



Cite this: RSC Adv., 2017, 7, 47840

Photoluminescent carbon dots derived from sugarcane molasses: synthesis, properties, and applications†

Gang Huang, ^{ad} Xing Chen, ^{*b} Cong Wang, ^c Hongyu Zheng, ^c Zuqiang Huang, ^{*d} Dong Chen ^{*a} and Haihui Xie ^e

Carbon dots (C-dots) were prepared through a simple, environmentally friendly hydrothermal method, with the use of sugarcane molasses derived from industrial waste as the carbon source. The C-dots were characterized via X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy, nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM), thermogravimetric analysis (TGA), and UV-vis absorption spectroscopy, as well as fluorescence spectroscopy. The C-dots exhibited a spherical shape with a diameter of around 1.9 nm, and emitted blue photoluminescence with a quantum yield of approximately 5.8%. The effects of pH, sodium chloride (NaCl), amino acids and metal ions on the photoluminescence were further investigated. Not only was the biocompatibility of the C-dots assessed *in vitro* and *in vivo*, but also their bioimaging ability was observed in MCF-7 cells. The effect of C-dots on secondary structure of bovine serum albumin (BSA) was investigated. Additionally, it was found that the fluorescence intensity of the C-dots decreased after addition of Fe³⁺ or sunset yellow. Furthermore, the underlying mechanism of fluorescence quenching was proposed in the C-dots/sunset yellow system.

Received 15th August 2017
Accepted 27th September 2017

DOI: 10.1039/c7ra09002a
rsc.li/rsc-advances

1 Introduction

Carbon dots (C-dots), consisting of sp²/sp³ hybridized carbon atoms, are quasi-spherical nanomaterials with a diameter of less than 10 nm.¹ C-dots have attracted enormous attention in a host of applications such as drug delivery,² bioimaging,³ and biosensors⁴ due to their ease of preparation, excellent water solubility, outstanding fluorescence properties, low toxicity, fine resistance to photobleaching and facile surface functionalization. In terms of carbon sources, diverse materials are chosen owing to the ease of their obtainment, *e.g.* vegetables,⁵ fruits,⁶ polymers,⁷ and even wastes.⁸

Sugarcane molasses is derived from industrial waste after a series of further purification processes for sugar production, and has a total sugar content of approximately 50% including sucrose, glucose and fructose. Owing to its high sugar content

and low-price, sugarcane molasses has been utilized not only as animal feed,⁹ but also as biomass energy in the field of microbial fermentation.¹⁰ Besides, sugarcane molasses has also been investigated as an antioxidant agent,⁹ additive¹¹ and fertilizer.¹² Recently, sugarcane molasses has been separated through centrifugation to obtain C-dots that may be exploited as biosensors for riboflavin and tetracycline.¹³ However, the chemical structure and fluorescence properties of C-dots derived from sugarcane molasses are still unclear. The natural environment and the sugar manufacturing industry are still incurring heavy burdens and facing grand challenges due to yielding several million tons of sugarcane molasses every year. Hence, it is imperative to encourage exploration of the application of sugarcane molasses as a novel raw material for carbon dots.

Sunset yellow, which has an azo (–N=N–) functional group and aromatic ring structure, is a synthetic organic food dye that is utilized in food daily due to its low price and good quality.¹⁴ Excessive intake of sunset yellow by human beings may cause underlying diseases.¹⁵ Consequently, it is important and urgent for human public health to sense sunset yellow. Although diverse methods have been developed, they are time-consuming or expensive, such as high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC),¹⁶ electroanalytical techniques,¹⁴ spectrophotometry¹⁷ and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays.¹⁸

To facilitate the applications of sugarcane molasses, C-dots, using sugarcane molasses as the carbon source, were prepared

^aState Key Laboratory of Non-food Biomass and Enzyme Technology, Guangxi Academy of Sciences, Nanning, China. E-mail: 13878190484@163.com

^bSchool of Public Health, Guangxi Medical University, Nanning, China. E-mail: chenx63@163.com

^cMedical Examination Center, The People's Hospital of Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region, Nanning, China

^dSchool of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Guangxi University, Nanning, China. E-mail: huangqz@gxu.edu.cn

^eMedical Examination Center, The Eighth People's Hospital of Nanning, China

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c7ra09002a



though thermal treatment and then characterized by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR), nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) and thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) in this work. The fluorescence property of the C-dots was assessed in various environments involving varying pHs, sodium chloride (NaCl), amino acids and metal ions. The stability and antioxidant capacity were investigated in detail. The morphology of the C-dots was observed and the cytotoxicity was demonstrated *in vitro* and *in vivo*. The C-dots were exploited in living cell imaging and detection of Fe^{3+} and sunset yellow. Moreover, the mechanism of fluorescence quenching was further investigated by virtue of the decreased fluorescence of the C-dots after addition of sunset yellow.

