Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2017, 7, 48294

A facile electrospinning and electrospraying synchronization technique for preparation of high performance MnO/C@rGO composite anodes for lithium storage

Fan Wang,^a Peipei Zhu,^a Jiaolong Pan,^a Chao Li^{*b} and Zhenyu Yang ^{*ab}

A three-dimensional (3D) composite film has become one of the most attractive candidates for energy-storage systems owing to its simple design and fast charge-transportation network. In this study, novel electrospinning and electrospraying synchronization technologies were combined to achieve manganese oxide/carbon nanofibers@reduced graphene oxide composite film electrodes [MnO/CNFs@rGO, (MCG)] for lithium-ion batteries (LIBs). In such an electrode structure, the as-prepared CNFs/MnO nanowires successively dispersed on the 2D reduced graphene oxide (rGO) sheets to build a 3D composite flexible film, forming an especially stable, freestanding, and binder-free structure. In this film, the rGO sheets and carbon coating can accommodate the huge volume expansion from MnO after lithiation, improve the electronic conductivity, and further increase lithium ion diffusion. Remarkably, the MCG electrodes exhibited a reversible capacity of 1148 mA h g⁻¹ at a current density of 0.1 A g⁻¹ and retained a capacity of 332 mA h g⁻¹ at a current density of 5 A g⁻¹ even after 4500 cycles. More interestingly, the MnO₂ powder (Mn source) used as the raw material in this work was the recovery product from spent Zn/MnO₂ batteries. The present work also demonstrates a new approach to utilize the MnO₂ from spent Zn/MnO₂ batteries to make high value Li-ion battery electrodes.

Received 30th August 2017
Accepted 9th October 2017

DOI: 10.1039/c7ra09636d

rsc.li/rsc-advances

1. Introduction

Currently, lithium-ion batteries (LIBs) dominate the market of energy-storage systems and have become irreplaceable in portable devices. However, along with the gradually increasing demand, the many shortcomings of the electrode materials, such as low specific capacity, poor rate capability, and short life, have further limited the development and application of LIBs.^{1,2} Searching for advanced materials is one of the most important missions in current LIB studies. However, most of the cathode materials in commercial applications are based on LiMO (M is a transition metal, such as Co, Mn, Ni), and these intercalation-based materials have a relatively small number of crystallographic sites for storing charge-carrier ions, leading to limited energy densities (≤ 200 mA h g⁻¹), so there is very little room for further improvement.² Therefore, searching for anode materials with a high capacity is urgently needed.

Compared with commercial graphite anode with low theoretical specific capacity (372 mA h g⁻¹),³ transitional-metal oxides, such as Co₃O₄,^{4,5} Fe₂O₃,⁶ and MnO, Mn₂O₃ and

Mn₃O₄,^{7–10} have received increasing attention owing to their high theoretical capacities. Among them, MnO has become one of the most popular anode materials for LIBs owing to their low cost, high theoretical capacity, and environmentally friendly characteristics.^{8–12} It has also some superior characteristics such as low conversion potential (1.032 V vs. Li/Li⁺) and low voltage hysteresis than that of common graphite.^{10,13,14} Besides, MnO is also demonstrated as the only end-product relative to other manganese oxides after the recharge process in the first discharge process.^{15,16} Nevertheless, as an anode material, raw MnO material still suffers from fast capacity fading and low rate capability, which are caused by poor conductivity, serious agglomeration, and the large volume change due to Li⁺ incorporation/extraction in the charge-discharge process.¹⁷

In primary studies, various MnO/C composites with different nanostructures have been synthesized by many methods for LIBs.^{18–26} However, most of the synthesis strategies included multi-step routes, combining hydrothermal reaction, and other post-treatments. In addition, the synthesized nanostructures by hydrothermal processes are highly susceptible to the reaction parameters, and the synthesis methods have been difficult to reproduce.

