Nitrogen doped nanoporous graphene: an efficient metal-free electrocatalyst for the oxygen reduction reaction†
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The oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) is an important half reaction, which occurs at the cathode within a fuel cell and limits their range of applications due to slow electrochemical kinetics. To overcome this issue, electrocatalysts are sought, which need to be an alternative to expensive and unsustainable metallic catalysts. Herein we report the synthesis of nitrogen doped nanoporous graphene (NPG), which is a competitive alternative to currently employed metallic catalysts. The NPG is synthesised through a chemical vapour deposition methodology followed by a chemical functionalization step introducing oxygen functional groups (carbonyl and hydroxyl groups), which is then doped with nitrogen via orthophenylendiamine (OPDA). The NPG is physicochemically and electrochemically characterised. The NPG demonstrates outstanding electrocatalytic activity towards the ORR in alkaline media proceeding via a favourable 4-electron pathway and is comparable to commercially available platinum–carbon (20%). We demonstrate that the electrochemical activity of the NPG is tailorable such that through increased nitrogen doping the ORR transforms from a 2-electron process to that of the favourable 4-electron process via increasing the proportion of pyridinic nitrogen while the content of graphitic nitrogen remains almost constant. The NPG exhibits excellent electrochemical performance towards the ORR in alkaline media, long-term stability and appropriate methanol crossover as benchmarked to commercialised Pt/C electrodes; this outstanding electrocatalytic activity is related to the high proportion of defects, high porosity and (pyridinic) doping.

Introduction

As the global energy economy reacts to the negative effects of anthropogenic climate change, more sustainable energy systems are being developed. A promising clean energy generation technology involves the use of hydrogen as a fuel source for proton exchange membrane fuel cells (PEMFC), which subsequently generate electrical currents. The key reactions that allow a PEMFC to produce a current are the hydrogen oxidation (HOR) and oxygen reduction reactions (ORR), which occur at the anode and cathode, respectively. Of these two reactions it is the ORR that is the most studied, as it has the most significant influence upon the performance and efficiency of PEMFCs.1−3 This is due to the ORR having a large kinetic inhibition, arising due to the strength of the (di)oxygen double bond.4,5 The reaction mechanism for the ORR is different for acidic and basic electrolytes as described below and generally an efficient catalyst operates via the 4 electron pathway:

Acidic media:

\[ \text{O}_2 + 4\text{H}^+ + 4e^- \rightarrow 2\text{H}_2\text{O}, \text{ 4 electron pathway} \]
Attempts to increase the performance of PEMFCs have therefore focused on developing efficient, economical and sustainable new materials to reduce the over-potentials, increase the achievable current density and allow for a 4-electron pathway associated with the ORR. Platinum (Pt), and compounds containing it, are considered the most effective catalysts for ORR allowing the reaction mechanism to occur via a 4-electron pathway.\(^6\)\(^\text{a}\) This is a consequence of its low (near negligible) binding energy of adsorbates like O\(_2\) and H\(^+\). However, the high cost and poor global distribution of platinum limit the attractiveness of its application.\(^6\)\(^\text{a}\)

Attempts have been made to develop non-precious metal catalysts as cost effective alternatives to Pt. Recently, carbon nanostructures, such as carbon nanotubes,\(^9\)\(^\text{-}\)\(^1\)\(^\text{0}\) graphene\(^\text{1}\)\(^\text{1}\)\(^\text{-}\)\(^1\)\(^\text{3}\) carbon quantum dots,\(^1\)\(^\text{4}\) and mesoporous carbon,\(^1\)\(^\text{5}\) which have been doped with heteroatoms (N, S, P, B, I, or F), have shown promising metal free ORR catalysis.\(^1\)\(^\text{6}\) Table 1 presents a thorough overview of the surrounding literature. The introduction of heteroatoms increases the electron density near the Fermi level, which induces an increase in the electropositive charge on the adjacent carbon atom, via a polarization effect, thus facilitating the binding of the electronegative O atoms within the electrolyte and making the electropositive carbon atoms the sites responsible for the ORR electrocatalytic activity.\(^1\)\(^\text{7}\)\(^\text{-}\)\(^1\)\(^\text{8}\) Of particular interest within this field has been the utilisation of graphene as a carbon material for doping, due to its processing unique and beneficial electrochemical properties compared to other carbon-based materials.\(^1\)\(^\text{9}\)\(^\text{-}\)\(^2\)\(^\text{1}\) whilst, pristine (defect free) graphene possesses poor ORR activity it has been shown that by synthesising mesoporous graphene one can greatly increase graphene’s ORR activity. There are several reported methods within the literature for the fabrication of mesoporous graphene, such as; chemical etching,\(^2\)\(^\text{2}\)\(^\text{2}\)\(^\text{1}\)\(^\text{-}\)\(^2\)\(^\text{3}\) photocatalytic reaction,\(^2\)\(^\text{4}\) lithography,\(^2\)\(^\text{5}\) electron beam\(^2\)\(^\text{6}\) and chemical activation.\(^2\)\(^\text{7}\) An elegant study by Lin et al.\(^2\)\(^\text{8}\) prepared mesoporous nitrogen doped graphene, through pyrolysis of graphene oxide and polyaniline at 1000 °C, and observed it to have beneficial ORR electrocatalytic activity and lowered the electronegativity of the ORR onset allowing the mechanism to occur via the desirable 4 electron pathway, whilst also increasing the stability and methanol tolerance, compared to undoped graphene. This study clearly highlights the potential for heteroatom doped graphene to be a cost effect alternative to Pt based electrocatalysts, however by it utilising chemically exfoliated graphene oxide as the carbon precursor and implementing a chemical activation method, the resulting graphene based material is prone to restacking and crumpling of its sheets, which limits the stability and electrocatalytic potential of the material. Lin et al.\(^2\)\(^\text{8}\) is not alone in their use of graphene oxide as a precursor, the vast majority of the literature utilises a similar fabrication methodology and thusly encounters the same detrimental effects.