2 Materials and methods

Materials

Sugarcane molasses was obtained from Guangxi Haiying Alcohol Co., Ltd. NaCl, CaCl_2 , KCl, MgCl_2 , $\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$, $\text{MnCl}_2 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$, $\text{Cr}(\text{NO}_3)_3 \cdot 9\text{H}_2\text{O}$, $\text{FeSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$, $\text{La}(\text{NO}_3)_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$, $\text{Cd}(\text{CH}_3\text{COO})_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$, and 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. All of the other reagents were used as received unless otherwise stated. A breast cancer cell line (MCF-7) was purchased from the Cell Bank of Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China). BALB/C nude mice were obtained from the Beijing Vital River Laboratory Animal Technology Co. Ltd. Human red blood cells were obtained from the People's Hospital of Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region.

Preparation of C-dots

5.0 g of sugarcane molasses was added into an autoclave and heated at 250 °C for 12 h. After cooling to room temperature, 10 mL of deionized water was added to the mixture, and then filtered through a 0.22 μm membrane to remove large particles. The filtrate was dispersed in 250 mL of anhydrous ethanol. The mixture was centrifuged at 6000 rpm for 10 min to remove brown particles. The supernatant solution was evaporated under a reduced pressure. The final product was dissolved in 5 mL of deionized water and lyophilized for 72 h (yield: 0.41 g, 8.2%).

Characterization of C-dots

XPS measurements were carried out on a Kratos Axis Ultra Dld. NMR spectra were recorded on an Agilent Technologies 800/54 premium. UV-vis absorption and fluorescence spectra were measured by a Beckman Coulter DU800 and a Hitachi F-7000. The fluorescence lifetime and quantum yield were measured using a Hamamatsu Compact Fluorescence Lifetime Spectrometer C11367 and a Hamamatsu Absolute PL Quantum Yield Spectrometer C11347. FT-IR was performed on a Thermo Scientific Nicolet iS10 spectrometer. HRTEM images were obtained on Jeol-2100F. The TGA was measured on a Q50 thermal analyzer by heating under a flow of N_2 gas to 900 °C at a rate of 10 °C min⁻¹. The zeta potential was performed on a Malvern Zetasizer Nano ZS (ZS 90, UK) at room temperature. Circular

dichroism spectra were recorded on an Applied Photophysics Chirascan CD spectrometer at 25 °C under a nitrogen atmosphere.

Antioxidant activity

The scavenging activity of the C-dots for DPPH free radicals was evaluated in methanol solution at 517 nm *via* UV-vis spectroscopy. DPPH was added into the methanol solution with various concentrations of C-dots at a final DPPH concentration of 50 μM . The reacted solutions were incubated in the dark for 1.5 h. The decreased absorption was calculated as below:

$$\text{Inhibition (\%)} = 100 \times (A_c - A_s)/A_c$$

where A_c and A_s are the absorbance of the solution in the absence and presence of C-dots. The EC₅₀ was the half maximal effective concentration, corresponding to the concentration required to reduce the DPPH radicals by 50%.

WST assay

The cytotoxicity of the C-dots was evaluated by WST assay. MCF-7 cells were seeded onto a 96-well plate (10^4 cells per well) for 24 h. Phosphate buffered saline (PBS) solutions with various concentrations of C-dots (0.2–4.0 mg mL⁻¹) were added to each well and incubated for another 24 h, using DMEM medium as a control. Each well was washed three times with PBS, followed by the addition of 10 μL of WST solution for another 2 h incubation. The absorbance at 450 nm was measured on a plate reader (PerkinElmer Victor X4). The cell viability was calculated according to the following equation:

$$\text{Cell viability (\%)} = 100 \times (OD_s - OD_b)/(OD_c - OD_b)$$

where OD_s , OD_b and OD_c are the absorbances of the sample, background and control at 450 nm, respectively.

