Indole diterpenoid natural products as the inspiration for new synthetic methods and strategies
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Indole terpenoids comprise a large class of natural products with diverse structural topologies and a broad range of biological activities. Accordingly, indole terpenoids have and continue to serve as attractive targets for chemical synthesis. Many synthetic efforts over the past few years have focused on a subclass of this family, the indole diterpenoids. This minireview showcases the role indole diterpenoids have played in inspiring the recent development of clever synthetic strategies, and new chemical reactions.

Introduction

Indole terpenoids are a natural product family of paramount importance to biology and chemistry. Several family members have had a tremendous impact on human medicine, such as the dimeric monoterpene indole alkaloids, vincristine and vinblastine, both of which are used to treat numerous types of cancer.1 Furthermore, indole terpenoids have served as structural platforms for the development of new chemical methods and strategies for many years.2 From the classic synthesis of lysergic acid3 by Woodward in 1956, to Boger’s development of ingenious cascade reactions toward the Vinca alkaloids4 it is evident that indole terpenoids have played a vital role in spawning innovation in chemical synthesis.

The indole diterpenoids, a subclass of the indole terpenoid family, have recently garnered increased attention from synthetic chemists. The resulting total syntheses, several of which are the focus of this minireview, highlight the impact that indole diterpenoids have had on the development of enabling strategies and methods in chemical synthesis. This minireview, however, is not intended to be comprehensive. There are several elegant syntheses of indole diterpenoids that are not covered herein,5 including those by Li, who has accomplished impressive syntheses of a number of indole terpenoids, including xiamycin A and tubingensin A.6

Specific natural products discussed in this review are shown in Fig. 1. The first topic presented pertains to arguably the most complex member of this natural product family, penitrem D. The synthesis of penitrem D by the Smith group features the use
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of an innovative fragment coupling/indole synthesis methodology.\(^7\) Next, recent efforts toward the related compounds, emindole SB\(^8\) and paspaline,\(^9\) by Johnson and Pronin, respectively, are discussed. We then highlight the Baran synthesis of dixiamycin B,\(^1\)\(^{0}\) which has led to the considerable advancement of electrochemical methods in organic synthesis. Finally, we conclude by examining the strategic use of heterocyclic arynes to enable concise total syntheses of tubingensins A and B, as reported by our laboratory.\(^{11}\)

**Smith’s total synthesis of penitrem D**

Of the groups who have targeted indole diterpenoid natural products, the Smith group has been amongst the most successful. Their work led to the total syntheses of a myriad of indole diterpenoids,\(^{12}\) including, but not limited to, paspaline,\(^{13}\) paspalicine,\(^{14}\) and paspalinine.\(^{15}\) These efforts set the stage for the pursuit of (−)-penitrem D (1, Fig. 2), a tremorgenic alkaloid isolated from *Penicillium crustosum* in 1983.\(^{16}\) Indole tremorgens are believed to cause neurological disorders in livestock by inhibition of potassium channels.\(^{17}\)

Penitrem D (1) is one of the most complex natural products in the indole diterpenoid family. Consequently, the Smith group reported the only total synthesis.\(^7\) The structure contains a staggering nine rings, a highly functionalized indole core, and eleven stereocenters. Of the stereocenters in 1, five are contiguous and two are vicinal quaternary centers. The total synthesis of penitrem D (1) therefore represents an extraordinary feat in and of itself.

The synthesis of penitrem D (1) remains the only synthesis of any penitrem alkaloid to date, which highlights the complexity of the penitrem alkaloids. There are many noteworthy features in the total synthesis that are not discussed herein, such as a Sc(OTf)$_3$-promoted cyclization cascade and a novel autoxidation to install the C$_{22}$ hydroxyl group. Rather, as noted earlier, we focus our discussion on the indole synthesis methodology\(^1\)\(^{15}\) used to access the natural product. This method is a variant of the Madelung indole synthesis,\(^{18}\) albeit significantly more mild.

