



The effects of thioamide backbone substitution on protein stability: a study in α -helical, β -sheet, and polyproline II helical contexts[†]

Christopher R. Walters,^a D. Miklos Szantai-Kis,^b Yitao Zhang,^a Zachary E. Reinert,^c W. Seth Horne,^c David M. Chenoweth^a and E. James Petersson^{*a}

Thioamides are single atom substitutions of the peptide bond that serve as versatile probes of protein structure. Effective use of thioamides requires a robust understanding of the impact that the substitution has on a protein of interest. However, the thermodynamic effects of thioamide incorporation have only been studied in small structural motifs, and their influence on secondary structure in the context of full-length proteins is not known. Here we describe a comprehensive survey of thioamide substitutions in three benchmark protein systems (calmodulin, the B1 domain of protein G, and collagen) featuring the most prevalent secondary structure motifs: α -helix, β -sheet, and polyproline type II helix. We find that in most cases, effects on thermostability can be understood in terms of the positioning and local environment of the thioamide relative to proximal structural elements and hydrogen bonding networks. These observations set the stage for the rational design of thioamide substituted proteins with predictable stabilities.

Received 20th December 2016
Accepted 24th January 2017

DOI: 10.1039/c6sc05580j
rsc.li/chemical-science

Introduction

The incorporation of functional molecules for monitoring and manipulating protein folding has greatly facilitated studies of biochemical phenomena.¹ The optimal choice of modification to a protein of interest depends on the type of process to be tracked, disrupted, strengthened, or isolated. Recently, there has been an emerging interest in making subtle alterations to the protein backbone to provide additional means to probe and mimic dynamic protein movements and interactions.^{2–6} In particular, substituting a thioamide peptide linkage at single or multiple positions provides a small but powerful means to influence and/or monitor protein dynamics or function.

Thioamides (a.k.a. thionoamides, thioxoamides) have distinct properties from oxoamides, including a larger van der Waals radius, red shifted $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ and $n \rightarrow \pi^*$ transitions, lower oxidation potential, lower infrared (IR) stretching frequency and lower N–H p*K*_a.^{7–11} These properties have allowed thioamides to be leveraged as photoswitches, Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET) acceptors, and photoinduced electron

transfer quenchers, as well as IR and circular dichroism (CD) spectroscopic probes in biochemical systems.^{12–21} Although thioamides have already proven to be valuable multifaceted probes, few studies have sought to elucidate the energetic impacts they have on peptide or protein folding. This consideration is of the utmost importance in assessing the thioamide's potential as a "minimalist" spectroscopic label.

Previous structural studies of thioamide substitutions largely relied upon short, isolated protein motifs in the absence of a tertiary structure context. In fact, when we began this work, there were no published studies of thioamides in proteins of defined tertiary structure. Fischer, Kiehaber, and coworkers provided the most complete study of the effects of thioamides in α -helices, using model helical polyalanine peptides bearing thioalanine (Ala^S, thioamide substitutions are denoted by superscript "S" throughout) substitutions.¹⁹ Their analysis revealed that the thioamide disrupts the helix if substituted at a central position, but is less destabilizing at the N-terminus. Their results suggest that the thioamide is similar to a glycine substitution at the same position in terms of helix disruption. Thioamides have also been incorporated into the 15-*mer* S peptide, which forms an α -helix upon binding to ribonuclease S.²² Substitutions were made throughout the helix and were destabilizing at every position, with varying $\Delta\Delta G$ values in comparison to the WT complex (0.6–4.7 kcal mol^{–1}). However, in a different study, Miwa and coworkers observed that a Leu^S substitution in the central region of an α -helical coiled-coil dimer gave a similarly helical structure to the oxoamide counterpart (as observed by CD) and increased the melting

^aDepartment of Chemistry, University of Pennsylvania, 231 S. 34th Street, Philadelphia, PA, 19104, USA

^bBiochemistry and Molecular Biophysics Graduate Group, University of Pennsylvania, 3700 Hamilton Walk, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA

^cDepartment of Chemistry, University of Pittsburgh, 219 Parkman Avenue, Pittsburgh, PA 15260, USA

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Syntheses of peptides and proteins, characterization, data fitting, supplementary CD spectra, and other experimental details. See DOI: 10.1039/c6sc05580j



temperature by 10 °C.¹⁷ This discrepancy, which likely arises from subtle geometric differences between an isolated α -helix and that in a coiled coil,²³ implies that tertiary structure may serve to lessen or even reverse destabilization by a thioamide.

In an alternate secondary structure context, the thioamide was found to be tolerated between residues $i + 2$ and $i + 3$ in a type II' β -turn.¹⁸ Here, the thiocarbonyl is solvent-exposed, and the interior of the turn does not require any reorganization to accommodate the larger sulfur atom. Thioamide substitutions have been made in a tryptophan-rich β -hairpin to interrogate the role of hydrogen bond formation in the folding transition state.¹² However, the results of this study may not be general to all β -sheets due to the highly engineered sequence of the tryptophan zipper peptide. Most recently, Raines and coworkers made thioamide substitutions in collagen model peptides (CMPs) to assess their impact in the Pro-Pro-Gly (PPG) repeats of an all PPG polyproline type II (PPII) helix.²⁴ It was found that incorporation of Gly^S (*i.e.*, PPG^S) was significantly destabilizing, whereas substitution with Pro^S (*i.e.*, PP^SG) was slightly stabilizing to the triple helix.

Taken together, the above studies present a limited understanding about where thioamides can be utilized most efficiently in biological systems. Thioamides are likely to have much more nuanced effects in proteins with complex tertiary folds and dynamic regions. Thus far, only three full-length thioamide proteins have been described in the literature: the semi-synthetic constructs α -synuclein and dihydrofolate reductase, and the natural protein methyl-coenzyme M reductase.^{25–27} Consequently, there have been no systematic studies of thioamides in folded proteins, which will be essential to guiding their future use as spectroscopic probes or as modulators of protein structure and function.

Herein, we describe an in-depth study of the effects of thioamide backbone substitution in three benchmark protein systems: (1) the C-terminal loop and helix of calmodulin (CaM), an α -helical protein; (2) the β -sheet of the B1 domain of protein G (GB1), a compact α/β tertiary structure; and (3) the PPII helix of a Pro-Hyp-Gly (POG) based CMP. For each protein, we performed CD spectroscopy studies to elucidate the structural and thermodynamic stability changes resulting from thioamide insertion. The first two systems represent new hosts for examination of the effects of thioamides on protein secondary structure in a tertiary fold context. In CMPs, our results build on prior published work through complete positional scanning substitutions in both PPG and POG subunits. Overall, the findings reported here lay the groundwork for the rational implementation of thioamides as biophysical probes in diverse protein systems.

