

Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2017, 7, 51419

Study on the cleavage of alkyl-O-aryl bonds by *in situ* generated hydroxyl radicals on an ORR cathode

Lei Wang,^{ab} Yongmei Chen,^{*ab} Shuangyan Liu,^{ab} Haomin Jiang,^{ab}
Linan Wang,^{ab} Yanzhi Sun^{ab} and Pingyu Wan^{*ab}

Hydroxyl radicals ($\cdot\text{OH}$) generated by oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) were believed to be responsible for the electrochemical depolymerization of lignin in our previous study. However, the mechanism research was hard to carry out due to the recalcitrant nature of lignin. In this paper, 4-benzyloxy phenol (PBP) and benzyl phenyl ether (BPE) were employed as lignin model compounds (LMCs). Based on the qualitative and quantitative analysis of the degradation products, a mechanism was put forward, which is that the *in situ* generated $\cdot\text{OH}$ selectively attacked the active site opposite to the phenolic hydroxyl group and induced the cleavage of the alkyl-O-aryl ether bond. The proposed mechanism was further verified by the electrochemical degradation of PBP under controlled conditions, which showed a positive correlation between the degradation efficiency of PBP and the concentration of *in situ* generated $\cdot\text{OH}$ radicals.

Received 12th October 2017

Accepted 31st October 2017

DOI: 10.1039/c7ra11236j

rsc.li/rsc-advances

Introduction

Lignin is the second most abundant biomass in nature, and it is the only renewable resource which possesses aromatic structures.¹ It is considered to be the most promising alternative for fossil fuels to provide platform chemicals for industrial application.² However, less than 5% of lignin has been reported to be utilized nowadays due to its large molecular weight and irregular structure.³ In order to achieve low molecular weight (LMW) aromatic chemicals, researchers are trying to breakdown the linkages between the C9 units in lignin molecules. Fortunately, more than 2/3 of these linkages are ether bonds,⁴ which could be broken through various methods including pyrolysis, hydrogenolysis and chemical oxidation.^{5–10} Particularly, the oxidation process usually operates under mild temperature and pressure, which is favorable for large-scale industrial production. Reagents with mild oxidation capability, such as Co, V, Mn oxides and their complexes catalyzed O_2 or organic oxidants (DDQ, TEMPO, ABTS and so on), have been used to depolymerize lignin.^{11–21} However, the recovery of these catalysts and the high cost of organic oxidants hinder the large-scale application.^{22–24}

Reactive oxygen species (ROS), mainly H_2O_2 , $\cdot\text{OH}$, and $\cdot\text{O}_2^-$, could attack the active site on the benzene ring, leading to the

breaking of benzene ring from its side chain.²⁵ ROS could be continuously produced through the oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) on cathode thus avoiding extra expensive reagents. Previous study of our group has shown that 59.2% of lignin was depolymerized into LMW aromatic compounds for 1 h electrolysis under atmospheric pressure at 80 °C, but more than 20 kinds of products were found.²⁶ During the research, we also found that the hydroxyl radicals might have played an important role in the cleavage of ether bonds in lignin molecules. However, systematic mechanism study based on product analysis is difficult to carry out because of the complexity of lignin degradation products, which hinders the improvement of the yield and reaction selectivity through further optimization of reaction conditions.

In this paper, two kinds of compounds containing alkyl-O-aryl bond, PBP (benzyl-O-phenolic) and BPE (benzyl-O-phenyl), were employed as lignin model compounds (LMCs). The cleavage mechanism of alkyl-O-aryl bond by $\cdot\text{OH}$ was proposed based on the qualitative and quantitative analysis of the degradation products, and the investigation of degradation rate under various $\cdot\text{OH}$ concentrations was conducted to verify the mechanism. Finally, this study will lay the foundation for large-scale application of the electrochemical depolymerization of lignin.