Hemolysis assay

Human red blood cells (HRBCs) were separated from whole blood *via* centrifugation at 1500 rpm for 10 min and further washed five times with sterile PBS (pH = 7.4). The packed HRBCs were dispersed in 4 mL of PBS. 200 μL of diluted HRBCs was transferred to 600 μL of PBS solution with various concentrations of C-dots. 600 μL of DI water and PBS were used to replace the C-dot solution as positive and negative controls. All of the samples were shaken for 3 h at 37 °C and then centrifuged at 1500 rpm for 10 min. The supernatant solution was recorded *via* UV-vis spectroscopy at 540 nm. The hemolytic rate was calculated using the following formula:

$$\text{Hemolytic rate (\%)} = 100 \times (A_s - A_n)/(A_p - A_n)$$

where A_s , A_p and A_n are the absorbances of the sample, and the positive and negative controls at 540 nm.



Histology examination

The BALB/C nude mice (20 ± 2 g) were divided into a control group and three experimental groups ($n = 12$). After anaesthesia with isoflurane, the mice were injected with PBS (control group) and C-dot solutions at various concentrations (0.1, 1.0, and 10 mg mL^{-1}) by tail vein injection. The final concentrations of the C-dots were 0.5, 5, and 10 mg kg^{-1} in the experimental groups. Typically the heart, liver, spleen, lung and kidney were harvested after 24 h treatment and fixed in 4% of paraformaldehyde for another 24 h. The organs were dehydrated gradually using various concentrations of ethanol, and then embedded in liquid paraffin (5 μm). The sliced organs were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) and observed under an Olympus BX51 microscope.

Cellular imaging

MCF-7 cells were seeded on 6 mm glass coverslips (10^5 cells per slip) and incubated for 24 h. The medium was replaced by a C-dot solution with a concentration of 5 mg mL^{-1} . After incubation for 4 h, each well was washed with PBS three times. The cells were imaged using a confocal laser scanning microscope (TCS SP5, Leica, Germany) and illuminated with 405 nm, 458 nm and 514 nm lasers.

Live subject statement

All experiments were performed in strict compliance with the relevant laws and institutional guidelines of Guangxi Medical University, Nanning, China (20140307A, 20140307B), and approved by the Ethics Committee of Guangxi Medical University. We obtained informed consent for any experimentation with human subjects.

3 Results and discussion

XPS and FT-IR

The surface elements of the C-dots were characterized by XPS (Fig. 1a), confirming that the surfaces of the C-dots were composed of five elements, including carbon (C),¹⁹ oxygen (O),¹⁹ potassium (K),²⁰ calcium (Ca),²¹ and silicon (Si).¹⁹ The carbon (C) and oxygen (O) peaks exhibited stronger characteristic peaks, and the content of C and O was 69.26% and 22.17%, respectively. The content of the other three elements (K, Ca, and Si), however, was only 8.57%. The XPS spectrum of C_{1s} could be deconvoluted into five small peaks which were ascribed to three types of carbon bond (Fig. 1b), corresponding to C=C (283.5 eV), C-C (284.8 eV) and O=C-O (287.1 eV, 291.8 eV and 294.4 eV).¹⁹ In the O_{1s} spectrum, the peaks at 530.4 eV and 531.8 eV were ascribed to C=O and C-OH/C-O-C²² (Fig. 1c). The functional groups of the C-dots were further analysed by FT-IR (Fig. 1d). The broad band at 3207 cm^{-1} is the characteristic absorption band of O-H,^{8,23} while the bands at 2983, 1565, 1402 cm^{-1} were attributed to -C-H, C=O, -C=C, respectively.²⁴ The bands in the range of 1400–1000 cm^{-1} were associated with C-O and Si-O-Si stretching vibrations.¹⁹ The XPS and FT-IR results further verified that hydroxyl, carboxyl and carbon-




Fig. 1 (a) The XPS survey spectrum; (b) C_{1s} and (c) O_{1s}: experimental curves (black lines), spectral fitting (red lines), deconvoluted peaks (blue lines); (d) FT-IR spectrum of C-dots.

carbon double bond functional groups were on the surfaces of the C-dots.

NMR characterization

The chemical structure of the C-dots was characterized by NMR in D₂O (Fig. S1†). Signals in the range of 0.91 to 4.15 ppm were assigned to protons of the carbons conjugated to the furan ring-like structure in the ¹H NMR spectrum (Fig. S1a†). The signals of the aromatic protons were relatively low and appeared in the range of 6.16–8.46 ppm (Fig. S1a† inset). To the best of our knowledge, the C-C network structure of the C-dots was amorphous. The biomass-derived carbon could exploit the furan ring-like structural motif after pyrolysis,²⁵ such as in glucose and fructose, which were the major constituents of the sugarcane molasses. Herein, the furan ring-like structure was generated in the chemical structure of C-dots derived from sugarcane molasses after hydrothermal carbonization. Meanwhile, the chemical structure of the C-dots was further characterized by the ¹³C NMR spectrum (Fig. S1b†). The characteristic peaks in the range of 8.38–68.2 ppm were attributed to the aliphatic carbon while the other peaks were assigned to the carbonyl carbons and aromatic carbons.²⁶

