


Showcasing collaborative research from the Laboratories of Richard O'Hair (University of Melbourne), Allan Canty (University of Tasmania), Victor Ryzhov (Northern Illinois University) and Philippe Maitre (Université Paris-Sud)

Ligand-induced decarbonylation in diphosphine-ligated palladium acetates $[\text{CH}_3\text{CO}_2\text{Pd}((\text{PR}_2)_2\text{CH}_2)]^+$ ($\text{R} = \text{Me}$ and Ph)

The powerful combination of mass spectrometry experiments, gas-phase IR spectroscopy and DFT calculations have been used to shed light on a new mode of reactivity: formation of organometallic complexes via ligand-induced decarbonylation reactions of $[(\text{K}^2\text{-acetate})\text{Pd}(\text{K}^2\text{-diphosphine})]^+$ complexes.

As featured in:



See Victor Ryzhov,
Richard A. J. O'Hair et al.,
Chem. Commun., 2018, **54**, 346.



Cite this: *Chem. Commun.*, 2018, **54**, 346


Received 21st November 2017,
Accepted 5th December 2017

DOI: 10.1039/c7cc08944a

rsc.li/chemcomm

A new decarbonylation reaction is observed for $[(K^2\text{-acetate})\text{Pd}(K^2\text{-diphosphine})]^+$ complexes. Gas-phase IR experiments identify the product as $[\text{CH}_3\text{Pd}(\text{OP}(\text{Ph}_2)\text{CH}_2\text{PPh}_2)]^+$. DFT calculations uncovered a plausible mechanism involving O atom abstraction by the diphosphine ligand within the coordination sphere to yield the acetyl complex, $[\text{CH}_3\text{COPd}(\text{OP}(\text{Ph}_2)\text{CH}_2\text{PPh}_2)]^+$, which then undergoes decarbonylation.

Gas-phase studies utilising tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS) methods in conjunction with DFT calculations provide valuable fundamental information on how the auxiliary ligands in metal complexes can tune the fragmentation chemistry of coordinated carboxylates under collision-induced dissociation (CID) conditions (Scheme 1).¹ For example, the acetate ligand in Pd(II) cationic complexes can undergo decarboxylation to give an organometallic cation (eqn (1))² or act as an intramolecular base to promote C–H bond activation (eqn (2)).³ Both of these modes of reactivity have been widely exploited in the condensed phase to generate organometallic precursors for use in organic synthesis.^{4,5} A recent study has used mechanistic insights from MS/MS experiments to develop a new decarboxylative protocol for the synthesis of thioamides,⁶ highlighting the value of gas-phase model systems. Here we report on a newly discovered mode of reactivity, ligand-induced decarbonylation (eqn (3)), one that has little precedence in the condensed phase^{7–9} and is thus of considerable mechanistic interest.



Scheme 1 Modes of reactivity observed for coordinated acetates in Pd(II) cationic complexes: decarboxylation (eqn (1));² as a base to facilitate C–H bond activation (eqn (2));³ decarbonylation (eqn (3), this work).

^a Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, and Center for Biochemical and Biophysical Sciences, Northern Illinois University, DeKalb, IL 60115, USA.

E-mail: ryzhov@niu.edu

^b School of Chemistry, Bio21 Institute of Molecular Science and Biotechnology, The University of Melbourne, Victoria 3010, Australia.