Results and discussion

Design and semi-synthesis of CaM thioproteins

CaM is a 148 amino acid, α -helical calcium signaling protein that is ubiquitous in eukaryotes. It is comprised of two structurally similar domains (N- and C-terminal), each containing two calcium binding sites.²⁸ Upon binding calcium, CaM undergoes a conformational change to expose a *trans*-domain

helix, which acts as a binding platform for many of its regulatory target proteins.^{29,30} Previously, a semi-synthesis of CaM was performed to modify the C-terminal EF-hand.³¹ Since these authors observed some destabilization of CaM, we decided to limit thioamide substitutions in our investigations to the C-terminal loop and helix, near the highest affinity calcium binding site. Thus, we performed native chemical ligation (NCL) reactions between the expressed fragment CaM_{1–134} and the synthetic fragment CaM_{135–148}.

An existing CaM intein fusion was modified to produce CaM_{1–134}-GyrA-His₆, which was expressed, purified, and cleaved with 2-mercaptoethanesulfonate (MES) to yield the C-terminal thioester CaM_{1–134}-MES (Fig. 1, Top).³² Each thiopeptide was made by solid phase peptide synthesis (SPPS) with the thioamide installed as previously described.³³ NCL reactions were performed between the CaM_{135–148} fragment and CaM_{1–134}-MES using published conditions optimized for thiopeptides.²⁵ The native residue at position 135 in CaM is a Gln. Therefore, Cys at this position was masked after NCL to mimic Gln (denoted as Cys^Q) by iodoacetamide treatment. This process routinely yielded 1–2 mg quantities of purified CaM (8–25% isolated yield with CaM_{1–134}-MES protein as a limiting reagent).

Positions for thioamide substitutions were selected with three criteria in mind: importance to local secondary structure, functional importance for Ca²⁺ binding, and ease of synthesis of peptides and thioamide precursors. Of particular interest were residues in the C-terminal helix of Ca²⁺-bound CaM (Tyr₁₃₈, Glu₁₃₉, Glu₁₄₀, Phe₁₄₁, and Val₁₄₂; Fig. 2 and 3). Glu₁₄₀ is




Fig. 1 Top: NCL synthesis of CaM thioproteins. Cys₁₃₅ is masked as glutamine by alkylation with iodoacetamide. Middle: synthesis of GB1 thioproteins by hydrazide ligation. Cys₂₄ undergoes desulfurization to the native residue Ala₂₄. Bottom: total solid phase synthesis of collagen strands by POG trimer and thioamide precursor couplings. Upon completion of synthesis, triple helices are formed by incubation of the monomers at 4 °C.






Fig. 2 Thermal stability of CaM thioamide variants. CD thermal melts of stabilizing and destabilizing apo CaM variants plotted as fraction folded (F_f^*) fits showing a weighted contribution of the intermediate to the folded state as discussed in the text. Melting curves were obtained by measuring the θ_{222} at 1 °C increments in 10 mM Tris, 0.5 mM EDTA pH 7.5. Transformation of raw CD signal to MRE values and three-state fitting procedures were performed as described in eqn (S1) and (S4)–(S6).[†] Data for additional mutants can be found in the ESI.[†]

functionally important as it undergoes significant conformational change from the apo protein in order to directly chelate a Ca^{2+} ion in the holo protein.²⁹ Tyr₁₃₈, Glu₁₃₉, Phe₁₄₁, and Val₁₄₂ reside in the N-terminal and central portions of the helix. We hypothesized that thioamide substitution at these sites would be destabilizing due to the weaker hydrogen bond acceptor capacity of the thiocarbonyl. Two additional sites were chosen to assess the impact of substitutions in loops and solvent exposed areas proximal to this helix (Val₁₃₆ and Ala₁₄₇, the penultimate residue in CaM).

Proteins bearing each of these thioamide substitutions were synthesized using the MES thioester method described above and verified by MALDI-MS (Table S3[†]). Wild-type (WT) CaM and a Cys₁₃₅ mutant were expressed in *E. coli* as controls for proper refolding of the thioproteins. The Cys₁₃₅ mutant was subjected to denaturing NCL conditions similar to the thioproteins and subsequently underwent the same purification and capping reaction.

CaM thioprotein folding thermodynamics

Each CaM variant was characterized by CD spectroscopy under two different buffer conditions: one for the calcium-bound holo protein (10 mM Tris pH 7.5, 2 mM CaCl_2) and another for the calcium-free apo form (10 mM Tris pH 7.5, 0.5 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, EDTA). CaM CD spectra show a prototypical α -helical signature with minima at 208 and 222 nm (Fig. S5[†]). Spectra for the thioprotein variants include a small minimum between 260 and 280 nm resulting from the thioamide $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ transition. This peak is weaker than the comparable transition observed in GB1 and collagen (*vide infra*), or in previous reported small thiopeptides.^{17–19} The attenuation of the thiocarbonyl CD signal is likely an environmental and concentration based effect, as the thioamide in CaM is a small fraction of the overall amide content and the region containing the thioamide is destabilized in several variants. The thioamide




Fig. 3 Structural analysis of CaM thioamide variants. Top Left: potential hydrogen bonding interactions for stabilization observed in Val^S₁₃₆ and Glu^S₁₃₉ substitutions. Right: neutral (Val^S₁₄₂ and Ala^S₁₄₇) and destabilizing (Tyr^S₁₃₈, Glu^S₁₄₀, and Phe^S₁₄₁) substitutions. Destabilization of CaM by Phe^S₁₄₁ and Tyr^S₁₃₈ and may be due to disruption in the packing of the helix. Glu^S₁₄₀ disrupts hydrogen bond acceptance without making a compensatory hydrogen bond donor interaction. Increased C=O···N distance (3.1 Å) in the turn of the helix at Val^S₁₄₂ may allow for better accommodation of the thioamide sulfur. Ala^S₁₄₇ is the penultimate C-terminal residue and only serves as a hydrogen bond donor. Structures rendered from PDB entry 1QX5 chains D (yellow) and R (green) and from PDB entry 1CFD (orange, only N-terminal region shown).^{34,35}

$n \rightarrow \pi^*$ transition at 340 nm is not visible in any of the CaM CD spectra.

