Graphitic nanostructures in a porous carbon framework significantly enhance electrocatalytic oxygen evolution†
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A hybrid structure, a graphitic nanostructures@porous carbon framework, is developed by utilizing the bimetallic zeolitic imidazolate framework-8 (ZIF-8) as a solid precursor, simultaneously templating porous carbon and growing graphitic nanocarbon in a simplified chemical vapor deposition (CVD) fashion. A ligand, 2-methylimidazolate (2MIM), in the ZIF-8 decomposes above 600 °C to yield active carbon/hydrocarbon radicals/vapour. With the idea of using the high catalytic activity of nickel to grow graphitic nanostructures in a CVD process from gaseous carbon feedstocks, a precursor, bimetallic ZIF-B, is synthesized by partial substitution of zinc metal centres by nickel. Such nickel centres thus act as nanocatalysts to grow graphitic nanostructures from the carbon radicals arising from the partly decomposed ligand of the framework during the carbonization step. These hybrid structures show a highly enhanced electrocatalytic activity for the water splitting oxygen evolution reaction (OER). Furthermore the catalytic activity for the oxygen reduction and hydrogen evolution reactions (ORR and HER), and gas uptake capacities for H₂ and CO₂ are enhanced with respect to the increased porosity and nitrogen doping in the samples. We also show that not all the MOF-based precursors with nickel metal centres are suitable for producing nanographic structures. Our further investigation suggests that the graphitization in the samples plays a critical role in enhancing the catalytic activities.

The design and development of multifunctional porous carbon nanostructures as electrode materials are highly desirable for many electrochemical energy conversion and storage devices, such as fuel-cells, metal–air batteries and supercapacitors. Such multi-functionalities, for example, for guest storage/accessibility, selective binding, catalysis and charge-transfer, are often associated with local crystallinity and chemistry at the atomic and nano-scales. There have been many attempts to synthesize such structures from a wide variety of carbon precursors with top-down and bottom-up molecular building blocks. Specifically, molecular building blocks as self- and/or sacrificial templates are highly attractive, as those architectures can be made with the choice of a range of multiple heteroatoms and functional groups as well as metal centres. The best examples are biomass, ionic liquids, polypeptides, solvents and coordinated organic ligands. Often, the precursors containing those heteroatoms (mostly oxygen, nitrogen, sulphur, phosphorus and alkali/alkaline earth metals) can yield highly porous carbons with dopants. However, the majority of the structures are amorphous in nature, even carbonized at high temperature. This impedes their ability for fast electron/charge transfer in electrochemical devices. Whereas the highly graphitized structures developed in traditional catalytic CVD (chemical vapour deposition) using gaseous and liquid precursors suffer from cost and/or scaling-up issues due to extensive chemical manipulations. Such CVD carbons also exhibit very poor porosity with fewer active/defective/binding sites, which are essential to enhance their energy conversion and storage capabilities.

On the other hand, predesigned carbon precursors based on metal–organic frameworks (MOFs), a type of coordinated polymer with metal centres, with their highly ordered porous structure are gaining tremendous attention as multifunctional materials for many green energy applications, including gas storage and CO₂ capture. For instance, depending on the porosity, framework structure, ligand type and metal-centre, MOFs can generate ultrahigh-porosity carbons for high-capacity guest accessibility or gas storage. Indeed, the MOF structures can be synthesized readily at a large scale and are commercially available. In view of electrochemical energy conversion and storage, those MOF precursors can yield suitable supports to bridge and boost the activities of nanoscale...
catalysts and/or active porous carbon hybrid structures, from the self-decomposition and rearrangement of ligand carbons with embedded transition metal-complexes during carbonization. Furthermore, such hybrid porous carbons, consisting of graphitic nanostructures and with a fine dispersion of foreign atoms to induce surface charge heterogeneity, synergistically enhance their performance in energy storage and conversion. Though there are several available literature reports on MOF-derived carbon nanostructures, there is still a lack of information on how the structure transformations occur under carbonization conditions and also with respect to the particular metal or ligand linker in the framework.