E-mail: rohair@unimelb.edu.au

^c School of Physical Sciences, University of Tasmania, Private Bag 75, Hobart, Tasmania 7001, Australia

^d Laboratoire de Chimie Physique, Bâtiment 349, Université Paris-Sud, CNRS, Université Paris-Saclay, F-91405 Orsay, France

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Detailed description of mass spectrometry experiments and DFT calculations; additional mass spectra and DFT calculated energy diagrams; Cartesian coordinates of all structures. See DOI: 10.1039/c7cc08944a

Electrospray ionisation (ESI) of methanolic solutions containing palladium acetate and a diphosphine ligand, $\text{R}_2\text{PCH}_2\text{PR}_2$ ($\text{R} = \text{Ph} = \text{dppm ligand}$; $\text{R} = \text{Me} = \text{dmppm ligand}$) gave rise to the desired diphosphine-ligated palladium acetates $[\text{CH}_3\text{CO}_2\text{Pd}((\text{PR}_2)_2\text{CH}_2)]^+$, **1a**, $\text{R} = \text{Ph}$ and **1b**, $\text{R} = \text{Me}$, which were mass selected and subjected to low-energy CID in ion trap mass spectrometers. The unimolecular chemistry of **1a** (m/z 549, Fig. 1a) is dominated by loss of CO to give an ion at m/z 521 (eqn (3), Scheme 1). Minor fragment ions at m/z 305 and 397 are assigned as $[\text{Ph}_2\text{PCH}_2\text{Pd}]^+$ and $[\text{Ph}_2\text{PCH}_2\text{Pd}(\text{Me})(\text{Ph})]^+$, respectively, and arise from subsequent C–P activation of the dppm ligand, as established by MS³ on m/z 521, which gave m/z 305 and 397 (Fig. S1, ESI†). CID of the labelled acetate complexes $[\text{CH}_3^{13}\text{CO}_2\text{Pd}((\text{PR}_2)_2\text{CH}_2)]^+$ (m/z 550, Fig. 1b) and $[\text{CD}_3\text{CO}_2\text{Pd}((\text{PR}_2)_2\text{CH}_2)]^+$ (m/z 550, Fig. 1c) confirmed these assignments. Complex **1b** also underwent decarbonylation (Fig. S2, ESI†). Decarboxylation is only a very







Fig. 1 LTQ MS² CID spectra of: (a) $[\text{CH}_3\text{CO}_2]^{106}\text{Pd}((\text{PPh}_2)_2\text{CH}_2)]^+$, m/z 549, **1a**; (b) $[\text{CH}_3^{13}\text{CO}_2]^{106}\text{Pd}((\text{PPh}_2)_2\text{CH}_2)]^+$, m/z 550; (c) $[\text{CD}_3\text{CO}_2]^{106}\text{Pd}((\text{PPh}_2)_2\text{CH}_2)]^+$, m/z 552. Product ions are labeled according to the neutral fragment that was lost from the mass-selected precursor, which is marked with an asterisk.

minor pathway in the CID spectra of **1a** ($<0.1\%$) and **1b** ($<1\%$), which is in stark contrast to CID on $[\text{CH}_3\text{CO}_2\text{Pd}(\text{phen})]^+$, where decarboxylation dominates (eqn (1), Scheme 1). This highlights the unique role of the diphosphine auxiliary ligand in promoting decarbonylation.

Since the structure(s) of the decarbonylation product is unknown, we next: (1) used DFT calculations to optimise four chemically reasonable isomers, including the organopalladium complexes, $[\text{CH}_3\text{Pd}(\text{OP}(\text{Ph}_2)\text{CH}_2\text{PPh}_2)]^+$ **2** and **3**, containing the $\text{Ph}_2\text{PCH}_2\text{P}(\text{O})\text{Ph}_2$ (dppmO) ligand,¹⁰ the palladium methoxide complex, $[\text{CH}_3\text{OPd}((\text{PPh}_2)_2\text{CH}_2)]^+$ **4**¹¹ and the Pd(IV) complex, $[\text{CH}_3\text{Pd}(\text{O})((\text{PPh}_2)_2\text{CH}_2)]^+$ **5**.¹² (2) compared the theoretically predicted IR spectra of these isomers to the gas-phase infrared multiphoton dissociation (IRMPD) spectrum of **1a**-CO acquired using a 3D ion-trap tandem mass spectrometer coupled with the CLIO free electron laser.¹³



