# RSC Advances



# PAPER

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2017, 7, 49299

# Visible-light induced tandem radical cyanomethylation and cyclization of N-aryl acrylamides: access to cyanomethylated oxindoles†

Xiaoshuang Gao, Wuheng Dong, Bei Hu, Huang Gao, Yao Yuan, Xiaomin Xie and Zhaoguo Zhang  $\mathbb{D}^*$ 

Received 11th September 2017 Accepted 16th October 2017

DOI: 10.1039/c7ra10090f

rsc.li/rsc-advances

A visible-light induced cyanomethylation of N-aryl acrylamides with bromoacetonitrile followed by intramolecular cyclization has been explored. This transformation exhibits a wide substrate scope and significant functional group tolerance, providing a facile synthetic approach and highly efficient access to cyanomethylated oxindoles.

Oxindoles are an ubiquitous heterocycles motif in many natural products, pharmaceuticals and agrochemicals.<sup>1</sup> Moreover, oxindoles have significant biological activities and wide-ranging applications in organic synthesis.<sup>2</sup> Therefore, the search for sustainable and more efficient methods for the preparation of oxindoles is of constant interest. Recently, catalytic difunctionalization of alkenes emerged as an attractive strategy for accessing structurally diverse heterocyclic compounds,<sup>3</sup> for example, a tandem radical addition/cyclization of N-aryl acrylamides that provides an elegant method for the construction of the 3,3-disubstituted oxindole skeleton has been reported;<sup>4</sup> and the radical process involving alkylarylation,<sup>5</sup> diarylation,<sup>6</sup> arylnitration,<sup>7</sup> arylphosphorylation,<sup>8</sup> aryltrifluoromethylation,<sup>9</sup> and azidoarylation<sup>10</sup> of N-aryl acrylamides have since been disclosed by several groups, allowing the effective formation of the oxindole framework. PAPER<br>
(a) Cheek for updates<br>
(a) Cheek for updates<br>
Club and USible-light induced tandem radical<br>
Club and Cyanomethylation and cyclization of N-aryl<br>
acrylamides: access to cyanomethylated<br>
Club and 27 and 27 and 27 and

Cyanomethylation oxindoles are of signicant interest because cyanomethylation reaction is considered to be a privileged reaction which resulted products can be utilized as key intermediates in drug synthesis.<sup>11</sup> Some successful examples synthesizing cyanomethylated oxindoles have been reported in recent years.<sup>12</sup> For example, in 2011, Liu discovered a novel Pdcatalyzed oxidative method to afford nitrile-bearing indolinones, which involves  $\alpha$ -C-H activation of both aniline and acetonitrile by the aid of stoichiometric  $PhI[OCO<sup>t</sup>Bu]_2$  and AgF.<sup>12a</sup> Subsequently, You and Zhu demonstrated Cu and Fecatalysed 1,2-cyanoalkylarylation of N-aryl acrylamides for the construction of cyanomethylation of oxindoles using acetonitrile as cyanomethyl source, respectively.<sup>12</sup>b,12<sup>e</sup> Sheng also

School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, 800 Dongchuan Road, Shanghai 200240, China. E-mail: zhaoguo@sjtu.edu.cn

developed cyanomethylation of activated alkenes through a radical pathway using AIBN as the radical initiator.<sup>12g</sup> Nevertheless, stoichiometric amount of transition metals or promoter mediates are required in aforementioned examples. A milder and more efficient method for the synthesis of functionalized oxindoles is still highly desirable.

Nowadays, the visible-light photoredox catalysis strategy has been identified as a uniquely powerful and straightforward tool for synthetic transformations in organic chemistry, owing to its high efficiency and environmentally friendly mild reaction conditions.<sup>13</sup> Several groups have been synthesized the oxindole derivatives by the means of UV light or visible-light photoredox catalysis.<sup>14</sup> However, the visible-light photoredox catalysis approaches for their preparation of cyanomethylated oxindoles are extremely limited. As far as we know, only one example involving a visible-light catalyzed cyanomethylated of oxindoles has been reported by the Li group, $12c$  in which moderate yields and equivalent of 4-MeOC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>N<sub>2</sub>BF<sub>4</sub> reagent was used as promoter. As part of our ongoing interest in visible light photoredox catalytic reactions,<sup>15</sup> we present a novel visible-light induced radical addition/cyclization cascade cyanomethylation of N-aryl acrylamides for the synthesis of valuable cyanomethylcontaining oxindoles using bromoacetonitrile as cyanomethyl radical source (Scheme 1).