Among the holo proteins, the spectrum of Cys^Q₁₃₅ is similar in shape and magnitude to that of WT CaM, indicating the side chain modification necessary to enable NCL has no significant effect on the fold (Fig. S5,[†] Top). Most of the thioamide substitutions appear well tolerated in the holo protein; however, the Tyr^S₁₃₈ and Phe^S₁₄₁ modifications considerably alter the folded state, with a nearly three-fold decrease in molar residue ellipticity (MRE, $[\theta]$) at 222 and 208 nm. These mutants show a similar helical signature to the N-terminal truncation mutant CaM_{1–71}, suggesting that the C-terminal domain may be completely disordered as a result of thioamide incorporation (Fig. S6[†]). To understand more about the nature of each thioamide substitution, we subjected the CaM variants to thermal denaturation. Like WT CaM, each of the thioamide variants and the Cys^Q₁₃₅ oxo control have melting temperatures greater than 80 °C in the presence of Ca^{2+} (Fig. S7[†]).

Upon the removal of calcium by EDTA, CaM reverts to the apo structure, which lacks the stable transdomain helix and



Table 1 Apo CaM thermodynamic values

CaM variant	T_{M1}^a (°C)	ΔH_1^a (kcal mol $^{-1}$)	T_{M2}^b (°C)	ΔH_2^b (kcal mol $^{-1}$)	T_M^* ^c (°C)	ΔT_m^* (°C)	ΔG_U^d (kcal mol $^{-1}$)	$\Delta \Delta G_U$ (kcal mol $^{-1}$)
WT	43.5 ± 0.6	33.1 ± 0.8	48.6 ± 0.6	60.5 ± 0.7	46.8 ± 0.3	—	6.4 ± 0.2	—
Cys ^Q ₁₃₅ Oxo	38.9 ± 0.8	30.2 ± 0.9	48.8 ± 0.7	61.7 ± 0.8	45.5 ± 0.4	—	5.9 ± 0.1	—
Val ^S ₁₃₆	39.6 ± 0.5	36.7 ± 0.6	55.3 ± 0.8	52.0 ± 0.5	48.6 ± 0.7	3.2	6.5 ± 0.1	0.6
Tyr ^S ₁₃₈	34.7 ± 0.8	39.7 ± 0.7	43.0 ± 0.9	51.1 ± 1.0	39.3 ± 0.8	-6.2	4.1 ± 0.2	-1.8
Glu ^S ₁₃₉	40.7 ± 0.7	40.1 ± 0.4	51.9 ± 0.6	53.9 ± 0.9	47.0 ± 0.1	1.6	6.4 ± 0.1	0.5
Glu ^S ₁₄₀	33.9 ± 1.1	34.5 ± 0.6	45.5 ± 0.8	45.9 ± 1.0	40.4 ± 0.8	-5.1	3.9 ± 0.2	-2.0
Val ^S ₁₄₂	36.4 ± 0.6	35.5 ± 0.8	48.4 ± 0.8	62.9 ± 0.9	44.0 ± 0.7	-1.5	5.8 ± 0.2	-0.1
Ala ^S ₁₄₇	37.2 ± 0.9	32.1 ± 0.2	47.0 ± 0.6	63.2 ± 1.3	43.7 ± 0.7	-1.8	5.5 ± 0.2	-0.3

^a Values obtained from the global three state fit for the first transition (nominally C-terminal unfolding). ^b Values obtained from the global three state fit for the second transition (nominally N-terminal unfolding). ^c Melting temperature weighted for contributions from the unfolding of each domain. ^d ΔG_U calculated from T_{M1} , ΔH_1 , T_{M2} , and ΔH_2 using eqn (S4)–(S7) as described in the ESI.

open EF hands observed in the holo form.^{34,35} We hypothesized that the substantial structural differences between the apo and holo folds may lead a thioamide at a given site to have distinct effects in those two contexts. Thus, we carried out an analogous series of CD experiments to characterize folding in the apo CaM variants. Surprisingly, the Cys^Q₁₃₅ modification appears to be significantly perturbing to the apo form, reducing helicity by half relative to apo WT CaM (Fig. S5,† Bottom). While the origins of the effect of side chain modification at residue 135 are not clear, we used the data observed for the Cys^Q₁₃₅ oxo control as a benchmark to probe the impact of thioamide incorporation on the apo fold.

Each of the apo proteins show complete unfolding transitions over the temperature range of 5° to 95 °C. Initial apo thermal melts were performed on WT CaM and the Cys^Q₁₃₅ control. The melting temperature for our WT CaM sample is lower than values previously reported in literature,^{36–39} likely due to different buffer conditions. Although we attempted to fit our data to a two-state model (Table S7, Fig. S8 and S9†), we ultimately chose to analyze our results using a three-state model as it is well established that CaM unfolding proceeds through a semi-stable intermediate.³⁹ We therefore report thermodynamic data for each transition (T_{M1} , ΔH_1 , T_{M2} , and ΔH_2) and an overall ΔG_U that is the sum of the individual unfolding free energies for each transition calculated at 25 °C (Table 1).

For comparison of three-state CaM unfolding to the two-state unfolding of GB1 and CMPs, we generated pseudo fraction folded (F_f^*) plots based on this three-state model, where the intermediate state makes a weighted contribution to the total fraction of folded protein (Fig. 2). We denote the half-point of these weighted unfolding curves as T_M^* . Using this fitting model, we determined that Cys^Q₁₃₅ CaM is slightly less stable than WT CaM in T_M^* and ΔG_U ; however, this minor change in stability should not prevent it from serving as an appropriate control to study the effects of the thioamide substitutions.

Using the Cys^Q₁₃₅ protein as a basis for comparison, we see that Ala^S₁₄₇ slightly destabilizes the apo fold, lowering ΔG_U (25) by 0.3 kcal mol $^{-1}$. In agreement with the CD spectra, Tyr^S₁₃₈ and Glu^S₁₄₀ appear to be the most destabilizing mutations, decreasing ΔG_U by 1.8 and 2.0 kcal mol $^{-1}$, respectively. Val^S₁₄₂ is well-tolerated, giving rise to a ΔG_U within error of the oxoamide. Unexpectedly, both Val^S₁₃₆ and Glu^S₁₃₉ show elevated melting

temperatures and an increase of ΔG_U by ~0.5 kcal mol $^{-1}$. The unstable Phe^S₁₄₁ construct was prone to aggregation during thermal denaturation, precluding rigorous thermodynamic analysis. Nevertheless, the thioamide substitutions that we could study span a range of thermodynamic effects, which we can interpret in terms of known structures.

The analysis of the thioamide substitutions in apo CaM is limited by the small number of high-resolution structures available. Here, our analysis will focus on structures of the apo protein from *Rattus norvegicus* (crystal, PDB entry 1QX5) and *Xenopus laevis* (NMR, PDB entry 1CFD).^{34,35} While the differences in these two structures are valuable in considering the dynamics of apo CaM, it should be noted that crystal packing in the 1QX5 structure gives rise to non-native intermolecular interactions. However, these are analogous to intramolecular interactions observed in the NMR structure (Fig. 3 and described in detail in Fig. S14†). As detailed below, both structures provide valuable insight into the effects of the thioamide substitutions.