Experimental section

Materials and reagents

PBP ($\geq 99\%$) was purchased from J&K Scientific (Beijing, China) and BPE ($\geq 97\%$) was from Alfa Aesar a Johnson Matthey Company (Tianjin, China). The structures of the two LMCs were

^aNational Fundamental Research Laboratory of New Hazardous Chemicals Assessment & Accident Analysis, Beijing University of Chemical Technology, Chaoyang District, No. 15, North 3rd Ring Road East, 100029 Beijing, P. R. China. E-mail: chenym@mail.buct.edu.cn; pywan@mail.buct.edu.cn; Tel: +86-13683294397; +86-13601227797

^bInstitute of Applied Electrochemistry, Beijing University of Chemical Technology, Chaoyang District, No. 15, North 3rd Ring Road East, 100029 Beijing, P. R. China






Fig. 1 Structures of the two LMCs. (a) PBP, (b) BPE.

given in Fig. 1. Sodium bromocresol green (BG) was provided by Shanghai Macklin Biochemical Technology Co., Ltd (Shanghai, China). Water used in this study was deionized water (18 MΩ cm) and other chemicals were of analytical grade and commercially available, including diethyl ether, sodium hydroxide, hydrochloric acid (HCl, 37.5%) and isopropyl alcohol (IPA).

Electrolysis and electrochemical test

The electrolysis was conducted on CT2001B LANHE electrochemical testing system (Wuhan, China). A plate-frame divided electrolytic cell was used for electrolysis, which possessed a RuO₂-IrO₂/Ti mesh (25 mm × 35 mm) anode provided by Northwest Institute For Non-ferrous Metals Research (Xi'an, China), and a self-made porous C-PTFE gas diffusion oxygen cathode (25 mm × 35 mm) according to literature.²⁷ A piece of cation exchange membrane (25 mm × 35 mm) was placed in the middle to separate the anode chamber and cathode chamber. Electrolyte in the cathode chamber was 1 mol L⁻¹ NaOH solution (40 mL), and the concentration of LMC was 0.0125 mol L⁻¹, while electrolyte in the anode chamber was 40 mL 1 mol L⁻¹ NaOH solution with no addition of LMC. The uniformity of solution and stability of temperatures were guaranteed by external circulation of the electrolyte, and the influence of different temperatures (20–80 °C), the amount of quenchers (0–0.04 g) and electrolysis times (1–4 h) were also investigated.

The linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) test was carried out on the CHI660E electrochemical workstation (CH Instruments Ins. USA). Prior to the test, the electrolyte was purged with O₂ or N₂ bubble for 30 min. The three-electrode system was consisted of the above C-PTFE gas diffusion electrode as working electrode, the RuO₂-IrO₂/Ti mesh electrode as counter electrode, and a saturated calomel electrode (SCE, in saturated KCl solution) as reference electrode. And the test was conducted in 40 mL 1 mol L⁻¹ NaOH solution at a sweep rate of 20 mV s⁻¹.

Analysis of the degradation rate and products

The weight of LMC was accurately measured as w_0 before the electrolysis. 10% hydrochloric acid was used to adjust the electrolyte to pH 2.0 after degradation, and precipitate (undegraded LMC) was produced. The precipitate was then filtered, washed with dilute hydrochloric acid (pH 2.0), dried in vacuum under 60 °C for 24 h, and weighed as the undegraded LMC (w_1). On the other hand, the filtrate was extracted with diethyl ether for three times, and the extract liquids were mixed together. Then the obtained diethyl ether phase was concentrated by nitrogen purging, dried in vacuum, and weighed as ether phase

product w_2 . The bottom aqueous phase was treated by rotary-evaporation, followed by the addition of ethanol to dissolve the products and centrifugation to remove the salt. Then the upper supernatant was dried in vacuum, and the white powders (aqueous phase products) were produced and weighed as w_3 . The total weight of the three parts was equal to the initial weight of LMC (the margin of error was 3%). The degradation rate and the yield of LMW products were calculated using eqn (1)–(3) as follows:

$$\text{Degradation rate : } D = \frac{w_0 - w_1}{w_0} \times 100\% \quad (1)$$

$$\text{Yield of ether phase : } \text{Yield}_1 = \frac{w_2}{w_0} \times 100\% \quad (2)$$

$$\text{Yield of aqueous phase : } \text{Yield}_2 = \frac{w_3}{w_0} \times 100\% \quad (3)$$

