

Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2017, 7, 43648Received 12th August 2017  
Accepted 14th August 2017

DOI: 10.1039/c7ra08916c

rsc.li/rsc-advances

# Extremely low coercivity in Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> thin film grown on Mg<sub>2</sub>TiO<sub>4</sub> (001)

X. H. Liu,<sup>\*ab</sup> W. Liu<sup>b</sup> and Z. D. Zhang<sup>b</sup>

We report very different magnetic properties of 40 nm-thick Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> thin films grown on tailored spinel substrate Mg<sub>2</sub>TiO<sub>4</sub> (001) and on general substrate MgO (001). The sample on Mg<sub>2</sub>TiO<sub>4</sub> (001) shows a very sharp Verwey transition with narrow hysteresis of only 0.5 K and a high transition temperature up to 126 K and, in particular, an extremely small coercivity as low as around 7 Oe from the Verwey transition to room temperature. This low coercivity is close to that of the single crystal bulk but several times smaller than that of the sample on MgO (001). Our work gives a first example of the magnetic properties in Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> thin film having higher Verwey transition than that of the single crystal bulk, which not only greatly expands our understanding about Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> but also provides a very good candidate for spintronic applications with quite low energy consumption.

## 1. Introduction

Magnetite (Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>) is one of the most studied transition-metal oxides over the past several decades because of its rather unique and interesting set of magnetic and electrical properties, such as high Curie temperature ( $T_C = 858$  K), relatively high saturation magnetization, small coercivity field<sup>1,2</sup> and theoretically predicted half-metallic character.<sup>3,4</sup> These properties make Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> very attractive for room temperature spintronic applications.<sup>5–9</sup> Especially, Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> is a highly correlated material that undergoes a first-order metal–insulator transition (known as the Verwey transition<sup>10</sup>) at  $T_V = 124$  K, but the mechanism of this transition is still unclear though tremendous amount of work has been done. However, the unique properties, which are relevant for various device applications, have been very difficult to realize in thin film form due to the existence of growth defects (such as the anti-phase boundaries (APBs)) and chemical-off stoichiometry. The inevitable presence of APBs in Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> thin films generally results in some unusual magnetic and transport properties, such as unsaturated magnetization in high magnetic fields,<sup>11,12</sup> superparamagnetic behavior for epitaxial ultrathin films,<sup>13,14</sup> unsaturated negative magnetoresistance,<sup>15–24</sup> and very low Verwey temperature and quite broadened transition.<sup>15–19,21,22,24–26</sup> Therefore, previous work of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> thin films grown on MgO, MgAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, SrTiO<sub>3</sub> or Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> substrates in fact only reported the extrinsic magnetic and transport properties.<sup>11–26</sup>

To overcome these negative aspects, very recently, Liu *et al.*<sup>27</sup> obtained exceptionally high quality epitaxial Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> thin films

grown on tailor-made spinel Co<sub>2–x–y</sub>Mn<sub>x</sub>Fe<sub>y</sub>TiO<sub>4</sub> (001) substrates, which not only show the Verwey transition as sharp as the single crystal bulk but also present very high  $T_V$  up to 136.5 K. This work provides a completely new platform to further investigate the intrinsic physical properties of the magnetite.<sup>27</sup> The Co<sub>2–x–y</sub>Mn<sub>x</sub>Fe<sub>y</sub>TiO<sub>4</sub> substrates, however, are magnetic, which significantly restricts the study of the magnetic properties in these high-quality Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> thin films. Furthermore, up to now, all the investigations on the magnetic properties of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> thin films are done in the films grown on the general substrates such as MgO, MgAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, SrTiO<sub>3</sub> or Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>,<sup>9,11,12,15–19,21,22,24</sup> which only show lower  $T_V$  than that of the bulk due to the existence of microstructure defects. Therefore, to study the magnetic properties in Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> thin film with higher  $T_V$  than that of the bulk will greatly extend our understanding about the magnetite. To experimentally achieve this goal, based on the work in ref. 27, we carefully chose and made a new non-magnetic spinel substrate Mg<sub>2</sub>TiO<sub>4</sub> (001) with small lattice mismatch +0.51%. We expect that the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> thin film grown this substrate will present higher  $T_V$  than that of the bulk and exhibit quite different magnetic properties from that of the films grown on the general substrates.