In order to address the problem of restacking and crumpling graphene sheets, which is endemic within the literature, this paper presents a facile two-step methodology for the fabrication of nitrogen-doped nanoporous graphene. This involves, producing the nanoporous graphene via a chemical vapour deposition (CVD) method followed by introducing nitrogen groups via pyrolysis using ortho-phenylenediamine as a precursor. Table 1 summarises the current literature devoted to developing heteroatom-doped catalysts, which demonstrates that our approach is a novel methodology to realise nitrogen doped nanoporous graphene with outstanding electrochemical properties. The nitrogen doped nanoporous graphene electrocatalyst does not suffer from the restacking and crumpling problems associated with other heteroatom doped graphene based ORR electrocatalysts. This study therefore significantly increases the attractiveness of graphene-based electrocatalysts as potential cost-effective alternatives for Pt in PEMFCs.
Table 1  A comparison of heteroatom doped carbon-based materials that were produced via metal free synthesis then explored towards the ORR. Adapted from previous work by Zhang and Dai.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method/Precursor</th>
<th>Electro catalyst</th>
<th>Mass loading (ca. mg cm⁻²)</th>
<th>Electrolyte</th>
<th>Number of electrons in the ORR mechanism</th>
<th>Onset potential (V) at limiting current vs. Pt/C</th>
<th>Fuel tolerance</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Template method/PANI</td>
<td>N,O-doped mesoporous carbon</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.1 M KOH</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>Comparable@larger</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbonization/nucleobases and ionic liquid</td>
<td>N-Doped mesoporous carbon</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>0.1 M KOH</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>Comparable</td>
<td>CH₃OH</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spray pyrolysis/xylene and ethylenediamine</td>
<td>N-Doped carbon spheres</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>0.1 M KOH</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>Comparable@smaller</td>
<td>CH₃OH</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Template method/3-MBP-dca or CMIM-Cl</td>
<td>N-Doped hollow carbon hemisphere</td>
<td>459</td>
<td>0.1 M KOH</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>Comparable@lower</td>
<td>CH₃OH</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Template method/triphenylphosphine + phenol</td>
<td>P-Doped mesoporous carbon</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.1 M KOH</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>Comparable</td>
<td>CH₃OH</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrochemical approach/graphene sheets</td>
<td>N-Doped GQD</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>0.1 M KOH</td>
<td>3.6-4.4</td>
<td>Smaller</td>
<td>CH₃OH</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solution chemistry approach</td>
<td>N-Doped GQD</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>0.1 M KOH</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>Better</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrolysis/carbon black + NH₄F</td>
<td>F-Doped carbon black</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>0.1 M KOH</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>Better</td>
<td>CH₃OH and CO</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrolysis/carbon black + melamine (C₆H₆N₆) and ammonium fluoride (NH₄F)</td>
<td>N,F-co-doped carbon</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>0.1 M KOH</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>Comparable</td>
<td>CH₃OH and CO</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Template method/sucrose + thiourea</td>
<td>S,N-co-doped carbon foam</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>0.1 M KOH</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>Comparable</td>
<td>CH₃OH</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrolysis/ethylenediamine + GO</td>
<td>g-C₃N₄/RGO nanosheet</td>
<td>70.7</td>
<td>0.1 M KOH</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>CH₃OH</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incorporation/g-C₃N₄ + mesoporous carbon</td>
<td>g-C₃N₄@carbon</td>
<td>84.8</td>
<td>0.1 M KOH</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>CH₃OH</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sputtering and annealing</td>
<td>N-Doped carbon film</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.1 M KOH</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>Comparable</td>
<td>CH₃OH</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrolysis/gram flour</td>
<td>N-Doped porous carbon</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>0.1 M KOH</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>Comparable@smaller</td>
<td>CH₃OH</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrolysis/sugar and urea</td>
<td>N-Doped graphene</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>0.1 M KOH</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>Comparable</td>
<td>CH₃OH</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal treatment/polypyridine + carbon black</td>
<td>N-Doped carbon</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>0.1 M KOH</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>Comparable</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbonization/sucrose + 4-hydroxynaphthalenic acid</td>
<td>B-Doped mesoporous carbon</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.1 M KOH</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>Lower@larger</td>
<td>CH₃OH and CO</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrolysis/carbon-based derivatives and phenolic compound</td>
<td>N-Doped carbon aerogels</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>0.1 M KOH</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>CH₃OH</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrolysis/DNA + CaCO₃</td>
<td>N-Doped mesoporous carbon</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.1 M KOH</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>Lower@larger</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Template method/[(1-methyl-1H-pyrrole-2-yl) methanol</td>
<td>N-Doped porous carbon</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.5 M H₂SO₄</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Lower@lower</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrolysis/pyromellitic acid dianhydride + 4,4'-oxidlinin</td>
<td>Fe-free N doped carbon</td>
<td>40-300</td>
<td>0.5 M H₂SO₄</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Lower@lower</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-ORNL-1 and NH₃</td>
<td>N-OMCs</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>0.5 M H₂SO₄</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>Comparable</td>
<td>CO</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal-free CVD of CH₄ and H₂</td>
<td>N-Doped SWCNTs</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.5 M H₂SO₄</td>
<td>&gt;3.5</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>CH₃OH</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVD and pyrolysis of ortho-phenylenediamine</td>
<td>N,S-doped porous carbon</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.1 M KOH</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>Comparable</td>
<td>CH₃OH</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hummers method, carbon from glucose</td>
<td>N-Doped graphene/carbon</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.1 M KOH</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>CH₃OH</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-Doped nanoporous graphene</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>0.1 M KOH</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>Comparable</td>
<td>CH₃OH</td>
<td>This work</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The commercial Pt/C catalysts are commonly used as references: 20 wt% Pt on Vulcan X72R from ElectroChem, Inc., 20 wt% Pt on carbon black from Alfa Aesar, PANI: polyaniline, GO: graphene oxide, rGO: reduced graphene oxide, → value unobtainable, 3-MBP-dca; 3-methyl-1-butylpyridine dicyanamide, CMIM-Cl; 3-(3-cyanopropyl)-1-methyl-1H-imidazol-3-iumchloride, GQD: graphene quantum nanodots, SWCNTs: single walled carbon nanotubes, CVD: chemical vapour deposition, DNA: deoxyribose nucleic acid.
and 180 minutes, respectively. Next, the functionalised porous graphene was cooled down to room temperature and diluted with 500 mL deionised water. Subsequently, the solution was filtered, neutralised and dried at 60 °C overnight. The oxidised porous graphene is denoted as PG herein.