The ether phase products were re-dissolved in 10 mL diethyl ether, and 1 mL was used for GC-MS analysis. The component of the ether phase products were determined by GC-MS (Shimadzu QP 2010 Plus) equipped with a DB-5 MS capillary column (30 m × 0.25 mm × 0.25 mm). 1 μL of the sample was injected and helium was used as carrier gas at a column flow rate of 1.74 mL min⁻¹. The temperature program was conducted as follows: initial temperature was set at 45 °C for 5 min, then increased to 110 °C at 12 °C min⁻¹, and maintained at 110 °C for 1 min. After that, the temperature was increased to 280 °C at the rate of 8 °C min⁻¹ and maintained for 1 min. Mass spectra in the range of 35–700 (m/z) was recorded in full-scan mode with electron ionization (EI). The detected compounds were identified based on NIST database and the relative content of the products was quantified through relative peak areas.

Determination of hydroxyl radicals

The concentration of $\cdot\text{OH}$ was determined by the BG method.²⁸ The electrolyte in cathode chamber was 40 mL 1 mol L⁻¹ NaOH solution and the concentration of BG was 1×10^{-4} mol L⁻¹. After electrolysis for 1 h, 5 mL of the electrolyte was transferred to a 25 mL volumetric flask and 10 mL deionized water was added. And then, sulfuric acid was added gradually until the solution turned yellow, followed by the addition of 5 mL NaAc-HAc (pH 3.6) buffer solution. Finally, deionized water was used to dilute the solution to the calibration mark. The absorbance of the above solution at 442 nm (A_i) and the blank BG solution without electrolysis (A_0) were determined by UV-vis spectrophotometer (SHIMADZU UV-2550).

Results and discussion

Hydroxyl radicals generated by ORR cathode

Fig. 2 shows the cathodic LSV curve in 1 mol L⁻¹ NaOH solution under O₂ atmosphere. Compared to that under N₂ atmosphere, a reduction peak appeared around −0.75 V under O₂ atmosphere, which is assigned to the 2-electron-reduction process of oxygen gas providing H₂O₂ *in situ*.^{29,30} As shown in eqn (4)–(9),










Fig. 2 The cathodic LSV curve in 1.0 M NaOH under O_2 (the solid line) and N_2 (the dotted line) atmosphere at a scan rate of 20 mV s^{-1} .

the generated H_2O_2 could decompose into other ROS (including $\cdot OH$) *via* ionization, reduction and restructuring in electrochemical system.³¹



Speculation of the bond-cleavage mechanism *via* product analysis

Previous studies have shown that ROS and some metal-catalyzed oxidation reagents usually involve with electrophilic attack of the active site on benzene ring,^{25,32} while alkali or acid catalyzed lignin depolymerization process is more prone to

involve nucleophilic attack of C_α and C_β .⁴ Based on the chemical properties of $\cdot OH$ radicals, it is concluded that the $\cdot OH$ radicals tend to attack the rich-electron sites on benzene ring as electrophilic reagents and act as a kind of strong oxidant as well.

The degradation products of PBP through electrochemical degradation were analyzed by GC-MS. Five major products were listed in Table 1, namely 1,4-benzoquinone (P1), benzaldehyde (P2), benzyl alcohol (P3), benzoic acid (P4), and hydroquinone (P5).

BPE, which has similar structure with PBP but no phenolic hydroxyl groups, was employed in electrochemical degradation under identical conditions as contrast. The main degradation products of BPE were phenol and benzyl alcohol. As shown in Table 2, the degradation rate of BPE was far less than that of PBP. BPE was barely degraded at either 20°C or 80°C with a degradation rate of no more than 2%, while the degradation rate of PBP was 40.5% and 65.1% at 20°C and 80°C , respectively. This phenomenon implies that the existence of phenolic hydroxyl groups provide the opportunity for $\cdot OH$ radicals to electrophilically attack the alkyl-O-aryl ether bonds.