Scheme 1 depicts Smith’s elegant indole synthesis and its use as a lynchpin in the total synthesis of 1. The transformation begins with the formation of *N*-TMS dianion species 3 by treatment of substituted 2-methylaniline 2 with n-BuLi and TMSCI, followed by s-BuLi. This highly reactive intermediate can be generated at low temperature, obviating the need for otherwise harsh conditions required in the Madelung reaction. The reactive intermediate 3, used in excess, is then treated with complex lactone 4 to form aminoketone 5 via concomitant addition/elimination of the alkylithium with the lactone. Next, the authors found that subjection of 5 to silica gel led to the smooth formation of the desired 2-substituted indole, 6, in an impressive 81% yield from lactone 4. Thus, the Smith indole synthesis provides a powerful method to unite two fragments of
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**Fig. 1** Indole diterpenoids as platforms for discovery.

**Fig. 2** The indole diterpenoid penitrem D (1).
considerable complexity, while also installing the necessary indole heterocycle.

The construction of the indole nucleus set the stage for the completion of the synthesis (Scheme 1). After eight additional steps, the Smith group succeeded in elaborating 6 to penitrem D (1). Smith’s total synthesis of 1, which relies critically on his methodology for fragment coupling and indole synthesis, stands as one of the greatest feats in indole diterpenoid total synthesis.

Pronin’s synthesis of emindole SB

In 2015, Pronin and co-workers reported the first total synthesis of (±)-emindole SB (7, Fig. 3), an indole diterpenoid isolated from the fungus *Emericella striata*, that shows promising antiproliferative, antimigratory, and anti-invasive properties against human breast cancer cells. Comparable to penitrem D (1), the natural product contains an indole unit fused to a tricyclic carbon scaffold. Emindole SB (7) possesses six contiguous stereocenters, including vicinal quaternary centers on the western cyclohexyl ring. The Pronin group’s approach to 7 features a novel ketone alkenylation reaction, in addition to a radical cyclization initiated by chemoselective hydrogen atom transfer (HAT) to install the trans-hexahydroindene moiety bearing vicinal quaternary centers.

As shown in Scheme 2, the synthesis of 7 commenced with silyl enol ether 8, which was prepared in one step from commercially available materials. Treatment of 8 with substoichiometric amounts of indium(III) bromide in the presence of terminal alkyne 9, followed by a mild acidic workup, afforded alkenylated product 10 in 50% yield. Notably, an α-quaternary center was introduced with complete diastereoselectivity, showcasing the utility of this new method.

Ketone 10 was then elaborated in three steps to diol 11, an important precursor toward constructing the natural product’s trans-hexahydroindene moiety (Scheme 2). Parikh–Doering oxidation of diol 11 provided hemiaminal 12 as the substrate for the ensuing key radical cyclization reaction. Using conditions developed independently by Baran and Shenvi, hemiaminal 12 was treated with iron(III) acetylacetonate and (isopropoxy)phenylsilane to afford cyclized product 14 in 60% yield over two steps (from diol 11, >10:1 d.r.). This key radical cyclization, which constructs a new C–C bond and installs

**Fig. 3** Emindole SB (7) and key strategies employed in Pronin’s total synthesis.
vicinal stereocenters, is thought to proceed by formation of tertiary radical intermediate 13 via chemoselective hydrogen atom transfer (HAT), followed by 1,4-addition. Of note, restricting the rotation of the radical intermediate by formation of a hemiaminal was crucial for the diastereoselectivity of the radical cyclization step. When the structure was not restricted as a hemiaminal, it resulted in the indiscriminate attack of the enone and poor diastereoselectivity. Nonetheless, pentacycle 14 was quickly elaborated to emindole SB (7) after a final four-step sequence involving cleavage of the hemiaminal fragment, cyclization to forge the final six-membered ring, and installation of the requisite homoprenyl group.

The Pronin approach to emindole SB (7) provides access to the natural product in only eleven steps from commercially available materials. Critical to the success and brevity of the total synthesis was creative invention. Specifically, the total synthesis of 7 was greatly facilitated by the novel alkenylation and diastereoselective radical cyclization reactions highlighted herein.

### Johnson's total synthesis of paspaline

In 2015, Johnson and coworkers reported an enantioselective total synthesis of (−)-paspaline (15, Fig. 4). Paspaline (15) was isolated from the ergot fungus *Claviceps paspali* in 1966. The natural product's structure and bioactivity profile is similar to that of emindole SB (7), discussed previously, although 15 possesses an additional ring and rests in a higher oxidation state. Johnson's synthesis of paspaline (15) hinges on two impressive desymmetrization transformations: a biocatalytic desymmetrization and a diastereotopic group selective C–H acetoxylation.