Nitrogen doped nanoporous graphene (NPG)

Nitrogen doped nanoporous graphene was prepared using orthophenylendiamine (OPDA) as an aromatic nitrogen precursor. Initially, 0.2 g of the as-prepared NPG was ultrasonically dispersed in 200 mL ethanol. Different amounts of OPDA were then gradually added into separate suspensions of: 0.1, 0.2, 1, and 2 g which are hereafter denoted as NPG 10.5, NPG 1–1, NPG 1–5, and NPG 1–10, respectively. The suspensions were further sonicated for 10 minutes in order to dissolve the OPDA. Next, the suspension was mechanically stirred (600 rpm) at room temperature for 6 hours and dried at 80 °C in order to allow complete solvent evaporation. The remnant solid was then collected and grinding with a pestle and mortar to obtain a uniform powder. Next, the obtained solid was placed into a quartz boat and pyrolyzed in a tubular furnace at 900 °C for 2 hours within a nitrogen atmosphere with a heating rate of 5 °C min⁻¹. The reactor was allowed cooled down to room temperature in the presence of nitrogen and the final product obtained was the NPG. As a control, oxidised nanoporous graphene was subjected to the same procedure without the addition of OPDA.

Preparation of electrodes

A rotating glassy carbon electrode (RGCE) (diameter: 3 mm) was utilised in all electrochemical investigations. In each test, the RGCE was diligently polished using a microcloth and decreasing sizes of alumina. (0.3 and 0.05 μm alumina slurry. The polished electrode was sequentially washed with deionised water and sonicated in ethanol to remove any residual alumina powder. For each electrocatalyst, 5 mg powder was ultrasonically dispersed in 950 μL of ethanol, ultrapure water (1 : 1 v/v) and 50 μL of Nafion solution (0.05 wt%) for 15 minutes. Finally, 5 μL of the as-prepared “ink” electrocatalysts were loaded upon the surface of the RGCE and allowed to dry at room temperature. The catalyst loading for all electrodes was estimated to correspond to ca. 0.35 mg cm⁻².

Physicochemical characterisation

The crystallinity of the samples was investigated by X-ray diffraction (XRD) with a PW 1840 Philips device in the range of 10–90° with the rate of 0.02 (2θ)/s. The morphology and elemental composition of the nanoporous graphene was examined by field emission scanning electron microscopy FEI Quanta 650F Environmental (SEM), energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) and transmission electron microscopy (Tecnai G2 F20 S TWIN HR(S) (TEM), FEI). X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was performed using a hemispherical analyzer supplied by (XPS, SPECS Germany,PHOIBOS 150). The CasaXPS software (2.3.17PR1.1) was used for deconvolution of the XPS results by subtracting Shirley background. Raman spectra were carried out on an Almega Thermo Nicolet with an Ar ion laser source and excitation of 532 nm. The Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) and the Barrett–Joyner–Halenda (BJH) methods were used for the estimation of the surface area and pores size distribution of the nanoporous graphene. The BET surface area, pore volume, and pore size distribution were assessed by nitrogen adsorption–desorption isotherms at 77 K utilizing an ASAP-2010 porosimeter (Micromeritics Corporation, GA).

