PAPER

[View Article Online](#)
[View Journal](#) | [View Issue](#) Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2017, 7, 52503

SnO₂/SnS₂ nanotubes for flexible room-temperature NH₃ gas sensors†

Rui Li,^{ac} Kai Jiang,^{*b} Shuai Chen,^{ac} Zheng Lou,^c Tingting Huang,^{ac} Di Chen^{*a} and Guozhen Shen^{id *c}

Complex composites have attracted tremendous attention due to their superior physico-chemical properties. In this work, using electrospun-synthesized SnO₂ nanotubes as backbones, tubular SnO₂/SnS₂ composites were successfully prepared from an *in situ* hydrothermal sulfuration process. As-synthesized composite SnO₂/SnS₂ nanotubes have an average diameter of about 300 nm and are aggregated into numerous small nanoparticles. Flexible gas sensors were fabricated with the composite SnO₂/SnS₂ nanotubes on a polyethylene terephthalate (PET) substrate. When exposed to ammonia (NH₃) gas at room temperature, the flexible SnO₂/SnS₂ nanotube sensors exhibited excellent sensitivity as high as 2.48 (100 ppm NH₃), almost twice as high as pure SnO₂ nanotubes. In addition, the sensors also showed a fast response time, excellent repeatability, stability and outstanding selectivity. Studies found that the hollow structures and the synergistic effect of both SnO₂ and SnS₂ played important roles in enhanced the sensing performance.

Received 23rd September 2017

Accepted 30th October 2017

DOI: 10.1039/c7ra10537a

rsc.li/rsc-advances

Introduction

Ammonia (NH₃), a kind of colorless gas, has been widely used in various fields, including compound fertilizers, biofuels, textiles and paper products. However, this highly toxic gas is not only harmful to public health, but also has a negative effect on the surrounding environment. Thus, developing high-performance gas sensors for NH₃ detection and monitoring has attracted increasing attention in recent years.^{1–3}

Unlike traditional gas sensors assembled by depositing solid sensing powders on ceramic tubes or interfinger probes, flexible gas sensors are fabricated on flexible or stretchable substrates, and can thus be used in portable electronic devices to provide ultra-sensitive and highly selective real-time analysis for environmental monitoring and other applications.^{4–6} Several recent reports showed that flexible ammonia sensors can be assembled with conductive films, such as carbon nanotube (CNT) film and polyaniline (PANI) film, to detect NH₃ gas at room temperature.^{7–10} Unfortunately, the flexible ammonia sensors

reported until now have displayed either relatively low sensing performance or have poor long-term environmental stability mainly caused by the sensing material characteristics. Developing flexible gas sensors with other sensing materials, such as inorganic compounds, might provide an effective way to realize high-performance ammonia sensors with better sensitivity, wearability and cycling stability.^{11–13}

Tin oxide (SnO₂), an n-type semiconductor, has been widely applied in gas sensors and exhibits a sensing response to various gases, including CO,¹⁴ CH₄,¹⁵ NO₂,¹⁶ and NH₃,¹⁷ because of its low cost and high electrical conductivity. However, gas sensors built on pure SnO₂ are usually limited to high-temperature operation, which leads to high power consumption, safety hazards and low lifetimes.^{18–20} An efficient way to overcome this is to composite it with other inorganic/organic materials or to dope it with some noble metals.^{21–24} Combined sensing materials with a particular interface between both crystalline materials can display outstanding sensing performance due to their specific synergistic effect. For example, SnO₂/SnS₂ heterojunction based sensors exhibited enhanced sensitivity and selectivity to different concentrations of NO₂ at the testing temperature of 80 °C.²⁵ Zhang's group reported an interesting NH₃ sensor based on hybrid Co₃O₄/SnO₂ core-shell nanospheres, which displayed a fast response time and good reproducibility to ammonia gas.²⁶ Kim *et al.* synthesized composite SnO₂-ZnO nanofibers from an electrospinning method and found a superior sensing performance towards H₂ gas.²⁷

Herein, by using electrospinning followed by *in situ* hydrothermal sulfuration routes in the presence of CH₃CSCH₂, we