Recently, the method of electrospinning along with heat treatment has received significant attention owing to its simplicity, high efficiency, and versatility for the preparation of

^aSchool of Chemistry, Nanchang University, No.999, Xuefu Road, Nanchang, Jiangxi, 330031, P. R. China. E-mail: zyyang@ncu.edu.cn; Tel: +86-791-83969514

^bSchool of Chemical Engineering and Energy Technology, Dongguan University of Technology, Dongguan, Guangdong, 523808, P. R. China. E-mail: lichao@dgut.edu.cn

diverse one-dimensional (1D) structures.^{27–30} For example, Zhao's group fabricated a composite film electrode of MnO/carbon through incorporating MnO₂ nanowires from hydrothermal reaction into polymer solution by a facile electrospinning technique. The MC-800 membrane exhibited a high reversible capacity of 987.3 mA h g⁻¹, after 150 cycles at 0.1 A g⁻¹, a good rate capability (406.1 mA h g⁻¹ at 3 A g⁻¹), and an excellent cycling performance (655 mA h g⁻¹ over 280 cycles at 0.5 A g⁻¹).²⁸ Liu *et al.* have designed a flexible membrane through incorporating Mn₃O₄ nanoparticles encapsulated in carbon nanofibers (CNFs). These materials exhibited a high reversible capacity at a high current density of 1 A g⁻¹ and the specific capacity was up to 575 mA h g⁻¹ after 200 cycles.³⁰

Herein, we combined electrospinning and electro-spraying processes to achieve the manganese monoxide/carbon nanofibers@reduced graphene oxide [MnO/CNFs@rGO, (MCG)] composite electrodes for LIBs. The 3D electrode showed stable, freestanding, and binder-free structure: the 2D reduced graphene (rGO) sheets are dispersed on each of the 1D MnO/CNFs nanowires; rGO and carbon-wrapped MnO particles in such electrode can accommodate the huge volume expansion, shorten the diffusion distance of lithium ions and electrons, and offers a good electronic conduction between the MnO particles. It is found that the MCG film exhibited the average specific capacities of 1148 mA h g⁻¹ at 0.1 A g⁻¹, and the capacity retention was still up to 80% after 80 cycles; this electrode can still keep 332 mA h g⁻¹ at a current density of 5 A g⁻¹ after 4500 cycles. More interestingly, the recovery MnO₂ products from the spent batteries have been directly used as the Mn source. This work also demonstrates a great potential approach to utilize the MnO₂ from the spent Zn/MnO₂ batteries into high value anode materials for Li-ion batteries applications.

2. Experimental

2.1 Materials

Manganese dioxide (MnO₂, 91%) was provided by Sanmu Battery Recovery Co. (Fosan, China). The received sample was ground for 24 h by high energy ball-milling treatment before use. Polyacrylonitrile (PAN, *M_w* = 150 000) was purchased from J&K Chemical; *N,N*-dimethylformamide (DMF, AR) were

purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co, Ltd; these reagents were used without further purification.

2.2 Fabrication of MnO₂/PAN@GO nanofiber films

A PAN solution was prepared by dissolving 0.8 g of PAN in 10 mL of DMF at room temperature with vigorous stirring for 2 h, 1 g MnO₂ powders were dispersed in the solution of PAN/DMF, with ultrasonic stirring over 2 h at room temperature, then stirred at 60 °C for 12 h in order to obtain homogeneous viscous mixtures, which was called solution (a). Meanwhile, GO was obtained by an improved “hummers” method, described in detail previously.³¹ Then, it was dissolved in ethanol, which was called solution (b). Then, the as-prepared precursor solution “(a) and (b)” were loaded into a plastic syringe with a stainless steel nozzle, respectively. With a syringe pump (1 mL h⁻¹) and an applied voltage of 16 kV between the electrospinning jet and the collector (15 cm). The as-prepared MnO₂/PAN@GO composite film were dried at 80 °C for 12 h in vacuum oven. Then the MnO₂/PAN@GO composite film was obtained by electrospinning and electro-spraying simultaneously. The unit of temperature throughout this work is degree centigrade (°C) if not specified.