Electrochemical analysis

Electrochemical analyses were performed using an Autolab potentiostat/galvanostat instrument (PGSTAT30 Eco Chemie, B.V, Netherlands), along with a rotating disk electrode (RDE) system in a conventional three electrode system. The RGCE was used as the working electrode, while Ag/AgCl and Pt wire were used as the reference and counter electrode, respectively. Prior to electrochemical evaluation, including cyclic voltammetry (CV), linear sweep voltammetry (LSV), chronocoulometry (CA), and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS), of 0.1 M KOH were gently purged with pure oxygen or nitrogen for 30 minutes to get a saturated electrolyte. All potentials in this study are reported versus reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE).

Results and discussion

The overall fabrication process of the nitrogen doped nanoporous graphene (NPG) is summarised in Fig. 1 and detailed within the Experimental section. In the first step, the oxygen moieties on the nanoporous graphene likely attract the –NH₂ in OPDA through electrostatic interactions, increasing the level of nitrogen doping. The decomposition of the adsorbed OPDA at 900 °C may also create new C–N bonds in the nanoporous graphene lattice mainly as pyridinic, pyrrolic, and graphitic. The aromatic structure and the presence of two nitrogen atoms in the OPDA reactant leads to the production of nitrogen doped nanoporous graphene with a high content of nitrogen atoms.

Physicochemical characterisation of the PG and NPG variants

A full independent physicochemical characterisation was performed on the PG and NPG variants, this includes: Raman Spectroscopy, SEM, TEM, XPS and XRD. A brief summary of the characterisation is given below with interested readers directed to the ESIT† where a full description of each physicochemical characterisation technique can be found.

Fig. 2A and S1† show the SEM images and corresponding elemental mapping analysis of the PG and NPG 1–5. The 3D morphological structure can be observed to be one of high porosity for the both PG and NPG 1–5. The TEM images of the PG and NPG 1–5 were also taken to further investigate the crumpled, porous, and corrugated structure of PG and NPG 1–5 (see Fig. 2B and C). As presented in the TEM images, the more transparent and apparently fewer layers of the NPG 1–5, compared to PG, might be attributed to the nitrogen doping effect in agreement with the XRD and Raman results; this indicates that the NPG does not suffer from restacking and crumpling problems which is associated with other non-doped and other heteroatom doped graphenes.
The interconnected framework and ultrathin nanosheets of the NPG 1–5 maintain lots of slit-shaped porous structures as the desirable sites for ORR. Simultaneously, the presence of amorphous carbon and highly graphitized structure of the NPG 1–5 can be obviously seen in the TEM images.

The XRD patterns of PG, NPG 1–0.5, NPG 1–1, NPG 1–10 and NPG 1–5 samples are shown within Fig. 2D. The XRD patterns reveal the (002) reflection of graphene structure at around $2\theta \approx 26^\circ$ for non-doped and nitrogen doped samples, respectively, suggesting that nanoporous graphene exists in single or few layers.
layers. The scattered peaks at ca. 44–45° can be assigned to the (100) crystal plane of graphite, implying the presence of both crystalline and amorphous carbon structures.\(^\text{33}\) As observed, the strong peaks of nitrogen doped nanoporous graphene are broadened and shifted toward lower angles in comparison with non-doped nanoporous graphene, indicating that the pyrolysis treatment with OPDA moderately disorders the graphene framework, possibly due to the creation of more defects resulting from heteratom doping effect.\(^\text{33}\) The number of graphene sheets can be estimated by calculating the interlayer spacing of the lattices and the mean crystallite size of the powder, which is obtained by the Scherrer equation:\(^\text{34}\)

\[
L_{hkl} = \frac{0.9 \lambda}{\beta \cos \theta}
\]

where \(L_{hkl}\) is the mean crystallite size of powder for the related Miller indices \((hkl)\), \(\lambda\) is the wavelength of radiation (1.542 Å), \(\beta\) is the full widths at half maximum (FWHM) in radians, and \(\theta\) is the scattering angle. The interlayer spacing of graphene sheets can be calculated by Bragg’s law:\(^\text{14}\)

\[
d_{hkl} = \frac{n \lambda}{2 \sin \theta}
\]

where \(d_{hkl}\) is the interlayer spacing of the lattices for the related Miller indices \((hkl)\) and \(n\) represents an integer for the order of the diffraction peak. Accordingly, the number of graphene layers can be estimated through the following equation:\(^\text{34}\)

\[
N = \left( \frac{L_{hkl}}{d_{hkl}} \right)
\]

The number of layers, FWHM, \(L_{hkl}\), and \(d_{hkl}\) are summarized in Table 2 (main paper) with respect to the XRD spectra.