^aCollege of Physics and Mathematics, Beijing Key Laboratory for Magneto-Photoelectrical Composite and Interface Science, University of Science and Technology Beijing, Beijing 100083, China. E-mail: chendi@ustb.edu.cn

^bInstitute & Hospital of Hepatobiliary Surgery, Key Laboratory of Digital Hepatobiliary Surgery of Chinese PLA, Chinese PLA Medical School, Chinese PLA General Hospital, Beijing 100853, China. E-mail: jiangk301@126.com

^cState Key Laboratory for Superlattices and Microstructures, Institute of Semiconductors, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100083, China. E-mail: gzshen@semi.ac.cn

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c7ra10537a

prepared tubular $\text{SnO}_2/\text{SnS}_2$ composites and fabricated high-performance flexible ammonia gas sensors. As-fabricated NH_3 sensors exhibited better sensing performance than pure SnO_2 nanotubes in terms of sensitivity, response time, and cycle stability. In addition, the flexible sensors also showed reliable flexibility and mechanical stability, making them ideal candidates for practical sensor applications.

Experimental

Materials

Stannic chloride pentahydrate ($\text{SnCl}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$), sodium sulfide nonahydrate (CH_3CSNH_2), ethanol and *N,N*-dimethylformamide (DMF) were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagents Co., Shanghai, China. Polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP, $M = 1\,300\,000\text{ g mol}^{-1}$) was supplied by Qi Fuqin Materials Technology Co., LTD. Shanghai, China.

Synthesis of SnO_2 nanotubes and $\text{SnO}_2/\text{SnS}_2$ nanotubes

Tubular SnO_2 precursors were first prepared from the facile electrospinning process. Typically, $\text{SnCl}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (3 g) and PVP (2.8 g) were dissolved in an ethanol/DMF mixture solution (26 g) with a weight ratio of 1 : 1 under magnetic stirring. Following vigorous stirring for 12 h at room temperature, the solution was electrospun from a stainless steel needle on an aluminium foil collector placed at a distance of 22 cm with an applied voltage of 20 kV and a constant flow rate of 0.3 ml h^{-1} . The as-spun SnO_2 precursors were then calcinated at 600°C for 3 h with a heating rate of $0.5^\circ\text{C min}^{-1}$, resulting in the formation of pure SnO_2 nanotubes.²⁸ After that, the as-synthesized SnO_2 nanotubes were added into 20 ml of 10 vol% acetic acid solution, and 12 mmol of thioacetamide was dissolved in 20 ml of distilled water. After mixing both solutions and stirring continuously for 30 min at room temperature, the mixture was transferred into a 50 ml Teflon-lined autoclave and heated at 150°C for 3 h. The obtained yellow products were washed with deionized water and ethanol and dried in a vacuum drying oven for 6 h at 100°C .

Characterization and gas sensing measurement

The surface morphology and microstructure of the obtained products were characterized by field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM, SUPRA 55) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM, JEM 2200FS). The crystallographic structures of the products were determined by X-ray diffraction (XRD, DMAX-RB) and electron energy-loss spectroscopy (EELS, SUPRA 55). The chemical composition of the products was analyzed by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS Thermo escalab 250XI). The Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) specific surface area of the products was examined by measuring the N_2 adsorption-desorption isotherm (QS-18, 0.01 M).

Flexible gas sensors were fabricated with the photolithographic process using PET as a substrate. In a typical process, we ground the appropriate $\text{SnO}_2/\text{SnS}_2$ samples with a small amount of ethanol solution to form a paste. Then we spin coated the paste on the PET substrate with an interdigital electrode. The gas-sensing properties of the flexible sensors

were measured by a CGS-1 TP intelligent gas sensitivity analysis system. The gas-sensing sensitivity was assessed through the response value of the electric resistance, which was defined as $S = I_g/I_a$, where I_a and I_g were the sensor current in dry air and in the target gas, respectively.