We analyze the destabilizing substitutions first. Based on comparison to the Cys^Q₁₃₅ control, Ala^S₁₄₇ is mildly destabilizing. This is somewhat surprising as the thioamide in question is located at the C-terminal end of the helix and therefore should serve as an N–H hydrogen bond donor with the thiocarbonyl sulfur oriented into solvent (Fig. 3 and S16†). Val^S₁₄₂ shows only a minimal reduction in T_M (Fig. 3). In both the NMR and X-ray structure, the C=O···N distance for the hydrogen bond that the Val^S₁₄₂ thiocarbonyl would make with Thr₁₄₆ is somewhat long (3.1 Å and 3.3 Å, respectively), so the thiocarbonyl could reasonably be accommodated there (Fig. S16†). Placing a thioamide near the start of the C-terminal helix (Tyr^S₁₃₈ or Glu^S₁₄₀) is highly destabilizing, presumably due to disruption of backbone hydrogen bonding. Both these residues have relatively short C=O···N hydrogen bond distances and also interact with neighboring loops or helices (Fig. 3 Right, Fig. S16–S17 and Table S8†).

Two thioamide variants were significantly stabilized relative to the oxoamide: Val^S₁₃₆ and Glu^S₁₃₉. In both cases, the stabilization appears to arise from a combination of a location sterically tolerant to the thioamide carbonyl and a strengthening of hydrogen bonds involving the thioamide N–H. In the 1CFD structure, the C=O···N distance for the hydrogen bond



that the $\text{Val}^{\text{S}}_{136}$ thiocarbonyl would make with Ile_{100} is 3.4 Å and the $\text{C}=\text{O}\cdots\text{N}$ angle is 137°. In the 1QX5 structure, this carbonyl makes an intermolecular hydrogen bond with another CaM molecule in the crystal lattice (Fig. 3 Left, Fig. S15, and Table S8†). Thus, the longer thiocarbonyl could certainly be accommodated in this flexible region of apo CaM and might even make for a more favorable interaction. In the 1QX5 structure, the $\text{Glu}^{\text{S}}_{139}$ carbonyl $\text{C}=\text{O}\cdots\text{N}$ distance from the $\text{Val}_{142}/\text{Gln}_{143}$ amide N–H is 3.0 Å and the $\text{C}=\text{O}\cdots\text{N}$ angle is 144°, making it seemingly unfavorable for thioamide substitution. However, in the 1CFD NMR structure, this distance and angle are 3.4 Å and 145°, respectively, indicating sufficient flexibility in this region to accommodate the thiocarbonyl (Fig. S16 and Table S8†).

For both $\text{Val}^{\text{S}}_{136}$ and $\text{Glu}^{\text{S}}_{139}$, there is also a potential to acquire a new favorable thioamide N–H interaction. In the 1QX5 structure, the Glu_{140} N–H (part of a thioamide in $\text{Glu}^{\text{S}}_{139}$) is positioned ideally in the plane of the backbone carbonyl of Asn_{137} with a 3.3 Å $\text{N}\cdots\text{O}=\text{C}$ hydrogen bond length (Fig. 3 Left). Alternatively, in the 1CFD structure, the $\text{Glu}^{\text{S}}_{139}$ thioamide N–H makes a hydrogen bond with the sidechain carbonyl of Asn_{137} (Fig. S16†). Although this region of CaM is dynamic, both structures offer plausible explanations for the increased stability of the $\text{Glu}^{\text{S}}_{139}$ variant. On the other hand, for $\text{Val}^{\text{S}}_{136}$, the explanation is less clear-cut. In the 1QX5 structure, the distance from the side chain carbonyl of Glu_{140} to the backbone nitrogen of Asn_{137} is 4.2 Å and the carbonyl is out of plane from the N–H bond (Fig. S15†). No interaction of this N–H with Glu_{140} is apparent in the 1CFD structure. Nonetheless, the side chain of Glu_{140} appears to be highly mobile (average B-factor of 86.5 Å² in 1QX5) and reorientation of the side chain to favor this hydrogen bond may be possible. Although previously unappreciated, these interactions may be responsible for the positioning of the C-terminal helix for correct folding of the helix-loop-helix motif in the EF-hand. However, it is impossible to know the exact details of how each thioamide is changing the local and distal dynamics of the protein until further high-resolution structural studies are performed.

Design and synthesis of GB1 thioproteins

The immunoglobulin-binding B1 domain of protein G from *Streptococcus* bacteria is 56 amino acids in length and has a tertiary structure comprised of three of the most common secondary structural motifs in proteins.⁴⁰ The compact GB1 fold consists of a protein-spanning α -helix packed against a four-stranded β -sheet with both parallel and anti-parallel strands, making it an ideal model to study local folding dynamics in a tertiary structure context. Indeed, NMR, X-ray crystallography, and an array of computational studies have been used to great effect in understanding the order in which individual secondary structure elements fold to form the final tertiary structure of GB1.^{41,42} More recently, various unnatural backbone substitutions have been examined in GB1.^{43–46} While these substitutions were thermodynamically destabilizing to the folded state, many of the proteins showed a native-like fold by X-ray crystallography and CD. Given these precedents, GB1 is an excellent platform to examine effects of thioamides on folding in a β -sheet,

a structural motif not yet studied in detail with thioamide substitutions.

GB1 and three thioamide variants were synthesized using a combination of automated and manual SPPS, followed by NCL. We originally intended to synthesize thioamide GB1 through SPPS only, but encountered low yields due to suboptimal coupling reactions after thioamide insertion and Edman degradation-type cleavage of the amide bond C-terminal to the thioamide under acidic deprotection conditions. Thioprotein production by NCL allowed us to work with shorter thiopeptide fragments where the number of couplings subsequent to thioamide insertion and the length of the deprotection reaction can be limited. In the synthesis of GB1 and variants, we performed a ligation between a thioamide containing GB1_{1–23} thioester and GB1_{24–56}Cys₂₄. After desulfurization of Cys₂₄ using VA-044 and sacrificial thioacetamide, we obtained the thioamide GB1 constructs with no trace of the ligation point and yields ranging between 10 and 20% (Fig. 1, Middle).⁴⁷

Using this NCL and desulfurization strategy, we synthesized three GB1 variants with single thioamide substitutions at Leu_5 , Ile_6 , or Leu_7 . The Leu_5 residue acts as a hydrogen bond acceptor in an anti-parallel β -sheet interaction and as a hydrogen bond donor in a parallel β -sheet interaction. In contrast, Ile_6 acts as a hydrogen bond acceptor in a parallel β -sheet interaction and as a hydrogen bond donor in an anti-parallel β -sheet interaction. The hydrogen bonding pattern of Leu_7 is similar to that of Leu_5 (anti-parallel acceptor, parallel donor), but the carbonyl points outward slightly, increasing the hydrogen bond distance by 0.2 Å and placing it out of plane with respect to its partner in the sheet. Thus, we anticipated that the increased size and $\text{C}=\text{X}$ bond length of the thiocarbonyl might be better accommodated at Leu_7 than at Leu_5 or Ile_6 . These three substitutions, while not comprehensive, provide examples of how thioamides may be accommodated into both types of β -sheet folds within the context of a complex tertiary domain.