UV-vis absorbance, fluorescence of C-dots and XRD

Two typical absorption peaks at 260 nm and 320 nm were observed in the UV-vis absorption spectrum (Fig. 2a), which were attributed to the $\pi-\pi^*$ transition of the aromatic sp² domains and the n- π^* transition of the C=O group, respectively.¹⁹

The dispersed C-dot solution was light brown under sunlight, but emitted strong blue fluorescence upon 365 nm UV light irradiation. While varying the excitation wavelength from 285 nm to 335 nm, the emission wavelength changed (Fig. S2a†). After normalization of the emission intensity, red shift of the emission wavelength was observed (inset of






Fig. 2 (a) UV-vis absorption spectrum and fluorescence spectra; (b) XRD; (c) HRTEM images; (d) statistical size distribution of C-dots obtained from HRTEM images.

Fig. S2a†). Moreover, the emission fluorescence intensity decreased, and the maximum intensity was observed at 390 nm upon excitation at 305 nm (Fig. S2a†). The mechanism of the excitation-dependent fluorescence properties may be derived from the distribution of size and emissive traps on the surfaces of the C-dots.¹⁹ Li *et al.* reported diverse luminescence in C-dots with a size of 1.2–3.8 nm due to a quantum-sized graphite fragment.²⁷ Yeh *et al.* showed that the luminescence colour of graphene oxide quantum dots varied as the size decreased, which was associated with electron transitions.²⁸ Although the mechanism of the excitation-dependent fluorescence properties was in its infancy, there was mounting evidence to elucidate it. In addition, the quantum yield was 5.8%. The crystal structure of the C-dots was determined by XRD. Fig. 2b depicts six characteristic diffraction peaks at $2\theta = 28.4^\circ$, 40.7° , 50.4° , 58.8° , 66.6° , and 73.8° , which were ascribed to the characteristic peaks of KCl. The C-dots exploited the low carbon-lattice-structure²⁹ and exhibited carbon characteristic peaks at 25° , but this was so weak that it could not be observed due to the strong signals of the KCl crystal.

HRTEM, TGA analysis, photo-stability and antioxidant activity

The morphology of the C-dots is displayed in the HRTEM images (Fig. 2c), exhibiting the spherical shaped C-dots with an average diameter of 1.9 nm (Fig. 2d) and a lattice fringe distance of 0.23 nm. The zeta potential of the C-dots was -4.27 mV. The thermal stability of the C-dots was assessed by TGA (Fig. S2b†). Initially, the weight loss was 8.56% at 200°C due to the evaporation of water molecules or damage of weak interactions.³⁰ As the temperature was raised to 465°C the weight loss was 35.32%, which may be attributed to the decomposition of organic functional groups,³¹ and they kept an almost constant weight between 465°C and 590°C . Nevertheless, the weight loss of the C-dots further changed between 590°C and 900°C , probably due to the release of pyrogas.³² Upon continuous UV light illumination at 365 nm for 180 min, the fluorescence

intensity of the C-dots displayed no obvious change, exhibiting excellent photo-stability and stronger resistance to photo-bleaching (Fig. S2c†). The antioxidant activity of the C-dots was studied by a DPPH-based assay.⁵ The radical scavenging profile showed typical dose-dependence in the presence of the C-dots (Fig. S2d†). The EC₅₀ of the C-dots derived from sugarcane molasses was 0.514 mg mL^{-1} , exhibiting stronger antioxidant activity. Sugarcane molasses, possessing stronger antioxidant activity owing to its rich phenolic constituents,^{33,34} was carbonized and recombined at 250°C , so that partial phenolic hydroxyl groups could remain on the surface of the C-dots, exhibiting stronger antioxidant activity.

