltage output, charging cycle, and environmental aspects, the ZAB is most promising in the air battery category. On the other hand, direct methanol fuel cells (DMFCs) are reaping growing attention due to several specific advantages, such as using methanol as fuel with renewable and abundant resources, high volumetric energy density, portable nature, attractive pricing, and convenient storage and transportation capabilities.[9] DMFC functions with the electrocatalytic methanol oxidation reaction (MOR) at the anode, while rechargeable ZAB operates with the Zn oxidation/reduction at the metallic zinc anode. However, both systems are common in ORR, which occurs in the air cathode during the operation of DMFC and the discharging process of ZAB.[10–15] Alongside the ORR during discharging, the OER is the heart of the charging process for rechargeable ZABs.[15–18] However, the sluggish kinetics of the ORR and OER at the air electrode predominantly contribute to the limited efficiency in DMFC, as well as restricted round-trip energy efficiency (55–65%) and low charge/discharge power of ZABs.[19] Moreover, corrosion and leaching of the air electrode further diminish the cycling stability of ZABs, typically lasting less than 500 cycles under aqueous alkaline conditions. Although significant progress has been made in developing efficient and durable bifunctional oxygen electrocatalysts in recent years, and ZABs are slowly but steadily improving, they are still in their early stages of development. On the other hand, developing low-cost, highly durable catalysts with high MOR and ORR efficacy is also an everlasting challenge for DMFC.

View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/D4TA05630B

As state-of-the-art electrocatalysts, Pt-based materials remain the top preference for electrocatalysts as electrode materials for MOR/ORR in DMFC and for ORR in ZAB.[17,19,20] Owing to the limited efficacy of OER electrocatalysis, commercial Pt/C is mixed with RuO_2 in rechargeable ZABs as it needs a high-performance catalyst for both OER and ORR. Nonetheless, Pt is exceptionally costly, largely due to its reliance on approximately 10-20% Pt as the active component supported on conductive carbon materials in commercial Pt/C. Furthermore, Pt nanoparticles (Pt-NPs) tend to agglomerate over prolonged operation, diminishing catalytic efficiency and operational lifespan.[20-21] Again, the high Pt-containing electrodes always suffer from inherent CO-poisoning, which reduces the catalytic efficacy during MOR.[22-23] As a result, researchers face ongoing challenges in developing electrode materials for metal-air batteries that feature uniformly dispersed Pt-NPs with minimal Pt content.

To reduce the Pt-content in electrocatalyst system, researchers have developed different Pt-based monometallic/bimetallic/trimetallic-based nanostructures electrocatalysts like Pt-Ru, Pt-Mo, and PtCuNi for different energy devices by judicious nanoarchitectonics.[24–28] Gong et al. have investigated the efficiency of MOR and ORR using cross-double dumbbell-like Pt-Ni nanostructures.[29] Li et al. improved the MOR activity by developing the core-shell Cu-Ni@Pt-Cu nano-Octahedral structure, to name a few.[30] Although alloying technology holds significant promise, it faces limitations such as synthesis complexity, batch synthesis performance variations, CO-poisoning, and durability issues under harsh electrocatalysis conditions. Moreover, identifying the ideal alloy composition to balance MOR and OER/ORR activities, CO tolerance, and durability is challenging, with different compositions often entailing trade-offs.

Metal-nanoparticle-based composites have been introduced to mitigate the abovementioned issues and enhance the overall efficiency of electrode reactions in DMFC and

View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/D4TA05630B

ZABs.[31,32] Metal oxides contain many oxygen-containing functional groups on their surface, which enhance the catalytic efficacy by increasing -OH adsorption, ameliorating the oxidation of adsorbed species during MOR, and oxygen electrocatalysis. Pt-metal oxide nanocomposites have been employed as electrode materials to enhance DMFC performance by mitigating CO poisoning and ensuring high catalytic durability. Decorating ZnO composites with metal nanostructures represents a favorable approach to modifying the ZnO interface, indirectly facilitating the interfacial charge transfer mechanism.[33–37] Researchers developed Pt-NPs or Pt-based nanoalloy compositing with metal oxides like TiO_2, ZnO, SnO_2, and Co_3O_4 on carbon materials to improve the catalytic efficacy and durability by lowering CO poisoning.[38–42] Again, the inherent semiconducting nature of transition metal oxides, e.g., low-cost and environment-friendly ZnO, can import photo-induced catalysis alongside the electrocatalysis performance of the nanocomposite.[43,44] The heterojunction formed in the ZnO-metal nanoparticle composites can effectively increase the charge transfer and reduce the undesired recombinations during photo-induced electrocatalysis.[45,46]

More efficient heterostructures can be created by engineering the high surface area containing carbon-based nanomaterials in Pt-composites.[47,48] In this regard, carbon quantum dots (CQDs) are innovative zero-dimensional fluorescent nanomaterials with outstanding optical properties, biocompatibility, low toxicity, eco-friendliness, and facile synthesis routes.[49–51] Besides the bioimaging applications, CQDs are highly promising for energy storage and conversion owing to their strong coordination for adsorption, high surface area, and unique electron-transfer abilities.[52,53] Therefore, a ternary nanocomposite of Pt-NPs with ZnO and CQD can be a promising electrocatalyst for MOR, OER, and ORR with a lower noble metal content in DMFC and ZAB applications, improving the catalyst's efficiency and cost-effectiveness for commercial purposes.

View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/D4TA05630B

In this work, we explored a PtNP-ZnO@CQDs ternary nanocomposite synthesized via a one-pot hydrothermal process, serving as an efficient electrocatalyst for MOR, OER, and ORR suitable for DMFCs and ZABs. A novel synthesis method was employed, where Pt salt was reduced to Pt-NPs and stabilized with CQDs without additional capping agents. The Pt-ZnO-CQDs nanocomposite exhibited lower Pt nanoparticle content than commercially available Pt-C catalysts. Despite this reduction in Pt content, the novel composite demonstrated enhanced trifunctional electrocatalytic performances for MOR, OER, and ORR, which are very crucial for both DMFCs and ZABs. The reported electrochemical performance suggests the potential for replacing commercially available Pt-C catalysts in commercial applications.

2. Experimental Section

2.1 Materials

Zinc acetate dihydrate [$Zn(CH_3COO)_2 \cdot 2H_2O$] (CAS no. 5970-45-6, ≥98%), chloroplatinic acid hexahydrate ($H_2PtCl_6 \cdot 6H_2O$) (CAS no. 18497-13-7, ≥37.50% Pt basis), D-Glucose ($C_6H_{12}O_6$) (CAS no. 50-99-7, ≥99.5%), Sodium hydroxide (NaOH) (CAS no. 1310-73-2, ≥98% pellets), are purchased from Sigma Aldrich. The chemicals were used in the experiments without further purification. Distilled or Milli Q waters were used for the experimental process wherever necessary.

2.2 Structural Characterization

The characterization techniques used in this work included PXRD, studied using a Rigaku Ultima IV X-ray diffractometer with Cu Kα radiation at a scan rate of 1°/min and a step size of 0.01. XPS was used for the elemental composition. The XPS data were collected using Thermo Scientific Multilab 2000 at 15 kV and 10 mA (150 W) with AlKα radiation (1486.6 eV). For XPS analysis, the powder samples were positioned on conducting carbon tape and placed in a preparation chamber at ultrahigh vacuum (UHV) of 8.0×10^{-9} Torr for 5 hours. The sample

View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/D4TA05630B

was transferred to the analyzer chamber at 5.0 × 10^{-9} Torr. All the spectral data were documented with a step increment of 0.05 eV and a pass energy of 30 eV. The XPS data were plotted, and background correction was performed using Avantage software (Avantage 5.9931), retaining smart background correction. Peak fitting employed Gaussian functions with parameters set at convergence: 0.0001, maximum iterations: 100, fitting algorithm: Powel, and Gauss-Larentz: product. High-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) was performed using an FEI Technai G2 20 STEM instrument at 200 kV acceleration voltage. Surface morphology analysis was performed using FEI Apreo Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) at an operating voltage of 30 kV. Moreover, Thermo gravimetric Analysis (TGA) of PtNP-ZnO@CQDs materials was conducted using a Shimadzu DTG-60 instrument under an N_2 atmosphere with a heating rate of 10°C/min. Concentrations of Pt and Zn were evaluated using an Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (AAS) (Shimadzu AA-7000).