GB1 thioprotein folding thermodynamics

GB1 CD spectra typically contain a broad minimum between 208 and 222 nm with few defined features in this range due to the combination of the α -helical and β -sheet contributions to the signal. The Leu^{S}_5 and Ile^{S}_6 substitutions have nearly identical spectra and maintain similar curve features as the GB1 Oxo control, but with significantly reduced MRE values (Fig. S19†). It is likely that the internal hydrogen bonding networks are perturbed by these substitutions, leading to a disruption in the packing of the tertiary structure. Leu^{S}_7 seems to be the most tolerated thioamide substitution with a CD signature closest in magnitude to the control at 208 nm. However, the MRE values are still significantly reduced across the entire set of spectra.

For GB1, the thermal denaturation curves (Fig. 4) fit well to a two-state unfolding model. The T_M of GB1 Oxo is 78.2 °C and includes a sharp unfolding transition that is preceded by only a modest initial unfolding event occurring gradually between 5 and 65 °C (Fig. S20 and S21†). The thermodynamic differences for each thioamide substitution track with the changes in signal observed in the wavelength scans. Ile^{S}_6 leads to a 9.5 °C




Fig. 4 Thermal stability of GB1 thioamide variants. CD thermal melts of GB1 variants plotted as fraction folded values generated from a two-state fitting model. Melting curves were obtained by measuring the θ_{220} at 1 °C increments in 20 mM Na₂HPO₄ pH 7.0. Transformation of raw CD signal to MRE values and two-state fitting procedures were performed as described in eqn (S1)–(S3).†

destabilization in melting temperature while Leu^S₅ leads to an even greater destabilization of 12.6 °C. Leu^S₇ is the least destabilizing thioamide substitution with a melting temperature only 2.3 °C lower than WT GB1. The corresponding unfolding free energies ($\Delta\Delta G_U$ calculated according to eqn (S8), see ESI†) show that Leu^S₇ is destabilized by only 0.5 kcal mol⁻¹. Thus, we see that the precise context of thioamide substitution is also important for β -sheet systems.

In GB1, the thermodynamic and structural changes from thioamide incorporation can be readily rationalized based on existing structural data (PDB entry 2QMT).⁴⁸ Leu^S₅ thiocarbonyl substitution likely disrupts the hydrogen bond with the N–H of Thr₁₆, altering packing of only the outer strand of the β -sheet (Fig. 5). The Leu^S₅ thioamide N–H should maintain the hydrogen bond to the Phe₅₂ carbonyl in the core parallel β -sheet interface and might even strengthen this interaction. Computational modeling indicates that Leu₅ forms part of the folding nucleus of GB1,⁴¹ so perhaps it is not surprising that the Leu₅ substitution has the most significant effect on the T_M and

reduced the cooperativity of the folding transition. Ile^S₆ reverses this hydrogen bonding pattern, maintaining the N–H hydrogen bond to the carbonyl of Gly₁₄ in the outer strand and disrupting hydrogen bonding with the Val₅₄ amide N–H in the core strand. Disturbance of the core of the β -sheet region would explain why the Ile^S₆ substitution induces such a significant change in the T_M . Leu^S₇ causes almost no disruption in folding as determined by CD. It seems that the longer hydrogen bond distance (3.1 Å to the Lys₁₃/Gly₁₄ amide N) and out-of-plane orientation of the Leu₇ carbonyl are permissive of the sulfur substitution, as we anticipated. If there is any minor disturbance, it could be compensated for by the increased strength of the Leu^S₇ thioamide N–H hydrogen bond with the carbonyl of Val₅₄. Taken together, these analyses reinforce our observation that the effects of thioamide incorporation in β -sheets can depend dramatically on the location of the substitution (Table 2).

Design and synthesis of collagen model thiopeptides

Collagen provides an opportunity to explore the thioamide's potential as a modulator of key stabilizing hydrogen bonds and $n \rightarrow \pi^*$ interactions. The protein is comprised of three monomeric left-handed PPII helices that are made up of Xaa-Yaa-Gly repeats. These monomers anneal to one another to form the native structure, a right-handed triple helix.⁴⁹ Although, ProProGly (PPG) is one of the most prevalent repeat elements in collagen, nearly every other amino acid has been observed in the Xaa or Yaa position.⁵⁰ Within this PPG unit, a variety of substitutions have been made on the 4 position of the pyrrolidine ring.^{51–56} The most common alteration found physiologically is (2S,4R)-4-hydroxyproline at the Yaa position (Pro-Hyp-Gly, POG).⁵⁰ Backbone ester, alkene, and aza-glycine substitutions have also been incorporated to modify and study the PPII triple helix.^{57–59} Recently Raines *et al.* reported the effects of thioamide incorporation in two positions (ProPro^SGly and ProProGly^S) by thermal denaturation in a PPG based CMP.²⁴ Here, we build on this precedent by providing detailed kinetics and thermodynamics for single substitutions in all Xaa, Yaa, and Gly positions in a 21 *mer* POG host peptide system.

To probe the effect of the thioamide on CMP self-assembly, we installed the moiety near the central positions of the 21-*mer* host system Ac-(POG)₃(XYG)(POG)₃-NH₂. Peptides were synthesized through SPPS, coupling Fmoc-POG-OH trimers at all but the central POG subunit. Here, individual residues were installed, including the suitable building block for incorporation of the thioamide. Typical yields of completed thioamide




Fig. 5 Structural analysis of GB1 thioamide variants. Left: Overall structure of GB1 with modeled thioamide substitutions. Each thioamide substitution is poised to make two hydrogen bonding interactions with opposing strands. Right: Zoomed in region showing that the Leu^S₇ thiocarbonyl is skewed out of the plane of the anti-parallel β -sheet ($C=O \cdots N$ angle = 134°), providing a potential explanation for why it is less destabilizing than Leu^S₅ or Ile^S₆. Structures rendered from PDB entry 2QMT.⁴⁸

Table 2 GB1 thermodynamic values

GB1 variant	T_M (°C)	ΔT_M (°C)	$\Delta\Delta G_U^a$ (kcal mol ⁻¹)
Oxo	78.2 ± 0.0	—	—
Leu ^S ₅	65.6 ± 1.6	-12.6	-2.5 ± 0.3
Ile ^S ₆	68.7 ± 0.5	-9.5	-1.9 ± 0.1
Leu ^S ₇	75.9 ± 1.2	-2.3	-0.5 ± 0.2

^a Change in unfolding free energy, $\Delta\Delta G_U$, calculated from ΔT_M using eqn (S8) as described in the ESI.