Herein, we designed a simple synthesis technique for the development of porous carbon hybrids (ZNDCs) consisting of catalytic nickel-induced graphitic nanostructures from a bimetallic ZIF-8 (Fig. 1). The main idea behind utilizing ZIF-8 is that it can (1) act as sacrificial template to produce porous carbon by framework decomposition and rearrangement, and vaporization of zinc metal centres, (2) produce nitrogen-doped carbon from its intrinsic framework ligand (2-methylimidazolate, 2MIM) nitrogen, and (3) be a solid precursor to generate carbon radicals from the decomposed ligand to grow highly conducting graphitic nanostructures with its in situ catalytic transition metal centres in a CVD fashion. Thus, with these points in mind, we have prepared a precursor, nickel-substituted ZIF-8 by a solvothermal method from a mixture of metal salts consisting of Zn(NO₃)₂·6H₂O and Ni(NO₃)₂·4H₂O in a 90 : 10 mass ratio (Fig. 1, steps 1–2, Fig. S1†). Later, the ZNDCs are obtained by direct pyrolysis of bimetallic ZIF-8 at elevated temperatures without the addition of extra heteroatoms or metal-complexes (Fig. 1, steps 3–5). In a controlled experiment, carbon derived from ZIF-8 alone is predominantly amorphous in nature. Electrochemical studies, under basic conditions, reveal that the ZNDCs with nanographites exhibit a considerable enhancement in their electrocatalytic activity for the oxygen evolution reaction (OER). Furthermore, we also found direct porosity-enhanced low-pressure hydrogen and carbon dioxide uptake capacities. The results clearly suggest overall improvements in the OER, ORR (oxygen reduction reaction) and HER (hydrogen evolution reaction) catalytic activity of ZNDCs with respect to the increased graphitization. A clear influence of doped nitrogen functionality is seen in the ORR activity, and hydrogen and carbon dioxide uptake. The development of nanographites also enhances the stability of the OER. Finally, we also show that not all the MOF-based precursors with nickel metal centres are suitable for generating nanographic structures in their self-templated porous carbon framework.

The as-synthesized precursor samples, ZIF-8 and bimetallic ZIF-8, are isostructural in nature (Fig. 1, S2 and S3†). Thermogravimetric (TG) analysis of the samples shows four prominent mass-loss regions with respect to temperature. Initial mass-loss in the region up to 400 °C is due to the evaporation of pore-occluded solvent. Subsequent mass-loss regions, (500–700) °C, (700–900) °C and >900 °C are mainly attributed to the loss of free rotational methyl groups, framework and ligand decomposition, and zinc vaporization, respectively. Framework and ligand decomposition of ZIF-8 has been well analysed and documented with the results of PXRD (powder X-ray diffraction), XPS (X-ray photoemission spectroscopy), FTIR (Fourier transformed infrared spectroscopy), porosity (specific surface area and micropore volume), gas (hydrogen and carbon dioxide) uptake and combined TG-MS (mass spectroscopy) measurements. In particular, carbonization at a temperature above 600 °C results in the evolution of hydrogen, nitrogen and their hydrocarbon mixtures from the recombination of the decomposed-ligand active hydrogen, carbon and nitrogen radicals. Such decomposition is an ideal source of carbon for the in situ growth of graphitized nanostructures on the reduced nickel catalytic nanocentres, very similar to the catalytic CVD process, but without the use of the dangerous/explosive gaseous hydrocarbon feedstocks. Therefore our rationally designed zinc–nickel-based bimetallic ZIF-8 precursor can serve multiple roles, as (1) self-contained catalyst nickel, (2) a solid-state carbon precursor, (3) a self-template, to obtain highly graphitized nanocarbons, in a CVD manner, within the porous carbon framework, reconstructed from its sacrificial framework template, and (4) a well-controlled method, suitable for large-scale synthesis and safe handling.