Although **4a** benefits from an agostic interaction between the methyl group and Pd (Fig. S3, ESI[†]), calculations at the M06/SDD-6-31G(d) level of theory show the following stability order: **2a** (0 kcal mol^{-1}) $>$ **3a** ($+22 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$) $>$ **4a** ($+30 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$). **5a** is unstable, undergoing rearrangement to **4a**. Although the experimental IRMPD spectrum of **1a**-CO exhibits quite broad features, an examination of Fig. 2 reveals that the lowest-energy isomer **2** displays the best match (Fig. 2(a) and Table S1, ESI[†]). The most intense absorption band in the experimental spectrum (the broad band at $1070\text{--}1200 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) matches the predicted P–O and P–C asymmetric stretches at 1134 cm^{-1} flanked by the shoulder peaks at 1076 cm^{-1} (Ph ligand C–H bend coupled with P–O stretch) and 1196 cm^{-1} (CH_3 rocking mode). The experimental absorption between 1400 and 1450 cm^{-1} matches the




Fig. 2 IRMPD experimental (grey) spectrum of mass-selected $[\text{CH}_3\text{CO}_2]^{106}\text{Pd}((\text{PPh}_2)_2\text{CH}_2)\text{-CO}]^+$, m/z 521, vs. M06/SDD6-31G(d) calculated IR spectra (red) for structures: (a) **2a**, (b) **3a**, and (c) **4a**. The experimental IR spectrum was obtained on a Bruker Esquire 3000+ quadrupole ion trap MS using the CLIO free-electron laser with a wavenumber step of 4.5 cm^{-1} , an irradiation time of 1 s, and mean laser power was decreasing from 1500 to 700 mW when increasing the photon wavenumber.

aromatic ring deformation of the ligand, calculated to be at 1442 cm^{-1} . The smaller experimental peaks at around 1300 and 1000 cm^{-1} can be assigned to the aromatic ligand C–H bending (1299 cm^{-1}) and C–H/C–C stretching/bending (988 cm^{-1}), respectively. In contrast, the higher-energy isomers **3a** and **4a** show substantially poorer matches to the experimental IRMPD spectrum (Fig. 2(b) and (c)). Isomer **3a** is predicted to have a strong band at 1042 cm^{-1} , corresponding to the P–O stretching and Pd–O bending motions. This band is absent from the experimental spectrum (Fig. 2b). Isomer **4a** has the CH_3 umbrella band calculated to be at 1580 cm^{-1} , which is also absent from the experimental spectrum. Thus, the IRMPD data is consistent with structure **2a** for the **1a**-CO ion.

Having established the product structure as **2a**, we next used DFT calculations to examine potential mechanisms for the decarbonylation of **1a** and **1b** and to establish that the barrier for decarbonylation is lower than that for decarboxylation, a requirement for formation of **2a** and **2b** as the major products under the low energy CID conditions used (Fig. 1 and Fig. S2, ESI[†]). The pathways for the decarbonylation and decarboxylation reactions are compared for **1b** in Fig. 3 and Fig. S4 (ESI[†]), while the related reactivity for **1a** is shown in Fig. S5 (ESI[†]). The decarbonylation pathway follows the same mechanism reported for $[\text{CH}_3\text{CO}_2\text{Pd}(\text{phen})]^+$.^{2b}

Multiple decarbonylation pathways were considered. The lowest energy pathway connects **1b**, **6b** and **7b** via **TS1b-6b** and **TS6b-7b**. Cleavage of the C–O bond via **TS7b-8b** gives the Pd-acetyl cation coordinated to a dppmO ligand, **8b**. Just as in the decarboxylation pathway, the coordinated acetyl cation needs






Fig. 3 DFT calculated competition between decarboxylation and decarbonylation of $[\text{CH}_3\text{CO}_2\text{Pd}((\text{PMe}_2)_2\text{CH}_2)]^+$, **1b** at the M06/def2-TZVP//M06/SDD6-31G(d) level of theory. Energies are in kcal mol^{-1} and are given as ΔE .