2.3 Fabrication of MCG films

The composite film was firstly heated at 280 °C in air for 2 h to promote stabilization. Then, the MnO/CNFs@rGO (MCG) was obtained by a simple carbonization treatment process. In a typical synthesis of MCG composite film, MnO₂/PAN@GO was sintered at 800 °C for 3 h at a rate of 5 °C min⁻¹ under Ar atmosphere. The obtained sample was named as MCG-800. For comparison, the MCG sample were treated at different temperature (600 °C and 700 °C), which denoted as MCG-600 and MCG-700, respectively. In order to explore the effect of graphene, the MnO/CNFs (MC-800) samples was obtained by sintering PAN/MnO₂ under the sintering temperature of 800 °C, and the pure MnO (M-800) sample was obtained by sintering MnO₂ powder under the temperature of 800 °C (Scheme 1).

2.4 Characterization

The crystal structures of the obtained samples were determined by X-ray diffraction (XRD, Bruker D8 Focus X-ray diffractometer)

Scheme 1 Schematic illustration of the formation of MCG architecture.

using Cu K α radiation over the range of $2\theta = 5\text{--}80^\circ$, the morphology and structure of products were examined using a scanning electron microscopy (SEM, FEI Quanta200F) and transmission electron microscopy and high-resolution TEM (TEM, FEI Tecnai G2 F20, 200 kV). X-ray photoelectron spectra (XPS) were recorded using an X-ray photoelectron spectrometer (K-Alpha 1063) with a monochromatic Al K α X-ray source. Raman spectroscopy was performed with a laser micro-Raman spectrometer (Renishaw inVia, Renishaw, 532 nm excitation wavelength). Weight loss behavior was tested by thermogravimetric (TG) analysis (TGA/DSC 3+, Mettler Toledo, Zurich, Switzerland) (air, $10^\circ\text{C min}^{-1}$).

2.5 Cell fabrication and electrochemical measurement

Electrochemical experiments of the half cell was carried out on CR 2025 coin cells, which were assembled in an Ar-filled MBraun glove box. Li metal was used as counter electrode and celgard 2400 as the separator. The as-obtained flexible nanofiber films were tailored into freestanding discs with 10 mm in diameter, which were directly used as the working electrode without any binder and conductive additive. The electrolyte was 1M LiPF₆ dissolved in a mixture of ethylene carbonate/dimethyl carbonate/diethyl carbonate (EC/DMC/DEC = 1 : 1 : 1, v/v). The coin cells were galvanostatically cycled between 3.0 and 0.02 V (vs. Li/Li⁺) using a NEWARE battery measurement system at room temperature. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) tests were measured in the frequency range from 100 kHz to 0.1 Hz on a electrochemical workstation (PARSTAT 2273, Princeton, USA).

3. Results and discussion

The composition, crystalline structure, and phase purity of samples were characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD). Fig. 1a shows the XRD patterns of the samples MCG-600, MCG-700, and MCG-800. It is found that the crystallinity of MCG increased as the sintering temperature increased. Then the MCG-800 samples showed sharp diffraction peaks located at 34.9° , 40.5° , 58.7° , 70.1° , and 73.7° , and the d -space/index have been marked as to the 0.256 nm/(111), 0.222 nm/(200), 0.157 nm/(220), 0.134 nm/(311) and 0.128 nm/(222) for the MnO, respectively, and assigned to the cubic phase of MnO (JCPDS no. 07-0230).³² It shows the good crystallinity compared with the samples of MCG-600 and MCG-700. In addition to the

relatively weak diffraction peak at 26° , which was assigned to carbon and rGO in the nanocomposites, a strong diffraction peak from GO was not detected at 11.2° , indicating that most oxygen functional groups had been removed from GO.

Raman spectroscopy was further used to confirm the existence of MnO and carbonaceous materials, as depicted in Fig. 1b. The G and D bands of composite film were ascribed to ordered sp² bonded carbon and disordered carbon,³³ respectively. The existing G band at 1573 cm^{-1} and D band at 1343 cm^{-1} indicate that the ordered sp² bonded carbon dominates the structure of the planes. During elevating temperature, the MCG did not show much difference between the intensity ratio of I_D/I_G for MCG-600 (1.35), MCG-700 (1.17), and MCG-800 (0.96), revealing a relatively high defective state for the hybrid carbon. In addition, the Raman band at $\sim 647\text{ cm}^{-1}$ belongs to the Mn–O stretching vibration of MnO.^{34–36} The strong vibrational band at $<400\text{ cm}^{-1}$ is attributed to Mn₃O₄ rather than MnO, because MnO easily transforms into Mn₃O₄ on a local heating effect and photochemically transforms under beam irradiation when the beam intensity is $>1.1\text{ mW}$ during the Raman measurement.³⁷