The effect on C-dots and sensing for Fe³⁺

As revealed in Fig. 3a, no appreciable change in the fluorescence intensity was observed in the pH range of 6–12, yet a slight decrease occurred from pH 5 to 3, demonstrating that the C-dots were more suitable for a solution with a pH value between 6 and 12. No obvious fluorescence intensity change was observed even at a higher NaCl concentration of 1 M (Fig. 3b), indicating that the C-dots exhibited excellent stability in the high ionic strength solution. Based on the interactions between the C-dots and potential interfering biomolecules, the fluorescence of the C-dots was assessed in various solutions with amino acids as well as GSH (Fig. 3c). No apparent change occurred, indicating that the fluorescence of the C-dots suffered no interference from the amino acids or GSH. Besides, the fluorescence variation of the C-dots after the addition of various metal ions is shown in Fig. 3d. Notice that the fluorescence intensity of the C-dots suffered no significant interference from several metal ions, including K⁺, Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, Cd²⁺, La³⁺, Pb²⁺ and Mn²⁺, while the fluorescence intensity was slightly interfered with by Co²⁺, Cr³⁺, Fe²⁺ and Cu²⁺ ions due to the electron transfer mechanism.³⁵ Moreover, a sharp decrease in the fluorescence intensity was revealed in the Fe³⁺ solution. As previously deduced, phenolic hydroxyl groups may be present on the




Fig. 3 The effect on the fluorescence intensity of the C-dots at 390 nm (0.25 mg mL^{-1}) from: (a) pH; (b) NaCl; (c) amino acids ($500 \mu\text{M}$); (d) metal ions ($500 \mu\text{M}$).

surface of the C-dots, therefore the interaction between the phenolic hydroxyl groups on the surface of the C-dots and Fe^{3+} resulted in a decrease in the fluorescence intensity of the C-dots.³⁶

With the addition of various concentrations of Fe^{3+} to the C-dot solutions, a decline in the fluorescence intensity was observed (Fig. 4a). When the concentration of Fe^{3+} was 700 μM , the fluorescence was almost quenched. A good linear relationship was obtained in the range of 0–100 μM (Fig. 4b). The fluorescence quenching efficiency was $(F_0 - F)/F_0 = 0.0036x - 0.0264$, with a correlation coefficient (R^2) of 0.9961, where F_0 and F were the fluorescence intensities of the C-dots at 390 nm in the absence and presence of Fe^{3+} ions.³⁷ The lowest detection limit (LOD) was calculated to be 1.46 μM based on the standard deviation (σ) of the blank signal ($n = 3$) and the slope of the calibration curve (S) at levels approximating the LOD according to the formula $\text{LOD} = 3 \times (\sigma/S)$.³⁸

Cytotoxicity assay and hemolysis assay

The relative viabilities of the MCF-7 cells were assessed by WST assay *in vitro*.³⁹ The results showed that the MCF-7 cells' viabilities were over 90% after 24 h incubation with various concentrations of C-dots (0.2–3 mg mL^{-1}). Even at a higher concentration of 4.0 mg mL^{-1} , the cells' viabilities were still $97.29 \pm 0.35\%$, indicating excellent biocompatibility with the MCF-7 cells (Fig. 5a). A hemolysis assay was performed to further evaluate the blood biocompatibility *in vitro* (Fig. 5b). The solution in deionized water (positive control) turned red due to the release of hemoglobin from red blood cells while hemolysis did not occur in the PBS solutions (negative control). No obvious hemolytic effect was observed in the PBS solutions with different C-dot concentrations (0.2–2.0 mg mL^{-1}) and the hemolysis percentages were 0.47%, 0.2%, 0.2%, 0.28%, 0.18%, and 0.46%, respectively. These results showed that the C-dots have negligible hemolytic activity.

The conformation of the protein was also investigated after addition of the C-dots *in vitro* (Fig. 5c). Bovine serum albumin (BSA) was chosen as the protein model, the secondary structure of which was recorded using circular dichroism (CD) spectroscopy. The CD results were treated with the mean residue ellipticity (MRE) by the formulas:⁴⁰

$$\text{MRE} = \text{CD}_{\text{obs}}/10C_{\text{p}}nl \quad (1)$$




Fig. 4 (a) Photoluminescence emission spectra of C-dots (0.25 mg mL^{-1}) in the presence of various concentrations of Fe^{3+} ; (b) the curve of the fluorescence quenching efficiency versus concentration of Fe^{3+} .




Fig. 5 (a) Viabilities of MCF-7 cells incubated with various concentrations of C-dots for 24 h using a WST assay; (b) a hemolysis assay with deionized water and PBS as positive and negative controls; (c) CD spectra of the BSA/C-dots system.

$$\alpha\text{-Helix (\%)} = 100 \times (-\text{MRE} - 4000)/(33\,000 - 4000) \quad (2)$$

In this work, the CD_{obs} is the observed ellipticity in millidegrees at 209 nm, where the final concentration of BSA (C_p) = 4×10^{-6} M, the number of amino acid residues (n) = 583 and the path length of the cell (l) = 0.1 cm. No obvious secondary structure change in the BSA occurred at 209 nm and 222 nm (Fig. 5c), corresponding to the decreases in the α -helix content of 4.18%, 1.86%, 2.55%, 1.16%, 0.7%, and 3.25% in the concentration range of 0.05–1.2 mg mL^{-1} , indicating that the C-dot had a slight weak effect on the α -helix structure of BSA.