CMPs range between 4–6%. To analyze the thioamide impact on structure, thermodynamics, and kinetics of folding, we subjected each CMP variant to CD scans, thermal melts, and kinetic refolding experiments.

Collagen model thiopeptide folding thermodynamics

CD scans of each thioamide variant display a characteristic collagen spectrum with a minimum at 198 nm and maximum around 225 nm, along with a broad minimum centered around 265 nm, representing the $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ contribution from the thioamide. The magnitude of the minima at 198 nm and 265 nm depend upon the position of the thioamide, with the $\text{P}^{\text{S}}\text{G}$ substitution leading to the greatest loss in MRE signal (Fig. S27–S31†). Unsurprisingly, the $\text{P}^{\text{S}}\text{G}$ replacement massively destabilizes the protein (Fig. 6 and Table 3), likely because the sulfur points inward towards the core of the trimer, imposing a steric obstacle to the packing of the strands (Fig. 7). Additionally, the lower electronegativity of the elongated carbon–sulfur bond weakens key interchain H-bonding between the glycine N–H and the C=O of the Xaa proline. The $\text{P}^{\text{S}}\text{G}$ modification shows a stabilization of the triple helix with a significant increase in T_m and 0.4 kcal mol^{−1} increase in ΔG_{U} , while PPG^S shows a moderate destabilization, in agreement with previous studies.²⁴ Hysteresis studies showed that the free energy differences are in good agreement with the melting temperature profiles (Fig. S32–S36†). Additionally, each thioamide CMP folded on a similar timescale to the PPG control, demonstrating that the kinetics of folding are not altered by thioamide substitution.

To assess thioamide backbone compatibility with substitutions at the 4 position of the pyrrolidine ring in proline, we incorporated Hyp into the Yaa position. This POG mutant is well known to stabilize collagen triple helices through stereo-electronic effects and hydrogen bonding.^{62–66} Results show that peptides $\text{PO}^{\text{S}}\text{G}$ and POG^{S} exhibit T_m increases of 2.5 and 4.2 °C, respectively, relative to their corresponding Pro congeners. Interestingly, the stabilizing effects from the Hyp substitution and thioamide appear additive when compared to appropriate




Fig. 6 Thermal stability of CMP thioamide variants. CD thermal melts of collagen plotted as fraction folded (F_f) values generated from the fit. Melting curves were obtained by measuring the θ_{224} at 1 °C increments in PBS buffer. Transformation of raw CD signal to MRE values is described in eqn (S1)† and fitting procedures were as previously described.^{52,60,61}

Table 3 CMP thermodynamic and kinetic values

Collagen variant	T_m (°C)	ΔG_{U}^a	$\Delta\Delta G_{\text{U}}^a$	$t_{1/2}$ (min)
Pro-Pro-Gly Oxo	36.7 ± 0.4	10.8		32.5 ± 2.0
Pro ^S -Pro-Gly	11.0 ± 0.9	5.1	−5.7	25.0 ± 4.5
Pro-Pro ^S -Gly	38.5 ± 0.5	11.2	0.4	35.3 ± 5.2
Pro-Pro-Gly ^S	30.3 ± 0.5	9.2	−1.6	40.3 ± 7.6
Pro-Hyp-Gly Oxo	39.9 ± 0.5	12.0		24.0 ± 4.5
Pro-Hyp ^S -Gly	41.0 ± 0.2	12.6	0.6	17.7 ± 4.0
Pro-Hyp-Gly ^S	34.5 ± 0.0	11.1	−0.9	23.8 ± 2.8

^a Unfolding free energy in kcal mol^{−1}, calculated as described in the ESI.

PPG and POG controls. Thioamide substitution at the central Yaa position contributes about 0.5 kcal mol^{−1} of stability and Hyp substitution contributes about 1.3 kcal mol^{−1}. Furthermore, the $\text{PO}^{\text{S}}\text{G}$ substitution leads to faster folding compared to that of any thioamide variant or control peptide examined here. Like for CaM and GB1, thioamide substitutions in collagen have effects that strongly depend on the position of incorporation.




Fig. 7 Structural analysis of CMP thioamide variants. $\text{Pro}^{\text{S}}\text{ProGly}$ and $\text{ProProGly}^{\text{S}}$ have buried and partially buried sulfur atoms, respectively (Top and Middle), accounting for the disruptions observed in the CD experiments. The $\text{ProPro}^{\text{S}}\text{Gly}$ (Bottom) sulfur atom is fully solvent exposed and the N–H of the thioamide forms a hydrogen bond with the strand shown in grey (inset), accounting for the stabilization observed in the CD experiments. Structures rendered from PDB entry 2CUO.⁶⁷



Further combinations of thioamide and pyrrolidine modifications may also garner additive gains in collagen stability, or even act to compensate for other modifications previously observed to be destructive.

Analysis of thioamide substitutions in PPG and POG CMPs illustrates the three fundamental effects that thioamides are expected to have on proteins. In $\text{PP}^{\text{S}}\text{G}$, the combination of weaker hydrogen bonding and steric clashes due to the larger van der Waals radius of sulfur and longer $\text{C}=\text{S}$ bond length significantly destabilizes ($\Delta T_{\text{M}} = -25.7 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$) the protein (Fig. 7). In contrast, both $\text{PP}^{\text{S}}\text{G}$ and $\text{PO}^{\text{S}}\text{G}$ collagen peptides are moderately stabilized compared to their oxoamide counterparts. At the Yaa position, the thioamide N-H can form a stabilizing inter-strand hydrogen bond, while the thiocarbonyl projects into solvent. Thus, thioamide substitution at the Yaa position confers the energetic benefits of the stronger hydrogen bond donor without the penalties of the weaker acceptor or steric clashes. The disruption introduced by the PPG^{S} thioamide is not as drastic as the $\text{P}^{\text{S}}\text{PG}$ replacement because it does not pack against the core of the triple helix, but it may provide general steric interference with neighboring strand contacts. The $\text{PO}^{\text{S}}\text{G}$ and POG^{S} peptides recapitulate the positional effects of the thioamide while retaining the stabilizing effects of Hyp. The additivity of the backbone and sidechain substitutions supports the idea that the conformations of the thioamide collagen variants are similar to the parent collagen model peptides (with the exception of $\text{P}^{\text{S}}\text{PG}$, for which few conclusions can be drawn). In this regard, our data show that a thioamide substitution can act synergistically with a proline ring substitution for potential applications in thiopeptide based collagen materials.

