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A Rh-catalyzed regiodivergent hydrosilylation of acyl aminocyclopropanes has been developed. Acyl aminocyclopropanes were reacted with hydrosilanes in the presence of Rh catalysts to afford ring-opened hydrosilylated adducts through carbon–carbon (C–C) bond cleavage of the cyclopropane ring. The regioselectivity of the addition of silanes (linear or branched) can be switched by changing the monophosphine ligand. This C–C bond cleavage/hydrosilylation methodology is applicable to the synthesis of silanediol precursors.

Introduction

Catalytic carbon–carbon (C–C) bond activation can be an efficient way to create valuable bonds from readily available starting materials.1 The development of methodologies for both the breaking of C–C bonds and the forming of new C–C and C–heteroatom bonds enables the synthesis of complex organic molecules in a novel and more efficient manner. In previous studies of transition-metal catalyzed C–C bond cleavage reactions, strained molecules have usually been employed.2,3 Particularly, cyclopropanes have been well investigated due to their high reactivity derived from a high strain energy. Various types of ring-opening reactions of “activated” cyclopropanes such as alkylidenecyclopropanes and donor–acceptor cyclopropanes have been reported, enabling the construction of diverse frameworks. However, mono-substituted cyclopropanes, which have inert C–C bonds compared to the “activated” cyclopropanes described above, are still difficult to functionalize and have limited application in organic synthesis.4 Since mono-substituted cyclopropanes such as cyclopropylamine are readily available ($5 per gram from Sigma-Aldrich), its synthetic utility might be enhanced if it can be coaxed into a new C–C bond activation mode. Recently, the Bower group reported excellent examples for a C–C activation/alkene formation sequence using acyl aminocyclopropanes.4,5

Hydrosilylation is a classical and well-studied reaction, particularly for double bonds such as alkenes, alkynes, ketones, and imines under transition-metal catalysis.6 However, regarding the hydrosilylation of C–C single bonds, only a narrow scope of selected cyclopropanes has been studied. Catalytic hydrosilylation of “activated” cyclopropanes is known, and the site-selectivity of the C–C bond cleavage depends on the substituents on both the substrate and the catalyst (Scheme 1A).6,7 Specifically, the catalytic hydrosilylation of mono-substituted cyclopropanes has only been demonstrated in one report.8 In a related literature precedent, the

Scheme 1 Transition-metal catalyzed hydrosilylation of cyclopropanes via C–C bond activation.
Chirik group reported a two-step catalytic hydrosilylation of cyclopropyl alcohol in the presence of Wilkinson’s catalyst (Scheme 1B). They discovered that the proximal bond (C(a)–C(b) bond) of the cyclopropane can be cleaved selectively under the influence of the Rh catalyst by using PPh$_2$ as a directing group, followed by hydrosilylation of the alkene to give the resulting alkylsilane.

During our efforts to develop C–H functionalization of aminocyclopropanes, we serendipitously discovered a Rh-catalyzed hydrosilylation of acyl aminocyclopropanes via C–C bond cleavage (Scheme 1C). We have found that the regioselectivity of the addition of silanes can be controlled by changing the monophosphine ligand to give linear or branched alkylsilanes.

To the best of our knowledge, switching the regioselectivity by ligand control in a catalytic hydrosilylation of mono-substituted cyclopropanes has not been reported thus far. Additionally, since the functional motif of the resulting α-aminosilane is known to exhibit biological activity and is a prominent feature in silanediol protease inhibitors (Scheme 1D), many strategies for its preparation have been reported. This hydrosilylation methodology would introduce various silyl groups in an atom-economic fashion without the use of organometallic reagents.

Results and discussion

At the outset of our studies, we chose cyclopropylamine 1A and silane 2a as model substrates (Table 1). To our delight, hydrosilylated product 3Aa, which was formed through C(a)–C(b) bond cleavage and addition of the silyl group to the terminal carbon C(g), was obtained in 40% yield with 2.5 mol% [Rh(cod)Cl]$_2$ in THF at 120 °C for 6 h (Table 1, entry 1).

When examining ligand effects, we observed that 1,10-phenanthroline (phen), which is a bidentate nitrogen ligand, is ineffective (entry 2). When 1,4-bis(diphenylphosphino)butane (dpbb) was employed, a mixture of linear product 3Aa and branched product 4Aa was obtained (entry 3). The monodentate phosphine ligand PCy$_3$Ph provided 3Aa as the major product (entry 4). Moreover, when a more electron-rich and bulky ligand, PCy$_2$, was used, regioselective hydrosilylation proceeded to yield the linear 3Aa in higher yield (56% isolated yield, entry 5). On the other hand, when PPh$_3$ and tri(naphthalen-1-yl)phosphine (P(1-nap))$_3$ were used as ligands, the regioselectivity dramatically changed: branched product 4Aa, which has a silyl group at the α position to the nitrogen, was obtained in high yields (entries 6 and 7). In this manner, we accomplished a switching of the regioselectivity when reacting cyclopropylamine 1A with hydrosilane 2a via C–C bond cleavage solely by changing the ligand on the Rh catalyst.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Ligand</th>
<th>3Aa $^b$/%</th>
<th>4Aa $^b$/%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Phen</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>dpbb</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>PCy$_2$Ph</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>PCy$_3$</td>
<td>61 (56)$^c$</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>PPh$_3$</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>P(1-nap)$_3$</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>82 (81)$^c$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Conditions: 1A (0.35 mmol), 2a (2.0 equiv.), [Rh(cod)Cl]$_2$ (2.5 mol%), ligand (bidentate: 5 mol%, monodentate: 10 mol%), THF (2.0 mL), 120 °C, 6 h. $^b$ Yields were determined by $^1$H NMR analysis of the crude product using CH$_2$Br$_2$ as an internal standard. $^c$ Isolated yield. $^d$ PCy$_2$, HBF$_4$ was used as the precursor.
With optimized reaction conditions in hand, we evaluated the scope of cyclopropylamines and silanes under the [Rh(cod)Cl]2/P(1-nap)3 catalytic system (Scheme 2).