2.3 Synthesis of PtNP-ZnO@CQDs nanocomposite

As illustrated in **Fig. 1a**, a one-pot synthesis method was adopted for synthesizing PtNP-ZnO@CQDs nanocomposite. Initially, 10 mL of 500 mM D-Glucose and 10 mL of 500 mM NaOH were homogenized for 10 min at 50 ^oC. After that, 0.1 g (0.244 mmol) $H_2PtCl_6{\cdot}6H_2O$ was added as a Pt-metal precursor. The solution was mixed for another 15 minutes. Then, a 20 mL portion of 125mM of $Zn(CH_3COO)_2{\cdot}2H_2O$ was added to the previous solution and stirred for 30 min at room temperature. Consequently, NaOH solution (5 M) was added drop-wise to adjust the pH value to pH=10. The solution mixture was transferred to a Teflon-coated autoclave and heated at 85 ^oC for 3.5 h. The sediment particles were collected by washing several times with distilled water and ethanol and dried in an oven at 200 ^oC for 12h. Individual CQDs and ZnO nanoparticles were synthesized using the same procedure, maintaining similar reaction conditions without taking the other precursor.

View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/D4TA05630B

2.4 Preparation of electrodes for electrocatalysis

The catalyst was prepared by adding 3 mg of PtNP-ZnO@CQDs nanocomposite in 1 mL water-ethanol (1:2) solution to check the electrocatalytic behavior. To increase the adhesion with the electrode, 20 µL of Nafion as a binder was used. Then, the whole dispersion was kept under sonication for 1 h to make it homogeneous. After that, 5 µL of catalyst ink from the prepared homogeneous dispersion was coated on the surface of a glassy carbon electrode (GCE) having an area of 0.071 cm^2 and then dried in a vacuum oven for 24 h and then used for electrocatalytic applications. For the OER experiment, the 20µl catalyst was coated on a 0.8 × 0.8 cm^2 area of 2 × 1 cm^2 nickel foil.

2.5 MOR study:

To evaluate the methanol oxidation performance of the PtNP-ZnO@CQDs nanocomposite, we used a modified glassy carbon electrode (GCE) as the working electrode, along with a Pt wire as the counter electrode and an Ag/AgCl electrode as the reference. Prior to each analysis, the GCE surface was meticulously cleaned with different grits of alumina slurry on nylon cloth, followed by thorough rinsing with deionized water. The catalyst ink was prepared in a 1 mL water-ethanol (1:2) solution with 20 µL of Nafion, as previously described. The methanol oxidation reaction (MOR) study was conducted using an Autolab PGSTAT128N potentiostat controlled by Nova 2.1 software. The electrochemical analysis was performed in a methanol solution mixed with 1 M KOH as the electrolyte over a potential range of -0.6 to 0.2 V versus Ag/AgCl, with a scan rate of 20 mV s^{-1}.

2.6 OER & ORR study:

Oxygen evolution reaction (OER) measurements were conducted using an Autolab PGSTAT128N potentiostat controlled by Nova 2.1 software. The electrochemical experiments were performed in a conventional three-electrode system within a single-compartment cell.

View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/D4TA05630B

This setup included a glassy carbon electrode (GCE) with a drop-coated catalyst as the working electrode (WE), a platinum wire as the counter electrode, and an Ag/AgCl/3 M KCl electrode as the reference. To investigate the electrocatalytic oxygen evolution behavior of the PtNP-ZnO@CQDs nanocomposite, linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) and cyclic voltammetry (CV) were utilized. The OER was carried out using the PtNP-ZnO@CQDs film on nickel foil in a 1 M KOH solution at room temperature. LSV was performed over a potential range of 0 to 1.2 V with a scan rate of 10 mV s^{-1}. All potentials were converted to the reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) scale using the following equation: $E_{RHE} = E_{Ag/AgCl\ (sat.\ KCl)} + 0.197 + 0.0591pH$.

To assess the ORR performance of the PtNP-ZnO@CQDs nanocomposite, an electrochemical workstation equipped with a rotating disk electrode (RDE) and rotating ring-disk electrode (RRDE) was employed. The ORR was examined using an RDE modified with the nanocomposite electrocatalyst in a 0.1 M KOH solution. The ORR performance of our developed catalyst was compared with commercial 10% Pt/C. Hydrodynamic experiments, including RDE and RRDE measurements, were conducted using a modular bi-potentiostat (Metrohm Autolab) with data analysis performed via DropView 8400 software. The experiments were carried out in 0.1 M KOH at various rotation speeds ranging from 400 to 2000 rpm, with a scan rate of 10 mV s^{-1}, using a glassy carbon-platinum (GC-Pt) ring electrode as the WE (with 5 µL of catalyst drop-coated on the GCE disk) in a three-electrode assembly. Measurements were conducted under inert conditions (purged with Ar) and oxygen-saturated conditions by continuously bubbling O_2 into the electrolyte for 20 minutes before the start of the experiment and maintaining a constant flow throughout the measurements.

2.7 Fabrication and evaluation of ZAB

View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/D4TA05630B

A lab-made liquid Zn–air battery was assembled using PtNP-ZnO@CQDs on Ni foam as the air cathode and a polished Zn plate as the anode, with an electrolyte solution of 6 M KOH and 0.2 M zinc acetate. The air cathode was prepared by drop-casting the catalytic ink onto one side of the Ni foam. The catalyst ink was prepared as previously described, and 20 µL of the resulting ink was applied to one side of the nickel foam and dried at 60 °C in a hot air oven. For comparison, another Zn–air battery was assembled using a commercially available RuO_2 and 10% Pt/C mixture (1:1) as the air cathode, with the same catalyst loading. All Zn–air battery experiments were conducted using an OrigaFlex OGF500 electrochemical workstation. The specific capacity and energy density were calculated by using the following equation:

Specific capacity = (current × time)/weight of zinc consumed

Energy density = (current × time × average discharge voltage/ weight of zinc consumed)

3. Results and discussions

3.1 Structural analysis

A one-pot hydrothermal method has been introduced to synthesize PtNP-ZnO@CQDs nanocomposite**,** as shown in **Fig. 1a**. After adding the Pt-precursor into the alkaline D-glucose solution, the light yellow turned dark brown (as shown in **Fig. S1** in electronic supplementary information or ESI), indicating the formation of PtNPs inside the solution. As a reducing sugar, D-glucose reduced the Pt-precursor to PtNPs and served as the stabilizing agent for PtNPs. The previously prepared zinc precursor solution was mixed with PtNP-containing alkaline glucose solution to prepare the PtNP-ZnO@CQDs nanocomposite through a green, *in-situ* hydrothermal reaction for 3.5 h, followed by annealing at 200 ^{o}C for 12 h. Overall, D-glucose was a precursor for CQDs and acted as a capping agent for stabilizing PtNPs, demonstrating a versatile and cost-effective approach for nanomaterial synthesis with potential applications in various fields.[54]

View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/D4TA05630B

The XRD pattern of PtNP-ZnO@CQDs nanocomposite is illustrated in **Fig. 1b.** The exhibited peaks in the ternary nanocomposite exactly match the simulated ZnO (ICSD no. 31060) and PtNPs (ICSD no. 180981). ZnO in the composite confirms the wurtzite structure as the PXRD of the composites reveals the peaks at 2θ values of 32.09°, 34.85°, 36.77°, 48.02°, 57.22°, 63.05° and 77.57° correspond to the planes of (100), (002), (101), (102), (110), (103), and (202), respectively. The peak intensity of ZnO is high at the plane (101) due to the more preferable orientation. Additionally, the PXRD pattern of CQDs in **Fig. S2a** of the ESI revealed their amorphous nature, attributed to the lack of long-range crystalline order. As a result, the absence of characteristic peaks for CQD in the XRD pattern of the PtNP-ZnO@CQDs composite is expected, as CQDs typically exhibit an amorphous structure. Nonetheless, incorporating CQDs in the PtNP-ZnO@CQDs composite is strongly supported by complementary techniques such as HRTEM, which provides detailed imaging, and FTIR, which confirms the presence of CQD-specific functional groups. The face-centered cubic (fcc) structures of PtNPs can be confirmed by evaluating the planes (111) and (220) at 2θ values of 40.29° and 68.35°, respectively.[55] Again, the stability of ZnO in alkaline media is a concern, as ZnO tends to dissolve in highly alkaline environments due to the formation of soluble zincate species [$Zn(OH)_4^{2-}$]. The stability of ZnO of synthesized PtNP-ZnO@CQDs composite in alkaline media is further confirmed by dipping the composite in 1 M KOH for 50 hours. The unchanged PXRD pattern (in **Fig. S2b** of ESI) and the appearance of all the XRD peaks responsible for ZnO were observed in the PtNP-ZnO@CQDs composite, indicating the stability of the synthesized catalyst in an alkaline medium. The exceptional alkaline stability of the PtNP-ZnO@CQDs catalyst arises from the protective roles of CQDs and PtNPs, which enhance ZnO's stability by forming a stabilizing layer, reducing surface defects, and minimizing exposure to alkaline conditions.[56] At the same time, PtNPs contribute to improving

View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/D4TA05630B

the stability of ZnO through a strong metal–support interaction (SMSI). The synergistic interactions within the composite ensure its effective stability in alkaline electrolyte conditions.