The number of graphene sheets for PG and NPG 1–0.5 was estimated by calculating the interlayer spacing of the lattices and the mean crystallite size of the powder and found to be 7 and 5, respectively. While the number of graphene layers for NPG 1–1, NPG 1–5, and NPG 1–10 is equal to 4 (see Table 2). The decreasing number of graphene layers can be attributed to increasing the space layer for nitrogen doped nanoporous samples versus un-doped nanoporous graphene.

The augmentation of FWHM quantities of nitrogen doped graphene compared to the pristine nanoporous graphene further verifies the successful doping of N atoms into the carbon skeleton.

Furthermore, by increasing the OPDA concentration, the (002) peaks get somewhat broader from NPG 1–0.5 to NPG 1–5 (FWHM in Table 2), signifying that the higher concentration of OPDA leads to the creation of more disorders in the graphene network. However, further increasing the concentration of OPDA to NPG 1–10 reduces the FWHM, suggesting the NPG 1–5 as the optimized ratio for the preparation of nitrogen doped nanoporous graphene.

The Raman spectra of the samples are presented in Fig. 2E. The three expected characteristic peaks are observed at ca. 1350, 1580, and 2685 cm\(^{-1}\) for all samples correspond to D, G, and 2D bands, respectively (see Table 3). The three distinctive peaks at around 1350, 1580, and 2685 cm\(^{-1}\) for all samples correspond to D, G, and 2D bands, respectively (Table 3). The G band is related to the breathing mode of \(A_{1g}\) symmetry, indicating the presence of defects, microstructure disordering and amorphous carbon.\(^\text{35}\) Commonly, the \(I_{D}/I_{G}\) reveals the information about the relation of the sp\(^3\) hybridized carbon (disordered structures) to sp\(^2\) hybridized carbon (graphitic structures). It should be taken into account that the higher ratio of \(I_{D}/I_{G}\) signifies the higher in plane and edge defects emanating from doping, functionalization and exfoliation of graphene.\(^\text{36}\) Obviously, the intensity of D and G band of PG is approximately equal, suggesting the presence of crystallite and amorphous structures in nanoporous graphene at the same time. The \(I_{D}/I_{G}\) ratio of PG is about 0.93, while doping nitrogen increases the amount of \(I_{D}/I_{G}\) ratio to 1.1, 1.23, 1.42, and 1.54 for NPG 1–0.5, NPG 1–1, NPG 1–10, and NPG 1–5, respectively (Table 3). The augmentation of \(I_{D}/I_{G}\) can be attributed to the disruption of aromatic \(\pi-\pi\) electrons in the graphene matrix confirming successful nitrogen doping procedure. The \(I_{D}/I_{G}\) ratio increases by increasing the concentration of OPDA in the ratio of (1 : 5), despite higher concentrations of OPDA (1 : 10) reducing the of \(I_{D}/I_{G}\) ratio. This indicates NPG 1–5 is the best proportion. Apart from that, the shape and intensity of the 2D bands are known as one of the most prominent features of Raman spectra for identifying the number of layers in two-dimension carbon nanostructures. As the 2D band gets broader and positively shifted toward higher wave number, the number of graphene layers increases.\(^\text{3}\) The 2D bands of nitrogen doped porous graphene are partially sharper than that of non-doped porous graphene (Fig. 2E), indicating that the number of graphene layers can be decreased via nitrogen doping. However, as the XRD results showed, there is no significant alteration between the number of graphene layers before and after nitrogen doping. Also, the intangible augmentation of the \(I_{D}/I_{G}\) by introducing nitrogen atoms (Table 3) leads to the increase in the \(I_{2D}/I_{G}\) ratio, which further verifies the diminishing the number of graphene sheets. It can be concluded that the nitrogen doped samples are composed of few-layered graphene as well as sufficient defects as the desirable sites for oxygen reduction reaction.

XPS was performed to investigate the elemental composition of the electrocatalysts and verify the degree and type of nitrogen doping. The XPS surveys of porous graphene presented in Fig. S2 and S3† shows two distinctive peaks at 285 and 531 eV corresponding to C 1s and O 1s, respectively, without any other impurities. In the NPG spectra, the appearance of the characteristic peaks at about 400 eV confirms the successful doping of nitrogen atoms in graphene matrix. Last, BET surface area (m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\)), pore volume (cm\(^3\) g\(^{-1}\)) and mean pore size (nm) for PG sample was determined to correspond to 721.3, 2.3 and 12.6, respectively (see ESI and Fig. S4†). Also, the BET surface area (m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\)), pore volume (cm\(^3\) g\(^{-1}\)) and mean pore size (nm) for

Table 2  XRD specification of PG and NPG

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>2θ (°)</th>
<th>FWHM (°)</th>
<th>(L_{hkl}) (nm)</th>
<th>(d_{hkl}) (Å)</th>
<th>Number of layers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PG</td>
<td>29.01</td>
<td>4.22</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>6–7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPG 1–0.5</td>
<td>26.65</td>
<td>5.78</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>4–5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPG 1–1</td>
<td>26.58</td>
<td>5.91</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>3–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPG 1–10</td>
<td>26.54</td>
<td>5.95</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>3–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPG 1–5</td>
<td>26.50</td>
<td>6.12</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>3–4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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NPG 1–5 sample is 665.2, 1.8 and 13.7, respectively. In addition to BET surface area, the pore volume and pore size of the PG and NPG 1–5 remains approximately unchanged, indicating that nitrogen doping does not principally deteriorate the mesoporous structure of porous graphene, which is in good agreement with TEM images and Raman spectra. Thus, the PG and NPG variants utilised throughout this study have been fully characterised and revealed to be of high quality and can be accurately described as such.