The morphology and structural observations were further investigated by SEM. Fig. 2a–f show the SEM images. After the thermal treatment, the diameter of the nanowires of MCG-600, MCG-700, and MCG-800 becomes smaller. Moreover, after calcinations, the diameter of the nanowires gradually decreases with increasing carbonization temperature. Further, graphene sheet and nanowire were found to be closely bonded to each other. In addition, the diameter of CM-800 sample became smaller as well.

The transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images are displayed in Fig. 3. The 2D rGO sheets are layer by layer dispersed on each of the 1D MC-800 composite filaments (Fig. 3a). Fig. 3b shows the clear diffraction spot ring of reduced graphene from its SAED pattern. Fig. 3c and e reveal that the MnO nanoparticles are uniformly embedded in the nanowires. Nevertheless, a part of these nanoparticles were on the surface of the nanowires. The lattice fringes (Fig. 3d and f) with a lattice fringe spacing of $2.56\text{ \AA}$ and $1.28\text{ \AA}$ are indexed to the (111) and (222) planes of the cubic MnO phase, respectively.^{38–40}

To further confirm the material structure, the oxidation states and composition of manganese oxides were investigated by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). The wide survey XPS spectrum of MCG in Fig. 4a shows predominant signals of

Fig. 1 XRD patterns of MCG (a); MCG-600, MCG-700 and MCG-800. (b) Raman spectra of MCG-600, MCG-700 and MCG-800.

Fig. 2 SEM images of $\text{MnO}_2/\text{PAN@GO}$ in the (a); MCG-600, MCG-700, MCG-800 corresponds to (b)–(d); SEM images of MnO@PAN in the (e); SEM images of MC-800 in the (f).

carbon, oxygen, and manganese. The peaks at 642.1 and 653.1 eV correspond to the Mn $2p_{3/2}$ and Mn $2p_{1/2}$ binding energy, respectively, which indicates the valence of Mn is +2, agreeing with the oxidation state in MnO , as shown in Fig. 4b.⁴¹ Fig. 4c shows the high-resolution XPS spectrum for C 1s, which can be deconvoluted into four peaks. The strong C 1s peak at 284.5 eV corresponds to the graphitic carbon in graphene, whereas the weaker peak including C–O, C–O–C, and C–O=O appeared at 285.7, 287.6, and 288.5 eV,⁴² respectively. The O 1s spectra of the as-prepared samples are shown in Fig. 4d. The peaks centered at 529.5 and 532.0 eV are related to the binding energy of O 1s in anhydrous Mn–O–Mn and hydrated (Mn–O–H) manganese oxides, respectively.⁴³

The TG curves of the samples MCG-600, MCG-700, and MCG-800 are shown in Fig. 5a. There was almost no weight loss in the temperature range from 150 °C to 320 °C, demonstrating that the composite is stable up to 320 °C in the air. When the temperature increased from 320 to 500 °C, the weight gradually decreased, owing to the oxidation of carbon to carbon dioxide ($\text{C} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{CO}_2$ (gas)).^{44,45} When the temperature increased from 600 to 800 °C, the weight gradually increased, attributed to the oxidation of MnO ($\text{MnO} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{Mn}_2\text{O}_3$). Based on the theoretical value (11.3 wt%) of the weight gain from MnO to cubic Mn_2O_3 (JCPDS 41-1442) according to the TG results, the loading mass of the MnO component was estimated to be approximately 19.6, 21.9, and 43.9 wt% for MCG-600, MCG-700, MCG-800 samples, respectively, and MCG-800 sample exhibited the highest MnO content.