Fig. 1 (a) Schematics of preparation of the synthesis of PtNP-ZnO@CQDs. (b) X-ray diffraction pattern of PtNP-ZnO@CQDs compared with simulated ZnO and PtNP pattern. (c) FT-IR of PtNP-ZnO@CQDs (d) N_2-isotherm of PtNP-ZnO@CQDs. The pore size distribution plot is given in the inset. Core level XPS spectra of (e) C1s, (f) Zn 2p, and (g) Pt 4f.

FT-IR analysis is performed to define the interaction between nanocomposite heterostructures. **Fig. 1c.** shows the characteristic peak for Zn-O vibration at 676 cm^{-1}. PtNP-ZnO@CQDs also revealed the C=C stretching band for aromatic hydrocarbons at 1614 cm^{-1}. As the material has oxygen-containing groups and surface-adsorbed water molecules, the

View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/D4TA05630B

stretching of C-O and –OH are observed at 1042 cm^{-1} and 3310 cm^{-1}, respectively. Additionally, The peak at 1369 cm^{-1} is attributed to C–O stretching from surface carboxyl groups (–COOH) on CQDs, while the 1500 cm^{-1} peak corresponds to C=C stretching in aromatic rings, linked to the sp^2-hybridized carbon structure. So, the appearance of the characteristic FTIR peaks confirms the presence of CQDs in the composite structure. Specific surface area and porosity were examined using a BET surface analyzer, and the results are tabulated in **Table S1** in ESI. PtNP-ZnO@CQDs nanocomposite reveals a type IV isotherm in the adsorption and desorption curve, as shown in **Fig. 1d**. The specific surface area of the nanocomposite is calculated as 81.56 m^2/g, with a total pore volume of 0.203 cm^3/g. The pore distribution plot, as shown in the inset of **Fig. 1d**, discloses the mesoporous nature of the composite with a mean pore diameter of ~6.93 nm. The composition of the surface atoms of the PtNP-ZnO@CQDs nanocomposite was determined through an XPS study. The XPS survey spectra of the nanocomposite **(Fig. S3a** in ESI**)** demonstrate Zn, C, O, and Pt as the constituent elements. **Fig. 1e** represents the core level XPS spectra of C 1s, which are fitted into three distinct peaks at 283.8, 285.2, and 288 eV, corresponding to sp^2 (C-C), sp^3 (C-C, C-H) and C=O, respectively. In C 1s spectra, Zn-C does not exist, denoting that the CQDs are not present as a dopant in PtNP-ZnO@CQDs nanocomposite. The core level spectra of O 1s in **Fig. S3b** in ESI reveal three peaks at 529.2, 530, and 531.4 eV corresponding to C=O, C-OH or C-O-C, and Zn-O. The peak assigned to Zn-O in the O 1s spectrum is tentatively attributed to the oxygen-zinc bond, influenced by the varying electronic environment of the zinc atom due to its unique electronic properties.[57] Again, the core level XPS spectra of Zn 2p (**Fig. 1f**) reveal two peaks observed at 1022.1 and 1045.2 eV related to Zn $2P_{3/2}$ and Zn $2p_{1/2}$, respectively, with almost 2:1 intensity ratio.[58] The Pt 4f XPS spectra (in **Fig. 1g**) can be resolved into two characteristic peaks corresponding to the spin-orbit split states, $4f_{7/2}$ and $4f_{5/2}$, with an intensity ratio of approximately 4:3. The deconvoluted peaks at binding energies of 71.0 eV ($4f_{7/2}$) and

View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/D4TA05630B

74.32 eV ($4f_{5/2}$) are attributed to Pt in its metallic state (Pt^0). In addition, peaks at 72.41 eV ($4f_{7/2}$) and 75.59 eV ($4f_{5/2}$) are associated with the Pt^{2+} oxidation state.[59,60] Notably, a minor contribution from Pt^{4+} is also observed, indicated by a binding energy peak at 76.77 eV.

The SEM image study of PtNP-ZnO@CQDs nanocomposite reveals the formation of spherical structures of nanocomposite materials, as shown in **Fig. 2a.** The energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) **(Fig. 2b)** through SEM study discloses the presence of C, Zn, Pt, and O as the primary elements in the nanocomposite. Again, the elemental mapping through the EDX study demonstrates the homogeneous distribution of all the constitution elements throughout the nanocomposite (**Fig. 2c-g**). The individual elemental weight percentage and atomic percentages of all the constituents from the EDX study are summarized in **Table S2** in ESI. Interestingly, the Pt and ZnO content in the PtNP-ZnO@CQDs nanocomposite were determined via EDX analysis to be 3.65 wt% and 17.97 wt%, respectively. These elemental analysis results were compared with the XPS elemental analysis, as shown in **Table S3** in the ESI, indicating a strong agreement between both measurement techniques. However, these two techniques can provide the weight percentage of the surface elements, not the bulk. The atomic absorption spectroscopy (AAS) was performed to evaluate the bulk Pt and Zn loading. The AAS study reveals (**Table S3**) the Pt and Zn loading as 4.75% and 19.22%, respectively, in the composite. Accordingly, the weight percentage loading of ZnO was determined to be 23.92%. HR-TEM was performed to study the in-depth morphology of the PtNP-ZnO@CQDs nanocomposite. The TEM images in **Fig. 2h-i** divulge the spherical PtNPs below 5 nm and ZnO nanoparticles of ~10 nm. Besides the PtNPs, shaded circles in **Fig. 2i** are observed with an average particle size ranging from approximately 2 to 3 nanometers. These circles exhibit an amorphous nature and lack distinct lattice fringes, thus confirming the presence of CQDs. **Fig. 2j** displays the lattice fringes of ZnO nanoparticles with a fringe spacing of approximately 0.32 nm, preferably oriented to the (101) plane to confirm the wurtzite structure of ZnO

View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/D4TA05630B

nanoparticles. Lattice fringes of PtNPs are also observed with a fringe spacing of approximately 0.22 nm, which is in accordance with the (111) atomic plane.



Fig. 2 (a) SEM image of PtNP-ZnO@CQDs (b) EDX spectra of PtNP-ZnO@CQDs. EDX elemental mapping of PtNP-ZnO@CQDs to show (c) all the elements together and individual elements, (d) C, (e) O, (f) Zn, and (g) Pt. TEM of PtNP-ZnO@CQDs in (h) low magnification, (i) high magnification, and (j) HR-TEM image of PtNP-ZnO@CQDs with lattice fringes.

3.2 Electrocatalytic MOR study

As previously mentioned, assessing the performance of electrocatalytic MOR is crucial for the practical implementation of DMFC. MOR entails a challenging six-electron transfer process, representing a key anodic reaction in DMFCs. The DMFC performance of PtNP-ZnO@CQDs was evaluated using a modified glassy carbon electrode (GCE) with a Pt wire counter electrode and Ag/AgCl reference electrode. The catalytic performance of the synthesized catalyst was then compared to that of the Pt/C catalyst. Using an Autolab PGSTAT128N potentiostat, the study utilized methanol and 1 M KOH electrolyte over -0.6 to 0.2 V at 20 mV s^{-1}.