Based on the analysis of the degradation products, the mechanism that the *in situ* generated $\cdot OH$ selectively attacks the active site on the opposite of phenolic hydroxyl group and induces the cleavage of alkyl-O-aryl bond was proposed. The reaction scheme was given in Fig. 3. PBP exists in the formation of phenol hydroxyl anion due to alkaline medium, and then being oxidized by $\cdot OH$ radical to form phenoxy radical. After that, the phenoxy radical transforms to their resonant quinone structure, causing the C atom on the opposite of phenolic hydroxyl group to become an activated site for electrophilic attack. Finally, another $\cdot OH$ radical attacks the active site as electrophilic reagents, which leads to the cleavage of ether bond and degradation of the compound.

Table 2 The comparison of the degradation rate of the two LMCs after 1 h electrolysis at different temperatures

Temperature	Degradation rate of PBP	Degradation rate of BPE
Blank ^a	3.6%	0.49%
20°C	40.5%	1.46%
80°C	65.1%	1.87%

^a Blank solution of the LMCs at 20°C without electrolysis.

Table 1 The main degradation products of PBP

Product Name	P1 1,4-Benzoquinone	P2 Benzaldehyde	P3 Benzyl alcohol	P4 Benzoic acid	P5 Hydroquinone
Formula					






Fig. 3 Scheme of PBP oxidative bond-cleavage.

The regulation of $\cdot\text{OH}$ concentration

The concentration of H_2O_2 produced by ORR could be easily controlled by different electrolytic conditions including current density, O_2 concentration *etc.* More interestingly, the concentration of $\cdot\text{OH}$ radicals could be further controlled by adjusting the decomposition rate of H_2O_2 (for example, changing the temperature) or adding radical quenchers like isopropyl alcohol (IPA).

As is known, the oxidation capacity of $\cdot\text{OH}$ ($E^0 = 2.8 \text{ V vs. NHE}$) is much stronger than those of H_2O_2 ($E = 0.867 \text{ V, pH} = 14$) and $\cdot\text{O}_2^-$ ($E = 0.20 \text{ V, pH} = 14$). Parimala L.'s group reported that the decolorization rate of BG was only 5% after 24 h when H_2O_2 (2 wt%) was present, whereas obvious decolorization was observed in 6 h when Cu nanoparticles were added as catalyst for H_2O_2 decomposition to generate $\cdot\text{OH}$ radicals.³³ This indicates that $\cdot\text{OH}$ is the only one in ROS that is capable to degrade BG. Further studies have shown that $\cdot\text{OH}$ degrade BG by attacking the π - π conjugate bond, and the amount of the attacked BG molecules is proportional to the concentration of

$\cdot\text{OH}$ radicals.³⁴ That is, the decrement in the absorbance of BG at 442 nm (ΔA) is in direct proportion to the concentration of $\cdot\text{OH}$ radicals. Therefore, the BG method was applied in this work to measure and regulate the amount of the *in situ* generated $\cdot\text{OH}$ radicals.

The UV-vis spectrum of BG solution in cathode chamber at different temperatures for 1 h were given in Fig. 4a. The decrement in BG absorbance (ΔA) increased as the temperature increased, which confirmed the amount of generated $\cdot\text{OH}$ radicals in the cathode chamber increased along with rising temperature. Fig. 4b shows the UV-vis spectrum of BG solution in cathode chamber in the presence of different amount of IPA at 20 °C for 1 h. It was shown that the value of ΔA decreased as the amount of IPA increased, and the increasing amount of IPA would consequently reduce the concentration of $\cdot\text{OH}$ if the amount of IPA was not sufficient to quench the entire $\cdot\text{OH}$ radicals.

The above results confirm that the concentration of *in situ* generated $\cdot\text{OH}$ radicals could be regulated carefully, which is of great help for mechanism study.




Fig. 4 The UV-vis spectrum of BG solution degraded under different conditions. (a) Different temperatures, (b) different amount of IPA.