The two desymmetrization reactions utilized in Johnson’s synthesis of paspaline (15) are summarized in Scheme 3. The first involved desymmetrization of diketone 16. Biocatalytic reduction with yeast from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* type 2 (YSC-2) furnish hydroxyketone 17 in 66% yield with excellent diastereoselectivity. This enzymatic process was essential for the synthesis, as the stereochemistry in alcohol 17 would ultimately be transferred to the 2,6-cis-tetrahydropyran ring. Alcohol 17 was then converted into oxime 18, the substrate for the desired C–H acetoxylation. Inspired by chemistry developed in the Sanford laboratory,26 treatment of oxime 18 with palladium(ii) acetate and iodosobenzene diacetate produced acetate 19 in 79% yield (gram-scale) as a single diastereomer. It was hypothesized that the oxime directing group guided the palladium catalyst in proximity to the equatorial methyl group, resulting in diastereotopic, group selective C–H functionalization. This intriguing local desymmetrization approach to install a quaternary stereogenic center allowed access to the trans-hexahydropyridine moiety present in the natural product.

The endgame of Johnson’s total synthesis of paspaline (15), which utilizes a Gassman indole synthesis,22,27 is depicted in Scheme 4. Ketone 20, an intermediate derived from oxime 19 was first synthesized. Of note, 20 contains all the requisite stereocenters of the natural product. An enolate was then generated from ketone 20 and trapped with dimethyl disulfide to provide sulfide 21 in excellent yield. Next, sulfide 21 was treated with N-chloroaniline, followed by RANEY® nickel, to afford aniline 22 in 62% yield over two steps. Lastly, aniline 22...
was treated with mild acid to generate the indole unit thereby completing the total synthesis of 15.

The Johnson synthesis of paspaline (15) showcases a number of elegant transformations. Central to the success of their approach was the clever utilization of desymmetrization reactions. The early enzymatic desymmetrization established two key stereocenters, including a challenging quaternary center. Subsequently, the judicious application of C–H functionalization chemistry produced a local desymmetrization event and installed another quaternary stereocenter. The execution of these processes not only facilitated the total synthesis of 15, but also underscores innovation prompted by the complexity of an indole diterpenoid.

### Baran’s synthesis of dixiamycin B

Dixiamycin B (23) is an oligomeric indole alkaloid that was isolated independently by Zhang and Hertweck from *Streptomyces* sp. SCSIO 02999 in 2012. The compound exhibits low μM antibacterial activity against several bacteria, including *E. coli*, *S. aureus*, *B. subtilis*, and *B. thuringensis*. Dixiamycin B (23) is a dimer of an indole diterpenoid, xiamycin A (27), which contains a carbazole fused to a trans-decalin core with four contiguous stereocenters, three of which are quaternary. In the dimerized natural product, the monomer units are uniquely linked via a N–N bond. Baran’s laboratory tackled the total synthesis of dixiamycin B (23) by implementing a novel application of electrochemical oxidation to form this unusual bond (Fig. 5).

To validate their dimerization strategy, the group first tested oxidation methods on a simpler substrate, carbazole, to form the N–N bond. After examining chemical oxidants, which were largely deemed unfruitful, the authors turned to electrochemistry to facilitate the desired oxidative dimerization. Inspired by previous mechanistic electrochemical studies, they found that efficient dimerization of carbazole took place using a potential of +1.2 V with a carbon anode (i.e., 63% yield of carbazole dimer).

Armed with these results, they then embarked on the synthesis of the monomeric natural product, xiamycin A (27), as summarized in Scheme 5. The synthesis began with elaboration of epoxide 24, available in >10 g quantity in five steps from geraniol, to carbazole 25 in three steps. Boc protection, followed by treatment with BF₃·OEt₂, initiated a cationic polycyclization reaction, which established the core of the natural product. Of note, the product from this transformation, pentacycle 26, possesses the four contiguous stereocenters present in the natural product. From pentacycle 26, xiamycin A (27) was accessed in four steps involving removal of the Boc and Bn protecting groups, as well as oxidation to introduce the necessary carboxylic acid.