As shown in **Fig. 3a**, the PtNP-ZnO@CQDs composite shows no characteristic peak in the CV study without methanol. However, after adding methanol, a significant anodic peak is observed with a current density of 4.93 mA cm^{-2} at -0.14 V (vs. Ag/AgCl) during MOR on PtNP-ZnO@CQDs. Again, in reverse bias, a small peak is revealed at -0.30 V (vs. Ag/AgCl) with 0.54 mA cm^{-2} specific current density owing to the oxidation of carbonaceous products, like CO, formed during the incomplete oxidation of methanol. As shown in **Fig. 3a**, the resulting MOR current density of PtNP-ZnO@CQDs exceeded the MOR current density of commercial Pt/C catalysts. This enhancement is due to the synergistic interaction between PtNPs and the ZnO@CQDs support, which provides a high surface area and improves the electron transfer and methanol adsorption kinetics to ameliorate the MOR. In a standard MOR, the peak current density during the forward scan (I_f) reflects the dehydrogenation of adsorbed methanol to generate Pt-bound carbonaceous species such as CO.[61] The ratio of forward scan current density (I_f) to backward scan current density (I_b) indicates catalytic efficiency and catalyst poisoning. The I_f/I_b ratio for PtNP-ZnO@CQDs is determined as 9.12. The high value of the ratio denotes the negligible catalyst poisoning for PtNP-ZnO@CQDs. We performed the cycle study up to the 100th MOR CV cycle to interpret the cycle stability. **Fig. S4a** in ESI reveals no such significant decay of the oxidative current density in forward or backward bias

View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/D4TA05630B

even after the 100th CV cycle. To check the stability of electrode material during methanol oxidation, we performed the chronoamperometry test, as shown in **Fig. 3b**. The chronoamperometry curve of PtNP-ZnO@CQDs catalyst tested in 1M KOH containing 1 M methanol at an applied current density of -0.1 V (vs Ag/AgCl), demonstrates a constant current density throughout the study of 24 h. After 24 hours of chronoamperometric testing, the PtNP-ZnO@CQDs-modified electrode maintains a current density of 3.46 mA cm^{-2}, indicating a retention of 77% of its initial current density.



Fig. 3. (a) CV plots of PtNP-ZnO@CQDs and commercial Pt/C modified GCE with and without 1M methanol in 1M KOH at a scan rate of 20 mV s^{-1}. (b) Chronoamperometry and (c) Nyquist plot of PtNP-ZnO@CQDs modified GCE with 1M methanol in 1 M KOH. (d) CV plot of PtNP-ZnO@CQDs modified GCE with 1M methanol in 1M KOH at a scan rate of 20 mV s^{-1} in presence and absence of white LED light. The instrument setup image is given in the inset (e) Chronoamperometry study of MOR system in dark and light switching (f) Band diagram of ZnO and CQDs for photoinduced electrocatalytic MOR.

PtNP-ZnO@CQDs modified GCE electrode reveals the linear dependency of MOR activity with methanol concentration (**Fig. S4b**). Four different molar concentrations of methanol, e.g., 0.5M, 1M, 1.5M, and 2M, were used to check the MOR efficacy of PtNP-ZnO@CQDs modified electrode on GCE. The modified nanocomposite loaded GCE to check the enhancement of the electrochemical energy conversion reaction. The increment of current densities is observed from 3.79 to 6.41 mA cm^{-2} by applying the same potential window of -0.6 to 0.2 V (vs. Ag/AgCl) with a scan rate of 20 mV s^{-1}. The EIS study was performed to evaluate the transport properties of the catalyst during the MOR performance. As shown in **Fig. 3c**, the Nyquist plot divulges the semicircle in the high-frequency region, exhibiting the charge transfer resistance (R_{ct}) as ~ 20 Ω. At the lower frequency region, a Warburg impedance is observed with a straight inclination at ~45°. The lower R_{ct} exhibited by PtNP-ZnO@CQDs indicates improved kinetics in the context of charge transfer during MOR operation.

The better CO tolerance, as evidenced by the high I_f/I_b values in the MOR CV plot, was again assessed by introducing CO over the catalyst-modified GCE for 15 minutes in a 1 M KOH solution, as depicted in **Fig. S4c**. CV was conducted at a scan rate of 20 mV/s within a potential range of -0.6 to 0.2 V (vs. Ag/AgCl). **Figure S4d** in ESI shows a negligible oxidation at -0.23 V (vs. Ag/AgCl) exclusively attributed to oxidation of adsorbed CO on the catalyst surface after exposing the PtNP-ZnO@CQDs modified GCE in the CO environment for 15 min. The result indicates the effectiveness of PtNP-ZnO@CQDs catalyst for CO tolerance.

The superior MOR performance is credited to the active site of the PtNPs on the ZnO/CQDs matrix. The high specific surface area of the composite and low charge transfer resistance coupled with better ion diffusion in PtNP-ZnO@CQDs catalyst facilitates the electrocatalytic MOR performance. Commonly, the MOR electrocatalytic performance starts with the adsorption of the methanol on the electrode surface, as shown in **Eq. 1.** In this concern, the high surface area and the presence of heteroatoms in CQDs provide better adsorption of

View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/D4TA05630B

methanol. Afterward, according to **Eq. 2,** the anodic oxidation of methanol happens to release six electrons and carbon dioxide.

$$CH_3OH \rightarrow (CH_3OH)_{ad} \quad (1)$$

$$\text{Anode: } (CH_3OH)_{ad} + 6OH^- \rightarrow CO_2 + 6e^- + 5H_2O \quad (2)$$

As stated earlier, incomplete oxidation produces CO on the catalyst surface to provide a rapid deactivation of the Pt catalyst to weaken its technological practicality. The oxidation of the adsorbed CO on the PtNP surface by the generated −OH species on the Pt surface at a higher potential undesirably slows down the MOR efficacy.[62] However, the presence of ZnO and the CQDs minimizes CO poisoning as they absorbed the −OH and consequently converted the catalyst-adsorbed CO to CO_2 to restore the active sites of PtNPs for continuous MOR performances.[34,39,40]

Under visible light illumination, PtNP-ZnO@CQDs modified GCE also exhibits a significant enhancement in electrocatalytic MOR performance, amplifying the current density in forward scan (I_f) to 9.1 mA cm^{-2}, which is almost double of the I_f measured in dark condition (**Fig. 3d**) . Notably, this photoinduced MOR enhancement can be attributed to a higher weight percentage of photosensitive ZnO. Further investigation through chronoamperometry measurements shed light on the effects of periodic light irradiation on the catalyst-modified GCE. Initially, chronoamperometry curves, as shown in **Fig. 3e**, indicate the lower current density due to the MOR without light conditions. However, when the white LED light is applied for 10s to the PtNP-ZnO@CQDs-modified electrode, the current density sharply increases till the light shines. This observation underscores the positive impact of light treatment on the electrocatalytic MOR process within the PtNP-ZnO@CQDs. The photoinduced electrocatalytic MOR performance was completely reversible, as shown in **Fig. 3e**.

View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/D4TA05630B

The enhancement of the photoelectrochemical methanol oxidation activity in PtNP-ZnO@CQDs under light irradiation stems from the strong metal–support interaction (SMSI) between PtNPs and ZnO/CQD matrix, along with the light-induced enhancement of charge transport properties. Initially, the SMSI between the Pt NPs and the ZnO/CQDs matrix was characterized through XPS analysis, as presented in **Fig. S5** of the ESI. The core-level Pt 4f spectra show a negative shift in the PtNP-ZnO@CQDs composite compared to the commercial Pt/C reference, indicating charge transfer at the interfaces between the PtNPs and the ZnO/CQDs.[63] This negative shift confirms the presence of SMSI, which enhances the catalytic activity of the material. Similar investigations have previously analyzed the electronic structure to compare SMSI strength across different metals and their respective supports, supporting our findings.[64] This synergy facilitates the movement of the Fermi level within wurtzite ZnO and CQDs, assisting charge separation owing to the SMSI interaction of Pt NPs. Positive bias and light irradiation generate electron-hole pairs that participate in surface redox reactions, bolstering photocurrent. Moreover, photogenerated holes migrate to the catalyst surface, transforming OH^-/H_2O species into •OH radicals, potent oxidant species that further oxidize adsorbed species like CH_3OH (**Fig. 3f**).[65,66] Again, the reactive •OH radicals can also oxidize the absorbed CO, if any, to play a key role in suppressing catalyst poisoning.[67]



Fig. 4. (a) LSV of PtNP-ZnO@CQDs, ZnO, CQDs, and commercially available RuO_2 catalyst during OER. (b) Tafel plots of PtNP-ZnO@CQDs and RuO_2 (c) Bar diagram of OER overpotentials of PtNP-ZnO@CQDs and RuO_2 at different current densities. (d) Chronoamperometry study of PtNP-ZnO@CQDs modified electrode. (e) LSV plots of PtNP-ZnO@CQDs at initial and after 25h of chronoamperometric study. (f) Nyquist plot of PtNP-ZnO@CQDs, ZnO, and CQDs. The equivalent circuit is given in the inset.