In vivo histological toxicity analysis was conducted to assess cell damage of the mice's main organs including the heart, liver, spleen, lung and kidney (Fig. 6). Compared with the control groups, there was no obvious damage in the C-dot treated groups, such as inflammatory response, pulmonary fibrosis, necrosis or damage to the morphologies of the main organs, indicating that the C-dots had no appreciable side effects on the main organs.




Fig. 6 H&E stained tissue sections of heart, liver, spleen, lung and kidney after 24 h.






Fig. 7 CLSM images of the C-dots incubated with MCF-7 cells for 4 h at 5 mg mL⁻¹ under (a) bright field, (b) 405 nm, (c) 458 nm, and (d) 514 nm.




Fig. 8 (a) Photoluminescence emission spectra of C-dots (0.1 mg mL⁻¹) in the presence of various concentrations of sunset yellow; (b) the curve of the fluorescence quenching efficiency versus concentrations of sunset yellow; (c) the linear calibration plot for detection of sunset yellow; (d) Stern–Volmer plots for the C-dots/sunset yellow system at different temperatures.

Cellular imaging

Bioimaging of the C-dots was investigated by confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM). In the bright-field, the MCF-7 cells exhibited integral morphology after 4 h incubation with the C-dots (Fig. 7a). The MCF-7 cells emitted blue, green and red

fluorescence upon excitation at 405 nm, 458 nm and 514 nm (Fig. 7b–d). It was easily found that the C-dots were mainly located in the cell membrane and cytoplasm, but weak fluorescence was emitted from the nucleus, showing an efficient cellular uptake and excellent characteristic wavelength-dependent emission of the C-dots.

Sensing for sunset yellow and the mechanism of fluorescence quenching

In this work, sunset yellow was sensed through fluorescence emission spectrometry. It is worth pointing out that a significant fluorescence intensity change was observed in the range of 0–100 μM (Fig. 8a and b), revealing that the fluorescence of the C-dots decreased when the sunset yellow dosage increased. The fluorescence quenching efficiency was $(F_0 - F)/F_0 = 0.0095x + 0.0607$, and the fluorescence intensity of the C-dots exhibited an excellent linear relationship in the range of 0–60 μM (Fig. 8c), with a correlation coefficient (R^2) of 0.9915. The LOD was calculated to be 0.399 μM according to the formula: $LOD = 3 \times (\sigma/S) (n = 3)$.

To understand the mechanism of fluorescence quenching, the fluorescence intensity of the C-dots was assessed using the Stern–Volmer equation:

$$F_0/F = 1 + K_{SV}[Q] = 1 + K_q\tau_0[Q]$$

where F_0 and F are the fluorescence intensities of the C-dots at 309 nm in the absence and presence of sunset yellow, respectively; K_{SV} and K_q were the Stern–Volmer quenching constant and the quenching constant, respectively; $[Q]$ was the concentration of the sunset yellow; τ_0 was the lifetime of the C-dots in the blank solution, where $\tau_0 = 6.00$ ns (Table S1†). The fluorescence intensity of the C-dots was analysed at 298 K, 303 K, and 308 K according to the Stern–Volmer equation (Fig. 8d) and the K_{SV} and K_q are summarized in Table 1. The K_q decreased with an elevation in the temperature and was far larger than the maximum dynamic quenching constant (2.0×10^{10} L mol⁻¹ s⁻¹) at each temperature, indicating that the mechanism of fluorescence quenching could be based on static quenching.⁴¹ Additionally, it was apparent to observe the reduction in the fluorescence lifetime with an increase in the concentration of sunset yellow (Fig. S3a†). The UV-vis spectrum shows that the characteristic absorbance intensity of the C-dots at 260 nm decreased, with a blue shift (Fig. S3b†). The surface charges of the C-dots and the sunset yellow were -4.27 mV and 1.32 mV, respectively. The results enumerated above indicated that a ground-state complex would be formed owing to electrostatic interaction between the C-dots and sunset yellow.