In this work, we report very different magnetic properties of 40 nm-thick Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> thin films grown on Mg<sub>2</sub>TiO<sub>4</sub> (001) and MgO (001) substrates. It is found that the sample on Mg<sub>2</sub>TiO<sub>4</sub> (001) displays a very sharp Verwey transition with narrow hysteresis of 0.5 K and a high  $T_V$  of 126 K, and remarkably an extremely small coercivity as low as around 7 Oe from Verwey transition to room temperature. This so low coercivity is close to that of the single crystal bulk but several times smaller than that of the sample on MgO (001), which makes Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/Mg<sub>2</sub>TiO<sub>4</sub> (001) a very good candidate for spintronic applications in quite low energy consumption.

<sup>a</sup>Max Planck Institute for Chemical Physics of Solids, Nöthnitzerstr. 40, 01187, Dresden, Germany. E-mail: xhliu@alum.imr.ac.cn


<sup>b</sup>Shenyang National Laboratory for Materials Science, Institute of Metal Research, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shenyang 110016, China



## II. Experiments

The 40 nm-thick  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  thin films were grown on  $\text{Mg}_2\text{TiO}_4$  (001) and  $\text{MgO}$  (001) substrates by using molecular beam epitaxy (MBE) in an ultrahigh vacuum system with a background pressure of  $1 \times 10^{-10}$  mbar range. The substrates were annealed for 2 h at 600 °C in an oxygen pressure of  $3 \times 10^{-7}$  mbar to obtain a clean and well-ordered surface structure before the deposition of  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ . Standard samples were grown using an iron flux of 1 Å per minute, an oxygen background pressure of  $1 \times 10^{-6}$  mbar, and a growth temperature of 250 °C.<sup>26</sup> To determine the structural quality and chemical states, the films were analyzed *in situ* by using reflection high-energy electron diffraction (RHEED), low-energy electron diffraction (LEED) and X-ray photoemission spectroscopy (XPS). The RHEED patterns were taken at 20 keV electron energy, with the beam aligned parallel to the [100] direction of the substrate. The LEED patterns were recorded

at electron energy of 88 eV. The thickness of the film was determined during growth from the oscillation period of the RHEED specular spot intensity. The XPS data were collected using 1486.6 eV photons (monochromatized Al  $K_{\alpha}$  light) in normal emission geometry and at room temperature using a Scienta R3000 electron energy analyzer. The overall energy resolution was set to about 0.3 eV. The transport and magnetic properties of the  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  thin films were *ex situ* measured with a standard four probe technique using a physical property measurement system (PPMS) and superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID), respectively. High-resolution X-ray diffraction (HR-XRD) was employed for further *ex situ* investigation of the structural quality and the microstructure of the thin films. The XRD measurements were performed with a high resolution PANalytical X'Pert MRD diffractometer using monochromatic Cu  $K_{\alpha 1}$  radiation ( $\lambda = 1.54056$  Å).



**Fig. 1** RHEED and LEED electron diffraction patterns of the following: the clean substrates  $\text{Mg}_2\text{TiO}_4$  (001) (a) and  $\text{MgO}$  (b); 40 nm-thick  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  thin films grown on  $\text{Mg}_2\text{TiO}_4$  (001) (c) and (e), and on  $\text{MgO}$  (001) (d) and (f), respectively. The  $(1 \times 1)$  unit cell and the  $(\sqrt{2} \times \sqrt{2})R45^\circ$  superlattice are indicated by the red dashed square and solid square, respectively.