3.3 OER activity of PtNP-ZnO@CQDs

OER measurements were conducted using an Autolab PGSTAT128N potentiostat in a three-electrode system, with a GCE coated with PtNP-ZnO@CQDs as the working electrode. Linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) and CV investigated the electrocatalytic behavior in a 1 M KOH solution, with LSV over 0 to 1.2 V at a scan rate of 10 mV s^{-1}. **Fig. 4a** represents the LSV curve for significant OER activity of PtNP-ZnO@CQDs nanocomposite as it reveals a low overpotential of 355 mV at 10 mA cm^{-2}, which is only 71 mV higher than the overpotential of benchmark catalyst RuO_2 (284 mV at 10 mA cm^{-2}) at similar conditions. However, PtNP-ZnO@CQDs show less OER overpotential than constituent materials like ZnO and pristine CQDs. The OER kinetics in alkaline conditions can be observed from the Tafel slope, as shown

View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/D4TA05630B

in **Fig. 4b**. The Tafel slope of PtNP-ZnO@CQDs is determined as 61.7 mV dec^{-1}, which is lesser than that of the commercial benchmark RuO_2 (73.5 mV dec^{-1}). From **Fig. 4c**, the bar diagram of overpotential at various current densities reveals that the overpotential of PtNP-ZnO@CQDs is relatively higher than RuO_2 at lower current densities (till 30 mA cm^{-2}). However, it becomes lower compared to RuO_2 at higher current densities, making it superior for OER operation at higher current densities. The higher current density at low overpotential is always desirable for industrial standards. Additionally, the OER performances of PtNP-ZnO@CQDs are compared with recently reported catalysts, as shown in **Table S4** of the ESI, to highlight the comparable electrocatalytic OER activity of the synthesized catalyst. From the table, it is evidenced that our catalysts, PtNP-ZnO@CQDs, exhibited as per or better OER performances than the recently published highly reputed articles. The chronoamperometric study, as shown in **Fig. 4d**, unveils the tremendous durability after 25 h of continuous OER process, as it retains 98% of its initial current density. The polarization curve after a 25 h chronoamperometry study is slightly lower than the initial LSV of PtNP-ZnO@CQDs modified electrode (**Fig. 4e**), demonstrating the robustness and resilience of the nanocomposite for OER in the long run. This decent durability can be achieved due to the unique heterostructure of the nanocomposite material. To correlate the energy efficiency of electrocatalytic oxygen evolution, the Faradic Efficiency (FE) was measured using a water displacement method adopted from our earlier reports.[18,68] In this process, a constant potential of 1.6 v (vs. RHE) was applied for 30 minutes (**Fig. S6a**), and the oxygen produced by the PtNP-ZnO@CQDs modified anode was measured **(**as shown in **Fig. S6b**). The detailed procedure for determining FE and the corresponding set-up are given in **Fig. S6** in ESI. The OER process reveals a high FE of 93 %, unveiling the significant OER efficacy of PtNP-ZnO@CQDs. The EIS study during the OER was performed to understand the transport properties. The Nyquist plot in **Fig. 4f** reveals low polarization resistance for the PtNP-ZnO@CQDs modified electrode compared

View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/D4TA05630B

to the constituent materials ZnO and CQDs. The significant electrocatalytic behavior of PtNP-ZnO@CQDs nanocomposite was further evaluated by calculating the double-layer capacitance (C_{dl}), ECSA, and roughness factor (R_f) electrochemically. The C_{dl} value of PtNP-ZnO@CQDs was obtained from the CV plots at the non-faradic region by applying a potential range of 0 to 0.1 V (vs. Ag/AgCl) measuring at different scan rates of 10-50 mV s^{-1} in 1 M KOH, as shown in **Fig. S7a** in ESI. The C_{dl} value is calculated as 4.1 mF/cm^2 (**Fig. S7b**), and accordingly, the ECSA and R_f of PtNP-ZnO@CQDs are calculated as 68.3 cm^2 and 106.7, respectively. Furthermore, the ECSA of the intermediate ZnO and CQDs was evaluated using CV studies, following the procedure outlined in Fig. **S7c-f** of the ESI. A summary of the electrochemical parameters for the synthesized catalyst and the constituent materials is provided in **Table S5** of the ESI. Notably, the final PtNP-ZnO@CQDs composite exhibited the highest ECSA and the lowest R_{CT} value, indicating superior electrochemical performance. The high ECSA value as active sites and mesoporosity in PtNP-ZnO@CQDs for swift ion diffusion and low charge transfer resistance significantly ameliorate the electrocatalytic OER efficacy of PtNP-ZnO@CQDs.

3.4. Electrocatalytic ORR study

Initially, the cathodic linear sweep voltammetry (CLSV) plot was obtained in O_2-saturated 0.1M KOH at 10 mV s^{-1} scan rate with 1600 rpm. As shown in **Fig. 5a**, the PtNP-ZnO@CQDs modified electrode exhibits a higher limiting current density of 5.0 mA cm^{-2} than the commercial Pt-C (4.2 mA cm^{-2}) and other constituents like ZnO (1.58 mA cm^{-2}) and CQDs (1.53 mA cm^{-2}). Tafel plots were plotted to evaluate the mechanism and kinetic rate of the catalysts relative to ORR efficiency. As depicted in **Fig. 5b**, PtNP-ZnO@CQDs containing electrodes exhibit a comparable Tafel slope of 135.85 mV dec^{-1} compared to Pt/C (130.28 mV dec^{-1}). The PtNP-ZnO@CQDs reveals the highest onset potential of 1.04 V (vs. RHE) and $E_{1/2}$ value of 0.96 V (vs. RHE), surpassing ZnO (onset potential = 0.62 V and $E_{1/2}$ = 0.56 V vs.

View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/D4TA05630B

RHE), CQDs (onset potential = 0.71 V and $E_{1/2}$ = 0.48 V vs. RHE) and the commercial Pt/C (onset potential = 1.02 V and $E_{1/2}$ = 0.86 V vs. RHE) as represented in **Fig. 5c**. So, the CLSV plot specifies the superior ORR performance in PtNP-ZnO@CQDs over the constituent materials and the commercial Pt/C. The noticeable reduction peak was also detected in CV profiles, as shown in **Fig. S8a** in ESI, under an oxygen-saturated environment compared to the inert argon environment, indicating the excellent oxygen reduction activity of PtNP-ZnO@CQDs. The mass activities of the Pt-based electrocatalysts are provided in **Fig. 5d**, revealing the 2.2 times increase in mass activity in PtNP-ZnO@CQDs (0.426 A mg_{Pt}^{-1}) compared to the Pt/C (0.196 A mg_{Pt}^{-1}). Taking the BET surface area into account, the specific activity of the PtNP-ZnO@CQDs was determined as 0.028 mA cm^{-2}. For better understanding, the ORR performance (limiting current density, mass activity, $E_{1/2}$, etc.) of PtNP-ZnO@CQDs is compared with the Pt-containing ORR electrocatalysts in recent reports in **Table S6** in ESI, demonstrating the comparable or better ORR performance in PtNP-ZnO@CQDs despite low Pt-content. Like the MOR, PtNP-ZnO@CQDs modified electrode also demonstrates a significant enhancement in ORR performance under light irradiation as it exhibits a higher limiting current density of 6.1 mA cm^{-2} (**Fig. S8b**). The photogenerated electrons in ZnO or CQDs contribute to oxygen reduction along with the electrocatalytic ORR in the presence of light to enhance the ORR performance of PtNP-ZnO@CQDs composite.



