

Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2017, 7, 56306

Thiourea bridged periodic mesoporous organosilica with ultra-small Pd nanoparticles for coupling reactions†

Esmail Doustkhah,^{abc} Sadegh Rostamnia,^{id}*^a Masataka Imura,^b Yusuke Ide,^{id}^b Shiva Mohammadi,^a Christopher J. T. Hyland,^{id}*^d Jungmok You,^e Nao Tsumoji,^{id}^f Behzad Zeynizadeh^c and Yusuke Yamauchi^{id}*^{egh}Received 23rd October 2017
Accepted 2nd December 2017

DOI: 10.1039/c7ra11711f

rsc.li/rsc-advances

A synthesis of thiourea-bridged mesoporous organosilica is reported. Using transmission electron microscopy, low-angle XRD measurements and N₂ adsorption–desorption isotherms the formation of a highly ordered mesoporous structure with a high surface area (>400 m² g⁻¹) has been confirmed. Deposition of Pd nanoparticles inside the mesopores resulted in a material that showed excellent catalytic activity in a Suzuki-coupling reaction and retained this activity over several consecutive runs.

Introduction

Within the field of mesoscale nanoarchitecturing,¹ the creation of organic–inorganic hybrid mesoporous silica (HMS) materials is an attractive approach to prepare innovative materials with new functionalities.² Many types of synthetic design strategies have been reported for the preparation of HMS materials with different pore sizes, mesostructures and framework compositions.³ To functionalize the pore walls of HMS with organic components, there are two typical approaches: post-functionalization and co-condensation methods. In the post-functionalization method, modification of the organic component is not uniform, and sometimes pore blocking and reduction in surface area occurs.

Synthesis of periodic mesoporous organosilicas (PMOs) can be accomplished by condensation of a bis- or tris-organosiloxane bridge with or without a silica precursor (*e.g.*,

TEOS of TMOs) in the presence of a soft-template.⁴ These mesoporous organosilicas are expected to be applied to a vast variety of applications, including as photo-catalysts and as adsorbents.⁵ Herein, we have synthesized a new thiourea bridged PMO (TU-PMO) using 1,3-bis(3-(triethoxysilyl)propyl)thiourea (bis-thiourea). In the case of the co-condensation method, it is well known that the mesostructural ordering seriously decreases when increasing the ratio of organosilica parts. However, our PMO shows a perfect 2D hexagonal mesostructure. The thiourea units are good at capturing metal nanoparticles due to effective interactions.⁶ Therefore, we can expect that the metal nanoparticles can be deposited only inside the mesopores, without uncontrollable external deposition. We have successfully deposited very small and uniform Pd nanoparticles inside the thiourea bridged PMO and demonstrated its ability to act as a catalyst in Suzuki cross-coupling reactions.

Our new mesoporous organosilica has a thiourea skeleton embedded within the pore walls, which is important for loading of Pd nanoparticles. Here, P123 was used as a soft-template and TEOS was also added for the reinforcement of the framework. The co-condensation reaction of TEOS and bis-thiourea was carried out in the presence of P123 under acidic conditions and the template was extracted by ethanol. For the Pd nanoparticle deposition inside the pores, the Pd species was introduced to the TU-PMO and then reduced using NaBH₄ (Fig. 1).

Experimental section

Synthesis of 1,3-bis(3-(triethoxysilyl)propyl)thiourea (bis-thiourea) bridge

First, CS₂ (2 mmol) was added to (3-aminopropyl)triethoxysilane (APTES) (4 mmol) dropwise at room temperature and the mixture stirred for 3 min (Fig. S1†). Then, the temperature was raised to 100 °C under inert atmosphere and allowed to stir for

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, University of Maragheh, Maragheh 55181-83111, Iran. E-mail: srostamnia@gmail.com

^bInternational Center for Materials Nanoarchitectonics (MANA), National Institute for Materials Science (NIMS), 1-1 Namiki, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0044, Japan

^cDepartment of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Urmia University, Urmia 57159-165, Iran

^dSchool of Chemistry, University of Wollongong, Wollongong, New South Wales, 2522, Australia. E-mail: chrhyl@uow.edu.au

^eDepartment of Plant & Environmental New Resources, Kyung Hee University, 1732 Deogyong-daero, Giheung-gu, Yongin-si, Gyeonggi-do 446-701, South Korea

^fDepartment of Applied Chemistry, Graduate School of Engineering, Hiroshima University, 1-4-1 Kagamiyama, Higashihiroshima, Hiroshima 739-8527, Japan

^gAustralian Institute for Innovative Materials (AIIM), University of Wollongong, Squires Way, North Wollongong, NSW 2500, Australia. E-mail: yusuke@uow.edu.au

^hSchool of Chemical Engineering & Australian Institute for Bioengineering and Nanotechnology (AIBN), The University of Queensland, Brisbane, QLD 4072, Australia

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c7ra11711f






Fig. 1 General pathway for the synthesis of Pd@TU-PMO.