to adopt reactive conformation **10b** in order for decarbonylation to occur. This involves changing the conformation of the coordinated acetyl anion relative to the dmpmO ligand. Of the two pathways to connect **8b** to **10b** that were found (Fig. S4 and S5, ESI[†]), the lowest one involves traversing two transition structures **TS8b-9b** and **TS9b-10b**. Once formed, **10b** decarbonylates *via* **TS10b-11b** to give the CO coordinated organometallic cation, **11b**, from which CO loss produces the experimentally observed cation, **2b**. **TS1b-6b**. The most energetically demanding TS for decarbonylation ($+17.2 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$) is lower in energy than **TS12b-13b** ($+36.7 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$) for decarboxylation, consistent with the experimental observation that decarbonylation occurs predominantly. Related calculations were carried out for the competition between CO and CO_2 loss for **1a** (Fig. S6 and S7, ESI[†]). Similar reaction profiles were found, with **TS6a-7a**, the most energetically demanding TS for decarbonylation ($+29.2 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$) being lower in energy than **TS12a-13a** ($+37.9 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$) for decarboxylation, consistent with the experimental observation that decarbonylation occurs predominantly.

Metal-mediated decarbonylation of carboxylic acid derivatives finds wide application in synthesis,^{7,14,15} although most processes show poor atom economy as they require an anhydride additive to facilitate formation of an acyl complex which then undergoes decarbonylation.^{8,9} Here we have shown that diphosphine and acetate ligands react with each other within the coordination sphere of complexes to trigger decarbonylation. While this mechanism appears to be unprecedented, there is a growing literature on ligand oxidation reactions occurring within the coordination sphere of metal complexes.^{16,17} Finally, the observed chemistry is not limited to acetate ligands, but occurs with a range of other coordinated carboxylates (*e.g.* benzoate and hydrocinnamate) as will be reported in due course.

We acknowledge support from the Australian Research Council (DP150101388) and the National Computing Infrastructure.

Financial support from European Community's Framework Programme Horizon 2020 (CALIPSOplus, under grant agreement 730872) is gratefully acknowledged. ML thanks NIU graduate student travel award for funding his trip to conduct research at the University of Melbourne. We thank Victor Wan for carrying out preliminary DFT calculations.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Notes and references

- (a) R. A. J. O'Hair, "Gas Phase Ligand Fragmentation to Unmask Reactive Metallic Species", in "Reactive Intermediates. MS Investigations in Solution", ed. L. S. Santos, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2010, ch. 6, p. 199, ISBN: 978-3-527-32351-7; (b) R. A. J. O'Hair and N. J. Rijs, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2015, **48**, 329.
- (a) M. J. Woolley, G. N. Khairallah, G. da Silva, P. S. Donnelly, B. F. Yates and R. A. J. O'Hair, *Organometallics*, 2013, **32**, 6931; (b) M. J. Woolley, G. N. Khairallah, G. da Silva, P. S. Donnelly and R. A. J. O'Hair, *Organometallics*, 2014, **33**, 5185; (c) M. J. Woolley, A. Ariaifard, G. N. Khairallah, K. H.-Y. Kwan, P. S. Donnelly, J. M. White, A. J. Carty, B. F. Yates and R. A. J. O'Hair, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2014, **79**, 12056.
- A. Gray, A. Tsybikova and J. Roithová, *Chem. Sci.*, 2015, **6**, 5544.
- (a) N. Rodriguez and L. Goossen, *J. Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2011, **40**, 5030; (b) R. Shang and L. Liu, *Sci. China Chem.*, 2011, **54**, 1670; (c) J. Cornellà and I. Larrosa, *Synthesis*, 2012, 653; (d) W. I. Dzik, P. P. Lange and L. J. Goossen, *Chem. Sci.*, 2012, **3**, 2671; (e) L. J. Goossen and K. Goossen, *Top. Organomet. Chem.*, 2013, **44**, 121.
- L. Ackermann, *Chem. Rev.*, 2011, **111**, 1315.
- A. Noor, J. Li, G. N. Khairallah, Z. Li, H. Ghari, A. J. Carty, A. Ariaifard, P. S. Donnelly and R. A. J. O'Hair, *Chem. Commun.*, 2017, **53**, 3854.
- Transition metal decarbonylative C–C bond coupling reactions of aldehydes, ketones and carboxylic acid derivatives have been widely studied: A. Dermenci and G. B. Dong, *Sci. China: Chem.*, 2013, **56**, 685.
- For transition metal mediated decarbonylation reactions of carboxylic acids that utilize activating anhydride reagents, see: (a) A. John, M. O. Miranda, K. Ding, B. Dereli, M. A. Ortúñoz, A. M. LaPointe,