With xiamycin A (27) in hand, the authors attempted the critical dimerization reaction (Scheme 6). Upon subjection of xiamycin A (27) to electrochemical oxidation conditions involving 1.15 V using a carbon anode, the desired oxidative dimerization took place. Consequently, dixiamycin B (23) was obtained in 28% yield. The reaction also gave 13% of recovered starting material, along with 17% yield of brominated xiamycin derivative 28.

With the success of the electrochemical dimerization reaction, not only did the Baran laboratory accomplish an expedient synthesis of an unusual natural product, but they also brought forth much deserved attention to the use of electrochemistry as an enabling tool in total synthesis. Numerous advances in electrochemical organic reactions have been disclosed, thus providing exciting tactics for chemists to consider when tackling challenging bond formations.

### Garg’s total syntheses of tubingensins A & B

The final natural products highlighted in this minireview are tubingensin A (29) and tubingensin B (30) (Fig. 6). Due to their intriguing structures, these indole diterpenoids have sparked interest from the synthetic community. Both compounds were isolated from the fungus *Aspergillus tubingensis* by Gloer and coworkers in 1989 and display antiviral, anticancer, and insecticidal activity. Tubingensin A (29) has been the subject of previous synthetic studies, and has now been synthesized.
twice. The first synthesis was reported by Li and Nicolaou in 2012.6c Two years later, we described an enantiospecific route to the natural product.11a Recently, our laboratory completed the first total synthesis of tubingensin B (30).11b

Our lab first became interested in pursuing the total synthesis of the tubingensin alkaloids due to the structural complexity of these targets. Both compounds possess ortho-disubstituted carbazoles fused to intricate ring systems. In the case of tubingensin A (29), the natural product features four contiguous stereocenters, two of which are vicinal quaternary centers. Regarding tubingensin B (30), the natural product scaffold is significantly more complex due to the presence of the bicyclo[3.2.2]nonane core. In both cases, we viewed the natural product cores and the presence of quaternary centers as excellent testing grounds for aryne chemistry. Specifically, we questioned if carbazole-derived arynes, or ‘carbazolynes’ could be used to assemble the stereochemically complex frameworks present in the tubingensin alkaloids.

Although the discussion herein will largely focus on tubingensin B (30), some mention of carbazolylene cyclization studies in the context of tubingensin A (29) is warranted. In fact, considerable effort was required to find a substrate prone to undergo the desired carbazolylene cyclization. Two key results are depicted in Fig. 7. In the first, bromoketone 31, a model substrate lacking the homoprenyl sidechain, was treated with NaNH2/t-BuOH.35 These conditions, which are commonly used to generate arynes by dehydrohalogenation, were intended to facilitate both enolate formation and aryne formation en route to C–C bond construction. However, the major product obtained was tetracyclic ketone 32. This reaction is believed to proceed through the intended intermediate, which underwent formal [2 + 2] cycloaddition, followed by retro [4 + 2] reaction.11a

Ultimately, the desired aryne cyclization was accomplished using TES enol ether 33 as the substrate. Exposure to NaNH2/t-BuOH furnished the desired pentacyclic ketone 34 in a gratifying 84% yield. Ketone 34 was then elaborated to the natural product, tubingensin A (29), in two additional steps.

Having established the utility of aryne chemistry for the assembly of the tubingensin A scaffold, we directed our attention to the more complex family member, tubingensin B (30). In designing our synthesis, we envisioned the use of a carbazolylene cyclization to assemble a 7-membered ring. Aryne cyclizations to construct medium-sized rings are rare36 and no such examples involving the formation of vicinal quaternary stereocenters were available in the literature.

Our first major hurdle in the total synthesis of tubingensin B (29) was the construction of an appropriate aryne cyclization precursor. As shown in Scheme 7, our strategy involved B-alkyl Suzuki–Miyaura coupling of known olefin fragment 35 with vinyl iodide 36. Unification of these two fragments was far from trivial due to the congested nature of the tetrasubstituted olefin.
being formed, which is also positioned ortho to the aryl bromide. The necessary survival of the dimethylisopropylsilyl (DMIPS) enol ether added another layer of complexity to this transformation. After extensive optimization, we found that Pd$_2$(dba)$_3$ and AsPh$_3$ facilitated the desired bond formation.$^{37}$ In three steps, silyl enol ether 37 could be further elaborated to $\alpha$-functionalized TES enol ether 38.