30 min. After complete evolution of H₂S gas from reaction mixture, indicating completion of the reaction, the mixture was cooled to room temperature and the final product was produced as a dark yellow liquid. This product was characterized by both ¹H-NMR and ¹³C-NMR and was used as-is in the next step (Fig. S2 and S3[†]).

Preparation of TU-PMO

Typically, 2 g of P123 was dissolved in 75 mL HCl_(aq) (2 M) and allowed to stir for several hours to obtain a clear solution. Then, the solution was warmed to 40 °C for 4 h. After this time, a mixture of the bis-thiourea prepared above (2 mmol) and TEOS (16 mmol, 3.7 mL) was added to the solution drop-wise and the reaction allowed to stir for 24 h. After this time, the reaction was stopped and aged at 100 °C with the same liquor for 24 h. Afterwards, the resulting yellow solid was collected by filtration and extracted in a Soxhlet for 3 days with EtOH as an eluent. CHN analysis of TU-PMO was obtained and results showed that the percentage of organic loading is 19 wt%.

Synthesis of Pd@TU-PMO

NaPdCl₄ (0.10 g) was dissolved in distilled water (5 mL) and this solution was added to a suspension of TU-PMO (1 g) in EtOH (20 mL). After stirring for 1 h at rt, the resulting solid was collected, washed with EtOH and dried in a vacuum oven for 60 min at 60 °C. The resulting solid was then dispersed in MeOH (20 mL) and a solution of NaBH₄ (19 mg) in MeOH (10 mL) was added dropwise. After stirring for 30 min at room temperature, the final resulting solid was collected by filtration, washed and dried at 60 °C in vacuum oven. The final product was named Pd@TU-PMO.

General procedure for Suzuki–Miyaura reactions

In a typical procedure, an aryl halide (2 mmol) and arylboronic acid (2.1 mmol) were added to a mixture of K₂CO₃ (4 mmol) and Pd@TU-PMO (57 mg) in 5 mL H₂O. The reactions were allowed to stir at room temperature and monitored by GC. The reaction conversion and product yield were also determined using GC with biphenyl as an internal standard. For the recycling study, after reaction completion, the reaction mixture was diluted and

the product was extracted with dichloromethane. The catalyst was separated by centrifuge and carefully washed with dichloromethane. The catalyst was dried under vacuum at 70 °C and then re-used in the cross-coupling reaction.

Characterization

Scanning electron microscopic (SEM) images were recorded on a Hitachi S-4800 field emission scanning electron microscope (FE-SEM). Transmission electron microscopic (TEM) and elemental mapping images were taken by a JEM-2100F with an accelerating voltage of 200 kV. FT-IR spectra were obtained by a Shimadzu IR-460 spectrometer. After separation of the catalyst with centrifuge, the organic liquor was dried over MgSO₄, evaporated *in vacuo* and the organic residue was (if necessary, it was purified by column chromatography) analyzed by ¹H and ¹³C NMR. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were obtained on a JEOL Delta, 300 MHz spectrometer. ²⁹Si magic-angle spinning (MAS) NMR spectra and ¹³C cross-polarized (CP) MAS NMR spectra were recorded at 119.17 MHz on a Varian 600PS solid-state NMR spectrometer using a 6 mm diameter zirconia rotor. Low-angle XRD patterns were collected on a Rigaku NANO-Viewer (Cu Kα). N₂ adsorption–desorption isotherms were measured using a Quantachrome Autosorb at 77 K. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) spectra were obtained on a 8025-BesTec twin anode XR3E2 X-ray source system at room temperature; all spectra were calibrated to C1s (285.0 eV) as a reference. The Pd loading amount was determined by inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-AES) on a Perkin Elmer 2100DV.

Results and discussion

After synthesis of Pd@TU-PMO, its Pd content was calculated to be 3.7 wt% according to ICP-AES analysis. XPS measurement was carried out for the Pd supported TU-PMO before and after reduction with NaBH₄ (Fig. S4[†]). The presence of two characterized peaks at 337.9 and 334.1 eV can be assigned to Pd in the +2 oxidation state before reduction with NaBH₄. A small peak shift after reduction with NaBH₄ shows that the Pd species has been reduced to its metallic form.