Fig. 1 Schematic representative synthesis of graphitic porous carbon nanostructures: top – from left and in the clockwise direction shows the synthesis of bimetallic ZIF-8 followed by carbonization. The graphitic nanostructure growth can be understood by reduced Ni²⁺ centres as nanocatalysts for the decomposed-ligand nitrogen and carbon radicals as in a traditional catalytic CVD growth of graphitized structures from the gas-phase carbon precursors. Bottom – TG plot of ZIF-8 replicates the above mechanism with the representative mass losses due to the decomposition of the framework, and conversion of the ligand into hydrogen, carbon and nitrogen radicals, evaporation of zinc metal centres and CVD growth of nanographites.
To validate the above idea, we have carried out the carbonization of ZIF-8 and bimetallic ZIF-8, separately at discrete temperatures between 700 and 1100 °C in a tube furnace, under only nitrogen atmosphere without a supply of external hydrogen and hydrocarbon feedstocks. The thus-obtained carbons (named ZDCs and ZNDCs, respectively, derived from ZIF-8 and bimetallic ZIF-8) are characterized without further chemical treatment, such as acid washing. SEM and TEM micrographs show the clear growth of a graphitic nanostructures@amorphous type porous carbon framework in the ZNDCs, synthesized at ≈1000 °C (Fig. 2 and S4–S6†). Here, it is worth noticing that such nanographitic growth is not observed in ZDCs derived from ZIF-8 alone under similar synthetic conditions (Fig. S7†). Thus, our idea of partial substitution of nickel for zinc in ZIF-8 yielded a hybrid carbon structure consisting of nanographites within the self-templated porous carbon framework. A clear temperature-induced enhancement of nanographite growth is observed. This can be directly attributed to the generation of more active carbon radicals and their solubility in the catalytic nickel centres (an enhanced thermal induced fluidity), similar to the high-temperature catalytic cracking of hydrocarbons or graphenic film growth on foils/wire-meshes.14–16 Indeed, TEM images of the graphitic nanostructures in our samples resemble tubular graphenic structures. Varied dimensions in length and diameter can be directly attributed to the different cluster sizes of the nickel centres.

The framework decomposition followed by graphitization is further well supported by XPS and PXRD (Fig. 3a–c and S8†). It is more striking that C 1s and N 1s spectra show a considerable loss of framework nitrogen and enhanced graphitization with an increasing carbonization temperature above 700 °C. Graphitization can be understood by the gradual narrowing and peak shift of C 1s spectra compared to the pure-phase graphitic structure at ~284.6 eV.5,25 For instance, the 2MIM in the ZIF-8 structure exhibits a C 1s peak, positioned at ~285.3 eV. The nitrogen doping into the carbon matrix is seen with substantial changeover in the N 1s spectra, exhibiting pyridinic (~398 eV) and graphitic (~401 eV) type nitrogen from one type of nitrogen in the starting ZIF-8 sample. Zn 2p spectra further support the
complete evaporation of zinc metal at >900 °C. PXRD patterns reveal only crystalline reduced nickel centres and enhancement in the nickel-induced graphitization at an increased temperature of carbonization. Note that ZDCs derived from ZIF-8 alone are amorphous in nature. Furthermore, the nickel-assisted temperature-induced formation of nanographites is also observed by a continuous decrease in the porosity of the ZNDC samples (Fig. 3d and S9†). Otherwise, ZDCs exhibit considerable enhancement in their porosity with an increased carbonization temperature.24

Hydrogen and carbon dioxide adsorption isotherms measured at 77 K and 298 K, respectively, show a clear basicity-enhanced uptake in the samples due to the active defects of the decomposed framework and doped nitrogen functionality (Fig. 3e, f and S10†). A high amount of defective sites generated from the broken Zn–N links at a low carbonization temperature (700 °C), a framework decomposition point (before actual ligand decomposition), leads to a high CO2 uptake capacity at low partial pressures.25 A two-step mass-loss behaviour in the TG, under an oxidative atmosphere, indicates the hybrid nature of the ZNDC1100 sample, i.e., a graphitic nanostructures@porous carbon framework in an ~1:1 mass ratio (Fig. S11†). Where the porous carbon framework in its amorphous state exhibits less thermal stability compared to that of the nickel-induced crystalline graphite. The TG plot also estimates about 11 mass% of nickel in the sample.

