Rh(III)-catalyzed double C–H activation of aldehyde hydrazones: a route for functionalized 1H-indazole synthesis†
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A novel and straightforward strategy for functionalized 1H-indazoles is realized by the Rh(III)-catalyzed double C–H activation and C–H/C–H cross coupling of readily available aldehyde phenylhydrazones. The reaction is scalable and various 1H-indazoles could be afforded in moderate to high yields with good functional-group compatibility. Mechanism experiments and DFT calculations suggest the distinctive Rh(III)-catalyzed C–H/C–H cross coupling reaction underwent a cascade C(aryl)–H bond metatation, C(allyl)–H bond insertion and reductive elimination process.

Introduction

Hydrazones are particularly attractive as building blocks in synthetic organic chemistry because of their easy availability and versatile reactivity.1 As well as enjoying the features of carbonyl compounds, the most unique property of aldehyde hydrazone is its ability to react with active electrophiles as a neutral acyl anion equivalent, which represents an important method for the C(sp2)–H functionalization of aldehyde hydrazones.2 However, the demand of limited strong electrophilic reagents such as acyl chloride and the subsequent problem in terms of functional-group tolerance have restricted its widespread application. Hence the development of effective and general methodologies for the C(sp2)–H functionalization of aldehyde hydrazones is highly desired. A recent breakthrough in this area is the transition metal (Cu, Pd) or visible light catalytic strategies for the C(sp2)–H functionalization of aldehyde hydrazones (Scheme 1a).3 Mechanism experiments as well as theoretical calculations in our previous report3c support an electron transfer induced aminyl radical-polar crossover (ARPC) process, in which the key activating unit, the N–N bond, plays a crucial role. From another point of view, the N–N bond, a Lewis basic functional unit, often appears as a directing group in transition metal-catalyzed C–H activation reactions. To complement these radical-type strategies, we have recently initiated a project to exploit the directing group strategy for the C(sp3)–H bond functionalization of aldehyde hydrazones.

Scheme 1 Strategy design for 1H-indazole synthesis from aldehyde hydrazones.

1H-Indazole, a privileged pharmacophore in pharmaceuticals, is widely incorporated in multiple drugs such as anti-HIV, anti-inflammatory and anti-cancer drugs.4 The efficient synthesis of functionalized 1H-indazoles has particularly attracted the increased attention of organic synthetic chemists.5a In the past few years, transition metal-catalyzed C–H activation has emerged with significant advantages toward the synthesis of a diverse array of heterocycles.6 Among them, Pd-catalyzed C–H activation strategies have been applied to the synthesis of 1H-indazoles (Scheme 1b), which...
complement conventional approaches. Despite progress, it is noteworthy here that previous wisdom unanimously focused on the 1H-indazole synthesis via C–N bond formation. Given the importance of 1H-indazole synthesis and our recent ongoing interest in the C(sp²)–H bond functionalization of aldehyde hydrazones, we wondered here whether functionalized 1H-indazole could be directly synthesized from readily available aldehyde phenylhydrazones through a Rh(III)-catalyzed cascade 2-fold C–H activation and oxidative C–C bond formation (Scheme 1c). Importantly, different from previous 1H-indazole syntheses, such as the synthetic method, which is based on the challenging C–C bond construction, this method has its own particular advantages in the synthesis of certain distinctive and significant 1H-indazole scaffolds. In our strategy design, the N–N bond is initially used as a directing group in the Rh(III)-catalyzed C(aryl)–H bond activation step. Then the resulting active metallacyclic intermediate could serve as a potential reactive linkage that is capable of the ultimate C(N)–H bond functionalization. Difficulties in this design need to be considered: (1) the regioselectivity in the presence of two different aromatic rings (C-aryl and N-aryl) is challenging; and (2) the stability of the N–N bond functionalized reaction condition, as in previous Rh(III)-catalyzed hydrazine cleavage would induce a lower yield (entry 5) and an attempt to make the reaction greener with O₂ as the sole oxidant failed (entry 6). The temperature effect was also examined and it was found that a higher temperature did not increase the reaction efficiency (entry 7), while lowering the reaction temperature decreased the yield (entry 8).

With the optimized reaction conditions in hand, the substrate scope of the reaction was next systematically explored. The representative examples are shown in Table 2. Substrates with different N-alkyl (Me, Et, and Bn) or aryl groups all furnished the corresponding 1H-indazoles 2a–d with good to excellent yields (78–95%), whereas N–H or N–Ac aldehyde hydrazones failed to give the desired product, which is probably a result of the operation of electronic effect. In addition, we found that O-aryl oxime derivatives cannot be transferred into benzisoxazoles under the reaction conditions. Various electron-donating (methoxy, methyl, dimethylamine) and electron-withdrawing (fluoro, chloro, bromo, trifluoromethyl, ester) groups substituted at the aldehyde phenyl rings were readily tolerated (2e–p). However, the position of the substituted group at the aryl group has an obvious effect on this reaction. Only para (2e–k) and meta (2l–o) substituted aldehyde hydrazones could proceed well, giving the corresponding products in good yields while ortho substituted aldehyde hydrazones proved unreactive with only ortho-fluoro hydrazone 1p furnishing the desired product in a low yield (2p). This unforeseen steric effect prompted us to rethink the mechanism of this Rh(III)-catalyzed