To better illustrate the electrochemical performance of the MCG, the rate capability of the samples was investigated by

discharging-charge at various current densities. As shown in Fig. 6a, it is clear that the MCG-800 sample exhibits excellent rate capability, except for the first circular discharge, and the average specific capacities were calculated to be 1148, 990, 818, 702, 580, 424, and 313 mA h g^{-1} at the current densities of 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 1, 2, 5, and 10 A g^{-1} , respectively. When the current density switched back to 0.1 A g^{-1} after 80 cycles, the composite electrode exhibited a high discharge capacity of 914 mA h g^{-1} with a capacity retention of up to 80%, indicating an outstanding restorability. In order to further investigate its reversible electrochemical performance, the first three discharge-charge processes for MCG-800 sample are shown in Fig. 6b. The initial discharge and charge capacities are 1719 and 1159 mA h g^{-1} , respectively. As expected, the formation of the SEI layer results in a relatively low coulombic efficiency (CE) during the initial cycle under a low charge-discharge current density. The second and third discharge curves at 0.1 A g^{-1} have a dominant plateau at ~ 0.6 V, attributed to the reaction of Li^+ and MnO ($\text{MnO} + 2\text{Li}^+ + 2\text{e}^- \leftrightarrow \text{Li}_2\text{O} + \text{Mn}$, $\text{Li}_2\text{O} + \text{Mn} \leftrightarrow \text{MnO} + 2\text{Li}^+ + 2\text{e}^-$). A minor and slope plateau in the range 0.3–0.02 V was also observed, corresponding to the formation of solid electrolyte interphase (SEI) film on the electrode surface. Redox reactions are attributed to the oxidation of Mn^0 changing to Mn^{2+} , and the Mn^{2+} to a higher oxidation state ($\text{Mn} + \text{Li}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{MnO} + 2\text{Li}^+ + 2\text{e}^-$; $\text{MnO} + 1/2\text{Li}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 1/2\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_3 + \text{Li}^+ + \text{e}^-$).^{46,47} To investigate the cycle stability of the composite materials, the cyclabilities of the MCG, MC-800, and M-800 electrodes were tested in the voltage range 0.02–3.0 V at a constant current density of 0.5 A g^{-1} . Fig. 6c and d show the discharge-charge

Fig. 3 TEM images of the as-prepared MCG composite film: (a); the diffraction pattern of rGO is in (b); TEM image (c) and HRTEM image (d); TEM image (e) and HRTEM image (f).

capacities *versus* cycle number for MCG-600, MCG-700, MCG-800, MC-800, and M-800 electrodes. The MCG-800 composite electrode exhibits a high reversible capacity of 1176 mA h g^{-1} and a high CE nearly $\sim 100\%$ except for the initial few cycles,

which are not only much higher than MC-800 and M-800 electrodes (Fig. 6d), but also obviously higher than the other two MCG composite electrodes (Fig. 6c) after 300 cycles. Fig. 6e also shows distinct superiority of MCG-800, and long cycling curves

Fig. 4 XPS spectra for (a) survey, (b) Mn 2p, (c) C 1s, (d) O 1s of MCG.

Fig. 5 (a) TGA curves of MCG-600, MCG-700 and MCG-800; (b) XRD pattern of MCG-800 after TGA testing (cubic Mn_2O_3).

of MCG-800 are displayed at a current of 5 A g^{-1} . Remarkably, after 4500 cycles, the retention capacity finally reaches 332 mA h g^{-1} to manifest excellent cycling stability. Interestingly, the specific capacity starts to gradually increase from the 50th cycle, and this has also been observed previously for nano-structured Mn-based materials.^{48,49} Such excellent electrochemical stability of the MCG composite film is rarely reported in literature.

It should be mentioned that the capacity of the MCG (MCG-800) electrode gradually increases at initial 1500 cycles at high current densities of 5 A g^{-1} (Fig. 6e), attributed to the electrochemically driven reconstruction of the hybrid MCG electrodes to enhance the electrochemical activity, thus improving the capacity. As shown in Fig. 7, the MCG film surface of the nanowires became rough after 500th cycles; a small part of

Fig. 6 Rate performance at different current densities (a) MCG-600, MCG-700 and MCG-800; (b) charge-discharge voltage profiles of MCG-800 at a current density of 0.1 A g^{-1} ; (c) cycling performance of MCG-600, MCG-700 and MCG-800 at a current density of 0.5 A g^{-1} ; (d) MCG-800, MC-800 and M-800 at a current density of 0.5 A g^{-1} ; (e) cycling performance of MCG-800 at a current density of 5 A g^{-1} .