The degradation rate and product distribution of PBP with changing $\cdot\text{OH}$ concentrations

Our group has published the results on electrochemical degradation in undivided cells,²⁶ and this study focuses on the effect of cathodic reaction. It was confirmed that the cation exchange membrane could prevent H_2O_2 or other ROS, LMCs and the degradation products from diffusing to anodic chamber, so the disturbance from anodic reaction could be avoided. In the following study, the effects of elevated temperatures and addition of different amount of radical quenchers on the degradation rate and product distribution of PBP were investigated.

In previous studies, we monitored the effect of temperatures on the concentration of generated H_2O_2 in electrolytic cell under the same electrolytic conditions, and found that the concentration of H_2O_2 decreased at the elevated temperature (80 °C) and gave better depolymerization rate of lignin.²⁸ It is explained that the decomposition of H_2O_2 to $\cdot\text{OH}$ radicals is accelerated by elevating temperatures. Moreover, the decomposition of H_2O_2 was similar to the first-order kinetic reaction, and the decomposition rate constants at 40 °C and 60 °C were 0.0396 min^{-1} and 0.0965 min^{-1} , respectively.

As shown in Fig. 5a, the degradation rate of PBP in the cathodic chamber increased as the temperature raised. The degradation rate at 20 °C was 40.5%, while it reached 65.1% at 80 °C.

The relative content of the ether phase products at different temperatures shows steady growth in the content of benzyl alcohol (P3), since P3 is the main product of PBP degradation (see Fig. 5b). It is noticeable that the relative content of hydroquinone (P5) was much lower than that of P3, which can be explained that P5 cannot be extracted to ether phase completely because of its good solubility in water. In addition, the relative content of benzoic acid (P4) increased at elevated temperatures (about 0.4% and 2% at 20 °C and 80 °C, respectively), which was caused by further oxidation of benzyl alcohol since too much amount of $\cdot\text{OH}$ radicals present at the elevated temperature.

Fig. 6a gives the degradation rate and product yields of PBP in the presence of different amount of IPA. When 0.01 g IPA was added, the degradation rate decreased by 38% because part of the generated $\cdot\text{OH}$ radicals was quenched by IPA. With the further addition of IPA, the degradation rate decreased continually, suggesting that the decrease of $\cdot\text{OH}$ concentration controlled by IPA was the reason for the decreased degradation rate and $\cdot\text{OH}$ was responsible for the ether bond cleavage in PBP




Fig. 5 The degradation rate and product distribution of PBP at different temperatures. (a) The yield of the degradation products and the degradation rate, (b) the relative content of the ether phase products.




Fig. 6 The degradation rate and product distribution of PBP in the presence of different amount of IPA. (a) The yield of the degradation products and the degradation rate, (b) the relative content of the ether phase products.



molecules. The product distribution in Fig. 6b shows that the content of benzoic acid (P4) was 0.4% without the addition of IPA, but it was not found as the amount of IPA increased. This could be explained that the presence of IPA decreased the amount of $\cdot\text{OH}$ radicals and avoided the over-oxidation of the degradation products.

Evaluation and prospect of electrochemical oxidative degradation

As shown in Fig. 7a, the yields of the ether phase products and the aqueous phase products gradually increased with the prolongation of electrolysis time, and the total degradation rate increased steadily as well. As the dynamic balance of $\cdot\text{OH}$ generation and consumption was reached under steady electrolysis, the electrolysis time determined the total amount of generated $\cdot\text{OH}$, which in turn affected the final degradation yield. In the quantitative analysis of degradation products in Fig. 7b, the relative content of benzoic acid (P4) increased with prolonged electrolysis time, suggesting the over-oxidation of benzyl alcohol due to the accumulation of $\cdot\text{OH}$ in the system.