Initially, we investigated this reaction using N-methyl-Nphenylmethacrylamide (1a) and bromoacetonitrile (2) as the



Scheme 1 Visible-light induced tandem cyanomethylation and cyclization of N-aryl acrylamides.

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c7ra10090f

starting materials with  $K_2CO_3$  as the base and  $fac-Ir(ppy)_{3}$ (2 mol%) as the catalyst. To our delight, the transformation proceeded smoothly after 24 h of irradiation with a 23 W household fluorescent lamp (CFL) in  $CH<sub>3</sub>CN$  at room temperature, affording the desired product 3a in 76% yield (Table 1, entry 1). When this reaction was performed in the absence of base, only 15% yield of 3a was obtained, and most of the N-methyl-N-phenylmethacrylamide 1a was recovered (Table 1, entry 2). Encouraged by this result, the reaction conditions of this cascade were further optimized. Firstly, we screened the catalysts and found that other photoredox catalysts, such as [Ir(dtbbpy)(ppy)<sub>2</sub>][PF<sub>6</sub>], Ru(bpy)<sub>3</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O, and Eosin Y, resulted in low reaction efficiency (Table 1, entries 3–5). Then we screened different bases (Table 1, entries 6–12). It turned out that  $Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  was the best base among the inorganic and organic bases tested, giving the product with 93% yield. A survey of commonly used solvents, such as DMF, DMSO, CHCl<sub>3</sub>, MeOH, and THF, were tested (Table 1, entries 13–17). However, reaction in none of the above solvents afforded higher yield than that in CH3CN. The highest yield was achieved when 2 equiv. of bromoacetonitrile was used (Table 1, entry 19). Increased to 4 equiv. or reduced to 1.5 equiv. of bromoacetonitrile led to



Entry	Photocatalyst	Base	Solvent	Yield $^{b}$ (%)
1	$fac-Ir(ppy)_{3}$	$K_2CO_3$	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	76
2	$fac-Ir(ppy)_{3}$	None	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	15
3	$[Ir(dtbbpy)(ppy)_2][PF_6]$	$K_2CO_3$	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	12
4	$Ru(bpy)_3Cl_2 \cdot 6H_2O$	$K_2CO_3$	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	3
5	Eosin Y	$K_2CO_3$	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	5
6	$fac-Ir(ppy)_{3}$	Li <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	79
7	$fac-Ir(ppy)_{3}$	Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	93
8	$fac-Ir(ppy)_{3}$	NAHCO <sub>3</sub>	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	82
9	$fac-Ir(ppy)_{3}$	Na <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub>	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	71
10	$fac-Ir(ppy)_{3}$	$K_3PO_4$	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	41
11	$fac-Ir(ppy)_{3}$	KOAc	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	46
12	$fac-Ir(ppy)_{3}$	$NEt_3$	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	29
13	$fac-Ir(ppy)_{3}$	Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	<b>DMF</b>	86
14	$fac-Ir(ppy)_{3}$	Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	<b>DMSO</b>	69
15	$fac-Ir(ppy)_{3}$	Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	CHCl <sub>3</sub>	87
16	$fac-Ir(ppy)_{3}$	Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	MeOH	22
17	$fac-Ir(ppy)_{3}$	Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	<b>THF</b>	85
$18^d$	$fac-Ir(ppy)_{3}$	Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	91
$19^e$	$fac-Ir(ppy)_{3}$	Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	$95(93)^{c}$
$20^{f}$	$fac-Ir(ppy)_{3}$	Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	71
21	None	Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	$\mathbf{0}$
22 <sup>g</sup>	$fac-Ir(ppy)_{3}$	Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	$\mathbf{0}$