Results and discussion

We initially selected the readily accessible hydrazone 1a as the model substrate to investigate our hypothesis about the Rh(III)-catalyzed intramolecular oxidative C–H/C–H bond cross coupling. Various optimization studies revealed that the desired product 1H-indazole 2a could be achieved in 80% yield with (RhCp*Cl₂)₂/AgOTf as the catalyst precursor, Cu(OAc)₂ as the oxidant and K₂CO₃ as the base at 120 °C in 1,2-dichloroethane (Table 1 and ESI†). Control experiments were performed to test the role of each reactant. Hydrazone tends to decompose under pH-acidic reaction conditions. Thus, K₂CO₃ is added to neutralize the in situ generated acetic acid (entry 2). As expected, rhodium plays a core role in the transformation (entry 3). Catalytic Ag salt is not necessary, yet could promote the reaction with higher efficiency (entry 4). A lower loading of Cu(OAc)₂ would induce a lower yield (entry 5) and an attempt to make the reaction greener with O₂ as the sole oxidant failed (entry 6). The temperature effect was also examined and it was found that a higher temperature did not increase the reaction efficiency (entry 7), while lowering the reaction temperature decreased the yield (entry 8).

With the optimized reaction conditions in hand, the substrate scope of the reaction was next systematically explored. The representative examples are shown in Table 2. Substrates with different N-alkyl (Me, Et, and Bn) or aryl groups all furnished the corresponding 1H-indazoles 2a–d with good to excellent yields (78–95%), whereas N–H or N–Ac aldehyde hydrazones failed to give the desired product, which is probably a result of the operation of electronic effect. In addition, we found that O-aryl oxime derivatives cannot be transferred into benzisoxazoles under the reaction conditions. Various electron-donating (methoxy, methyl, dimethylamine) and electron-withdrawing (fluoro, chloro, bromo, trifluoromethyl, ester) groups substituted at the aldehyde phenyl rings were readily tolerated (2e–p). However, the position of the substituted group at the aryl group has an obvious effect on this reaction. Only para (2e–k) and meta (2l–o) substituted aldehyde hydrazones could proceed well, giving the corresponding products in good yields while ortho substituted aldehyde hydrazones proved unreactive with only ortho-fluoro hydrazone 1p furnishing the desired product in a low yield (2p). This unforeseen steric effect prompted us to rethink the mechanism of this Rh(III)-catalyzed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Variation from the “standard conditions”</th>
<th>Conv. of 1a (%)</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>81(80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Without K₂CO₃</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Trace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Without [RhCp*Cl₂]₂</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Without AgOTf</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.5 equiv. of Cu(OAc)₂</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>O₂ and without Cu(OAc)₂</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>135 °C instead of 120 °C</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>100 °C instead of 120 °C</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The reactions were run on a 0.20 mmol scale in 0.5 mL of DCE. † Yields determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy using N-(4-methoxyphenyl)acetamide as the internal standard. ‡ Yield of isolated products. Cp* = 1,2,3,4,5-pentamethylcyclopentadiene, and DCE = 1,2-dichloroethane.
oxidative C–H/C–H cross coupling reaction. Importantly, hetero-aldehyde derived aldehyde hydrazones such as furan and thiophene also exhibit good reactivity, providing the expected products in moderate to good yields (2q–s).

Unfortunately, the aliphatic aldehyde-derived or alkyl-substituted alkenyl aldehyde hydrazones decomposed and failed to give the desired product under our reaction conditions. The broad substrate scope of this reaction was further illustrated by altering the substitution patterns on the aryl-hydrazine unit (2u–x).

When meta-substituted substrates underwent the oxidative coupling reaction, the C–H activation occurred at both positions with moderate regioselectivities (2u–v).

Remarkably, a gram-scale reaction of 1a could still be transformed into the product 2a in a good yield (73%) under standard reaction conditions, thus making our strategy for 1H-indazole synthesis more attractive and robust (Scheme 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Scope of the intramolecular C–H/C–H cross coupling of aldehyde hydrazones&lt;sup&gt;a,b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Standard conditions. <sup>b</sup> Yields of isolated products.

**Scheme 2** Gram-scale synthesis of 1H-indazole 2a.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3</th>
<th>Representative synthesis of the bioactive fused polycyclic 1H-indazole skeleton&lt;sup&gt;a,b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Standard conditions. <sup>b</sup> Yield of isolated products.

**Scheme 3** Deuterium labeling experiment.

**Scheme 4** Proposed mechanism.
To further demonstrate the unique advantages of our reaction, we applied our synthetic strategy to the rapid synthesis of certain significant and bioactive 1H-indazole scaffolds (Table 3), which show special 5-HT4/5-HT3 receptor antagonist activity. With readily available tetrahydroquinoline and benzo[b][1,4]oxazine as starting materials, a simple four-step sequence including N-nitrosation, reduction, condensation with aldehyde and Rh(III)-catalyzed intramolecular oxidative C–H/C–H cross coupling could concisely furnish the desired 1H-indazole scaffolds in moderate overall yields. It is worth mentioning that this kind of 1H-indazole scaffold could not be accessed via previous reported synthetic strategies. The X-ray single-crystal structure analysis of the 1H-indazole 4f is shown in Table 3.