Fig. 5 (a) CLSV curve of PtNP-ZnO@CQDs, ZnO, CQDs, commercial Pt/C catalysts. (b) Tafel slope of the catalysts (c) Bar diagram to compare different catalysts' onset and half-wave potential. (d) Bar diagram to compare the mass activity of different catalysts. (e) Number of electrons transferred and H_2O_2 yield of PtNP-ZnO@CQDs and Pt-carbon catalyst. (f) Chronoamperometric plot of PtNP-ZnO@CQDs and Pt/C catalyst during ORR.

The number of electrons transferred and the percentage of peroxide yield are very important to obtain the effective pathway of ORR activity of the nanocomposite. The number of electron transfers close to 4 is much desired as the electrochemical pathway exhibits less yield of undesired hydrogen peroxide in the process. Details of the calculation using the disk current and ring current obtained from RRDE measurements are provided in ESI, and the data are shown in **Fig. 5e**. In a wide range of potential of 0.6 to 0.1 V, the number of electron transfers varies from 3.70 to 3.90 for PtNP-ZnO@CQDs and 3.25 to 3.85 for commercial Pt/C catalysts, as shown in **Fig. 5e**. Accordingly, the peroxide yield also varies from 28 to 19% in PtNP-ZnO@CQDs and 53% to 24% in commercially available Pt/C. So, a high number of electron transfers and a lower yield of peroxide for PtNP-ZnO@CQDs further advocate the superiority of our nanocomposite catalyst over commercial high Pt-containing Pt/C. The

View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/D4TA05630B

chronoamperometric stability of the prepared PtNP-ZnO@CQDs catalysts at an applied potential of 0.7 V (vs. RHE) was examined over 24 hours in an O_2 -saturated 0.1 M KOH solution at 400 rpm, and the relative percentage of retained current density was compared with the commercially available Pt/C catalyst. Remarkably, among the two materials, the PtNP-ZnO@CQDs retains ~90% of initial current density after 24 h (**Fig. 5f**). However, the commercial Pt/C shows only ~75% retention of initial current density after 8 h, recommending the robust performance of the PtNP-ZnO@CQDs towards ORR. Additionally, we varied the amounts of Pt and Zn to evaluate the electrocatalytic ORR activity of the ternary PtNP-ZnO@CQDs composite, as shown in **Fig. S9** of the ESI. The results clearly demonstrate a direct correlation between Pt content and ORR activity, with an increase in Pt concentration leading to enhanced ORR performance.

The individual OER and ORR activities of ZnO and CQDs, as depicted in **Fig. 4a & 5a**, show significantly higher overpotentials and lower current densities than the PtNP-ZnO@CQDs composite. This highlights the distinct catalytic roles of Pt, ZnO, and CQDs within the composite. PtNPs, with their high surface energy in NPs and superior electron transfer capabilities, serve as the primary catalytic sites, effectively lowering the activation energy for reactions like the ORR, thereby enhancing overall activity. ZnO, functioning as a semiconductor, not only facilitates electron mobility but also provides a stable matrix for PtNPs, improving charge separation and electron transfer to accelerate reaction kinetics. Its bandgap allows visible light absorption in photocatalytic applications, aiding in electron-hole pair generation. Meanwhile, CQDs act as electron reservoirs, promoting charge transfer within the composite. The high surface area of quantum dots enhances the adsorption to elevate the catalytic activity. Furthermore, CQDs' large surface area increases catalytic site availability and improves PtNP dispersion, preventing aggregation and maintaining high efficiency. In the PtNP-ZnO@CQDs composite, a synergistic effect emerges, where PtNPs and CQDs

View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/D4TA05630B

collaboratively enhance catalytic performance. ZnO and CQDs stabilize the PtNPs, preventing degradation, while ZnO and PtNPs together facilitate efficient charge transfer, collectively boosting the overall catalytic efficiency.



Fig. 6 (a) Schematic diagram of PtNP-ZnO@CQDs-based rechargeable ZAB. (b) OCP study and (c) Polarization curves with corresponding areal power density plot of PtNP-ZnO@CQDs (blue line) and Pt/C-RuO_2-based (black line) ZABs. **(d)** The specific capacitance plots of two ZABs at 2 mA/cm^2 current density. **(e)** The charging-discharging polarization curves for two ZABs. (f) The EIS study of assembled PtNP-ZnO@CQDs-based rechargeable ZAB. (g) Long-term cyclic stability of PtNP-ZnO@CQDs (blue line) and Pt/C-RuO_2 (black line) containing ZABs at a current density of 5 mA/cm^2.

View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/D4TA05630B

3.5 Zinc-Air Battery

Considering the superior bi-functional ORR and OER activity of PtNP-ZnO@CQDs, we further investigated the laboratory-made ZAB utilizing PtNP-ZnO@CQDs nanocomposite as the electrode materials.[69] The rechargeable ZAB was assembled using PtNP-ZnO@CQDs or Pt/C-RuO_2 modified Ni-foam as the cathode and metallic Zn plate as anode with an aqueous electrolyte containing 6.0 M KOH and 0.2 M $Zn(CH_3COO)_2$, as shown in **Fig. 6a**. Initially, the PtNP-ZnO@CQDs containing ZAB displays an open circuit potential (OCP) of 1.55 V, as shown in **Fig. 6b**. The OCP changes very little with operation as the PtNP-ZnO@CQDs-based ZAB exhibits the OCP of 1.49 V after 6 h of continuous operation. On the other hand, Pt/C-RuO_2-based ZAB reveals an OCP of 1.42 V, which is reduced to 1.39 V after 6 h of operation. The PtNP-ZnO@CQDs-containing ZAB demonstrates the highest areal power density of 148 mW/cm^2 at a current density of 225 mA/cm^2, exceeding the commercially available Pt/C-RuO_2 catalyst-based ZAB (highest power density 118.6 mW/cm^2 at a current density of 120 mA/cm^2), as shown in **Fig. 6c**. Additionally, the mass of the consumed Zn anode was normalized to determine the high specific capacity of PtNP-ZnO@CQDs catalyst as 532 mAh/g at 2 mA/cm^2 with a gravimetric energy density of 668 Wh/kg (**Fig. 6d**). These values are much higher than the specific capacity and gravimetric energy density of Pt/C-RuO_2 containing ZAB revealed as 510 mAh/cm^2 and 586 Wh/kg, respectively. The charge-discharge polarization curves of two ZABs in **Fig. 6e** reveal a smaller charge-discharge interval is required for the PtNP-ZnO@CQDs-based ZAB (0.69 V at 50 mA/cm^2, 1.47 V at 150 mA/cm^2, 1.87 V at 250 mA/cm^2) than Pt/C-RuO_2 catalyst-based ZAB (1.02 V at 50 mA/cm^2, 1.76 V at 150 mA/cm^2, 2.18 V at 250 mA/cm^2), signifying much better rechargeability in PtNP-ZnO@CQDs-based ZAB. Furthermore, PtNP-ZnO@CQDs-based ZAB was examined by impedance measurement, as shown in **Fig. 6f**. The Nyquist plot represents the charge-transfer resistance (R_{ct}) of the air electrode as 6.5 Ω, approving the better conductivity of the PtNP-

View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/D4TA05630B

ZnO@CQDs catalyst as air cathode. Maintaining long-term cycle stability is a crucial feature of rechargeable ZABs. The long-term rechargeability of PtNP-ZnO@CQDs-based ZAB by continuous galvanostatic discharge-charge cycling performance study was performed for more than 60 at a current density of 5 mA/cm^2, and the plot is represented in **Fig. 6f**. The PtNP-ZnO@CQDs catalyst-based ZAB reveals an initial round trip efficiency of 77.16 % and sustains round trip efficiency of 66.87% even after 60 h. The slight degradation of the battery life can be attributed to the degradation of the zinc anode by dendrite growth on the zinc metal surface (as shown in **Fig. S10** in ESI) rather than the PtNP-ZnO@CQDs-based air cathode, implying the PtNP-ZnO@CQDs air electrode has a much higher cycle life than zinc anode. However, under the same condition, Pt/C-RuO_2 catalyst-based ZAB demonstrated a raid degradation of cyclic performance only after 12 h with a wide potential gap (**Fig. 6f**). To evaluate the chemical stability of the catalyst after use, the post-catalyst characterizations after ZAB operation were evaluated by using XPS and SEM studies. The practical application of the fabricated ZAB with PtNP-ZnO@CQDs air cathode was tested by using two ZABs in a series connection, providing the open circuit potential of 2.7 V, as shown in **Fig. 7a.** The assembled ZABs in series combinations can light 1.8 V red LED light panel (18 red LED in a series for the photo frame panel), 3.4 V blue LED light and 6 V white LED light, indicating the potential commercial application of the PtNP-ZnO@CQDs catalyst in replacement of commercially available Pt/C-RuO_2 mixture as air electrode for ZAB.