 $a$  Reaction conditions: 1a (88 mg, 0.5 mmol), 2 (180 mg, 1.5 mmol, 3 eq.), catalyst (2 mol%), base (1 mmol, 2 eq.), solvent (5 mL), rt, 24 h, under N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. <sup>b</sup> Determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis with benzyl ether as an internal standard. <sup>c</sup> The value in parentheses was isolated yield.  $d$  4 equiv. of 2 was used.  $e$  2 equiv. of 2 was used.  $f$  1.5 equiv. of 2 was used.  $g$  In the dark.

a lower yield of 91% and 71%, respectively (Table 1, entries 18 and 20). Control experiments suggested that photocatalyst and irradiation are indispensable to this transformation (Table 1, entries 21 and 22).

With the optimized reaction conditions in hand, we evaluated the scope of acrylamides with 2 (Table 2). Initially, the examination of different N-protecting groups revealed that methyl-protected (1a) was still the best choice, similar ethylprotected (1b) and benzyl-protected (1c) substrate gave slightly reduced yields, whereas the reactions of N–H derivatives failed (1d). Gratifyingly, various functional groups were well tolerated, and both electron-donating group ( $e.g.,$  Me, OMe,  ${}^{t}Bu)$  and electron-withdrawing group (e.g., CN, COOMe, COMe) substituents at the para position of the aniline moiety proceeded efficiently to afford the cyclized products 3e-n in moderate to good yields. Notably, halogen functional groups such as F, Cl, and Br were well-tolerated leading to the corresponding halogen-substituted cyanomethylation of oxindoles in good yields (76–90%, 3i–k, Table 2), which offered the potential for further synthetic elaboration. For the N-aryl acrylamides containing ortho-position substituent groups exhibited a particularly distinct steric hindrance effect, and lower yields were observed as a result (Table 2, 3o–p). N-Aryl acrylamides bearing a *meta*-substituent underwent cyanomethylation smoothly to give a mixture product of isomers in 95% yield with poor regioselectivity  $(3q : 3q' = 1.6 : 1)$ . Moreover, 3,5-dimethyl N-aryl amides 3r also underwent the tandem reaction smoothly. In addition, naphthalene and tetrahydroquinoline derivative were also viable substrates to provide the corresponding oxindoles 3s and 3t with the same yield of 84% (Table 2, 3s–3t). BSC Advances Straing materials with k.CO, as the base and *for*-Hippy<sub>1</sub>, a lower yield of 9.1% and 24%, respectively (Table 1, entries 21<br>
2. the foreign in encoded the members are the published on 23 October 2018. In al

To gain additional mechanistic insights, 3 equiv. of TEMPO relative to 1a was added to the reaction system, no desired product 3a was observed and starting material was recovered,



Reaction conditions:  $1$  (0.5 mmol), BrCH<sub>2</sub>CN (120 mg, 2 equiv.), base (2 equiv.), catalyst (2 mol%), CH<sub>3</sub>CN (5 mL), irradiation with a 23 W household light bulb, rt, 24 h.  $\frac{b}{b}$  Yields of isolated products.



indicating that a radical process is probably involved in this reaction. On the basis of above results and previous literature reports,<sup>11</sup> a plausible mechanism was proposed (Scheme 2).

Initially, iridium catalyst was excited to generate the excited species  $fac$ -[Ir(ppy)<sub>3</sub>]\* under visible light irradiation, which then undergoes single electron transfer (SET) process with bromoacetonitrile 2 to generate cyanomethyl radical  $A$  and  $Ir<sup>IV</sup>$  metal complex. Subsequently, the addition of cyanomethyl radical A to activated alkene 1a afforded alkyl radical B, followed by intramolecular cyclization with aryl ring lead to intermediate C, which was further oxidized through SET process to give key carbocation D and regenerated the photocatalyst. Finally, deprotonation of D in the presence of a base gave the desired product 3a.

#### Conclusions

In summary, we have disclosed an operationally convenient visible-light photocatalytic tandem cyanomethylation of N-aryl acrylamides using available bromoacetonitrile as starting material. The protocol presents a mild and efficient to furnish a variety of functionalized oxindoles. Both electron donating and electron withdrawing groups on the N-aryl acrylamides are tolerated in the reaction, and the corresponding products were obtained in moderate to good yields.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the financial support provided by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 21232003). We thank the Instrumental Analysis Center of Shanghai Jiao Tong University for microanalysis.