Results and discussion

The crystal structure and phase of the obtained samples from the *in situ* hydrothermal sulfuration process with a CH_3CSNH_2 concentration of 12 mmol at 150°C for 3 h were first characterized by XRD, as shown in Fig. 1. Clearly, all the diffraction peaks can be indexed to rutile SnO_2 (JCPDS card No. 41-1445) and hexagonal phase SnS_2 (JCPDS card No. 83-1705), indicating the formation of composite $\text{SnO}_2/\text{SnS}_2$ samples. The XRD pattern of the calcinated SnO_2 precursor is also shown in Fig. 1, revealing the formation of a pure SnO_2 sample after calcination.

To obtain information about the morphology and microstructures of the samples, we studied the samples with SEM and TEM, respectively. Fig. 2a shows the SEM image of the precursor SnO_2 samples before *in situ* hydrothermal sulfuration. SnO_2 nanotubes with uniform diameters of about 300 nm were prepared on a large scale *via* the electrospinning/calcination process. When the precursor SnO_2 nanotubes were sulfurated in the presence of CH_3CSNH_2 at 150°C for 3 h, the resulting $\text{SnO}_2/\text{SnS}_2$ composites retain the nanotube shape of the precursor, as can be seen in the SEM images shown in Fig. 2b and c, confirming the successful synthesis of composite $\text{SnO}_2/\text{SnS}_2$ nanotubes.

Fig. 2d depicts the TEM image of the composite $\text{SnO}_2/\text{SnS}_2$ nanotubes, which have uniform diameters of about 300 nm. The clear brightness contrast revealed the formation of nanotubes, in good accord with the SEM results. A TEM image of a single $\text{SnO}_2/\text{SnS}_2$ nanotube is shown in Fig. 2e. The $\text{SnO}_2/\text{SnS}_2$ nanotube is composed of SnO_2 and SnS_2 nanoparticles with

Fig. 1 XRD patterns of the as-synthesized $\text{SnO}_2/\text{SnS}_2$ nanotubes and SnO_2 nanotubes.

Fig. 2 SEM images of the as-prepared (a) SnO_2 nanotubes and (b, c, g) $\text{SnO}_2/\text{SnS}_2$ nanotubes. (d and e) TEM images and (f) HRTEM image of the $\text{SnO}_2/\text{SnS}_2$ nanotubes. (h–j) Elemental mapping images of each element corresponding to Sn, O, and S, respectively.

a diameter of about 25 nm and the wall thickness is about 30 nm. A close-up HRTEM image taken from the nanotube in Fig. 2e is shown in Fig. 2f. The clearly resolved lattice fringes are calculated to be 0.28 nm and 0.34 nm, corresponding to the (101) crystal planes of hexagonal SnS_2 and the (110) crystal planes of rutile SnO_2 , respectively. The high-magnification SEM image in Fig. 2g further confirmed that the $\text{SnO}_2/\text{SnS}_2$ nanotubes were composed of numerous aggregated nanoparticles with a rough surface. Fig. 2h–j displays the EDS elemental mapping analysis of the single $\text{SnO}_2/\text{SnS}_2$ nanotube shown in Fig. 2g. Obviously, three elements of Sn, O and S were detected in these images, confirming the uniform distribution of the three elements in the composite nanotube after the sulfuration treatment. Based on these results, we can see that uniform composite $\text{SnO}_2/\text{SnS}_2$ nanotubes are formed in our process. The nitrogen adsorption–desorption isotherm and pore size distribution curve of the $\text{SnO}_2/\text{SnS}_2$ nanotubes are shown in Fig. S1,† and the measured BET surface area of the samples is about $38.0 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$.

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was employed to analyse the surface chemical compositions and the valence states of the $\text{SnO}_2/\text{SnS}_2$ nanotubes. As shown in Fig. 3a, the obtained full spectrum suggested the existence of several elements, including C, Sn, O, and S. Fig. 3b shows the XPS spectra of Sn 3d and two signals at $\sim 487 \text{ eV}$ and $\sim 497 \text{ eV}$ can be attributed to $\text{Sn}^{4+} 3d^{3/2}$ and $\text{Sn}^{4+} 3d^{5/2}$, respectively. The peaks in Fig. 3c centered at $\sim 158 \text{ eV}$ and $\sim 166 \text{ eV}$ can be attributed to the S 2p. The peak of O 1s (Fig. 3d) can be attributed to the O^{2-} of $\text{SnO}_2/\text{SnS}_2$ composites that appeared at a binding energy of $\sim 531 \text{ eV}$. These results further indicated that the as-synthesized samples were composite $\text{SnO}_2/\text{SnS}_2$ nanotubes.