Fig. 7 (a) The assembled ZABs are made up of PtNP-ZnO@CQD catalysts in a series combination. The assembled ZAB lights of (b) 1.8 V red LED light panel. (c) 3.4 V blue LED light. (d) 6 V white LED light.

4. Conclusion

In summary, a ternary nanocomposite containing ultrafine PtNPs decorated on photosensitive ZnO and CQDs is synthesized using a simple one-pot hydrothermal synthesis as a trifunctional electrocatalyst for high-performance MOR, OER, and ORR with decent durability. The low Pt-content nanocomposite PtNP-ZnO@CQDs is thoroughly characterized by XRD, FT-IR, XPS, BET analysis, SEM, EDX, HRTEM, etc. During MOR, the PtNP-ZnO@CQDs catalyst exhibited a significant anodic peak current density of 4.93 mA cm^{-2} at -0.14 V (vs. Ag/AgCl), which was further increased to 9.1 mA cm^{-2} upon white LED irradiation. PtNP-ZnO@CQDs nanocomposite reveals a low overpotential of 355 mV at 10 mA cm^{-2} and during OER. The OER overpotential of PtNP-ZnO@CQDs was comparatively lower than the commercial RuO_2

View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/D4TA05630B

in higher current densities. Again, the electrocatalyst also demonstrates a high half-wave potential of 0.98 V, high limiting current density, and mass activity of 0.426 A mg_{Pt}^{-1} during ORR, surpassing the performance of commercial 10% Pt/C in every aspect. Low peroxide yield, high number of electron transfers, and photoinduced ORR capability also advocate the superiority of PtNP-ZnO@CQDs over commercial Pt/C catalysts. The excellent performance of PtNP-ZnO@CQDs is precisely due to the existence of the synergistic effect of porous structure, high surface area for adsorption, high ECSA, low charge transfer resistance, SMSI between PtNPs and support CQDs, etc., improving the intrinsic trifunctional activity despite the low Pt-content. Furthermore, the rechargeable aqueous ZAB assembled with PtNP-ZnO@CQDs air cathode divulges an outstanding energy density of 668 Wh/kg and a high specific capacity of 532 mAh/g with superior charge-discharge stability up to 60 h, outperforming the ZAB composed of commercial 10% Pt/C and RuO_2 mixture. Finally, the capability of the assembled ZABs in series to light up the different LED panels provides insight into developing bifunctional low-cost Pt-based electrocatalysts to boost the future commercialization of ZABs.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Supporting Information consists of experimental calculation and characterization of materials such as XPS, SEM-EDX data, CV study for MOR, ORR, instrumentation details for CO tolerance study, FE study, CLSV for photoinduced ORR study, comparison table, etc.

Acknowledgments

This work is financially supported through PURSE Project No. SR/PURSE/2020/20 (G) funded by the Department of Science and Technology (DST), Govt. of India. The authors are

View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/D4TA05630B

thankful to the central analytical laboratory of BITS Pilani Hyderabad campus for instrument facilities.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

ORCID ID

Anup Kumar Pradhan: 0000-0002-0470-2953

Sayan Halder: 0000-0002-0253-7560

Dr. Chanchal Chakraborty: 0000-0002-4829-1367

Data Availability

Data will be available upon request.

References

1 M. Tahir, L. Pan, F. Idrees, X. Zhang, L. Wang, J.-J. Zou and Z. L. Wang, *Nano Energy*, 2017, **37**, 136–157.

2 J. Huang, Y. Xie, Y. You, J. Yuan, Q. Xu, H. Xie and Y. Chen, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 2023, **33**, 2213095.

3 W. Xue, Z. Shi, L. Suo, C. Wang, Z. Wang, H. Wang, K. P. So, A. Maurano, D. Yu, Y. Chen, L. Qie, Z. Zhu, G. Xu, J. Kong and J. Li, *Nat. Energy* 2019, **4**, 374–382.

4 L. Li, X. Tang, B. Wu, B. Huang, K. Yuan and Y. Chen, *Adv. Mater.* 2024, **36**, 2308326.

5 Z.-L. Wang, D. Xu, J.-J. Xu and X.-B. Zhang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, **43**, 7746–7786.

6 Z.-F. Huang, J. Song, K. Li, M. Tahir, Y.-T. Wang, L. Pan, L. Wang, X. Zhang and J.-J. Zou, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 1359–1365.

7 S. Zhao, T. Liu, J. Wang, I. Temitope Bello, Y. Zuo, M. Wei, K. Wang, K. K. S. Lau and M. Ni, *Chem. Eng. J.* 2022, **450**, 138207.

8 X. Chen, Z. Yan, M. Yu, H. Sun, F. Liu, Q. Zhang, F. Cheng and J. Chen, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2019, **7**, 24868–24876.

9 M. K. Debe, *Nature*, 2012, **486**, 43–51.

10 P. C. Meenu, S. Roy, C. Chakraborty and S. Roy, *Adv. Powder Technol.* 2021, **32**, 2663–2689.

11 A. K. Pradhan, S. Halder and C. Chakraborty, *Surf. Interfaces* 2024, **44**, 103816.

12 X. Zhao, X. Maimaitiyiming, M. Tursun and H. Lin, *Fuel*, 2024, **364**, 131089.

13 X. Cao, R. Song, X. Zhou, X. Wang, X. Dong, N. Yuan and J. Ding, *Langmuir*, 2022, **38**, 4948–4957.

14 X. Cao, J. Li, X. Dong, R. Song, X. Zhou, X. Wang, N. Yuan and J. Ding, *J Alloys Compd.* 2022, **928**, 166932.

15 S. Halder, A. K. Pradhan, P. Sivasakthi, P. K. Samanta and C. Chakraborty, *Mater. Today Chem.* 2023, **32**, 101649.

16 G. Nazir, A. Rehman, J.-H. Lee, C.-H. Kim, J. Gautam, K. Heo, S. Hussain, M. Ikram, A. A. AlObaid, S.-Y. Lee and S.-J. Park, *Nano-Micro Lett.*, 2024, **16**, 138.

17 N. H. H. Phuc, T. Anh Tu, L. Cam Loc, C. Xuan Viet, P. Thi Thuy Phuong, N. Tri and L. Van Thang, *Nanoenergy Adv.* 2023, **3**, 13–47.

18 N. Gupta, S. Halder, R. P. Behere, P. Singh, S. Kanungo, M. Dixit, C. Chakraborty and B. K. Kuila, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2023, **15**, 29042–29051.

19 T. Zhou, N. Zhang, C. Wu and Y. Xie, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2020, **13**, 1132–1153.

20 C. Zhang, X. Shen, Y. Pan and Z. Peng, *Front. Energy*, 2017, **11**, 268–285.

21 M. N. Islam, A. B. Mansoor Basha, V. O. Kollath, A. P. Soleymani, J. Jankovic and K. Karan, *Nat Commun*, 2022, **13**, 6157.

22 Y. Zhong, Y. Lu, Z. Pan, J. Yang, G. Du, J. Chen, Q. Zhang, H. Zhou, J. Wang, C. Wang and W. Li, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 2021, **31**, 2009853.

23 W. Chen, J. Cao, W. Fu, J. Zhang, G. Qian, J. Yang, D. Chen, X. Zhou, W. Yuan and X. Duan, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2022, **61**, e202200190.

24 P. Ochal, J. L. Gomez De La Fuente, M. Tsypkin, F. Seland, S. Sunde, N. Muthuswamy, M. Rønning, D. Chen, S. Garcia, S. Alayoglu and B. Eichhorn, *J. Electroanal. Chem.* 2011, **655**, 140–146.

25 L. Huang, X. Zhang, Q. Wang, Y. Han, Y. Fang and S. Dong, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 1142–1147.