## Notes and references

1 For selected reviews, see: (a) B. S. Jensen, CNS Drug Rev., 2002, 8, 353–360; (b) C. Marti and E. M. Carreira, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2003, 2003, 2209–2219; (c) C. V. Galliford and K. A. Scheidt, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2007, 46, 8748–8758;  $(d)$  B. M. Trost and M. K. Brennan, Synthesis, 2009, 2009, 3003–3025; (e) G. S. Singh and Z. Y. Desta, Chem. Rev., 2012, 112, 6104–6155.

- 2 For selected reviews, see: (a) F. Zhou, Y.-L. Liu and J. Zhou, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2010, 352, 1381–1407; (b) J. E. M. N. Klein and R. J. K. Taylor, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2011, 2011, 6821–6841; (c) R. Dalpozzo, G. Bartoli and G. Bencivenni, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2012, 41, 7247–7290.
- 3 For selected reviews, see: (a) G. Li, S. R. S. S. Kotti and C. Timmons, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2007, 2007, 2745–2758; (b) S. R. Chemler, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2009, 7, 3009–3019; (c) R. M. Romero, T. H. Woste and K. Muniz, Chem.–Asian J., 2014, 9, 972–983; (d) T. Besset, T. Poisson and X. Pannecoucke, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2015, 2015, 2765–2789; (e) G. Y. Yin, X. Mu and G. S. Liu, Acc. Chem. Res., 2016, 49, 2413–2423.
- 4 For selected reviews, see: (a) J.-R. Chen, X.-Y. Yu and W.-J. Xiao, Synthesis, 2015, 47, 604–629; (b) R.-J. Song, Y. Liu, Y.-X. Xie and J.-H. Li, Synthesis, 2015, 47, 1195–1209.
- 5 For examples of alkylarylation, see: (a) T. Wu, H. Zhang and G. Liu, Tetrahedron, 2012, 68, 5229–5233; (b) J.-H. Li, R.-J. Song, J.-H. Fan, M.-B. Zhou, Y. Liu, W.-T. Wei and X.-H. Ouyang, Synlett, 2014, 25, 657–660; (c) Z. Li, Y. Zhang, L. Zhang and Z. Q. Liu, Org. Lett., 2014, 16, 382–385; (d) Z. Xu, C. Yan and Z. Q. Liu, Org. Lett., 2014, 16, 5670–5673.
- 6 For examples of diarylation, see: (a) L. Shi, Y. Wang, H. Yang and H. Fu, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2014, 12, 4070–4073; (b) S. Tang, D. Zhou and Y.-C. Wang, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2014, 2014, 3656–3661; (c) B. Zhou, W. Hou, Y. Yang, H. Feng and Y. Li, Org. Lett., 2014, 16, 1322–1325; (d) Z. Ni, S. Wang, X. Huang, J. Wang and Y. Pan, Tetrahedron Lett., 2015, 56, 2512–2516.
- 7 For examples of arylnitration, see: (a) Y. M. Li, X. H. Wei, X. A. Li and S. D. Yang, Chem. Commun., 2013, 49, 11701– 11703; (b) Y.-M. Li, Y. Shen, K.-J. Chang and S.-D. Yang, Tetrahedron Lett., 2014, 55, 2119–2122; (c) T. Shen, Y. Yuan and N. Jiao, Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 554–556; (d) S.-D. Yang, X.-H. Wei and Q.-X. Wu, Synlett, 2015, 26, 1417–1421.
- 8 For examples of arylphosphorylation, see: (a) Y. M. Li, M. Sun, H. L. Wang, Q. P. Tian and S. D. Yang, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 3972–3976; (b) Y.-M. Li, Y. Shen, K.-J. Chang and S.-D. Yang, Tetrahedron, 2014, 70, 1991–1996.