The N₂ adsorption–desorption isotherm for TU-PMO shows a typical type IV isotherm, which has been seen in SBA-15 type mesoporous silica (Fig. 2a). The BET surface area was calculated to be *ca.* 410 m² g⁻¹ (Table S1[†]). Even after deposition of Pd nanoparticles, the high surface area still remained (Table S1[†]). The average pore sizes were estimated to be *ca.* 6.1 nm. Low-angle XRD patterns for TU-PMO shows one sharp peak at $2\theta = 0.92^\circ$ ($d_{10} = 9.60$ nm), which originates from the periodicity of the mesoporous structure (Fig. 2b). After the Pd deposition, this peak was maintained and slightly shifted to higher degree ($2\theta = 0.95^\circ$, $d_{10} = 9.30$ nm). The framework of TU-PMO was characterized by FT-IR analysis (Fig. 2c). A broad and small band at 3265 cm⁻¹ can be attributed to N–H stretching and two sharp peaks at 2974 and 2928 cm⁻¹ can be attributed to the asymmetric and symmetric stretching mode of aliphatic C–H bonds in propyl chain, respectively.⁷ A small peak at 2887 cm⁻¹






Fig. 2 (a) N_2 adsorption–desorption isotherms and (b) low-angle XRD patterns for (i) TU-PMO and (ii) Pd@TU-PMO, (c) FT-IR for (i) bis-thiourea and (ii) TU-PMO and, and (d) solid state ^{29}Si NMR of TU-PMO, respectively. Characteristic peaks at 3265, 2974, 2928, 2887, 1553, 1167, 1104, and 1080 cm^{-1} are marked by dash lines.

corresponds to the aliphatic chain. Another peak at 1553 cm^{-1} can be assigned to C=S bond stretching of the thiourea. A peak at 1167 cm^{-1} can be attributed to the stretching vibration mode of the C–N bond. Two peaks at 1104 and 1080 cm^{-1} can be related to the Si–O–Si linkage.

Analysis of the solid state ^{29}Si NMR provides further evidence for the structure of TU-PMO. A signal with a chemical shift of -66.9 ppm corresponds to the T^3 $[(\text{SiO})_3\text{Si}-\text{R}-\text{Si}(\text{OSi})_3]$ (Fig. 2d).⁸ The presence of this peak shows that the bis-thiourea bridge has been successfully attached to the mesoporous structure. Appearance of T^3 indicates a type of Si in which one of the four bonds of Si is linked to a carbon atom. Additional peaks at -110.4 , -100.8 , and -91.9 ppm are attributed to the three different type Si atoms of Q^4 $[(\text{OSi})_4\text{Si}]$, Q^3 $[(\text{OSi})_3\text{Si}-\text{OH}]$, and Q^2 $[(\text{OSi})_2\text{Si}(\text{OH})_2]$ in the TU-PMO structure.⁹ The area ratio of $Q^4 : Q^3 : Q^2$ is 54 : 41 : 5. Furthermore, the ratio of T : Q is 13 : 87, which also shows the ratio of organosilicone to solely inorganic Si atoms. Solid-state ^{13}C -NMR of TU-PMO shows obvious peaks at 11.6, 16.8, 25.4, 45.0, and 57.9 ppm, which can be assigned to the organic structure of the bis-thiourea. This provides important evidence that the organic bis-thiourea structure is well preserved after being embedded into the TU-PMO structure (Fig. S5[†]). A decrease in the intensity of some signals can be attributed to the hydrolysis of triethoxysilyl groups of bis-thiourea. Also, disappearance of low intensity signals due to the silica matrix is expected.

Thermal gravimetry analysis (TGA) of TU-PMO can provide further evidence for the presence of the organic thiourea (Fig. S6[†]). The weight loss, starting from $200\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ to $500\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ can be attributed to the thiourea bridges of TU-PMO, which are approximately around 24 wt%.

TEM and SEM observation of TU-PMO indicates that there are a large number of interwoven rods with widths around 100 nm (Fig. 3). Also, the original morphology was retained after deposition of Pd nanoparticles. From the TEM images of TU-




Fig. 3 (a, c) TEM and SEM images of TU-PMO, and (b, d) TEM and SEM images of Pd@TU-PMO.