### III. Results and discussions

Fig. 1 shows the RHEED electron diffraction patterns of clean substrates  $\text{Mg}_2\text{TiO}_4$  (001) (a) and  $\text{MgO}$  (001) (b), the RHEED and LEED patterns of 40 nm-thick  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  thin films grown on  $\text{Mg}_2\text{TiO}_4$  (001) (c and e), and on  $\text{MgO}$  (001) (d and f), respectively. The sharp RHEED streaks and the presence of Kikuchi lines (Fig. 1(c) and (d)), as well as the high contrast and sharp LEED spots (Fig. 1(e) and (f)) indicate a flat and well ordered (001) single crystalline surface structure of both samples. The characteristic  $(\sqrt{2} \times \sqrt{2})R45^\circ$  surface reconstruction of  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  (001) can be observed, providing another indication for the high structural quality of the two  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  thin films. The  $(1 \times 1)$  unit cell and the  $(\sqrt{2} \times \sqrt{2})R45^\circ$  superlattice are indicated by the red dashed square and solid square, respectively (see Fig. 1(e) and (f)).<sup>28,29</sup> Moreover, it is found that the LEED pattern for the film on  $\text{Mg}_2\text{TiO}_4$  (001) has  $45^\circ$  rotation as compared to the film on  $\text{MgO}$  (001), which should be due to the direction rotation of the substrate during its production process. Furthermore, to clarify the chemical states of the iron oxide, the thin films were *in situ* analyzed by XPS, as shown in Fig. 2(a)–(c). It is clear that the two samples exhibit the same wide scan spectra with binding energy from 1200 to  $-18$  eV (Fig. 2(a)), Fe 2p core-level spectra (Fig. 2(b)) and valence band spectra (Fig. 2(c)), which demonstrates quite clean surface of the thin films and represents the

typical signatures of  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  thin film.<sup>26,27,30,31</sup> The structural quality of the thin films was further *ex situ* investigated by the high-resolution X-ray diffraction (HR-XRD). As shown in the Fig. 2(d), the long range  $\theta$ – $2\theta$  XRD patterns do not present any phase other than  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ , the (002)/(004) and (004)/(008) reflections correspond to  $\text{MgO}/\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  because of the lattice constant of  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  as twice as that of  $\text{MgO}$  (see the green color curve), and the (004) and (008) reflections are presented for both  $\text{Mg}_2\text{TiO}_4$  and  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  for the red color curve. The two samples are in fully strained due to the small lattice mismatches.<sup>27,32</sup> As the lattice mismatch of  $\text{Mg}_2\text{TiO}_4$  (+0.51%) is larger than that of  $\text{MgO}$  (+0.33%), the tensile strain and also the lattice constant  $a$  (in-plane) are bigger for the former, and thus the lattice constant  $c$  (out-of-plane) of the  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4/\text{Mg}_2\text{TiO}_4$  (001) (8.343 Å) is smaller than that of the  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4/\text{MgO}$  (001) (8.367 Å), corresponding to the relative shift of (004) and (008) peaks to the larger angles (see the red curve).

The resistivity as a function of temperature  $\rho(T)$  of 40 nm-thick  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  thin films grown on  $\text{Mg}_2\text{TiO}_4$  (001) and  $\text{MgO}$  (001), and of single crystal bulk is shown in Fig. 3(a). It is found that the  $\rho(T)$  curves present a clear first-order Verwey transition. The  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4/\text{MgO}$  (001) sample displays a low  $T_V$  with a big hysteretic loop of about 4 K whereas the  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4/\text{Mg}_2\text{TiO}_4$  (001) sample exhibits a higher  $T_V$  (126 K) than that of the bulk with very narrow hysteresis of only 0.5 K, which demonstrates that




Fig. 2 XPS spectra of 40 nm-thick  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  thin films grown on  $\text{Mg}_2\text{TiO}_4$  (001) and  $\text{MgO}$  (001): wide scan spectra (a), Fe 2p core-level spectra (b) and valence band spectra, as well as Ag for reference (c); (d) X-ray diffraction patterns for the  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  thin films on  $\text{Mg}_2\text{TiO}_4$  (001) and  $\text{MgO}$  (001). Only the (004) and (008) reflections of  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  can be observed for the two samples.