Conclusions

Thioamides have the potential to be one of the most multi-functional probes in the large repertoire of unnatural amino acid substitutions available for protein labeling. They can be used as functional handles in IR, CD, or fluorescence spectroscopy, as photoswitches, or as perturbants in structure/function studies. With thioamide substitutions across three protein systems, CaM, GB1, and CMPs, the data set amassed here represents the most comprehensive study to date bearing on the question of how thioamides affect protein thermostability. While virtually all previous reports of thioamides in secondary structure contexts have found the modification to be disruptive, we show that, within CaM, the replacement is tolerated in some positions within the C-terminal α -helix as well as the preceding loop. While all thioamide substitutions in the β -sheet of GB1 were destabilizing, at one position the impact was minimal. Our CMP results are consistent with findings by Raines, and although we do not yet have high resolution structures, the additivity of the effects of thioamidation and proline hydroxylation suggests that our CMPs adopt a fold consistent with structures like PDB entry 2CUO, shown in Fig. 7.

Overall, our analysis based on existing crystal and NMR structures allows us to rationalize many of our findings in terms of the physical properties of the thioamide bond and to consider how both perturbing and non-perturbing thioamide

locations might be useful. Thioamide incorporation in the first turn of an α -helix is a strong helix breaker, and it is disruptive in sheets where it replaces a short hydrogen bond that is in the plane of the sheet. These findings can be explained by the longer $\text{C}=\text{S}$ bond length and larger van der Waals radius of sulfur, and highlight the potential of thioamides as tools to modulate protein folding. Thioamides will be tolerated in helices where the substituted amide oxygen participates in longer H-bonds and in sheets where the carbonyl bond is at a more acute angle. We have also seen that thioamides are tolerated, or even stabilizing, when the disruptive effect of the thiocarbonyl is compensated by the stronger hydrogen bond donation of the thioamide N-H. Minimally perturbing substitutions are ideal for fluorescence studies using the thioamide as a quenching moiety. Increased thermal stability of collagen $\text{PP}^{\text{S}}\text{G}$ and $\text{PO}^{\text{S}}\text{G}$ substitutions, as well as $\text{Val}^{\text{S}}_{136}$ and $\text{Glu}^{\text{S}}_{139}$ in CaM, highlight the fact that thioamides can serve as stabilizing backbone replacements for interrogating hydrogen bonding networks.

The results reported here have set a foundation for rational thioprotein design to realize the above benefits to biophysics and protein engineering. A growing database of thioamide "mutants" could, in conjunction with appropriate computational models, allow one to predict the effects of thioamide substitution to achieve the desired destabilizing, neutral, or stabilizing effects on a protein of interest. Efforts are underway in our laboratories to obtain high resolution structural and dynamic information on thioamide substitution. In addition to the generation of thioamide proteins by NCL, Hecht's recent cotranslational incorporation of thioamide dipeptides using mutant ribosomes offers a potentially more facile semi-synthetic route to thioproteins.²⁶ Deciphering the mechanism of incorporation of the natural thioglycine residue in the archael methyl-coenzyme M reductase could also permit the *in vivo* biosynthetic incorporation of backbone thioamides.²⁷ As thioamide proteins become more synthetically accessible, the results reported here should provide valuable insights into the design of appropriate thioproteins for diverse applications.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by funding from the National Science Foundation (NSF CHE-1150351 to E. J. P.), the National Institutes of Health (GM107161 to W. S. H.), and the University of Pennsylvania. Instruments were supported by the NSF and National Institutes of Health include: HRMS (NIH RR-023444), and MALDI-TOF MS (NSF MRI-0820996). C. R. W. thanks the NIH for funding through the Structural Biology and Molecular Biophysics Training Program (T32 GM008275).

Notes and references

- 1 C. D. Spicer and B. G. Davis, *Nat. Commun.*, 2014, **5**, 4740.
- 2 D. F. Kreitler, D. E. Mortenson, K. T. Forest and S. H. Gellman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 6498–6505.
- 3 K. Matulef, A. W. Annen, J. C. Nix and F. I. Valiyaveetil, *Structure*, 2016, **24**, 750–761.



4 R. D. Gopalan, M. P. Del Borgo, A. I. Mechler, P. Perlmutter and M. I. Aguilar, *Chem. Biol.*, 2015, **22**, 1417–1423.

5 Z. E. Reinert and W. S. Horne, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2014, **12**, 8796–8802.

6 R. Gopalakrishnan, A. I. Frolov, L. Knerr, W. J. Drury 3rd and E. Valeur, *J. Med. Chem.* 2016, **59**, 9599–9621, 2016, **59**, 9599–9621.

7 A. Bondi, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1964, **68**, 441–448.

8 F. G. Bordwell, D. J. Algrim and J. A. Harrelson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1988, **110**, 5903–5904.

9 R. H. Judge, D. C. Moule and J. D. Goddard, *Can. J. Chem.*, 1987, **65**, 2100–2105.

10 H. J. Lee, Y. S. Choi, K. B. Lee, J. Park and C. J. Yoon, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2002, **106**, 7010–7017.

11 M. R. Truter, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 1960, 997–1007, DOI: 10.1039/jr9600000997.

12 R. M. Culik, H. Jo, W. F. DeGrado and F. Gai, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 8026–8029.

13 J. M. Goldberg, S. Batjargal, B. S. Chen and E. J. Petersson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 18651–18658.

14 J. M. Goldberg, S. Batjargal and E. J. Petersson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 14718–14720.

15 J. M. Goldberg, L. C. Speight, M. W. Fegley and E. J. Petersson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 6088–6091.

16 J. M. Goldberg, R. F. Wissner, A. M. Klein and E. J. Petersson, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 1550–1552.

17 J. H. Miwa, L. Pallivathucal, S. Gowda and K. E. Lee, *Org. Lett.*, 2002, **4**, 4655–4657.

18 J. H. Miwa, A. K. Patel, N. Vivatrat, S. M. Popek and A. M. Meyer, *Org. Lett.*, 2001, **3**, 3373–3375.

19 D. Wildemann, C. Schiene-Fischer, T. Aumuller, A. Bachmann, T. Kieffhaber, C. Lucke and G. Fischer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**, 4910–4918.