To study the effects of experimental parameters on the final samples, we performed control experiments at different

CH_3CSNH_2 concentrations and different reaction times, respectively. Fig. 4a–c show the SEM images of the synthesized samples with CH_3CSNH_2 concentrations of 8 mmol, 10 mmol, and 14 mmol at 150°C for 3 h, respectively. From these images, we can see that, similar to the above sample with a CH_3CSNH_2 concentration of 12 mmol, nanotubes were still formed with CH_3CSNH_2 concentrations of 8 mmol and 10 mmol except that the sample obtained at a lower CH_3CSNH_2 concentration was composed of nanoparticles with lower densities. While for the sample at 14 mmol, almost no hollow nanotubes were observed and the sample consisted of nanofibers instead. Although samples with different morphologies were obtained under different CH_3CSNH_2 concentrations, XRD patterns confirmed that all of them are still composite $\text{SnO}_2/\text{SnS}_2$ nanostructures (Fig. S2, ESI†).

Fig. 3 XPS spectra for the as-synthesized $\text{SnO}_2/\text{SnS}_2$ nanotubes: (a) survey spectrum, and high resolution spectra of (b) Sn 3d, (c) S 2p and (d) O 1s.

Fig. 4 SEM images of as-synthesized products from the *in situ* hydrothermal sulfuration process with different CH_3CSNH_2 concentrations of (a) 8 mmol, (b) 10 mmol, and (c) 14 mmol with the same reaction time; with different reaction times of (d) 5 h, (e) 7 h, and (f) 9 h with the same CH_3CSNH_2 concentration.

We also studied the effect of reaction time on the final samples by increasing the reaction time from 3 h to 5 h, 7 h, and 9 h and the corresponding SEM images are shown in Fig. 4d–f, respectively. Nanotubes are obtained after 5 h and the XRD data (Fig. S3a†) confirms that they are still composite $\text{SnO}_2/\text{SnS}_2$ nanotubes. After reacting for 7 h, XRD revealed that the sample is composed of both SnO_2 and SnS_2 (Fig. S3b†). However, nanoflowers were found coexisting with nanotubes (Fig. 4e). When the reaction time was further prolonged to 9 h, only nanoflowers were obtained, as shown in Fig. 4f, and XRD data (Fig. S3c†) revealed they are pure SnS_2 .

Flexible chemical sensors based on nanomaterials with high sensitivity, stability and workability under ambient conditions are of great interest for wearable sensing applications. After obtaining the samples, we then fabricated flexible gas sensors on PET substrates with interdigitated electrodes to detect ammonia gas using the conventional photolithographic technique. Fig. 5a shows the NH_3 gas sensing response of the gas sensors built on the samples obtained with different CH_3CSNH_2 concentrations of 8 mmol, 10 mmol, 12 mmol, and 14 mmol, to 100 ppm NH_3 gas at room temperature. From the data, it was found that the sensitivity first increased and then dropped for the samples treated with increased CH_3CSNH_2 concentrations. The highest sensitivity is about 2.48 for the sample treated with 12 mmol of CH_3CSNH_2 . The sensitivity of the samples treated

Fig. 5 Sensing response of sensors based on different samples obtained (a) with changing CH_3CSNH_2 concentrations (8–14 mmol) and (b) with varied reaction times (3–9 h) to 100 ppm NH_3 gas, respectively.

with 12 mmol of CH_3CSNH_2 at different reaction times was then investigated and the corresponding results are shown in Fig. 5b. This revealed that the sample after reacting for 3 h showed the best sensitivity with a value of 2.48. All these results suggested that composite $\text{SnO}_2/\text{SnS}_2$ nanotubes after sulfuration for 3 h in 12 mmol of CH_3CSNH_2 exhibited the highest sensitivity to NH_3 gas at room temperature, which were therefore chosen as the target material to investigate the gas sensing properties in the following work.

