Nickel-catalyzed asymmetric hydrogenation of β-acylamino nitroolefins: an efficient approach to chiral amines†
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An efficient approach for synthesizing chiral β-amino nitroalkanes has been developed via the Ni-catalyzed asymmetric hydrogenation of challenging β-amino nitroolefins under mild conditions, affording the desired products in excellent yields and with high enantioselectivities. This protocol had good compatibility with the wide substrate scope and a range of functional groups. The synthesis of chiral β-amino nitroalkanes on a gram scale has also been achieved. In addition, the reaction mechanism was elucidated using a combined experimental and computational study, and it involved acetate-assisted heterolytic H₂ cleavage followed by 1,4-hydride addition and protonation to achieve the nitroalkanes.

The development of new protocols for synthesizing chiral compounds in an environmentally-friendly and cost-effective manner is an important subject in both academic research and industrial applications. In this context, asymmetric hydrogenation, one of the most effective approaches for constructing chiral compounds, has been rapidly developed and has achieved remarkable progress. However, almost all of the catalytic systems for asymmetric hydrogenation heavily rely on noble transition metal catalysts based on Ru, Rh, Ir or Pd. In contrast, catalysts based on the cheap, earth-abundant first-row transition metals have potential advantages in terms of cost and sustainability. Therefore, Fe-, Co- and Ni-catalyzed asymmetric hydrogenation has attracted great attention.

Recently, the Fe-catalyzed asymmetric hydrogenation of ketones and imines and the Co-catalyzed asymmetric hydrogenation of ketones and olefins have been reported. These methods exhibited the great potential of first-row transition metals in asymmetric hydrogenation. However, seminal studies on Ni-catalyzed asymmetric hydrogenation are in their infancy, exploring a wide substrate scope, increasing the enantioselectivities of the products and improving the TON values of the catalysts are highly desirable.

Generally, β-acylamino nitroolefins are challenging substrates for asymmetric hydrogenation due to the weak

Scheme 1 Reports on Ni-catalyzed asymmetric hydrogenation.
binding affinity of the olefin with an electron-poor nitro group. There are only a few examples on the asymmetric hydrogenation of β-acylamino nitroolefins that employed precious transition metal catalysts. To the best of our knowledge, cheap transition metals have never been used in the asymmetric hydrogenation of β-acylamino nitroolefins. Herein, we report an efficient access route to chiral β-amino nitroalkanes via the Ni-catalyzed asymmetric hydrogenation of β-acylamino nitroolefins under mild conditions (Scheme 1c).

 Initially, (Z)-N-(2-nitro-1-(p-tolyl)vinyl)acetamide 1b was chosen as a model substrate for optimizing the reaction conditions. Some chiral diphosphine ligands were evaluated. When the reaction was carried out in the presence of 5 mol% Ni(OAc)2 and 5.6 mol% ligand under 50 atm of H2 at 50 °C in MeOH for 24 h, using Bu4NI as the additive, all of the P-chiral diphosphine ligands could catalyze the reaction with different conversions and enantioselectivities (Fig. 1). (S)-Binapine was found to give the best results (>99% conversion, 98% ee). Axial chiral and planar chiral diphosphine ligands had no activity for this reaction. The solvent screening experiments indicated that CF3CH2OH was the best choice (Table 1, entries 1–6). Further investigation showed that the Bu4NI additive had no effect on this reaction (Table 1, entries 7 and 8). When the catalyst loading was reduced from 5 mol% to 1 mol%, the reaction completed smoothly with similar results (Table 1, entry 9). Decreasing the hydrogen pressure to 1 atm did not affect the reaction. The excellent enantioselectivity was maintained when further decreasing the hydrogen pressure to 1 atm, but the yield dramatically decreased (Table 1, entries 10–14).

 Under the optimized reaction conditions, the substrate scope was examined. As shown in Scheme 2, various electron-rich or electron-poor aromatic group substituted β-acylamino nitroolefins could be hydrogenated smoothly to afford the corresponding β-amino nitroalkanes in high yields and with excellent enantioselectivities. The position of the substituents on the benzene ring had no influence on the reactivity and enantioselectivity. In addition, a heteroaromatic group substituted β-acylamino nitroolefin was also well-tolerated, albeit with a slight decrease in the yield and enantioselectivity. It is worth noting that alkyl substituted β-acylamino nitroolefins, which are challenging substrates for Rh or Ir catalysts, could also be hydrogenated in high yields and with excellent enantioselectivities.