With TES enol ether 38 in hand, the key carbazolyne cyclization to form the seven-membered ring of the natural product was examined (Fig. 8). Exposure of 38 to NaNH$_2$/t-BuOH was expected to result in enolate formation and dehydrohalogenation, with subsequent C–C bond formation. However, rather than obtaining the desired product, cyclobutanol 41 was observed. As expected, the desired enolate/aryne is first believed to form and undergo C–C bond formation (see transition structure 39). The resulting carbanion is thought to then cyclize onto the proximal ketone (see 40), thus giving rise to 41, rather than undergo protonation. It was ultimately found, however, that by performing the reaction at higher temperature, the desired product, ketone 42, could be accessed in 58% yield. Presumably, the formal [2 + 2] cycloaddition still occurs under these conditions, but the resulting intermediate undergoes in situ fragmentation to eventually give 42. Notably, this carbazolyne cyclization reaction establishes both the pentacyclic core and the vicinal quaternary centers of the natural product.

We were delighted to find that the carbazolyne cyclization provided access to ketone 42 and subsequently focused our efforts on elaborating 42 to the natural product. Although we were able to synthesize tubingensin B (30) from ketone 42, the route required several functional group interconversions and redox manipulations that we wished to circumvent. Thus, we redesigned our aryne precursor to include a different functional group handle in place of the MOM ether and eventually settled on selenide 43 (Scheme 8). Exposure of this substrate to NaNH$_2$/t-BuOH afforded cyclobutanol 44. Unfortunately, attempts to induce in situ fragmentation by heating the reaction led to elimination of the alkyl selenide. Thus, we turned to the rhodium-catalyzed cyclobutanol ring opening conditions developed by Murakami and coworkers.$^{38}$ Gratifyingly, subjection of cyclobutanol 44 to catalytic [Rh(OH)cod]$_2$ in toluene at 100 $^\circ$C led to the appropriate C–C bond cleavage to supply ketone 45.

From alkyl selenide 45, completion of the total synthesis of tubingensin B (30) was achieved using the sequence highlighted in Scheme 9. Exposure of selenide 45 to tributyltin hydride and AIBN in toluene at 110 $^\circ$C resulted in the desired radical cyclization$^{39}$ to give product 46 in 54% yield. Of note, this reaction installed the bicyclo[3.2.2]nonane core and the final C–C bond of the natural product. From 46, all that remained was deprotection of the carbazole nitrogen and reduction of the ketone. Treatment of N-MOM ketone 46 with 3 N HCl and ethylene...
glycol at 55 °C delivered ketone 47 in 67% yield. Although dia
t stereoselective ketone reduction proved difficult, an exhaustive
survey of conditions led us to identify suitable conditions.
Treatment of ketone 47 to (R,Ru(OAc)3)(DM-SEGPHOS), KOH,
and H2 (1500 psi) gave synthetic tubingensin B (30).44
The concise total syntheses of tubingensin A (29) and
tubingensin B (30) were made possible by the strategic use of
aryne chemistry. More specifically, the carbazole cyclizations
facilitated construction of the pentacyclic cores and installed
the vicinal quaternary stereocenters of the natural products.
The synthesis of tubingensin B (30) was further enabled by (a)
the Rh-catalyzed fragmentation of an unexpected cyclobutenol
intermediate and (b) a late-stage radical cyclization stemming
from a phenylselenide intermediate to install a final quaternary
stereocenter and the [3.2.2]- bridged bicyclic framework of the
natural product. These results underscore the fact that arynes,
despite often being considered ‘too reactive’ and therefore
undesirable, can be used strategically to access challenging
structural motifs, such as medium-sized rings and vicinal
quaternary stereocenters.