Electrochemical evaluation of ORR performance

Initially it was vital to assess the intrinsic electrochemical response of the NPG variants in N$_2$ saturated 0.1 M KOH (50 mV s$^{-1}$) to ensure that there was no visible redox peaks within the potential region where the O$_2$ reduction peak is expected, as this could result in convolution of the observed signal output. Fig. S5† shows that all of the NPG variants voltammetric profiles that indicate for this electrode size/configuration there are no noticeable peaks. Upon O$_2$ saturation of the 0.1 M KOH well-defined redox peaks are clearly visible for the NPG variant indicating an efficient catalyst towards the ORR. It can be observed in Fig. S5† that the obtained potential peak and cyclic voltammograms area increases with increasing concentrations of OPDA, i.e. more nitrogen doping; the improvements in the voltammetry can be attributed to the formation of more graphitic and pyridinic ORR active sites. It is clear upon inspection of this figure and Table 5 that the NPG variants all have a more electropositive ORR onset potential at ca. +1.0 V (vs. RHE), than the un-doped PG, which has an ORR onset of +0.82 V (vs. RHE). It is therefore clear that nitrogen doping the PG results in a significant increase in its ORR electrocatalytic activity. Of particular interest is the comparability of the NPG variants (especially NPG 1–5, which exhibited the most electropositive ORR onset potential at 1.03 V (vs. RHE)) ORR onset potentials to the industrial standard of Pt/C 20%, which was observed to have an ORR onset of 1.05 V (vs. RHE). This clearly indicates the potential for an optimised NPG material to act as a cost effective alternative to Pt/C as a cathodic electrode material within PEMFCs.

The CV profiles (in O$_2$ saturated 0.1 M KOH) of the optimized nitrogen doped porous graphene (NPG 1–5), bare/unmodified PG and commercialised Pt/C 20% are shown in Fig. 3 for comparative purposes. As stated above the ORR onset potential for the NPG 1–5 is more electropositive than the bare/unmodified PG and comparable to that of Pt/C 20%. Upon inspection of this figure it is also evident that NPG 1–5 has a larger peak potential than either the bare/unmodified PG or Pt/C 20%. This supports the interference that N doping the PG increases its catalytic activity towards the ORR. In order to assess the limiting current and whether there is a change in the ORR mechanism associated with the observed increase in the ORR, we employed rotating disk electrochemistry as is common within the literature. This was performed by carrying out LSV in O$_2$ saturated 0.1 M KOH at scan rate of 5 mV s$^{-1}$, utilising various rotation rates. The LSV plots at the rotation rates from 250 to 3500 rpm show that by increasing the rotation rate, the limiting current increases as expected (see Fig. S6,† with a comparison of the LSVs at 1500 rpm being given in Fig. 4b). As presented, for all of the nitrogen doped samples, the ORR

![Fig. 3](image_url) (a) CVs of PG and the variants and (b) optimised NPG 1–5 compared against Pt/C 20% and PG. Solution composition: 0.1 M KOH (O$_2$ saturated), scan rate 50 mV s$^{-1}$ (vs. RHE).
current density initiates at ca. 0.82–1.05 V and then reaches a limiting current density at ca. 0.7–0.8 V, indicating high ORR activity. Among all nitrogen doped nanoporous electrocatalysts, NPG 1–5 possesses the highest limiting current of ca. 7.8 mA cm⁻². In order to deduce the ORR mechanism the number of electron transfers, n, participating in the electrochemical reaction need to be deduced. A commonly employed approach is to calculate this via rotating disc analysis via the Koutecky–Levich equation:

\[
\frac{1}{J} = \frac{1}{J_k} + \frac{1}{J_d} = \frac{1}{BnF D^{2/3}} \frac{1}{v^{1/6} C_0} \quad \text{where} \quad B = 0.62nFD^{2/3} v^{-1/6} C_0.
\]

In these equations, J is the experimental current density, Jk is the kinetic-limiting current density, Jd is the diffusion-limiting current density, ω is the rotation speed (rpm), n is the electron transfer number in ORR, F is the Faraday constant (96 485 C mol⁻¹), D is the diffusion coefficient of O₂ in 0.1 M KOH solution (1.9 × 10⁻⁵ cm² s⁻¹), v is the electrolyte velocity (0.01 cm² s⁻¹) and C₀ is the bulk concentration of O₂ (1.2 × 10⁻⁶ mol cm⁻³). The Koutecky–Levich (K–L) plots of J⁻¹ versus ω⁻¹ at various potentials (RHE) from RDE measurements exhibit straight and parallel lines, implying the first-order reaction (See Fig. 57). Analysis of the K–L slope plots for the PG suggest that the ORR mechanism occurs via a two electron pathway, whilst the nitrogen doped samples where observed to have an ORR mechanism that occurred by the desirable four-electron pathway, suggesting the almost complete O₂ reduction to OH⁻. The exact number electrons involved in the PG and NPG variants reaction mechanism are displayed within Fig. 4a and Table 5. It can be inferred that the doping of PG with N increases the ORR activity by enabling the reaction mechanism to occur by the desirable 4 electron pathway.