PMO and Pd@TU-PMO, both honeycomb arrangement of mesopores and tubular mesochannels were confirmed (Fig. 3a and b), indicating the formation of a 2D hexagonally ordered mesoporous structure. Although TEM image shows a high ordering of the 2D hexagonal mesostructure, low angle XRD patterns did not show (11) and (20) diffraction peaks. This is because the mesostructural domains are quite small, compared to normal SBA-15 material prepared using the P123 template. The pore-to-pore distance is around 11 nm, which matches the calculated value from low-angle XRD pattern ($d_{10} \times 2/\sqrt{3} = 9.60\text{ nm} \times 2/\sqrt{3} = 11.1\text{ nm}$). High-angle annular dark-field scanning transmission electron microscopy (HAADF-





Fig. 4 (a) HAADF-STEM images of (a) TU-PMO and (b) Pd@TU-PMO. (c) Elemental mapping images for carbon, silicon, oxygen, nitrogen, palladium, and sulfur of Pd@TU-PMO.



STEM) image can visualize the presence of fine Pd nanoparticles (Fig. 4a and b). The hysteresis diagram of Pd nanoparticle size distribution was prepared from the HAADF-STEM image and revealed the average particle size as 1.8 nm – these small-sized Pd nanoparticles with a uniform size distribution were well dispersed throughout the structure (Fig. S7†). Elemental mapping images further support the formation of Pd located in the TU-PMO (Fig. 4c).

We tested the catalytic activity of Pd@TU-PMO in a model Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling reaction between 4-bromoacetophenone and phenylboronic acid. A screen of reaction solvents for this coupling quickly revealed DMF and water as the optimum solvents, providing the coupling product in 96% yield in each case (Fig. 5a). The remaining reaction parameters were investigated using water as a solvent, given its low cost and environmentally friendly nature. Increasing the temperature to 80 °C improved the yield of the reaction slightly to 100% (Fig. 5b). Finally, variation of catalyst amount revealed that 57 mg of Pd@TU-PMO was optimum for 2 mmol of *p*-bromoacetophenone (Fig. 5c). Because the catalyst is heterogeneous, higher amounts may have an inverse effect on the catalytic efficiency, due to adsorption of the substrate onto the mesoporous structure. Monitoring of the reaction progress every 30 min revealed that the reaction was initially slow (probably

Table 1 Synthesis of biaryl derivatives under the optimized conditions. In a typical procedure, aryl halide (2 mmol), arylboronic acids (2.1 mmol) were added to reaction mixture containing 5 mL H₂O as solvent and K₂CO₃ (4 mmol) as base in the presence of Pd@TU-PMO (57 mg) over 4 hours. Then the reaction was carried out at room temperature. The reaction progress was monitored by GC and the final product yield was identified by GC, using biphenyl as an internal standard. NMR data for the coupling products are supplied in the ESI



Entry	Ar-Hal	Hal	R	Conv. (%)	Yield (%)	TON
1	Ph-	I	H	98	95	95
2	Ph-	I	4-Me	98	92	92
3	2-Me-Ph-	I	H	94	88	88
4	4-Me-Ph-	I	H	99	97	97
5	2-MeO-Ph-	I	H	97	94	94
6	2-MeO-Ph-	I	3-Me	98	89	89
7	4-MeO-Ph-	I	H	96	91	91
8	3-NO ₂ -Ph-	I	H	100	97	97
9	4-MeO-Ph-	I	3-Me	97	90	90
10	4-MeCO-Ph-	I	H	100	97	97
11	4-MeCO-Ph-	I	3-Me	100	100	100
12	Ph-	Br	H	95	94	94
13	3-CHO-Ph-	Br	H	95	91	91
14	2-MeO-Ph-	Br	3-Me	96	89	89
15	3-NO ₂ -Ph-	Br	4-Me	100	96	96
16	4-MeCO-Ph-	Br	3-Me	100	95	95
17	4-MeCO-Ph-	Br	H	100	96	96
18	3-CHO-Ph-	Cl	H	68	55	55
19	4-MeCO-Ph-	Cl	3-Me	86	76	76
20	Ph-	F	3-Me	Trace	Trace	—




Fig. 5 (a) Solvent effect on the coupling of *p*-bromoacetophenone and phenylboronic acid (reaction conditions: *p*-bromoacetophenone (2 mmol), phenylboronic acid (2.1 mmol), K₂CO₃ (4 mmol), and catalyst (57 mg) in the presence of 5 mL solvent over 4 hours at room temperature). (b) The effect of temperature on the progress of Suzuki coupling reaction (reaction conditions: *p*-bromoacetophenone (2 mmol), phenylboronic acid (2.1 mmol), K₂CO₃ (4 mmol), and catalyst (57 mg) in the presence of 5 mL H₂O as a solvent over 4 hours, at various temperatures). (c) The effect of the catalytic amount on the progress of Suzuki coupling reaction (reaction conditions: *p*-bromoacetophenone (2 mmol), phenylboronic acid (2.1 mmol), K₂CO₃ (4 mmol) in the presence of 5 mL H₂O over 4 hours at room temperature). (d) Kinetic study on the progress of Suzuki coupling reaction (reaction conditions: *p*-bromoacetophenone (2 mmol), phenylboronic acid (2.1 mmol), K₂CO₃ (4 mmol), and catalyst (57 mg) in the presence of 5 mL H₂O, at room temperature).

due to an induction period), and that the rate increased until the reaction was complete at 3 h (Fig. 5d).