First, we compared the room-temperature NH_3 sensing performance of our $\text{SnO}_2/\text{SnS}_2$ nanotubes with that of pure SnO_2 nanotubes. Fig. 6a and b show the sensing performance of the $\text{SnO}_2/\text{SnS}_2$ nanotubes and the pure SnO_2 nanotubes to NH_3 gas with concentrations of 10–500 ppm at room temperature, respectively. It is clear that both samples showed an obvious response to NH_3 gas. With increased concentration of NH_3 gas, the sensitivity also increased gradually. However, the sensitivity of the $\text{SnO}_2/\text{SnS}_2$ nanotubes is much higher than that of pure SnO_2 nanotubes. For example, when exposed to NH_3 gas with a concentration of 100 ppm, the sensitivity for the $\text{SnO}_2/\text{SnS}_2$ nanotubes is 2.48, while it is 1.25 for pure SnO_2 nanotubes, indicating a great NH_3 gas sensing enhancement. The fast response–recovery time is an important assessment standard for evaluating a good gas sensor. Fig. 6c illustrates the real-time dynamic response curve of the $\text{SnO}_2/\text{SnS}_2$ nanotube sensor to 100 ppm NH_3 at room temperature. The response curve indicated that the sensor has a relatively rapid response to NH_3 gas. And the response time and recovery time were determined to be 21 s and 110 s, respectively, which are comparable to previously reported room-temperature NH_3 gas sensors.^{29–31} The repeatability of the $\text{SnO}_2/\text{SnS}_2$ nanotube sensor toward 100 ppm NH_3

Fig. 6 Response curves of (a) SnO_2 NTs and (b) $\text{SnO}_2/\text{SnS}_2$ NTs flexible sensors toward different concentrations of ammonia at room temperature. (c) The dynamic response–recovery and (d) cyclic response curves of the $\text{SnO}_2/\text{SnS}_2$ sensor toward 100 ppm of ammonia at room temperature.

Fig. 7 (a) Response of the flexible $\text{SnO}_2/\text{SnS}_2$ nanotubes based sensors to various gases (500 ppm) at room temperature. (b) Gas response of the sensors to NH_3 with different concentrations at room temperature. (Inset: response of the sensor to 1–50 ppm NH_3). Mechanical stability of the flexible $\text{SnO}_2/\text{SnS}_2$ nanotube based sensors with (c) various bending times and (d) various bending angles at room temperature.

gas is shown in Fig. 6d. The test was performed under the same conditions for four exposure/recovery cycles. No obvious changes in the response amplitude of response and recovery time were observed, revealing the outstanding stability of our flexible composite sensor.

The sensing selectivity of the fabricated flexible $\text{SnO}_2/\text{SnS}_2$ nanotube based sensors was investigated by exposing the devices to different volatile organic gases at the same concentration of 500 ppm, including acetone, ethanol, ammonia, toluene, and chloroform. As shown in Fig. 7a, the $\text{SnO}_2/\text{SnS}_2$ nanotube based sensors show much higher response to NH_3 than to other gases at room temperature, indicating that the as-synthesized $\text{SnO}_2/\text{SnS}_2$ nanotubes can be chosen to be the sensing material for NH_3 sensors. Fig. 7b further displays the response of the $\text{SnO}_2/\text{SnS}_2$ nanotube based sensors to NH_3 at various concentrations, in which the sensors exhibited a very broad gas sensing range toward NH_3 from 1 to 1000 ppm. The sensing saturation concentration is found to be ~ 500 ppm for the $\text{SnO}_2/\text{SnS}_2$ nanotube sensors. In addition, the minimum detection limit for the $\text{SnO}_2/\text{SnS}_2$ nanotubes to NH_3 is about 1 ppm and the response has a linear relationship with the NH_3

concentration in the low concentration region, as can be seen in the Fig. 7b inset.