Fig. 7 SEM images of MCG-800 shows in (a)–(c), which corresponds to 500th cycles, 1500th cycles and 3000th cycles, respectively.

nanowires was broken after 1500th cycles; and the most of nanowires were broken after 3000th cycles. At the same time, a few cracks and defects were observed in the material, because of the conversion reaction of Mn compounds together with repeated volume expansion and contraction; however, the peeling of MCG film was not yet observed. Surface roughness of the nanowires can provide a shorter transport distance and expose more active sites to store ions or charges. This can be regarded as a significant reason for the observed elevated capacity. The improved conversion reaction kinetics by the formation of defects in the electrodes during cycling oxidizes Mn ions to a higher oxidation state as evidenced by the HR-TEM results. Fig. 8a–c and d–f show the TEM images of MCG-800 after 500 and 1500 cycles, respectively. Meanwhile, when the MCG electrode is cycled from 500 to 1500 times, more Mn²⁺ ions could be re-oxidized to Mn³⁺, increasing the capacity, as shown in Fig. 8c–f.

Further, electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) of different cycles at the discharge state were measured to understanding the long cycling stability for MCG-800 samples during the discharge–charge processes (Fig. 9a). In general, a semicircle at the high-frequency region in Nyquist plots is expressed as the ohmic resistance at the electrode/electrolyte interface. It is obviously noted that the shapes of the Nyquist plots at different cycles are similar, indicating the almost same interparticle contact resistances to prove the high structural integrity of MCG-800. MCG-800 electrodes were further investigated by an equivalent circuit model (Fig. 9b). The fitting results show that the R_{ct} and R_s resistance values of MCG-800 composite film are still kept at small values even after 1000th cycles. These results confirm that the 3D film structure with the 2D reduced graphene sheets dispersing layer by layer between the MnO/C composite nanofibers is beneficial to further reduce

Fig. 8 TEM images of MCG-800; (a) low magnification image at 500 cycles; (b) and (c) are the enlarged HRTEM images of each part of (a); (d) low magnification image of 1500 cycles; (e) and (f) are the enlarged HRTEM images of each part of (d).

Fig. 9 (a) MCG-800 of EIS curves; and (b) the resistance of R_s and R_{ct} at different cycles.

the electronic conductivity of composites. In short, these aforementioned factors translate to greater accessibility to active sites for the lithium ion, shorter diffusion distances and quicker lithium ion diffusion, thereby explaining the significantly better rate capability and excellent cycling performance of the MCG-800 composite film electrodes.

4. Conclusions

In summary, a novel, well-defined MCG film for high-performance LIB anodes was successfully fabricated *via* simultaneous electrospinning and electrospraying strategy. For the as-obtained electrode, the 2D reduced graphene sheets are dispersed on each of the 1D MnO/CNFs composite filaments, which not only enhance the electrical conductivity and the flexibility of the material but also increase the specific surface area, significantly increasing the specific capacity. For example, the MCG-800 composite film exhibited the average specific capacities of 1148 mA h g⁻¹ at 0.1 A g⁻¹ and then back to 0.1 A g⁻¹ after 80 cycles, with a capacity retention of up to 80%; the film electrode reached 332 mA h g⁻¹ at a current density of 5 A g⁻¹ after 4500 cycles. Furthermore, this work also demonstrates a great potential approach to utilize the MnO₂ from the spent Zn/MnO₂ batteries into high value anode materials for Li-ion batteries applications.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 21263016, 21363015, 51662029) and the Jiangxi Province Research Program of Science and Technology (No. 2011BBE50022).

References

- 1 M. R. Palacin, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, **38**, 2565.
- 2 (a) L. Ji, Z. Lin, M. Alcoutlabi and X. Zhang, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2011, **4**, 2682; (b) J. W. Choi and D. Aurbach, *Nat. Rev. Mater.*, 2016, **1**, 16013.