Next, the structure–property, electrochemical energy conversion relationships of these ZNDCs are investigated by studying their characteristic performance in OER, HER and ORR under alkaline electrolyte (Fig. 4 and S12†). A significant enhancement in their OER activity is seen with respect to the increased growth of nanographites in the hybrid samples (Fig. 4a). Note that an amorphous ZDC1000 sample shows comparatively very poor OER activity. The enhanced activity in the graphitized hybrid catalysts can be understood from the high response of current density, at relatively lower applied potentials. For example, to achieve a benchmark OER response current density of 10 mA cm−2, our ZNDC1100 sample required <1.67 V (vs. RHE, an overpotential of <0.44 V), which is lower than the reference IrO2/C standard.32 Continuously improved OER activity of the ZNDC samples is in good agreement with the temperature-induced growth of nanographites, as confirmed from the SEM, TEM, PXRD, XPS and porosity characteristics.

Nanographitic hybrid samples also show a considerable improvement in their HER activity when compared to their less graphitized and amorphous counterparts (Fig. S12†). ORR activity plots reveal interesting results, where the samples carbonized at lower temperatures (800–1000) °C with more nitrogen doping content and/or porosity exhibit a favourable onset reduction potential and high current density response (Fig. S12†).4,5,19,20,33–36 Whereas, the increased growth of nanographites leads to steep oxygen reduction slopes attributed to fast electron transfer and reduction kinetics. Furthermore, the hybrids, ZNDC1100 and ZNDC1000 samples, show a highly enhanced bifunctional OER and ORR activity (a potential difference to achieve the corresponding benchmark current densities of ~3 mA cm−2 and 10 mA cm−2, respectively for ORR and HER).
and OER), compared to their amorphous counterpart, the ZDC1000 sample (see Table in Fig. S12†). For example, the ZNDC1000 sample exhibits a considerably smaller potential difference of ~1.10 V compared to the ZDC1000 sample with ~1.43 V.

We have also investigated the catalytic activity of ZNDC1100 after oxidation in a controlled atmosphere to yield NiO@Ni in the hybrid (ZNDC1100-ox). PXRD, XPS and porosity measurements reveal a partial surface oxidation of the nickel, i.e., NiO@Ni and reduction in the porosity due to partial burning of the amorphous carbon (Fig. 4b and S13†). Comparative OER and ORR data shows reduced activity in the oxidized sample, ZNDC1100-ox, with respect to the ZNDC1100 (Fig. 4b and S14†).37,38

Furthermore, the TG of the ZNDC1100-ox sample under an oxidative atmosphere estimates the nickel concentration to be ~35 mass%, much higher than the ~11 mass% in the ZNDC1100 sample (Fig. S15†). Also, ZNDC1100-ox exhibits predominantly graphitized carbon. Our earlier studies show that a high concentration of metal content in the graphitized carbon has a detrimental effect on their OER and ORR catalytic activity.3 This is mainly attributed to the poor dispersion of the highly agglomerated metal centres and intense graphitization, leading to a considerably smeared-out active surface area for catalysis. In addition, the chronoamperometry (CA) response (current density vs. time plots at a constant applied potential) reveals a substantially improved OER stability in the highly graphitized samples (ZNDC1100 and ZNDC1100-ox) compared to the amorphous counterpart, ZDC1000 (Fig. 4c).