To gain insight into this Rh(III)-catalyzed oxidative C–H/C–H cross coupling reaction, a deuterium labeling experiment with 1j-d3 was carried out (Scheme 3). Deuterium losses both in the product and reclaimed substrate were observed, suggesting the process involved an initial reversible C–H bond metalation. In addition, the identification of C–H bond deuteration at the ortho-position of the C-aryl rings (both product and substrate) provides an evidence for the initial two competitive coordination sites with the C=N–N system as the directing group.
However, valuable and convincing KIE data for this intramolecular double C–H activation process can be obtained with difficulty via experiments to study the rate-limiting process.

Based on the preliminary studies, the mechanism of this Rh(III)-catalyzed oxidative C–H/C–H cross coupling is proposed in Scheme 4. In the presence of catalytic AgOTf and an equivalent of Cu(OAc)$_2$, Rh(III) Cp*(OAc)$_2$ I is generated *in situ* as an active catalyst. The transformation is initiated through the coordination of the nitrogen atom of the C=N bond to the cationic Rh center and subsequent C(aryl)–H activation, generating the five-membered rhodacyclic complex II. Then the active intermediate II serves as a reactive linkage for the second C(sp$^3$)–H bond insertion, resulting in the six-membered rhodacyclic complex III (path 1). Final reductive elimination will give the desired 1H-indazole 2a and Rh(iii), which can be oxidized by Cu(II) to regenerate the active Rh(III) catalyst. However, another mechanism, which involves C=N bond insertion rather than C–H bond insertion, is also possible at this stage (path 2). The resulting polar C(aryl)–Rh bond in the first step can serve as a nucleophilic aryl source and undergo nucleophilic addition to the C=N bond, forming the N–Rh species IV. Under the basic conditions, the N–Rh species then undergoes β-H elimination to furnish the desired product 2a.

Furthermore, density functional theory (DFT) calculations were performed to investigate the detailed mechanism of this Rh(III)-catalyzed oxidative C–H/C–H cross coupling reaction; two possible reaction pathways are proposed in Scheme 4, and the free energy profiles are shown in Fig. 1 (see ES† for details). The active catalyst I and hydrazone 1a were chosen as the model system. First, the coordination of the nitrogen atom of the C=N bond in 1a to the Rh center and subsequent C(aryl)–H activation generates the five-membered rhodacyclic intermediate II via TS1, with a barrier of 33.3 kcal mol$^{-1}$. The formation of intermediate II is endothermic by 5.4 kcal mol$^{-1}$, which is consistent with the deuterium labeling experiment (a reversible C–H bond metatation). Then, the N–N bond rotation of intermediate II forms the rotation isomer II′ via TS2, with a barrier of 22.4 kcal mol$^{-1}$. Subsequently, the second C(aryl)–H bond activation of the II′ intermediate assisted by an acetate yields the 16-electron six-membered rhodacyclic complex III via TS3 (with a barrier of 26.4 kcal mol$^{-1}$), releasing an acetic acid molecule. Finally, the reductive elimination of the intermediate III gives the desired 1H indazole 2a and Rh(iii) intermediate (Cp*Rh(iii)) via TS4, with a barrier of 32.3 kcal mol$^{-1}$ (path 1, black lines). The generation of Cp*Rh(iii) and 2a is endothermic by 19.8 kcal mol$^{-1}$ (with respect to the active catalyst I and reactant 1a). This result is consistent with the observed fact that the stoichiometric amount of oxidant (Cu(OAc)$_2$) and base (K$_2$CO$_3$) were required for promoting this reaction. In addition to path 1, path 2 which involves the nucleophilic addition of the C–Rh bond to the C=N bond of species II is also possible at this stage (as shown in Scheme 4, path 2). However, all attempts that tried to locate the transition state of the intramolecular nucleophilic addition (or C=N insertion) failed. Nevertheless, we performed a relaxed potential energy scan by fixing the C–C distance at a series of values to estimate the approximate barrier (as shown in Fig. S1†). The generation of the C=N bond

In conclusion, an intramolecule directing group strategy has been successfully applied for the C(aryl)–H functionalization of aldehyde hydrazones for the first time. Highly functionalized 1H-indazoles could be directly accessed from easily available aldehyde phenylhydrazones via Rh(III)-catalyzed oxidative C–H/C–H cross coupling. The reaction is scalable and various 1H-indazoles can be afforded in moderate to high yields with good functional-group compatibility. A mechanism study indicates this distinctive C–H/C–H cross coupling was enabled by a C(aryl)–H metatation/C(aldhyde)–H insertion/reductive elimination sequence. We believe this new strategy for the C(sp$^3$)–H functionalization of aldehyde hydrazones will be extended to the concise synthesis of other important heterocyclic skeletons.
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