The obtained results suggest that the high electrocatalytic activity of the NPG can be attributed to the degree and type of nitrogen doping. Whilst the detailed role of different nitrogen containing phases is still unclear, with various studies within the literature offering alternative theories. For example Lai et al.³⁹ reported that the graphitic nitrogen phase determines the limiting current density, while the pyridinic phase has an undeniable role in onset potential improvement.⁴⁰ Contrary to this, it has been reported that the high electrocatalytic activity of nitrogen doped carbon nanostructures can be attributed to pyrrolic nitrogen content.³⁹ It was therefore important to assess the surface groups present on the NPG samples to determine their involvement in observed ORR activity. It was initially theorised as the incorporation of OPDA increased the percentage of nitrogen groups present on the graphene’s surface would increase, however, according to the XPS results, the coverage of graphitic nitrogen remained almost unchanged with increasing usage of OPDA. This suggests that the electrocatalytic activity can be much more affected by pyridinic nitrogen as the favourable active sites for ORR rather than the graphitic nitrogen. The pyridinic percentage in NPG 1–5 is higher than other N-doped electrocatalysts, possibly explaining why it displayed the most beneficial ORR activity. The pyridinic nitrogen doping into graphene network can increase the 2π state of C–N, as well as, weaken the C–C electron density.⁴¹ Moreover, the increase in N/C ratio (Table 4) would alter the electronic density of state close to the Fermi level, which enhances the adsorption of O₂ and facilitates the electron transfer between catalyst and oxygen molecules.⁷⁷,⁷⁸ Thus, the best electrocatalytic performance of NPG 1–5 among the other

---

**Table 4** Atomic and Nitrogen composition of the various samples obtained from XPS analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>C (%)</th>
<th>O (%)</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
<th>N/C</th>
<th>Pyridinic N (%)</th>
<th>Pyrrolic N (%)</th>
<th>Graphitic N (%)</th>
<th>Oxidized N (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PG</td>
<td>95.6 ± 4.3</td>
<td>4.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>15.2 ± 0.6</td>
<td>24.7 ± 1.3</td>
<td>40.3 ± 2.2</td>
<td>19.8 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPG 1–0.5</td>
<td>92.1 ± 3.2</td>
<td>3.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>25.4 ± 1.3</td>
<td>12.1 ± 0.4</td>
<td>45.2 ± 1.8</td>
<td>17.3 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPG 1–1</td>
<td>91.5 ± 3.9</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>6.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>27.4 ± 3.6</td>
<td>10.0 ± 1.7</td>
<td>44.4 ± 2.7</td>
<td>18.2 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPG 1–10</td>
<td>90.4 ± 2.7</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>7.7 ± 1.2</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>33.5 ± 1.5</td>
<td>8.2 ± 0.6</td>
<td>45.6 ± 2.4</td>
<td>12.7 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Exposing the electrode to equal conditions for the poisoning of the platinum active sites, with minimal restoration observed. The loss of current density of the nitrogen doped methanol tolerance of the nitrogen doped samples, can be remarkable. Stability over the duration of the experiment, this is attributed to the localised density of states in the occupied region of nitrogen can act as Lewis bases because of its tendency to donate the electron pair. Therefore, in accordance to the XPS results, the high concentration of pyridinic nitrogen’s of the electrocatalysts (i.e. NPG 1–5 and NPG 1–10, Table 5) result in enhanced electron transport and reduced charge transfer resistance.

In summary, this study has shown that by producing nitrogen doped nanoporous graphene, via a CVD method followed by introducing nitrogen groups via pyrolysis using orthophenylenediamine as a precursor, a stable and cost effective alternative to the Pt/C can be fabricated for use as a cathodic material in a PEMFC.

Conclusions

NPG electrocatalysts have been successfully prepared and are shown to be useful electrocatalysts towards the ORR with comparable electrocatalytic activity to a commercially available Pt/C. Table 1 provides a thorough literature overview of electrocatalysts can be attributed to it having the highest percentage of pyridinic content (33.5%).