Table 1 Stern–Volmer quenching constants of the C-dots and sunset yellow at different temperatures

T (K)	Equation	R^2	K_{SV} (L mol ⁻¹)	K_q (L mol ⁻¹ s ⁻¹)
298	$F_0/F = 0.02409[Q] + 0.90813$	0.9965	2.0029×10^4	3.3382×10^{12}
303	$F_0/F = 0.02176[Q] + 0.965$	0.9959	1.9871×10^4	3.3118×10^{12}
308	$F_0/F = 0.02111[Q] + 0.93502$	0.9963	1.6990×10^4	2.8317×10^{12}

A comparison of the analytical parameters of this work and previous works for Fe^{3+} and sunset yellow determination are summarized in Table S2.† The C-dots derived from sugarcane molasses were not ultrasensitive for detecting Fe^{3+} and sunset yellow due to weak interaction. We will focus on appropriate strategies to address this issue in future work, *e.g.* aptamers.

4 Conclusions

In summary, a facile fabrication of C-dots derived from sugarcane molasses was successfully developed *via* a hydrothermal pyrolysis method. The spherical C-dots with a diameter of 1.9 nm emitted blue photoluminescence under UV light at 365 nm and the quantum yield was 5.8%. The C-dots exhibited more stability in the pH value range from 6 to 12 and outstanding tolerance to NaCl solution. No obvious fluorescence intensity changes occurred in the amino acids and several metal ion solutions. Moreover, the fluorescence suffered interference by Fe^{3+} ions, which was successfully exploited to sense Fe^{3+} ions in a linear range from 0 to 100 μM . The cytotoxicity of the C-dots was assessed both *in vitro* and *in vivo*, demonstrating that the C-dots possessed excellent biocompatibility with MCF-7 cells, red blood cells, BSA and the main organs. Moreover, the C-dots could emit blue, green and red fluorescence in the MCF-7 cells resulting from a wavelength-dependent characteristic. Additionally, the C-dots were able to sense sunset yellow in the linear range from 0 to 60 μM due to fluorescence quenching, which may be caused by static quenching.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by the Guangxi Natural Science Foundation of China (2016GXNSFBA380104), the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (2016M602916XB), the Guangxi Distinguished Experts Special Foundation of China, the Science Foundation of Guangxi Academy of Sciences (2017YJJ23019), and the Open Research Project from Key Laboratory of High Incidence Diseases Prevention and Control of Guangxi Universities and Colleges (02402214003-1602).