The total degradation yield of PBP by electrochemical method was 40.5% at 1 h, and reached 49.1% at 4 h. The degradation yield was no longer effectively increased because

some sort of repolymerization of the degradation products occurred. As the electrolysis time was prolonged, over-oxidation of the products was observed as well, suggesting that $\cdot\text{OH}$ could only conduct selective attack at relatively low concentration due to the cage effect of the solvents. When the concentration of $\cdot\text{OH}$ rised to a high level, the $\cdot\text{OH}$ radicals would rip extra electrons from the benzene ring without selectivity and lead to ring-open reaction, which was unexpected in our study.

More interestingly, in the above bond-cleavage mechanism, the phenolic hydroxyl group on the benzene ring plays a key role in the formation of quinone structures and the formation of active sites for electrophilic attack. This is very similar to the process of industrial production of phenol and acetone by cumene process, in which ROS was used for oxidizing cumene to form cumene radicals, and obtained a phenolic hydroxyl group on the benzene ring.³⁵ In view of this, similar methods can be used in the depolymerization of lignin to introduce phenolic hydroxyl groups on the benzene ring and further utilize the introduced phenolic hydroxyl groups to form active sites, trigger the chain-break of the linkages among the structural units, and achieve the final depolymerization of lignin.

Conclusions

The $\cdot\text{OH}$ radical involved alkyl-O-aryl ether bond-cleavage mechanism was proposed by analyzing the degradation products of PBP. The comparative study of BPE degradation indicated that the presence of phenolic hydroxyl groups favored the formation of active sites for the selective attack of $\cdot\text{OH}$ and eventually triggered the cleavage of alkyl-O-aryl bonds. Besides, the degradation rate of PBP and the degradation products at different temperatures and in presence of radical quenchers were observed. It is found that the concentration of *in situ* generated $\cdot\text{OH}$ radicals was in positive correlation with the efficiency of bond cleavage. When the concentration of $\cdot\text{OH}$ maintained at relative low level, it exhibited selective attack of the active sites, whereas it led to over-oxidation of the products without selectivity at high concentrations. Finally, it is expected that the local hydroxylation of the benzene ring is beneficial for the formation of active sites in the electrochemical depolymerization of lignin, which further leads to the chain-break of lignin structural units and realizes the depolymerization of lignin. This is undoubtedly instructive for the depolymerization of lignin.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest to declare.

Acknowledgements

The authors greatly appreciate the support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 51374016, 21706004, 21506010) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities of China (No. JD1701, JD1712).




Fig. 7 The degradation rate and product distribution of PBP with different electrolysis times. (a) The yield of the degradation products and the degradation rate, (b) the relative content of the ether phase products.