Stability and methanol tolerance of the NPG variants

The tolerance of the electrocatalysts towards methanol crossover was evaluated by CV and chronopotentiometry (CA). Fig. S5† shows the typical CV response obtained for the NPG variants and Pt/C 20% when 3 M methanol was introduced to an oxygenated solution of 0.1 M KOH. It is clear that the addition of methanol does not significantly alter the CV profiles on the nitrogen doped samples and PG, implying promising selectivity toward ORR against methanol oxidation, whereas methanol oxidation leads to the appearance of large anodic peak at Pt/C electrode showing the poisoning effect upon fuel crossover. The stability of the electrocatalysts upon addition of methanol was further confirmed by CA measurements through applying a constant potential (1.0 V vs. RHE) and a rotation of 1500 rpm, in O2 saturated electrolyte, for a duration of 1000 seconds (see Fig. S8†). An appropriate stability, as well as an excellent methanol tolerance of the nitrogen doped samples, can be observed. The loss of current density of the nitrogen doped samples was estimated to be less than 15% after addition of 3 M methanol at 500th second. In the case of PG, the loss of current density is more than nitrogen doped samples, accentuating the role of nitrogen atoms and porous structure on the stability of the electrocatalysts for ORR. According to Fig. S8f,† the Pt/C electrocatalyst shows a significant current decline of about 73% compared to nitrogen doped, representing a rapid poisoning of the platinum active sites, with minimal restoration of the current density over the remaining duration. The long-term durability of the electrocatalysts were examined by exposing the electrode to equal conditions for ca. 27 hours. As observed in Fig. S9(a),† the nitrogen doped samples display remarkable stability over the duration of the experiment, this is due to the slower attenuation of current density compared to PG and Pt/C. The CA responses and the corresponding normalised current is shown in Fig. S9(b).† The normalised current also indicates the higher stability of the nitrogen doped electrocatalysts compared to Pt/C. The results show that the as-prepared metal free catalysts not only possess high selectivity toward oxygen reduction, but also have outstanding stability in comparison to Pt/C.

Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was employed to further elucidate the electrocatalytic performance of the samples. Nyquist plots of the samples and Pt/C at different potential are presented in Fig. S10.† The Nyquist curves show an arc-like or semicircle profile with different diameter corresponding to the charge transfer resistance (Rct). For all of the nitrogen doped samples and Pt/C, the diameter of the semicircles shrinks by decreasing the applied potential to +0.87 V (vs. RHE) and slightly increases at +0.82 V, indicating higher electrical conductivity in the vicinity of +0.865 V (vs. RHE), which is close to the peak potential in cyclic voltammery. A similar trend does not observed for the non-doped porous graphene, further highlighting the role of nitrogen atoms and high specific surface area, which facilitates electron transfer in the conductive channels of NPG. The comparison of Nyquist plots at +0.87 V (vs. RHE) and the corresponding equivalent circuit are shown in Fig. S11.† Based on the equivalent circuit fitting, the Rct of PG, NPG 1–0.5, NPG 1–1, NPG 1–10, NPG 1–5, and Pt/C were calculated to be 2335, 283, 255, 212, 155, and 496 Ω, respectively. The semicircle diameter of NPG 1–5 is slightly smaller than other samples. The Rct of all nitrogen doped samples are noticeably lower than that of PG and Pt/C, suggesting improved electron and ion transfer between electrode and electrolyte. Prior literature has demonstrated that the nitrogen atoms, especially pyridinic groups, next to the carbon atoms provide beneficial electrochemical/electro catalytic sites due to their localised density of states in the occupied region near the Fermi level. Moreover, density functional theory (DFT) indicates that carbon atoms in the vicinity of the pyridinic nitrogen can act as Lewis bases because of its tendency to donate the electron pair. Therefore, in accordance to the XPS results, the high concentration of pyridinic nitrogen’s of the electrocatalysts (i.e. NPG 1–5 and NPG 1–10, Table 5) result in enhanced electron transport and reduced charge transfer resistance.

Table 5 Comparison between the number of electron transferred in the ORR, onset potential and current density

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Number of electrons transferred (n)</th>
<th>Onset potential (V)</th>
<th>Steady state current density (mA cm⁻²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PG</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>+0.82</td>
<td>4.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPG 1–0.5</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>+1.00</td>
<td>5.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPG 1–1</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>+1.01</td>
<td>7.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPG 1–5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>+1.03</td>
<td>7.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPG 1–10</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>+0.99</td>
<td>7.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt/C 20%</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>+1.05</td>
<td>7.61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
nitrogen-doped electrocatalysts, where it can be seen that our fabrication approach is novel with the electrochemical performance demonstrated to be at least comparable, if not better than those reported within Table 1. The outstanding electrocatalytic activity of the nitrogen doped electrocatalysts are due to its high surface area, high nitrogen content, large amount of defects and a nanoporous structure; the NPG does not suffer from restacking and crumpling problems. The homogeneously distributed N-species not only provide numerous ORR active sites, including graphitic and pyridinic phases, but also contribute to the highly disordered and nanoporous structure, all of which enhances electron transfer processes between the NPG and oxygen. Furthermore, the long-term stability and methanol crossover effect of the optimised electrocatalyst (16% signal output loss) towards the ORR is optimal over that of Pt/C (73% signal output loss). In summary, the prepared electrocatalysts have potential as an excellent alternative to precious metal based catalysts for oxygen reduction reaction.
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