Following this optimization study, the generality of mesoporous Pd@TU-PMO towards Suzuki-coupling of a range of haloaryls with phenylboronic acid derivatives was studied. This study revealed that the catalyst was very active towards a diverse set of differentially substituted aryl bromides and aryl iodides, with each case providing good to excellent yields (Table 1). The recyclability of the Pd@TU-PMO catalyst was also investigated and gratifyingly it was found that the catalyst could be re-used over several consecutive cycles with minimal loss of catalytic activity (Fig. S8†).

Conclusion

We have synthesized a thiourea-based PMO to produce ultra-small Pd nanoparticles inside the mesopores. We then demonstrated that our PMO is a promising catalyst for Suzuki cross-coupling reactions with high efficiency and recyclability. The thiourea organosiloxane bridge used in this study has the potential to be used in the synthesis of other hybrid nanostructures. Furthermore, the synthesized PMOs can be used for immobilization of other types of catalytically active metal



nanoparticles besides Pd. Also, TU-PMO itself, without any additional post-modification, may potentially be used as a solid H-bonding organocatalyst owing to the presence of the thiourea groups.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Notes and references

- (a) R. R. Salunkhe, Y. V. Kaneti, J. Kim, J. H. Kim and Y. Yamauchi, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2016, **49**, 2796; (b) J. Tang and Y. Yamauchi, *Nat. Chem.*, 2016, **8**, 638.
- (a) S. Rostamnia and E. Doustkhah, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, **4**, 28238; (b) S. Fujita, M. P. Kapoor and S. Inagaki, Organic-Inorganic Hybrid Mesoporous Silica, in *Nanohybridization of Organic-Inorganic Materials. Advances in Materials Research*, ed. A. Muramatsu and T. Miyashita, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, vol. 13, 2009.
- Q. Yang, J. Liu, L. Zhang and C. Li, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2009, **19**, 1945.
- (a) S. Inagaki, S. Guan, Y. Fukushima, T. Ohsuna and O. Terasaki, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1999, **121**, 9611; (b) S. Inagaki, S. Guan, T. Ohsuna and O. Terasaki, *Nature*, 2002, **416**, 304; (c) S. Rostamnia, E. Doustkhah, R. Bulgar and B. Zeynizadeh, *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.*, 2016, **225**, 272.
- (a) M. Ohashi, M. Aoki, K. Yamanaka, K. Nakajima, T. Ohsuna, T. Tani and S. Inagaki, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2009, **15**, 13041; (b) M. I. López, D. Esquivel, C. Jiménez-Sanchidrián, P. Van Der Voort and F. J. Romero-Salguero, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2014, **118**, 17862; (c) N. Mizoshita, T. Tani and S. Inagaki, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2011, **40**, 789; (d) B. Karimi, D. Elhamifar, J. H. Clark and A. J. Hunt, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2010, **16**, 8047; (e) S. S. Park, M. S. Moorthy and C. S. Ha, *NPG Asia Mater.*, 2014, **6**, e96.
- (a) A. S. Al-Kady, M. Gaber, M. M. Hussein and E. M. Ebeid, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2009, **113**, 9474; (b) T. Mandal, V. Stavila, I. Rusakova, S. Ghosh and K. H. Whitmire, *Chem. Mater.*, 2009, **21**, 5617–5626.
- (a) Y. Niu, H. Liu, R. Qu, S. Liang, H. Chen, C. Sun and Y. Cui, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2015, **54**, 1656; (b) Y. Zhao, R. Jin, Y. Chou, Y. Li, J. Lin and G. Liu, *RSC Adv.*, 2017, **7**, 22592; (c) E. Doustkhah, S. Rostamnia, H. G. Hossieni and R. Luque, *ChemistrySelect*, 2017, **2**, 329; (d) N. Mizoshita, M. Ikai, T. Tani and S. Inagaki, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 14225.
- D. Chandra, T. Yokoi, T. Tatsumi and A. Bhaumik, *Chem. Mater.*, 2007, **19**, 5347.
- T. Tokunaga, M. Shoiriki, T. Mizumo and Y. Kaneko, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2014, **2**, 2496.