- 3 B. S. Lee, S. B. Son, K. M. Park, G. Lee, K. H. Oh, S. H. Lee and W.-R. Yu, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2012, **4**, 6702.
- 4 H.-L. Wang, N. Mao, J. Shi, Q.-G. Wang, W.-H. Yu and X. Wang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2015, **7**, 2882–2890.
- 5 H.-T. Sun, G.-Q. Xin, T. Hu, M.-P. Yu, D.-L. Shao, X. Sun and J. Lian, *Nat. Commun.*, 2014, **5**, 4526.
- 6 J. M. Jeong, B. G. Choi, S. C. Lee, K. G. Lee, S.-J. Chang, Y.-K. Han, Y. B. Lee, H. U. Lee, S. Kwon, G. Lee, C. S. Lee and Y. S. Huh, *Adv. Mater.*, 2013, **25**, 6250–6255.
- 7 H.-L. Wang, L.-F. Cui, Y. Yang, H. S. Casalongue, J. T. Robinson, Y. Y. Liang, Y. Cui and H.-J. Dai, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 13978–13980.
- 8 J. Gao, M. A. Lowe and H. D. Abruña, *Chem. Mater.*, 2011, **23**, 3223–3227.
- 9 J. Guo, Q. Liu, C. Wang and M. R. Zachariah, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2012, **22**, 803–811.
- 10 Y. Xia, Z. Xiao, X. Dou, H. Huang, X.-H. Lu, R.-J. Yan, Y.-P. Gan, W.-J. Zhu, J.-P. Tu, W.-K. Zhang and X.-Y. Tao, *ACS Nano*, 2013, **7**, 7083–7092.
- 11 H. Hu, H.-Y. Cheng, Z.-F. Liu and Y. Yu, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2015, **152**, 44–52.
- 12 C.-Y. Zhu, N. Sheng and T. Akiyama, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 21066–21073.
- 13 H. Jiang, Y.-J. Hu, S.-J. Guo, C.-Y. Yan, P. S. Lee and C.-Z. Li, *ACS Nano*, 2014, **8**, 6038–6046.
- 14 S.-M. Guo, G.-X. Lu, S. Qiu, J.-R. Liu, X.-Z. Wang, C.-Z. He, H.-G. Wei, X.-R. Yan and Z.-H. Guo, *Nano Energy*, 2014, **9**, 41–49.
- 15 J. Zhang, R. Wang, X. Yang, W. Lu, X. Wu, X. Wang, H. Li and L. Chen, *Nano Lett.*, 2012, **12**, 2153–2157.
- 16 F. J. Douglas, D. A. MacLaren, F. Tuna, W. M. Holmes, C. C. Berry and M. Murrie, *Nanoscale*, 2014, **6**, 172–176.
- 17 Y.-M. Sun, X.-L. Hu, W. Luo, F.-F. Xia and Y.-H. Huang, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2013, **23**, 2436–2444.
- 18 X. Gu, J. Yue, L. Chen, S. Liu, H. Xu, J. Yang, Y. Qian and X. Zhao, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2015, **3**, 1037–1041.
- 19 Y. Xiao, X. Wang, W. Wang, D. Zhao and M. Cao, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2014, **6**, 2051–2058.
- 20 J. Liu, N. Chen and Q. Pan, *J. Power Sources*, 2015, **299**, 265–272.
- 21 H. Jiang, Y. Hu, S. Guo, C. Yan, P. Lee and C. Li, *ACS Nano*, 2014, **8**, 6038–6046.