Generally, the mechanical flexibility of flexible gas sensors is very important for potential applications in wearable electronics. Fig. 7c shows the long-term mechanical stability of our flexible sensors evaluated by the current ratio after bending for different times with the initial current. When the nanotube sensor was bent 500, 1000, 1500, 2000, 2500 and 3000 times, the calculated current ratio obviously remained almost constant, confirming the reliable and robust flexibility of the device. The inset in Fig. 7c is a photograph of the fabricated ammonia sensor, also demonstrating its good flexibility. Fig. 7d further shows the response of our gas sensor to 100 ppm NH_3 under different bending angles of 0, 30, 60, 90, 120 and 150° at room temperature. With an increase in bending angle, the gas sensors still retained a high sensing performance to ammonia at room temperature, indicating the good flexibility and mechanical stability of the device. After the sensing test, the samples were characterized by SEM again. As shown in Fig. S4 (ESI),† the samples still retain a tubular morphology, demonstrating that the microstructure of the samples is not affected by the sensing event.

Table 1 depicts a brief comparison of the performance of our ammonia gas sensor with that of other sensors. Apparently, compared to other room-temperature NH_3 sensors, including $\text{SnO}_2/\text{SnS}_2$,³⁸ $\text{In}_2\text{O}_3/\text{PANI}$,³⁹ CeO_2/Pani ,⁴⁰ and $\text{Pd}/\text{SnO}_2/\text{RGO}$,⁴¹ based devices, our $\text{SnO}_2/\text{SnS}_2$ nanotube sensors show faster response and recovery times to ammonia. Moreover, this $\text{SnO}_2/\text{SnS}_2$ based sensor also exhibits a lower operating time than other oxide based sensors,^{26,35,36} demonstrating that this tubular composite based sensor with high sensing performance could be applied to the potential monitoring of ammonia at room temperature.

All the above-mentioned testing results demonstrated that our tubular $\text{SnO}_2/\text{SnS}_2$ composites exhibited excellent sensing performance to ammonia gas at room temperature, including high sensitivity, good selectivity, and outstanding repeatability and stability. Fig. 8 shows the proposed mechanism for the sensing behaviour of $\text{SnO}_2/\text{SnS}_2$ nanotube based sensors in air and in ammonia gas at room temperature. From the energy band structure diagram in Fig. 8 left, a heterojunction is formed at the boundaries of SnO_2 and SnS_2 crystallites in the

Table 1 Comparison of the sensing performance of various NH_3 gas sensors

Material	Structure	Temperature (°C)	Concentration (ppm)	Sensitivity	Response time (s)	Recovery time (s)	Reference
$\text{SnO}_2/\text{SnS}_2$	Nanotubes	Room temperature	100	2.48 (I_g/I_a)	21	110	This work
SnO_2	Nanorods	300	800	180	36	25	35
MoO_3	Nanoparticle	400	500	69% ($R_a - R_g$)/ R_a	60	180	36
$\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4/\text{SnO}_2$	Nanospheres	200	50	13.6	4	17	26
Pt/SnO_2	Thin film	230	450	25.7	1	—	37
$\text{SnO}_2\text{-SnS}_2$	Nanosheets	Room temperature	100	2.0	200	200	38
$\text{In}_2\text{O}_3/\text{PANI}$	Nanofibers	Room temperature	1000	1.2	500	500	39
CeO_2/Pani	Particles	Room temperature	2	7.5%	400	600	40
$\text{Pd}/\text{SnO}_2/\text{RGO}$	Thin film	25	100	19.6%	>300	>500	41

Fig. 8 Schematic of gas sensing mechanism and energy band structure diagram of $\text{SnO}_2/\text{SnS}_2$ nanotube sensor in air and ammonia. E_c : conduction band, E_f : Fermi level, E_v : valence band, E_g : band gap energy.

composites. Electrons can transfer from the conduction band of SnS_2 to that of SnO_2 due to the work function of SnS_2 being higher than that of SnO_2 ,³² resulting in the formation of a thin electron depletion layer on the side of SnS_2 and an accumulation layer on the side of SnO_2 . When exposed to air, oxygen molecules physically adsorbed onto the surface of SnO_2 and SnS_2 form O^- by capturing electrons from the conduction bands of SnO_2 and SnS_2 .³³ The depletion layer is widened, leading to an increase in the measured resistance of the sensor. When the sensor is exposed to ammonia gas, NH_3 molecules react with O^- on the surface of SnO_2 and SnS_2 as below:

The depletion layer is narrowed, leading to a decrease in the measured resistance of the sensor, as shown in Fig. 8 right. In this case, the response of $\text{SnO}_2/\text{SnS}_2$ nanotube based sensors to ammonia is much higher than that of both pure SnO_2 and SnS_2 based sensors at room temperature, which could be attributed to the following two factors. Firstly, the hollow structure $\text{SnO}_2/\text{SnS}_2$ composites with a high aspect ratio provide more active sites for ammonia to adsorb on the surface.³⁴ Secondly, the synergistic effect of both SnO_2 and SnS_2 particles is helpful to the reversible adsorption of more NH_3 gases for an enhanced sensing response. Thus, the sensing response was effectively increased in $\text{SnO}_2/\text{SnS}_2$ nanotubes.

Conclusions

In summary, tubular $\text{SnO}_2/\text{SnS}_2$ composites composed of highly aggregated nanoparticles have been synthesized on the backbones of pristine SnO_2 nanotubes from an *in situ* hydrothermal sulfuration process in the presence of CH_3CSNH_2 . Flexible gas sensors based on the $\text{SnO}_2/\text{SnS}_2$ nanotubes were fabricated and exhibited good sensing performance to ammonia at room temperature, including fast sensing response/recovery time, good selectivity and mechanical stability. Besides, the results showed that the composite $\text{SnO}_2/\text{SnS}_2$ nanotube sensors exhibited an enhanced sensing performance towards ammonia gas at room temperature when compared to pristine SnO_2 or

SnS_2 , mainly due to the hollow structure and synergistic effect of both grains. Our experimental results highlight that these tubular composites are promising candidates for building ammonia gas sensors at room temperature.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

The work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (51672308, 61625404, and 61504136), the Beijing Natural Science Foundation (4162062), Beijing Municipal Science and Technology Project (No. Z1711000220000) and the Key Research Program of Frontiers Sciences, CAS (QYZDY-SSW-JSC004).

Notes and references

- 1 L. L. Wang, Z. Lou, R. Zhang, T. T. Zhou, J. N. Deng and T. Zhang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2016, **8**, 6539.
- 2 J. Zhou, J. W. Zhang, A. U. Rehman, K. Kan, L. Li and K. Y. Shi, *J. Mater. Sci.*, 2017, **52**, 3757.
- 3 N. Du, H. Zhang, B. B. Chen, X. Y. Ma, Z. H. Liu, J. B. Wu and D. R. Yang, *Adv. Mater.*, 2007, **19**, 1641.
- 4 H. Liu, M. Li, O. Voznyy, L. Hu, Q. Fu, D. Zhou and J. Tang, *Adv. Mater.*, 2014, **26**, 2718.
- 5 A. I. Uddin and G. S. Chung, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2016, **231**, 601.
- 6 P. Bahoumina, H. Hallil, J. L. Lachaud, A. Abdelghani, K. Frigui and S. Bila, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2017, **249**, 708.
- 7 D. K. Bandgar, S. T. Navale, S. R. Nalage, R. S. Mane, F. J. Stadler, D. K. Aswal and V. B. Patil, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2015, **3**, 9461.
- 8 S. Abdulla, T. L. Mathew and B. Pullithadathil, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2015, **221**, 1523.
- 9 P. Wan, X. Wen, C. Sun, B. K. Chandran, H. Zhang, X. Sun and X. Chen, *Small*, 2015, **11**, 5409.
- 10 L. Xue, W. Wang, Y. Guo, G. Liu and P. Wan, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2017, **244**, 47.
- 11 S. Niu, Y. Hu, X. Wen, Y. Zhou, F. Zhang, L. Lin and Z. L. Wang, *Adv. Mater.*, 2013, **25**, 3701.
- 12 Z. Q. Zheng, J. D. Yao, B. Wang and G. W. Yang, *Sci. Rep.*, 2015, **5**, 11070.
- 13 O. Krsko, T. Plecenik, T. Roch, B. Grancic, L. Satrapinskyy and M. Truchly, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2017, **240**, 1058.
- 14 Q. Wang, C. Wang, H. Sun, P. Sun, Y. Wang, J. Lin and G. Lu, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2016, **222**, 257.
- 15 A. Amutha, S. Amirthapandian, A. K. Prasad, B. K. Panigrahy and P. Thangadurai, *J. Neurosci. Res.*, 2015, **17**, 1.
- 16 H. Zhang, J. Feng, T. Fei, S. Liu and T. Zhang, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2014, **190**, 472.
- 17 M. Shahabuddin, A. Sharma, J. Kumar, M. Tomar, A. Umar and V. Gupta, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2014, **194**, 410.
- 18 K. Suematsu, Y. Shin, Z. Hua, K. Yoshida, M. Yuasa, T. Kida and K. Shimano, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2014, **6**, 5319.