Finally, we note that the framework structure and ligand decomposition in the precursor MOF structures is the key to the successful growth of graphitic nanostructures in a CVD fashion. To validate this, we have synthesized a precursor Ni–MOF-74, which has been developed from the carboxylate-based linker, 2,5-dihydroxyterephthalic acid, to exhibit one-dimensional pore channels (Fig. 5 and S16†).22,25 Surprisingly, the carbonized sample (MDC1000) does not reveal any sign of the development of graphitic nanostructures as observed in nickel-doped ZIF-8. This could be directly attributed to the lower tendency of decomposition of the benzene ring compared to the imidazole ring with volatile nitrogen, as observed from the high temperature TG under inert atmosphere (Fig. 5a). The structure–bridging oxygen groups between the linker and the metal centres result in the formation of metal–oxide clusters and gasification of ligand carboxylates in the form of CO2.23,24,25,39,40

With increasing temperature, the crystalline metal–oxide in the carbon matrix gradually reduces to the metal, 2NiO + C → 2Ni + CO2 (gas).25 Thus, this process could be the inhibiting factor for the actual catalytic activity of nickel to yield the graphitic nanostructures (Fig. 5 and S17†).22,41 Also a large clustering of nickel can be seen in the SEM micrographs. After acid washing to remove the nickel (MDC1000-aw), the sample exhibits a mesoporous nature with a large pore type, similar to the other salt-templated carbons (Fig. 5b–f, S16 and S17†). Such large clusters of nickel are another reason nanographites are not produced; instead they yield graphitic shells.14,15,22,42 On the other hand, during the carbonization of ZIF-8, which is an oxygen-free structure, the metal centres are stabilized by the nitrogen atoms within the carbon matrix.2 Therefore, ZIF-8 acts as an ideal solid state precursor for the transition metal-based catalytic CVD growth of the nanographites. Also, this method is very safe (as no explosive feedstocks or sophisticated/expensive commercial CVD equipment is required) and the product can be scaled-up by utilizing such kinds of precursors.

Electrochemical studies on the MDC1000-aw sample further support the important role of the nanographites and nitrogen dopants to enhance the OER activity in the carbon-based samples (Fig. S18†). For instance, despite having a higher specific surface area (710 m2 g−1, compared to 550 m2 g−1 in ZNDC1100), the MDC1000-aw sample shows a relatively low OER activity. Obviously, the MDC1000-aw sample exhibits a low degree of graphitization and contains no nitrogen dopants. It is worth noting that our hybrid sample, ZNDC1100, synthesized in a very simplified manner shows the best OER activity compared to carbons synthesized with extensive chemical manipulation in the literature (Fig. S19†).2,4,7,14,15,43 For example, a nitrogen, phosphorus, and fluorine tri-doped graphene sample synthesized at 950 °C still exhibits poor OER performance, and required 1.82 V (vs. RHE), compared to 1.67 V (vs. RHE) for our
ZNDC1100, to achieve a benchmark current density of 10 mA cm⁻².²,¹³ Our ZNDC1100 sample also shows a considerably improved OER activity over dual-doped nitrogen and sulphur and/or nitrogen and metal@doped nanocarbons.²,¹,⁷,⁴³

Furthermore, our literature data mining plot suggests that the carbons in their pristine and/or oxidative state (which includes graphene-, carbon nanotube- and ordered mesoporous- or hierarchical porous carbon-based structures) are very poor OER performers (Fig. 6 and S20†). However, their activity has been considerably enhanced with the introduction of metal-free heteroatoms, such as nitrogen, sulphur and phosphorous alone or in combination, dual- or tri-doped state (Fig. 6 and S21†). There is hardly any evidence that dual- or tri-doped carbon structures show superior OER activity compared to singly-doped ones. Overall, it is conceivable that the carbon structures in a combination of nonprecious transition metal (or metal–oxide) centres and heteroatom dopants show greatly enhanced OER activity (Fig. 6 and S20–S22†). Yet, the samples, in each case either in their pristine, oxidative, doped or metal coordinated state, show a considerable discrepancy in their activity response. This could be directly attributed to the inherent structural features of the host carbon itself. For example, graphitization, specific surface area, porosity and pore-size distribution all contribute to the activity in terms of facilitating guest accessibility and transport (ions in the case of OER and HER) or adsorption (in the case of ORR), charge transfer, active surface area and catalytic sites. From the survey it is understood that the samples in a mesoporous state and finely dispersed transition metal centres stabilized with the heteroatom dopants and the metal-induced graphitic, i.e., crystalline carbons in a porous carbon matrix, exhibit outstanding OER activity. Carbon samples in a highly porous state or a graphitized state alone without dopants or metal/metal–oxide nanocentres do not yield a high enough catalytic activity response.