References

- 1 H. Yu, R. Shi, Y. Zhao, G. I. N. Waterhouse, L.-Z. Wu, C.-H. Tung and T. Zhang, *Adv. Mater.*, 2016, **28**, 9454–9477.
- 2 H. Ding, F. Du, P. Liu, Z. Chen and J. Shen, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2015, **7**, 6889–6897.
- 3 Q. Zhu, F. Pan, Y. Tian, W. Tang, Y. Yuan and A. Hu, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 29441–29447.
- 4 A. Kumar, A. R. Chowdhuri, D. Laha, S. Chandra, P. Karmakar and S. K. Sahu, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 58979–58987.
- 5 S. Zhao, M. Lan, X. Zhu, H. Xue, T.-W. Ng, X. Meng, C.-S. Lee, P. Wang and W. Zhang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2015, **7**, 17054–17060.
- 6 Y. Su, B. Shi, S. Liao, J. Zhao, L. Chen and S. Zhao, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.*, 2016, **4**, 1728–1735.
- 7 L. Guo, J. Ge, W. Liu, G. Niu, Q. Jia, H. Wang and P. Wang, *Nanoscale*, 2016, **8**, 729–734.
- 8 S. Y. Park, H. U. Lee, E. S. Park, S. C. Lee, J.-W. Lee, S. W. Jeong, C. H. Kim, Y.-C. Lee, Y. S. Huh and J. Lee, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2014, **6**, 3365–3370.
- 9 Y. Asikin, M. Takahashi, T. Mishima, M. Mizu, K. Takara and K. Wada, *Food Chem.*, 2013, **141**, 466–472.
- 10 M.-Y. Jung, B.-S. Park, J. Lee and M.-K. Oh, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 2013, **139**, 21–27.
- 11 L. Weifeng, M. Suhua, Z. Shengbiao and S. Xiaodong, *J. Therm. Anal. Calorim.*, 2014, **118**, 83–91.
- 12 J. Moncada, M. M. El-Halwagi and C. A. Cardona, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 2013, **135**, 533–543.
- 13 S. Dinç, *Zuckerindustrie. Sugar industry*, 2016, **141**, 560–564.
- 14 X. Qiu, L. Lu, J. Leng, Y. Yu, W. Wang, M. Jiang and L. Bai, *Food Chem.*, 2016, **190**, 889–895.
- 15 M. Gómez, V. Arancibia, C. Rojas and E. Nagles, *Int. J. Electrochem. Sci.*, 2012, **7**, 7493–7502.
- 16 H. Wu, J.-b. Guo, L.-m. Du, H. Tian, C.-x. Hao, Z.-f. Wang and J.-y. Wang, *Food Chem.*, 2013, **141**, 182–186.
- 17 E. Heidarizadi and R. Tabaraki, *Talanta*, 2016, **148**, 237–246.
- 18 Y. Xing, M. Meng, H. Xue, T. Zhang, Y. Yin and R. Xi, *Talanta*, 2012, **99**, 125–131.
- 19 W.-J. Wang, X. Hai, Q.-X. Mao, M.-L. Chen and J.-H. Wang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2015, **7**, 16609–16616.
- 20 S. S. Kim, T. V. Khai, V. Kulish, Y.-H. Kim, H. G. Na, A. Katoch, M. Osada, P. Wu and H. W. Kim, *Chem. Mater.*, 2015, **27**, 4222–4228.
- 21 Q. Li, L. Dong, W. Deng, Q. Zhu, Y. Liu and C. Xiong, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 9148–9149.
- 22 X. Wen, L. Shi, G. Wen, Y. Li, C. Dong, J. Yang and S. Shuang, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2015, **221**, 769–776.
- 23 T. Feng, X. Ai, G. An, P. Yang and Y. Zhao, *ACS Nano*, 2016, **10**, 4410–4420.
- 24 R. Purbia and S. Paria, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2016, **79**, 467–475.
- 25 A. Prasannan and T. Imae, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2013, **52**, 15673–15678.
- 26 J.-Y. Li, Y. Liu, Q.-W. Shu, J.-M. Liang, F. Zhang, X.-P. Chen, X.-Y. Deng, M. T. Swihart and K.-J. Tan, *Langmuir*, 2017, **33**, 1043–1050.
- 27 H. Li, X. He, Z. Kang, H. Huang, Y. Liu, J. Liu, S. Lian, C. H. A. Tsang, X. Yang and S.-T. Lee, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 4430–4434.
- 28 T.-F. Yeh, W.-L. Huang, C.-J. Chung, I. T. Chiang, L.-C. Chen, H.-Y. Chang, W.-C. Su, C. Cheng, S.-J. Chen and H. Teng, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2016, **7**, 2087–2092.
- 29 S. Zhu, Q. Meng, L. Wang, J. Zhang, Y. Song, H. Jin, K. Zhang, H. Sun, H. Wang and B. Yang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 3953–3957.
- 30 A. Mewada, S. Pandey, M. Thakur, D. Jadhav and M. Sharon, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2014, **2**, 698–705.
- 31 V. N. Mehta, S. Jha, R. K. Singhal and S. K. Kailasa, *New J. Chem.*, 2014, **38**, 6152–6160.



32 X. Gong, Q. Zhang, Y. Gao, S. Shuang, M. M. F. Choi and C. Dong, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2016, **8**, 11288–11297.

33 Y. Guan, Q. Tang, X. Fu, S. Yu, S. Wu and M. Chen, *Food Chem.*, 2014, **152**, 552–557.

34 D. Yu, M.-S. Chen and S.-J. Yu, *Food Chem.*, 2016, **197**, 924–929.

35 Y. Guo, L. Zhang, S. Zhang, Y. Yang, X. Chen and M. Zhang, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2015, **63**, 61–71.

36 Y.-L. Zhang, L. Wang, H.-C. Zhang, Y. Liu, H.-Y. Wang, Z.-H. Kang and S.-T. Lee, *RSC Adv.*, 2013, **3**, 3733–3738.

37 Z. F. Gao, T. T. Li, X. L. Xu, Y. Y. Liu, H. Q. Luo and N. B. Li, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2016, **83**, 134–141.

38 J.-J. Liu, X.-L. Zhang, Z.-X. Cong, Z.-T. Chen, H.-H. Yang and G.-N. Chen, *Nanoscale*, 2013, **5**, 1810–1815.

39 L. Yue, J. Wang, Z. Dai, Z. Hu, X. Chen, Y. Qi, X. Zheng and D. Yu, *Bioconjugate Chem.*, 2017, **28**, 400–409.

40 S. M. T. Shaikh, J. Seetharamappa, S. Ashoka and P. B. Kandagal, *Dyes Pigm.*, 2007, **73**, 211–216.

41 H. Xu, X. Yang, G. Li, C. Zhao and X. Liao, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 2015, **63**, 6707–6714.