- 9 For examples of aryltrifluoromethylation, see:  $(a)$  H. Egami, R. Shimizu and M. Sodeoka, J. Fluorine Chem., 2013, 152, 51–55; (b) F.-X. Chen, Y.-F. Wang, J. Qiu and D. Kong, Synlett, 2014, 25, 1731–1734; (c) W. Fu, F. Xu, Y. Fu, C. Xu, S. Li and D. Zou, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2014, 2014, 709–712; (d) J. Liu, S. Zhuang, Q. Gui, X. Chen, Z. Yang and Z. Tan, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2014, 2014, 3196–3202; (e) L. Shi, X. Yang, Y. Wang, H. Yang and H. Fu, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2014, 356, 1021–1028; (f) W. Wei, J. Wen, D. Yang, X. Liu, M. Guo, R. Dong and H. Wang, J. Org. Chem., 2014, 79, 4225–4230; (g) L. Zhang, Z. Li and Z. Q. Liu, Org. Lett., 2014, 16, 3688–3691.
- 10 For examples of azidoarylation, see: (a) K. Matcha, R. Narayan and A. P. Antonchick, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 7985–7989; (b) X.-H. Wei, Y.-M. Li, A.-X. Zhou, T.-T. Yang and S.-D. Yang, Org. Lett., 2013, 15, 4158–4161; (c) Y. Yuan, T. Shen, K. Wang and N. Jiao, Chem.–Asian J., 2013, 8, 2932–2935; (d) J. Qiu and R. Zhang, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2014, 12, 4329–4334.
- 11 (a) H. Yi, X. Zhang, C. Qin, Z. Liao, J. Liu and A. Lei, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2014, 356, 2873–2877; (b) E. R. Welin, A. A. Warkentin, J. C. Conrad and D. W. C. MacMillan, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 9668–9672; (c) Q. Chang, Z. Liu, P. Liu, L. Yu and P. Sun, J. Org. Chem., 2017, 82, 5391–5397; (d) Y. Yu, Z. Cai, W. Yuan, P. Liu and P. Sun, J. Org. Chem., 2017, 82, 8148–8156; (e) Y. Kumagai, T. Naoe, K. Nishikawa, K. Osaka, T. Morita and Y. Yoshimi, Aust. J. Chem., 2015, 68, 1668–1671.
- 12 (a) T. Wu, X. Mu and G. Liu, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2011, 50, 12578–12581; (b) J. Li, Z. Wang, N. Wu, G. Gao and J. You, Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 15049–15051; (c) J.-L. Zhang, Y. Liu, R.-J. Song, G.-F. Jiang and J.-H. Li, Synlett, 2014, 25, 1031–1035; (d) X. Li, J. Xu, Y. Gao, H. Fang, G. Tang and Y. Zhao, J. Org. Chem., 2015, 80, 2621–2626; (e) C. Pan, H. Zhang and C. Zhu, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2015, 13, 361– 364; (f) S. Tang, S.-H. Li, Z.-H. Li, D. Zhou and R.-L. Sheng, Tetrahedron Lett., 2015, 56, 1423–1426; (g) S. Tang, D. Zhou, Z.-H. Li, M.-J. Fu, L. Jie, R.-L. Sheng and S.-H. Li, Synthesis, 2015, 47, 1567–1580; (h) D. Zhou, Z.-H. Li, J. Li, S.-H. Li, M.-W. Wang, X.-L. Luo, G.-L. Ding, R.-L. Sheng, M.-J. Fu and S. Tang, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2015, 2015, 1606– 1612; (i) Z. Ni, X. Huang, J. Wang and Y. Pan, RSC Adv., 2016, 6, 522–526.