Conclusions

We have shown a new approach to designing a graphitic nanostructures@amorphous porous carbon framework from a single-step, solid-state precursor route, CVD method, in a highly simplified manner by designing a bimetallic (zinc–nickel) ZIF-8. The oxygen-free ligand, its decomposition into active carbon radicals and highly stabilized nickel nanocentres are the key factors for producing carbon-based hybrid structures. More importantly, our results suggest that the carbon samples, balanced with graphitic nanostructure and porosity, are ideal candidate materials to achieve the best energy conversion and storage abilities. In addition, their overall efficiency for the electrocatalytic activity can be enhanced with dopants, both metallic and nonmetallic implants. Similarly, nitrogen doping and porosity also play a critical role in synergistically enhancing both the catalytic activity and gas uptake at very low-partial pressures.

Experimental

Synthesis of ZIF-8 and ZnNi-ZIF-8

In a typical synthesis of Zn-ZIF-8, 4.666 g of Zn(NO₃)₂·4H₂O and 1.333 g of 2-methyl imidazole were dissolved in 400 ml of N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF).⁴⁴ The solution was distributed to 5 × 100 ml Teflon-lined autoclaves and left in an oven at 140 °C for 24 h. After cooling down to room temperature, the mother liquor was removed and washed with DMF by centrifugation and dried in an oven at 80 °C. The ZnNi-ZIF-8 sample was synthesized by a similar method but using a zinc and nickel salt mixture in a 90 : 10 mass ratio: 4.200 g of Zn(NO₃)₂·4H₂O and 0.467 g of Ni(NO₃)₂·6H₂O.

Synthesis of Ni-MOF-74

0.478 g of 2,5-dihydroxypentaphthalic acid and 2.378 g of Ni(NO₃)₂·6H₂O were dissolved in 200 ml of DMF, ethanol and water in 1 : 1 : 1 (v/v/v).⁴⁵,⁴⁶ The solution was transferred to a 500 ml wide-mouth screw-cap glass jar and left in an oven at 100 °C for 24 h. After cooling down to room temperature, the mother liquor was removed and washed with DMF by centrifugation and dried in an oven at 80 °C.

Synthesis of ZDCs, ZNDCs and MDCs

In a carbonization process, a suitable amount, between 100 and 300 mg, of Zn-ZIF-8 or ZnNi-ZIF-8 was placed in an alumina boat and then transferred into a horizontal tube furnace. The
furnace tube was closed with gas feedthrough end seals and the sample area was thoroughly purged with nitrogen. The nitrogen flow was maintained throughout the reaction. The carbonization between 700 and 1100 °C was carried out for 10 h at a given temperature after heating at 5 °C per minute. The carbons obtained are named as ZDCs, ZNDCs, and MDCs, respectively, for ZIP-8, Zn/Ni-ZIP-8, and Ni-MOF-74. Samples synthesized at different temperatures are identified by a suffix number, for example 1000 °C carbonized samples are named ZDC1000 or ZNDC1000. All the samples for further characterizations were handled in ambient air without further chemical treatment or acid washing unless stated. Nickel etching of the as-synthesized MDC1000 sample was carried out by stirring the sample under concentrated HCl at room temperature, followed by washing with deionized water and drying at 80 °C. This acid-washed sample is named MDC1000-aw.