- 19 D. Hu, B. Han, S. Deng, Z. Feng, Y. Wang, J. Popovic and I. Djerdj, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2014, **118**, 9832.
- 20 Y. Zou, S. Chen, J. Sun, J. Liu, Y. Che, X. Liu and D. Yang, *ACS Sens.*, 2017, **2**, 897.
- 21 S. H. Yan, S. Y. Ma, W. Q. Li, X. L. Xu, L. Cheng, H. S. Song and X. Y. Liang, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2015, **221**, 88.
- 22 L. Zhou, C. Zhao, B. Giri, P. Allen, X. Xu, H. Josh and P. M. Rao, *Nano Lett.*, 2016, **16**, 3463.
- 23 T. Ma, X. Yu, H. Li, W. Zhang, X. Cheng, W. Zhu and X. Qiu, *Nano Lett.*, 2017, **17**, 3959.
- 24 L. P. Wang, Y. Leconte, Z. Feng, C. Wei, Y. Zhao, Q. Ma and Z. J. Xu, *Adv. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 1603286.
- 25 D. Gu, X. Li, Y. Zhao and J. Wang, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2017, **244**, 67.
- 26 A. Katoch, J. H. Kim, Y. J. Kwon, H. W. Kim and S. S. Kim, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2015, **7**, 11351.
- 27 W. S. Kim, B. S. Lee, D. H. Kim, H. C. Kim, W. R. Yu and S. H. Hong, *Nanotechnology*, 2010, **21**, 245.
- 28 H. Wang, F. Sun and Y. Zhang, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2010, **20**, 5641.
- 29 B. Nketia-Yawson, A. R. Jung, Y. Noh, G. S. Ryu, G. D. Tabi, K. K. Lee and Y. Y. Noh, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, **9**, 7322.
- 30 L. Wang, H. Huang, S. Xiao, D. Cai, Y. Liu, B. Liu and Q. Li, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2014, **6**, 14131.
- 31 P. G. Su and L. Y. Yang, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2016, **223**, 202–208.
- 32 Y. C. Zhang, Z. N. Du, K. W. Li, M. Zhang and D. D. Dionysiou, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2011, **3**, 1528–1537.
- 33 K. Xu, N. Li, D. Zeng, S. Tian, S. Zhang and D. Hu, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2015, **7**, 11359.
- 34 J. Chen, L. Xu, W. Li and X. Gou, *Adv. Mater.*, 2005, **17**, 582.
- 35 C. S. Rout, M. Hegde, A. Govindaraj and C. N. R. Rao, *Nanotechnology*, 2007, **18**, 205504.
- 36 S. S. Sunu, E. Prabhu, V. Jayaraman, K. I. Gnanasekar and T. K. Seshagiri, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2004, **101**, 161.
- 37 M. Shahabuddin, A. Sharma, J. Kumar, M. Tomar, A. Umar and V. Gupta, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2014, **194**, 410.
- 38 K. Xu, N. Li, D. W. Zeng, S. Q. Tian, S. S. Zhang, D. Hu and C. S. Xie, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2015, **7**, 11359.
- 39 Z. Y. Pang, Q. X. Nie, A. F. Wei, J. Yang, F. L. Huang and Q. F. Wei, *J. Mater. Sci.*, 2017, **52**, 686.
- 40 L. L. Wang, H. Huang, S. H. Xiao, D. P. Cai, Y. Liu, B. Liu, D. D. Wang, C. X. Li, H. Wang and Y. R. Wang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2014, **6**, 14131.
- 41 P. G. Su and L. Y. Yang, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2016, **223**, 202.