- 13 For selected reviews, see: (a) J. M. R. Narayanam and C. R. J. Stephenson, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2011, 40, 102–113; (b) J. Xuan and W.-J. Xiao, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 6828–6838; (c) C. K. Prier, D. A. Rankic and D. W. C. MacMillan, Chem. Rev., 2013, 113, 5322–5363; (d) N. A. Romero and D. A. Nicewicz, Chem. Rev., 2016, 116, 10075–10166; (e) K. L. Skubi, T. R. Blum and T. P. Yoon, Chem. Rev., 2016, 116, 10035–10074; (f) J. Xuan, Z.-G. Zhang and W.-J. Xiao, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 15632–15641; (g) J.-R. Chen, D.-M. Yan, Q. Wei and W.-J. Xiao, ChemPhotoChem, 2017, 1, 148–158.
- 14 (a) X. Ju, Y. Liang, P. Jia, W. Li and W. Yu, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2012, 10, 498–501; (b) W. Fu, F. Xu, Y. Fu, M. Zhu, J. Yu, C. Xu and D. Zou, J. Org. Chem., 2013, 78, 12202–12206; (c) J. Xie, P. Xu, H. Li, Q. Xue, H. Jin, Y. Cheng and C. Zhu, Chem. Commun., 2013, 49, 5672–5674; (d) P. Xu, J. Xie, Q. Xue, C. Pan, Y. Cheng and C. Zhu, Chemistry, 2013, 19, 14039– 14042; (e) W. Fu, M. Zhu, G. Zou, C. Xu and Z. Wang, Synlett, 2014, 25, 2513–2517; (f) W. Fu, M. Zhu, G. Zou, C. Xu and Z. Wang, Asian J. Org. Chem., 2014, 3, 1273– 1276; (g) Y. Liu, J.-L. Zhang, R.-J. Song and J.-H. Li, Org. Chem. Front., 2014, 1, 1289–1294; (h) X. J. Tang, C. S. Thomoson and W. R. Dolbier Jr, Org. Lett., 2014, 16, 4594–4597; (i) G. Bergonzini, C. Cassani and C. J. Wallentin, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 14066– 14069; (j) C. Liu, W. Zhao, Y. Huang, H. Wang and B. Zhang, Tetrahedron, 2015, 71, 4344–4351; (k) D. Xia, T. Miao, P. Li and L. Wang, Chem.–Asian J., 2015, 10, 1919– 1925; (l) Y. An, Y. Li and J. Wu, Org. Chem. Front., 2016, 3, 570–573; (m) W. Ji, H. Tan, M. Wang, P. Li and L. Wang, Chem. Commun., 2016, 52, 1462–1465; (n) C. X. Li, D. S. Tu, R. Yao, H. Yan and C. S. Lu, Org. Lett., 2016, 18, 4928– 4931; (o) G. Yin, M. Zhu, G. Yang, X. Wang and W. Fu, J. Fluorine Chem., 2016, 191, 63-69;  $(p)$  K. Zhou, H. Xia and J. Wu, Org. Chem. Front., 2016, 3, 865–869; (q) Z. Gonda, F. Béke, O. Tischler, M. Petró, Z. Novák and B. L. Tóth, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2017, 2017, 2112–2117; (r) T. Liu, D. Zheng and J. Wu, Org. Chem. Front., 2017, 4, 1079–1083; (s) D. Xia, Y. Li, T. Miao, P. Li and L. Wang, Green Chem., 2017, 19, 1732–1739; (t) Z. Xie, P. Li, Y. Hu, N. Xu and L. Wang, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2017, 15, 4205–4211; (u) S.-M. Xu, J.-Q. Chen, D. Liu, Y. Bao, Y.-M. Liang and P.-F. Xu, Org. Chem. Front., 2017, 4, 1331–1335. BSC Articles. Published on 23 October 2017. Downloaded on 24 AM. This article is likensed under a creative Commons Attack AM. Th
	- 15 (a) B. Hu, H. X. Chen, Y. Liu, W. H. Dong, K. Ren, X. M. Xie, H. Xu and Z. G. Zhang, Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 13547– 13550; (b) W. H. Dong, Y. Liu, B. Hu, K. Ren, Y. Y. Li, X. M. Xie, Y. X. Jiang and Z. G. Zhang, Chem. Commun., 2015, 51, 4587–4590; (c) B. Hu, Y. Y. Li, W. H. Dong, K. Ren, X. M. Xie, J. Wan and Z. G. Zhang, Chem. Commun., 2016, 52, 3709–3712; (d) Y. Y. Li, B. Hui, W. H. Dong, X. M. Xie, J. Wan and Z. G. Zhang, J. Org. Chem., 2016, 81, 7036–7041.