References

- 1 S. Gillet, M. Aguedo, L. Petitjean, A. R. C. Morais, A. M. D. Lopes, R. M. Lukasik and P. T. Anastas, *Green Chem.*, 2017, **19**, 4200–4233.
- 2 C. S. Lancefield, I. Panovic, P. J. Deuss, K. Barta and N. J. Westwood, *Green Chem.*, 2017, **19**, 202–214.
- 3 L. H. Hu, H. Pan, Y. H. Zhou and M. Zhang, *BioResources*, 2011, **6**, 3515–3525.
- 4 C. Li, X. Zhao, A. Wang, G. W. Huber and T. Zhang, *Chem. Rev.*, 2015, **115**, 11559–11624.
- 5 R. Shu, Y. Xu, P. Chen, L. Ma, Q. Zhang, L. Zhou and C. Wang, *Energy Fuels*, 2017, **31**, 7208–7213.
- 6 R. Y. Shu, Y. Xu, L. L. Ma, Q. Zhang, T. J. Wang, P. R. Chen and Q. Y. Wu, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 88788–88796.
- 7 H. Cheng, S. B. Wu and X. H. Li, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 79532–79537.
- 8 Y. Huang, Y. L. Hu, F. H. Ye and Y. M. Fang, *Energy Fuels*, 2017, **31**, 8356–8362.
- 9 J. H. Dai, A. F. Patti, L. Longe, G. Garnier and K. Saito, *ChemCatChem*, 2017, **9**, 2684–2690.
- 10 S. Dabral, J. G. Hernández, P. C. J. Kamer and C. Bolm, *ChemSusChem*, 2017, **10**, 2707–2713.
- 11 V. O. Sippola and A. O. I. Krause, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.*, 2003, **194**, 89–97.
- 12 C. Zhu, W. Ding, T. Shen, C. Tang, C. Sun, S. Xu, Y. Chen, J. Wu and H. Ying, *ChemSusChem*, 2015, **8**, 1768–1778.
- 13 J. Piera and J. E. Backvall, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 3506–3523.
- 14 S. Barroso, G. Blay, I. Fernández, J. R. Pedro, R. Ruiz-García, E. Pardo, F. Lloret and M. C. Muñoz, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.*, 2006, **243**, 214–220.
- 15 S. Riano, D. Fernandez and L. Fadini, *Catal. Commun.*, 2008, **9**, 1282–1285.
- 16 B. Biannic, J. J. Bozell and T. Elder, *Green Chem.*, 2014, **16**, 3635–3642.
- 17 C. S. Lancefield, O. S. Ojo, F. Tran and N. J. Westwood, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 258–262.
- 18 F. Tran, C. S. Lancefield, P. C. J. Kamer, T. Lebl and N. J. Westwood, *Green Chem.*, 2015, **17**, 244–249.
- 19 B. Sedai, C. Diaz-Urrutia, R. T. Baker, R. Wu, L. A. P. Silks and S. K. Hanson, *ACS Catal.*, 2011, **1**, 794–804.
- 20 M. Wang, J. Lu, X. Zhang, L. Li, H. Li, N. Luo and F. Wang, *ACS Catal.*, 2016, **6**, 6086–6090.
- 21 V. Brissos, D. Tavares, A. C. Sousa, M. P. Robalo and L. O. Martins, *ACS Catal.*, 2017, **7**, 3454–3465.
- 22 C. Diaz-Urrutia, B. Sedai, K. C. Leckett, R. T. Baker and S. K. Hanson, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.*, 2016, **4**, 6244–6251.
- 23 C. Diaz-Urrutia, B. B. Hurisso, P. M. P. Gauthier, B. Sedai, R. D. Singer and R. T. Baker, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.*, 2016, **423**, 414–422.
- 24 W. H. Gong, Z. Y. Xiang, F. Y. Ye and G. H. Zhao, *Ind. Crops Prod.*, 2016, **91**, 340–349.
- 25 Y. M. Zhang, Y. Peng, X. L. Yin, Z. H. Liu and G. Li, *J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol.*, 2014, **89**, 1954–1960.
- 26 H. B. Zhu, Y. M. Chen, T. F. Qin, L. Wang, Y. Tang, Y. Z. Sun and P. Y. Wan, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, **4**, 6232–6238.
- 27 X. C. Dong, H. Xu, X. W. Wang, Y. X. Huang, M. B. Chan-Park, H. Zhang, L. H. Wang, W. Huang and P. Chen, *ACS Nano*, 2012, **6**, 3206–3213.
- 28 H. B. Zhu, L. Wang, Y. M. Chen, G. Y. Li, H. Li, Y. Tang and P. Y. Wan, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, **4**, 29917–29924.
- 29 J. Y. Chen, N. Li and L. Zhao, *J. Power Sources*, 2014, **254**, 316–322.
- 30 Z. Chen, H. Dong, H. B. Yu and H. Yu, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2017, **307**, 553–561.
- 31 R. Agnemo, *Acta Chem. Scand.*, 1979, **33**, 337–342.
- 32 A. W. Pelzer and L. J. Broadbelt, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2017, **121**, 7603–7614.
- 33 L. Parimala and J. Santhanalakshmi, *React. Kinet., Mech. Catal.*, 2013, **109**, 393–403.
- 34 S. Fassi, K. Djebbar, I. Bousnoubra, H. Chenini and T. Sehili, *Desalin. Water Treat.*, 2014, **52**, 4982–4989.
- 35 A. Malekafzali, K. Malinowska and F. W. Patureau, *New J. Chem.*, 2017, **41**, 6981–6985.