20 H. Breyg, H. Heimgartner and J. Helbing, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2009, **113**, 1756–1762.

21 P. Hamm, J. Helbing and J. Bredenbeck, *Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem.*, 2008, **59**, 291–317.

22 A. Bachmann, D. Wildemann, F. Praetorius, G. Fischer and T. Kieffhaber, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2011, **108**, 3952–3957.

23 E. M. Goodman and P. S. Kim, *Biochemistry*, 1991, **30**, 11615–11620.

24 R. W. Newberry, B. VanVeller and R. T. Raines, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 9624–9627.

25 S. Batjargal, Y. J. Wang, J. M. Goldberg, R. F. Wissner and E. J. Petersson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 9172–9182.

26 R. Maini, L. M. Dedkova, R. Paul, M. M. Madathil, S. R. Chowdhury, S. X. Chen and S. M. Hecht, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 11206–11209.

27 U. Ermel, W. Grabarse, S. Shima, M. Goubeaud and R. K. Thauer, *Science*, 1997, **278**, 1457–1462.

28 D. Chin and A. R. Means, *Trends Cell Biol.*, 2000, **10**, 322–328.

29 P. Kursula, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. D: Biol. Crystallogr.*, 2014, **70**, 24–30.

30 S. W. Vetter and E. Leclerc, *Eur. J. Biochem.*, 2003, **270**, 404–414.

31 E. Hellstrand, S. Kukora, C. F. Shuman, S. Steenbergen, E. Thulin, A. Kohli, B. Krouse, S. Linse and K. S. Akerfeldt, *FEBS J.*, 2013, **280**, 2675–2687.

32 S. Batjargal, C. R. Walters and E. J. Petersson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 1734–1737.

33 S. Mukherjee, H. Verma and J. Chatterjee, *Org. Lett.*, 2015, **17**, 3150–3153.

34 M. A. Schumacher, M. Crum and M. C. Miller, *Structure*, 2004, **12**, 849–860.

35 H. Kuboniwa, N. Tjandra, S. Grzesiek, H. Ren, C. B. Klee and A. Bax, *Nat. Struct. Biol.*, 1995, **2**, 768–776.

36 G. Gibrat, L. Assairi, C. T. Craescu, G. Hui Bon Hoa, D. Loew, B. Lombard, L. Blouquit and M. C. Bellissent-Funel, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Proteins Proteomics*, 2012, **1824**, 1097–1106.

37 L. Masino, S. R. Martin and P. M. Bayley, *Protein Sci.*, 2000, **9**, 1519–1529.

38 I. Protasevich, B. Ranjbar, V. Lobachov, A. Makarov, R. Gilli, C. Briand, D. Lafitte and J. Haiech, *Biochemistry*, 1997, **36**, 2017–2024.

39 B. R. Sorensen and M. A. Shea, *Biochemistry*, 1998, **37**, 4244–4253.

40 A. M. Gronenborn, D. R. Filpula, N. Z. Essig, A. Achari, M. Whitlow, P. T. Wingfield and G. M. Clore, *Science*, 1991, **253**, 657–661.

41 S. Kmiecik and A. Kolinski, *Biophys. J.*, 2008, **94**, 726–736.

42 H. L. Schmidt, L. J. Sperling, Y. G. Gao, B. J. Wylie, J. M. Boettcher, S. R. Wilson and C. M. Rienstra, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2007, **111**, 14362–14369.

43 Z. E. Reinert, G. A. Lengyel and W. S. Horne, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 12528–12531.

44 Z. E. Reinert, E. D. Musselman, A. H. Elcock and W. S. Horne, *ChemBioChem*, 2012, **13**, 1107–1111.

45 G. A. Lengyel, Z. E. Reinert, B. D. Griffith and W. S. Horne, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2014, **12**, 5375–5381.

46 N. A. Tavenor, Z. E. Reinert, G. A. Lengyel, B. D. Griffith and W. S. Horne, *Chem. Commun.*, 2016, **52**, 3789–3792.

47 Y. X. J. Wang, D. M. Szantai-Kis and E. J. Petersson, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2016, **14**, 6262–6269.

48 H. L. F. Schmidt, L. J. Sperling, Y. G. Gao, B. J. Wylie, J. M. Boettcher, S. R. Wilson and C. A. Rienstra, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2007, **111**, 14362–14369.

49 S. Chattopadhyay and R. T. Raines, *Biopolymers*, 2014, **101**, 821–833.

50 J. A. M. Ramshaw, N. K. Shah and B. Brodsky, *J. Struct. Biol.*, 1998, **122**, 86–91.

51 A. Choudhary, K. J. Kamer, M. D. Shoulders and R. T. Raines, *Biopolymers*, 2015, **104**, 110–115.

52 R. S. Erdmann and H. Wennemers, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 13957–13959.

53 J. A. Hodges and R. T. Raines, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2003, **125**, 9262–9263.

54 F. W. Kotch, I. A. Guzei and R. T. Raines, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 2952–2953.

55 M. D. Shoulders, I. A. Guzei and R. T. Raines, *Biopolymers*, 2008, **89**, 443–454.

56 M. D. Shoulders, J. A. Hodges and R. T. Raines, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 8112–8113.



57 N. Dai, X. J. Wang and F. A. Etzkorn, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 5396–5397.

58 C. L. Jenkins, M. M. Vasbinder, S. J. Miller and R. T. Raines, *Org. Lett.*, 2005, **7**, 2619–2622.

59 Y. T. Zhang, R. M. Malamakal and D. M. Chenoweth, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 12422–12425.

60 J. Engel, H. T. Chen, D. J. Prockop and H. Klump, *Biopolymers*, 1977, **16**, 601–622.

61 S. Frank, R. A. Kammerer, D. Mechling, T. Schulthess, R. Landwehr, J. Bann, Y. Guo, A. Lustig, H. P. Bachinger and J. Engel, *J. Mol. Biol.*, 2001, **308**, 1081–1089.

62 J. Bella, B. Brodsky and H. M. Berman, *Structure*, 1995, **3**, 893–906.

63 J. Bella, *Biochem. J.*, 2016, **473**, 1001–1025.

64 L. E. Bretscher, C. L. Jenkins, K. M. Taylor, M. L. DeRider and R. T. Raines, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2001, **123**, 777–778.

65 R. S. Erdmann and H. Wennemers, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 6835–6838.

66 M. D. Shoulders, K. A. Satyshur, K. T. Forest and R. T. Raines, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2010, **107**, 559–564.

67 C. Hongo, K. Noguchi, K. Okuyama, Y. Tanaka and N. Nishino, *J. Biochem.*, 2005, **138**, 135–144.