To explore the potential application of this methodology, the synthesis of the chiral β-acylamino nitroalkane on a gram scale was examined. The reaction was carried out under 5 atm of hydrogen pressure at room temperature in the presence of 1 mol% Ni(OAc)2/(S)-binapine complex, affording the desired compound 2a in 99% yield and with 99% ee. When the catalyst loading was decreased to 0.1 mol%, we achieved 2a in 57% yield and with 99% ee (Scheme 3).

To decipher the possible reaction mechanism for the Ni-catalyzed asymmetric hydrogenation of β-acylamino nitroolefins, a series of isotopic labeling studies were conducted. Firstly, when 1a was hydrogenated with 10 atm of D2 in TFE solution, the deuterium atom was solely added at the β position (Scheme 4a). When the experiment was repeated with H2 and CD3OD, the deuterium atoms were incorporated at the α position (Scheme 4b). Performing the hydrogenation reaction under 30 atm of D2 in CD3OD solution gave the expected compound with the deuterium atoms at both the α and β positions (Scheme 4c). Finally, when 2a was dissolved in CD3OD solution and stirred, the deuterium atoms were found to be incorporated at

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Solvent H2 (atm)</th>
<th>Temp. (°C)</th>
<th>Conv. (%)</th>
<th>ee (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>MeOH 50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>EtOH 50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>iPrOH 50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>DCM 50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>THF 50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Trace</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Toluene 50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>TFE 50</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8d</td>
<td>TFE 50</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9d</td>
<td>TFE 50</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10d</td>
<td>TFE 50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11d</td>
<td>TFE 50</td>
<td>rt</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12d</td>
<td>TFE 50</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>rt</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13d</td>
<td>TFE 50</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>rt</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14d</td>
<td>TFE 50</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>rt</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Conditions: Ni(OAc)2 : (S)-binapine : Bu4NI = 1 : 1 : 1, and 1b (0.1 mmol) in 1 ml of solvent. The conversion was determined using 1H NMR and HPLC analysis. The ee values were determined using HPLC analysis with a chiral stationary phase. Without using Bu4NI as an additive.

---

**Fig. 1** The performance of chiral phosphines in the asymmetric hydrogenation of 1b.
the \( \alpha \) position, showing the H/D scrambling of the product (Scheme 4d).\({}^9\)

To gain further insight into the reaction mechanism, DFT calculations using M06-L-D3 and B3LYP-D3 methods have been performed (Scheme 5).\({}^{10,11}\) Our favored computed catalytic cycle starts with the acetate-assisted heterolytic cleavage of \( \text{H}_2 \) to give a \( \text{Ni(II)} \)–H intermediate (III) with a barrier of \(~23.3–24.1\) kcal mol\(^{-1}\) in solution. Then, ligand exchange with the nitroolefin substrate takes place, followed by the regio-determining 1,4-addition of the hydride to the \( \beta \) position of the nitroolefin to preferentially form a \( \text{Ni(II)} \) intermediate VI\(_{S1}\) via TSI\(_{S1}\). Such a major pathway requires a lower barrier than the minor pathway by 4.0 kcal mol\(^{-1}\), and it forms the \( (S) \)-product. Subsequently, an AcOH molecule can re-coordinate to the Ni metal and undergo protonation to afford the desired \( (S) \)-product 2\textit{a} via TSI\(_{III}\). These computational results are qualitatively consistent with the observed enantioselectivity and isotope labeling. 2\textit{a} could undergo H exchange at the \( \alpha \) position with TFE (or AcOH) to give the compound 2\textit{a}’. This reaction
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mechanism for the Ni catalyst is different to that for the Rh-dihydride catalysts, in which alcohol solvents play a critical role in the catalytic system.\textsuperscript{12}

Conclusions

In conclusion, the Ni-catalyzed asymmetric hydrogenation of \-amino nitroalkenes using $\text{H}_2$ as the reductant has been achieved, affording chiral \-amino nitroalkanes in high yields and with excellent enantioselectivities. Notably, this catalytic system was carried out under mild conditions and higher turnover numbers were achieved. Compared to noble metal catalysts, such as Rh species, the Ni catalyst is more attractive in the synthesis of chiral \-amino nitroalkanes. Moreover, deuterium labeling and computational studies were performed to reveal a possible mechanism for the Ni-catalyzed asymmetric hydrogenation. A further investigation on Ni-catalyzed asymmetric hydrogenation is ongoing in our laboratory.
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