26 A. Li, J. X. Zhao and D. T. Pierce, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* 2010, **351**, 365–373.

27 A. Sharma, S. K. Mehta, S. Singh and S. Gupta, *J. Appl. Electrochem.* 2016, **46**, 27–38.

28 D. Gao, S. Yang, L. Xi, M. Risch, L. Song, Y. Lv, C. Li, C. Li and G. Chen, *Chem. Mater.*, 2020, **32**, 1581–1594.

29 W. Gong, Z. Jiang, R. Wu, Y. Liu, L. Huang, N. Hu, P. Tsiakaras and P. K. Shen, *Appl. Catal. B. Environ.* 2019, **246**, 277–283.

30 C. Li, X. Chen, L. Zhang, S. Yan, A. Sharma, B. Zhao, A. Kumbhar, G. Zhou and J. Fang, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 7675–7680.

31 X. Sun, S. Wang, Y. Hou, X. F. Lu, J. Zhang and X. Wang, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2023, **11**, 13089–13106.

32 X. Wang, J. Zhang, P. Wang, L. Li, H. Wang, D. Sun, Y. Li, Y. Tang, X. F. Lu, Y. Wang and G. Fu, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2023, **16**, 5500–5512.

33 B. Y. Xia, H. B. Wu, J. S. Chen, Z. Wang, X. Wang and X. W. (David) Lou, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2012, **14**, 473–476.

34 S. Roy, S. Payra, S. Challagulla, R. Arora, S. Roy and C. Chakraborty, *ACS Omega*, 2018, **3**, 17778–17788.

35 W. Shi, H.-U. Park, A.-H. Park, L. Xue, S.-K. Kim, G.-G. Park and Y.-U. Kwon, *Appl. Catal. B. Environ.* 2023, **331**, 122692.

36 S. Kang, H. Kim and Y.-H. Chung, *Nano Convergence*, 2018, **5**, 13.

37 Z. Zhang, J. Liu, J. Gu, L. Su and L. Cheng, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2014, **7**, 2535–2558.

38 H. Huang and X. Wang, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2014, **2**, 6266–6291.

39 X.-L. Sui, Z.-B. Wang, M. Yang, L. Huo, D.-M. Gu and G.-P. Yin, *J. Power Sources*. 2014, **255**, 43–51.

40 M. Huang, W. Wu, C. Wu and L. Guan, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2015, **3**, 4777–4781.

41 F. Ando, T. Gunji, T. Tanabe, I. Fukano, H. D. Abruña, J. Wu, T. Ohsaka and F. Matsumoto, *ACS Catal.*, 2021, **11**, 9317–9332.

42 X. Zhang, H. Li, J. Yang, Y. Lei, C. Wang, J. Wang, Y. Tang and Z. Mao, *RSC Adv.*, 2021, **11**, 13316–13328.

43 A. Di Mauro, M. Zimbone, M. Scuderi, G. Nicotra, M. E. Fragalà and G. Impellizzeri, *Nanoscale Res. Lett.* 2015, **10**, 484.

44 C.-Y. Su, Y.-C. Hsueh, C.-C. Kei, C.-T. Lin and T.-P. Perng, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2013, **117**, 11610–11618.

45 Md. A. Hanif, J. Akter, I. Lee, Md. A. Islam, K. P. Sapkota, H. G. Abbas and J. R. Hahn, *J. Photochem. Photobiol. A. Chem.* 2021, **413**, 113260.

46 C. Chen, W. Yu, T. Liu, S. Cao and Y. Tsang, *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells.* 2017, **160**, 43–53.

47 J.-J. Chen, J.-B. Tan, C.-F. Li, L.-F. Gu, X.-F. Lu and G.-R. Li, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2020, **124**, 13036–13044.

48 B. Zhao, H. Luo, J. Liu, S. Chen, H. Xu, Y. Liao, X. F. Lu, Y. Qing and Y. Wu, *Chin. Chem. Lett.* 2024, 109919.

49 S. Zhu, Q. Meng, L. Wang, J. Zhang, Y. Song, H. Jin, K. Zhang, H. Sun, H. Wang and B. Yang, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 3953–3957.

50 L. Cao, X. Wang, M. J. Meziani, F. Lu, H. Wang, P. G. Luo, Y. Lin, B. A. Harruff, L. M. Veca, D. Murray, S.-Y. Xie and Y.-P. Sun, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**, 11318–11319.

51 Y.-P. Sun, B. Zhou, Y. Lin, W. Wang, K. A. S. Fernando, P. Pathak, M. J. Meziani, B. A. Harruff, X. Wang, H. Wang, P. G. Luo, H. Yang, M. E. Kose, B. Chen, L. M. Veca and S.-Y. Xie, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 7756–7757.

52 V. C. Hoang, K. Dave and V. G. Gomes, *Nano Energy*, 2019, **66**, 104093.

53 F. Sher, I. Ziani, M. Smith, G. Chugreeva, S. Z. Hashimzada, L. D. T. Prola, J. Sulejmanović and E. K. Sher, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2024, **500**, 215499.

54 J. Liu, G. Qin, P. Raveendran and Y. Ikushima, *Chem. European. J.* 2006, **12**, 2131–2138.

55 X. Kong, H. Cao, C. Li and X. Chen, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* 2017, **487**, 60–67.

56 H. Huang, J. Cui, G. Liu, R. Bi and L. Zhang, *ACS Nano*, 2019, **13**, 3448–3456.

57 A. Lewera, L. Timperman, A. Roguska and N. Alonso-Vante, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2011, **115**, 20153–20159.

58 M. Gantumur, M. I. Hossain, Md. Shahiduzzaman, A. Tamang, J. H. Rafij, Md. Shahinuzzaman, H. Thi Cam Tu, M. Nakano, M. Karakawa, K. Ohdaira, H. AlMohamadi, M. A. Ibrahim, K. Sopian, Md. Akhtaruzzaman, J. M. Nunzi and T. Taima, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2024, **16**, 36255–36271.

59 W. Yan, X. Wang, M. Liu, K. Ma, L. Wang, Q. Liu, C. Wang, X. Jiang, H. Li, Y. Tang and G. Fu, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 2024, **34**, 2310487.

60 Z. Li, X. Jiang, X. Wang, J. Hu, Y. Liu, G. Fu and Y. Tang, *Appl. Catal. B. Environ.* 2020, **277**, 119135.

61 J.-J. Fan, Y.-J. Fan, R.-X. Wang, S. Xiang, H.-G. Tang and S.-G. Sun, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2017, **5**, 19467–19475.

62 D. J. Suh, C. Kwak, J.-H. Kim, S. M. Kwon and T.-J. Park, *J. Power Sources.* 2005, **142**, 70–74.

63 R. Li, Z. Liu, Q. T. Trinh, Z. Miao, S. Chen, K. Qian, R. J. Wong, S. Xi, Y. Yan, A. Borgna, S. Liang, T. Wei, Y. Dai, P. Wang, Y. Tang, X. Yan, T. S. Choksi and W. Liu, *Adv. Mater.* 2021, **33**, 2101536.

64 J. Xu, H. Xu, A. Dong, H. Zhang, Y. Zhou, H. Dong, B. Tang, Y. Liu, L. Zhang, X. Liu, J. Luo, L. Bie, S. Dai, Y. Wang, X. Sun and Y. Li, *Adv. Mater.* 2022, **34**, 2206991.

65 E. Antolini, *Appl. Catal. B. Environ.* 2018, **237**, 491–503.

66 Y. Nosaka and A. Nosaka, *ACS Energy Lett.*, 2016, **1**, 356–359.

View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/D4TA05630B

67 I. Kisacik, A. Stefanova, S. Ernsta, H. Baltruschat, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys*., 2013, **15**, 4616-4624.

68 S. Halder, A. K. Pradhan, S. Khan and C. Chakraborty, *Energy Adv.*, 2023, **2**, 1713–1723.

69 A. K. Pradhan, S. Halder and C. Chakraborty, *J. Energy Storage.* 2024, **98**, 113008.

View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/D4TA05630B

Data availability statement (DAS)

The data supporting this article have been included in the article or as part of the Supplementary Information.

Sincerely yours,

Dr. Chanchal Chakraborty
Assistant Professor
BITS Pilani, Hyderabad Campus
Hyderabad, India