Conclusions
In this minireview, we have demonstrated that indole diterpe
noid natural products serve as excellent stepping-stones for
innovation in chemical synthesis. Penitrem D, arguably the
most complex member of this natural product family, featured
the Smith indole synthesis, as a means to not only introduce the
indole unit, but also to couple two complex fragments. The
synthesis of eminolide SB from the Pronin group showcased the
development of a new ketone alkenylation protocol, as well as
an elegant application of hydrogen atom transfer chemistry in
total synthesis. Johnson’s synthesis of paspaline demonstrated
clever use of desymmetrization reactions in the context of an
enzymatic reduction and a C-H functionalization to install
stereochemical complexity. Moreover, through the synthesis of
dixiamycin B, the Baran laboratory has shown that electro-
chemistry can be a powerful complement to traditional chemi
cal oxidants. Finally, our laboratory’s forays toward the
tubingensin alkaloids have demonstrated the effectiveness
of heterocyclic aryne chemistry in building stereochemically
complex molecules, including vicinal quaternary centers. We
envision that indole diterpenoids will continue to foster cre-
tivity in chemical synthesis for years to come.

Acknowledgements
The authors are grateful to the National Science Foundation
(graduate fellowship for MAC; DGE-1144087) and the University
of California, Los Angeles for financial support.

Notes and references
2 For reviews of indole terpenoid synthesis, see: (a) M.
(b) M. Ishikura, K. Yamada and T. Abe, Nat. Prod. Rep.,
2010, 27, 1630; (c) M. Ishikura, T. Abe, T. Choshib and S.
Hibinob, Nat. Prod. Rep., 2013, 30, 694; (d) I. S. Marcos,
R. F. Moro, I. Costales, P. Basabe and D. Díez, Nat. Prod.
Rep., 2013, 30, 1509; (e) M. Baunach, J. Franke and C.
3 E. C. Kornfeld, E. J. Fornefeld, G. B. Kline, M. J. Mann,
4 J. E. Sears and D. L. Boger, Acc. Chem. Res., 2015, 48, 653, and
references therein.
5 (a) M. Enomoto, A. Morita and S. Kuwahara, Angew. Chem.,
Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 12833; (b) T. Teranishi, T. Murokawa,
M. Enomoto and S. Kuwahara, Biosci., Biotechnol.,
Biochem., 2015, 79, 11.
6 (a) S. Zhou, D. Zhang, Y. Sun, R. Li, W. Zhang and A. Li, Adv.
Synth. Catal., 2014, 356, 2867; (b) X. Xiong, D. Zhang, J. Li,
Y. Sun, S. Zhou, M. Yang, H. Shao and A. Li, Chem.-Asian
J., 2015, 10, 869; (c) M. Bian, Z. Wang, X. Xiong, Y. Sun,
2012, 134, 8078; (d) Y. Sun, Z. Meng, P. Chen, D. Zhang,
M. Baunach, C. Hertweck and A. Li, Org. Chem. Front.,
2016, 3, 368, and references therein.
7 (a) A. B. Smith III, N. Kanoh, H. Ishiyama and R. A. Hartz, J.
Am. Chem. Soc., 2000, 122, 11254; (b) A. B. Smith III,
N. Kanoh, H. Ishiyama, N. Minakawa, J. Rainier,
Soc., 2015, 137, 15410.
9 (a) R. J. Sharpe and J. S. Johnson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2015, 137,
4968; (b) R. J. Sharpe and J. S. Johnson, J. Org. Chem.,
2015, 80, 9740.
11 (a) A. E. Goetz, A. L. Silberstein, M. A. Corsello and
N. K. Garg, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 3036; (b)
M. A. Corsello, J. Kim and N. K. Garg, Nat. Chem., 2017,
DOI: 10.1038/nchem.2801.
12 (a) A. B. Smith III and R. Mewshaw, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1985,
107, 1769; (b) A. B. Smith III, T. Sunazuka, T. L. Leenay and
29, 2787; (d) A. B. Smith III and T. L. Leenay, Tetrahedron
Lett., 1988, 29, 2791; (e) R. E. Mewshaw, M. D. Taylor and
III and T. L. Leenay, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1989, 111, 5761; (g)
and T. J. Sunazuka, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1992, 114, 1438; (h)
41, 9419; (i) A. B. Smith III and H. Cui, Org. Lett., 2003, 5,
587; (j) Y. Zou, J. E. Melvin, S. S. Gonzales, M. J. Spafford
13 A. E. de Jesus, P. S. Steyn, F. R. van Heerden, R. Vleggaar,
1983, 1847.
14 H. G. Knaus, O. B. McManus, S. H. Lee, W. A. Schmalhofer,
M. Garcia-Calvo, L. M. H. Helms, M. Sanchez,