G. W. Coates, C. J. Cramer and W. B. Tolman, *Organometallics*, 2016, **35**, 2391; (b) M. A. Ortúñ, B. Dereli and C. J. Cramer, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2016, **55**, 4124.

9 Transition metal mediated decarbonylation reactions of carboxylic acids that do not require activating anhydride reagents have only rarely been described, and it has typically been assumed that they proceed via the coordinated carboxylate: (a) R. H. Prince and K. A. Raspin, *Chem. Commun.*, 1966, 156; (b) D. Fenton, *US Pat.*, 3530198, 1970; (c) T. A. Foglia and P. A. Barr, *J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc.*, 1976, **53**, 737; (d) A. John, M. A. Hillmyer and W. B. Tolman, *Organometallics*, 2017, **36**, 506.

10 For an excellent review of mixed phosphine–phosphine oxide ligands and their metal complexes, see: V. V. Grushin, *Chem. Rev.*, 2004, **104**, 1629.

11 For examples of palladium alkoxides, see: G. M. Kapteijn, A. Dervisi, D. M. Grove, H. Kooijman, M. T. Lakin, A. L. Spek and G. van Koten, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1995, **117**, 10939.

12 For a review on Pd(IV) complexes, see: P. Sehnal, R. J. K. Taylor and I. J. S. Fairlamb, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **110**, 824.

13 L. MacAleeese and P. Maitre, *Mass Spectrom. Rev.*, 2007, **26**, 583.

14 G. J. S. Dawes, E. L. Scott, J. Le Nôtre, J. P. M. Sanders and J. H. Bitter, *Green Chem.*, 2015, **17**, 3231.

15 Metal-mediated decarbonylation reactions play important roles in the activation of a range of other substrates including the greenhouse gas CO₂. For lead references, see: (a) M. Firouzbakht, N. J. Rijs, P. González-Navarrete, M. Schlangen, M. Kaupp and H. Schwarz, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2016, **22**, 10581; (b) M. Firouzbakht, M. Schlangen, M. Kaupp and H. Schwarz, *J. Catal.*, 2016, **343**, 68; (c) S.-Y. Tang, N. J. Rijs, J. Li, M. Schlangen and H. Schwarz, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2015, **21**, 8483.

16 (a) B. L. Conley, S. K. Ganesh, J. M. Gonzales, W. J. Tenn, K. J. H. Young, J. Oxgaard, W. A. Goddard and R. A. Periana, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 9018; (b) J. Mei, K. M. Carsch, C. R. Freitag, T. B. Gunnoe and T. R. Cundari, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 424; (c) J. R. Webb, T. M. Figg, B. M. Otten, T. R. Cundari, T. B. Gunnoe and M. Sabat, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2013, 4515.

17 For an example on the use of ESI-MS to monitor the palladium-catalyzed oxidation of phosphines, see: A. V. Hesketh, S. Nowicki, K. Baxter, R. L. Stoddard and J. S. McIndoe, *Organometallics*, 2015, **34**, 3816.