**Synthesis of ZNDC1100-ox**

The ZNDC1100 sample was oxidized by leaking a small amount of oxygen with 700 °C for 1 h during the thermal annealing under N2 atmosphere.

**Structure & porosity characterization**

Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD, on Stoe Stadi-P, Cu–K-alpha) was carried out by filling a 0.5 mm diameter borosilicate glass capillary with sample under ambient conditions. X-ray photoemission spectroscopy (XPS, on Al–K-alpha, Thermo Scientific) data and scanning electron microscopy (SEM, on JSM6700, Jeol) measurements were carried out on the samples supported on a carbon tape. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM, on Jeol) images were obtained on the samples deposited on a carbon-coated copper grid from methanol dispersion. As TEM is not compatible for imaging magnetic samples, the supernatant was pipetted after trapping the magnetic nickel-containing particles in methanol by a strong magnet. The porosity, N2, and CO2 and H2 adsorption–desorption isotherms were measured up to 1 bar at 77 K and 298 K using liquid nitrogen and a water bath, respectively, on a Quantachrome Autosorb-iQc. The specific surface area was measured from the 77 K N2 isotherm in a relative pressure range between 0.01 and 0.2, according to the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) method. The QSDF1 (quenched solid density functional theory) method with slit/cylindrical pores was applied to the desorption isotherm (10−2 to 0.99, PP−1) to obtain the pore size distribution and cumulative pore volume. All the samples were degassed at 180 °C overnight under a dynamic vacuum prior to the actual gas adsorption measurements. Combined thermogravimetric (TG, on Setsys from Setaram) and mass spectrometry (MS, on Omnistar from Pfeiffer Vacuum) analysis and X-ray powder diffraction (XRPD) were carried out under Ar flow with a heating rate of 5 °C per minute. TG mass-loss was recorded after background correction with an empty alumina crucible.

TG measurements on the ZDC and ZNDC samples were carried out under an oxidative atmosphere, flowing oxygen with a heating rate of 5 °C per minute up to 800 °C. The nickel mass concentration was estimated from the residual mass after complete burn-off of the carbon.

**Electrochemical tests**

All the tests were carried out (on Autolab, Metrohm PGSTAT302N) by a three-electrode method using a glassy carbon rotating disk as the working electrode, and (1 × 1) cm2 Pt and Ag/AgCl/saturated KCl as the counter and reference electrodes, respectively. OER and ORR, measurements were carried out in O2-saturated alkaline (0.1 M KOH) electrolyte at room temperature. HER tests were also measured in 0.1 M KOH. All the measurements were carried out with a fixed catalyst deposition of ~0.28 mg cm−2 on a 3 mm dia (or area of 0.0707 cm2) glassy carbon electrode (GCE). The catalyst was prepared as follows; 2 mg of NPC sample was dispersed in a total 500 μl solution consisting 482 μl of deionized water plus 18 μl of Naflon (5% solution) under sonication. The sonication was carried out for up to an hour to get uniform catalyst dispersion of ink. Of this 5 μl was micropipetted and dropped on to a GCE followed by drying at 60 °C in an oven prior to the electrochemical tests. For OER, ORR and HER, the CV (Cyclic Voltammetry) and LSV (Linear Sweep Voltammetry) curves were recorded with voltage sweeping at 10 mV s−1 in the potential range of +0.2 V to −0.8 V for ORR, +0.2 V to +1.0 V for OER, and −0.8 V to −1.8 V for HER. Before the actual measurements, the catalyst was subjected to a number of CV cycles until a stable CV was obtained. The OER stability (I vs. t) tests were carried out at a fixed potential of +0.70 V and the response current recorded against time. The ORR, OER and HER LSV curves were measured at 1600 rpm. All the reported current densities were estimated by normalizing the actual current response to the electrode area of GCE. The following relation was used for V (V) versus RHE

\[
V = V_{\text{RHE}} - 1.23V
\]
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