- 22 W.-M. Chen, L. Qie, Y. Shen, Y.-M. Sun, L.-X. Yuan, X.-L. Hu, W.-X. Zhang and Y.-H. Huang, *Nano Energy*, 2013, **2**, 412–418.
- 23 J.-G. Wang, C. Zhang, D. Jin, K. Xie and B. Wei, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2015, **3**, 13699–13705.
- 24 J.-G. Wang, D. Jin, H. Liu, C. Zhang, R. Zhou, C. Shen, K. Xie and B. Wei, *Nano Energy*, 2016, **22**, 524–532.
- 25 C. Zhang, J.-G. Wang, D. Jin, K. Xie and B. Wei, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2015, **180**, 990–997.
- 26 G. Zhang, H.-B. Wu, H. E. Hostera and X.-W. Lou, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2014, **7**, 302–305.
- 27 J.-G. Wang, Y. Yang, Z.-H. Huang and F. Kang, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2015, **170**, 164–170.
- 28 X. Zhao, Y. Du, L. Jin, Y. Yang, S. Wu, W. Li, Y. Yu, Y. Zhu and Q. Zhang, *Sci. Rep.*, 2015, **5**, 14146.
- 29 G. Yang, Y. Li, H. Ji, H. Wang, P. Gao, L. Wang, H. Liu, J. Pinto and X. Jang, *J. Power Sources*, 2012, **216**, 353–362.
- 30 B. Liu, X. Hu, H. Xu, W. Luo and Y. Sun, *Sci. Rep.*, 2014, **4**, 4229.
- 31 F. Xiang, R. Mukherjee, J. Zhong, Y. Xia, N.-Y. Gu, Z.-Y. Yang and N. Koratkar, *Energy Storage Materials*, 2015, **1**, 9–16.
- 32 J.-G. Wang, Y. Yang, Z.-H. Huang and F. Kang, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2015, **170**, 164–170.
- 33 L.-Z. Bai, D.-L. Zhao, T.-M. Zhang, W.-G. Xie, J.-M. Zhang and Z.-M. Shen, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2013, **107**, 555–561.
- 34 W. Luo, X.-L. Hu, Y.-M. Sun and Y.-H. Huang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2013, **5**, 1997–2003.
- 35 N. Mironova-Ulmane, A. Kuzmin and M. Grube, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2009, **480**, 97–99.
- 36 S. Chen, J.-W. Zhu and X. Wang, *ACS Nano*, 2010, **4**, 6212–6218.
- 37 I. Rusakova, T. Ould-Ely, C. Hofmann, D. Prieto-Centuri3n, C. S. Levin and N. J. Halas, *Chem. Mater.*, 2007, **19**, 1369–1375.
- 38 Y.-J. Mai, D. Zhang, Y.-Q. Qiao, C.-D. Gu, X.-L. Wang and J.-P. Tu, *J. Power Sources*, 2012, **216**, 201–207.
- 39 Y.-L. Ding, C.-Y. Wu, H.-M. Yu, J. Xie, G.-S. Cao, T.-J. Zhu, X.-B. Zhao and Y.-W. Zeng, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2011, **56**, 5844–5848.
- 40 Y. Sun, X. Hu, W. Luo, F. Xia and Y. Huang, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2013, **23**, 2436–2444.
- 41 D.-W. Liu, Q.-F. Zhang, P. Xiao, B. B. Garcia, Q. Guo, R. Champion, *et al.*, *Chem. Mater.*, 2008, **20**, 1376–1380.
- 42 X. Zhang, Z. Xing, L.-L. Wang, Y.-C. Zhu, Q.-W. Li, J.-W. Liang, Y. Yu, T. Huang, K.-B. Tang and Y.-T. Qian, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2012, **22**, 17864–17869.
- 43 M. Toupin, T. Brousse and D. B3langer, *Chem. Mater.*, 2004, **16**, 3184–3190.
- 44 R. C. Lee, Y.-P. Lin, Y.-T. Weng, H.-A. Pan, J.-F. Lee and N.-L. Wu, *J. Power Sources*, 2014, **253**, 373–380.
- 45 S. Wang, Y. Ren, G. Liu, Y. Xing and S. Zhang, *Nanoscale*, 2014, **6**, 3508–3512.
- 46 B. Sun, Z. Chen, H. S. Kim, H. Ahn and G. Wang, *J. Power Sources*, 2011, **196**, 3346–3349.
- 47 Y. Sun, X. Hu, W. Luo, F. Xia and Y. Huang, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2013, **23**, 2436–2444.
- 48 J. Guo, Q. Liu, C. Wang and M. R. Zachariah, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2012, **22**, 803–811.
- 49 Y. Sun, X. Hu, W. Luo and Y. Huang, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2012, **22**, 19190.