Cyclopropanes bearing cyclohexyl, isopropyl and tetrahydropyranyl groups were applicable in this reaction (4Ba–4Fa). Even carbamate 1G performed well to give the corresponding product 4Ga in 69% yield. Aminocyclopropanes protected by various benzoyl groups were tolerated to produce the desired products in good yields (4Ha–4Na). Cyclopropylamines with heteroaromatic substituents such as pyridine and furan rings were tolerated under the reaction conditions to afford hydrosilylated adducts in moderate yields (4Oa–4Qa). Probenecid derivative 1R could be transformed to alkylsilane 4Ra. Valine derivative 1S and proline derivative 1T can also be applied to this reaction, furnishing 4Sa and 4Ta in moderate yields.

Various trialkylsilanes 1b–1e were also applicable and gave the corresponding hydrosilylated products in moderate to excellent yields (4Ab–4Ae). Typically, the regioselectivity of branched:linear products was in the range of 10 : 1 to 3 : 1.13

Next, the substrate scope of aminocyclopropanes in the [Rh(cod)Cl]2/PCy3$^-$HBF4 catalytic system was examined (Scheme 3). The reaction of cyclopropylamines bearing various acyl protecting groups proceeded smoothly with virtually complete regioselectivities to provide the corresponding linear products (3Aa, 3Ba, 3Ma, 3Na, 3Ua, and 3Va) in moderate yields.14 To demonstrate the synthetic applicability of the linear product 3, alkylsilane 3Ma was converted to the corresponding alcohol 5Ma by treatment with Bu4NF/H2O2/KHCO3 in THF/MeOH (Scheme 4).15 This result is consistent with that of the hydrosilylation of 1A (Table 1, entry 7).16 On the other hand, when PCy3$^-$HBF4 was employed, the hydrosilylated products did not form.12 Additionally, when we subjected allylamine 7A, which is another possible intermediate, under the same reaction conditions,17 3Aa was obtained in 21% yield along with 41% yield of 4Aa. This suggested that the isomerization of the olefin took place in situ. On the other hand, the hydrosilylation

Scheme 3 Scope of cyclopropylamines in the hydroislylation by a Rh/PCy3$^-$HBF4 catalyst. $^a$Isolated yields. $^b$Yields were determined by $^1$H NMR analysis of the crude product using CH2Br2 as an internal standard.

Scheme 5 Mechanistic exploration. $^a$Isolated yields. $^b$Yields were determined by $^1$H NMR analysis of the crude product using CH2Br2 as an internal standard.
of 7A using PCy3−HBF4 afforded the linear product 3Aa with high regioselectivity.22

According to our observations, a possible reaction mechanism is depicted (Scheme 5C). First, oxidative addition of the C(α)C(β) bond to the Rh(i) catalyst occurs to generate rhodacycle B. Allylamine E and enamide F could be formed via β-H elimination, followed by reductive elimination from C and D. Both E and F would exist in equilibrium through intermediate G. Subsequently, Rh-catalyzed hydrosilylation of E or F would proceed by a modified Chalk−Harrod mechanism, giving H and I.19 Finally, a reductive elimination step yields 3 and 4 as the products.

Although the ligand effect remains unclear, we propose the following explanation: when PCy3 is used as the ligand, an electron-rich Rh complex with a high reduction ability is formed. Thus, enamides and imines, generated by isomerization, are selectively formed in the reaction of allylamine using PCy3 as the ligand, an electron-rich Rh complex with a high reduction ability is formed. These factors could be formed upon oxidative addition of the C( α)C( β) bond to the Rh(i) catalyst, giving rise to regioselective hydrosilylation of acyl aminocyclopropanes. This result suggests that regiodivergent hydrosilylation of acyl aminocyclopropanes with a wide range of silanes. Initial mechanistic investigations suggest that selective C−C bond cleavage takes place to generate allylamine and enamide, which are hydrosilylated by the Rh catalyst. Precise elucidation of ligand effects and application to asymmetric hydrosilylation are ongoing in our laboratory.

Conclusions

We have successfully developed a ligand-controlled, Rh-catalyzed regiodivergent hydrosilylation of acyl aminocyclopropanes with a wide range of silanes. Initial mechanistic investigations suggest that selective C−C bond cleavage takes place to generate allylamine and enamide, which are hydrosilylated by the Rh catalyst. Precise elucidation of ligand effects and application to asymmetric hydrosilylation are ongoing in our laboratory.
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Notes and references


Another ring-opened product was obtained, see the ESI.†

12 N-Propylpivalamide, which was generated via C–C bond cleavage and hydrogenation, was formed, see the ESI.†

For details regarding the regioselectivities of each product, see the ESI.†

The main byproducts were desilylated products in 10–30% yield. When tert-butyl acrylate was added as a hydrogen scavenger, these byproducts were suppressed to less than 10% yield. However, in such cases, the product yields did not increase, as the amount of recovered starting material 1 increased.


19 See the ESI.†