Fig. 3 Resistivity as a function of temperature for 40 nm-thick  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  thin films on  $\text{Mg}_2\text{TiO}_4$  (001) and  $\text{MgO}$  (001), and of single crystal bulk  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  (a). Inset: temperature dependence of  $d\log(\rho)/dT$  near the  $T_V$  for the samples on  $\text{Mg}_2\text{TiO}_4$  (001) (up) and  $\text{MgO}$  (001) (down), respectively; zero-field-cooling (ZFC) and field-cooling (FC) magnetization of the samples on  $\text{Mg}_2\text{TiO}_4$  (001) (b) and  $\text{MgO}$  (001) (c), respectively. The  $dM/dT$  versus temperature around the  $T_V$  for the thin films on  $\text{Mg}_2\text{TiO}_4$  (001) and  $\text{MgO}$  (001) are displayed as insets of (b) and (c), respectively.


the  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4/\text{Mg}_2\text{TiO}_4$  (001) sample has quite few microstructural defects and the tensile strain pushes the  $T_V$  over that of the bulk.<sup>27</sup> The temperature dependence of magnetization  $M(T)$  of 40 nm-thick  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  thin films on  $\text{Mg}_2\text{TiO}_4$  (001) and  $\text{MgO}$  (001) are exhibited in Fig. 3(b) and (c), respectively. It is obvious that a sharp jump of magnetization takes place at Verwey transition. The  $T_{V-}$  and  $T_{V+}$  are defined respectively as the temperature of the maximum slop of  $\log(\rho(T))$  or  $M(T)$  curve for the cooling down and warming up temperature branches. Clearly, the  $T_{V+}$  and  $T_{V-}$  from the zero-field-cooling (ZFC) and field-cooling (FC)  $M(T)$  curves in Fig. 3(b) and (c) are consistent with that from  $\rho(T)$  curves in Fig. 3(a) (see the insets of Fig. 3(a)–(c)). Furthermore, it is found that the FC curve of  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4/\text{Mg}_2\text{TiO}_4$  (001) film shows much larger magnetization change at  $T_{V-}$  than that of  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4/\text{MgO}$  (001) film (see Fig. 3(b) and (c)). Although the bulk  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  keeps ferrimagnetic below  $T_C \sim 860$  K, yet the easy (hard) axis changes from [111] ([100]) to [100] ([111]) with changing from cubic  $Fd\bar{3}m$  to monoclinic  $Cc$ .<sup>33,34</sup> Usually, the bulk  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  exhibits a very sharp variation of magnetization at  $T_V$  under small magnetic field.<sup>35</sup> In  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  thin films, however, the presence of microstructure defects can negatively affect the rotation of the magnetic axis. Therefore, the  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4/\text{Mg}_2\text{TiO}_4$  (001) film having fewer microstructure defects is more sensitive to the applied magnetic field, and the much larger magnetization change at  $T_{V-}$  can be observed.

It has been reported that the coercivity field ( $H_C$ ) significantly enhances with the  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  transforming from high-temperature cubic spinel structure to low-temperature monoclinic structure due to the abrupt increase in magnetocrystalline and magnetostriction constants.<sup>35–38</sup> The in-plane magnetic hysteresis loops at 126 K (at  $T_V$ ) and 127 K (just above  $T_V$ ) in applied

field of 50 kOe of the 40 nm  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4/\text{Mg}_2\text{TiO}_4$  (001) film is shown in Fig. 4(a). It is observed that the  $H_C$  sharply decreases from 213 Oe at 126 K to only 6 Oe at 127 K (see the inset (left) of Fig. 4(a)). Remarkably, this extremely small  $H_C$  at 127 K, to our knowledge, is the smallest value in  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  thin films so far, which is close to that of the single crystal bulk<sup>36</sup> but several times smaller than that reported in thin films grown on  $\text{MgO}$ ,  $\text{MgAl}_2\text{O}_4$ ,  $\text{SrTiO}_3$  or  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ .<sup>9,15,16,19,21,22,24,37,38</sup> Moreover, near the  $H_C$ , a clear incoherent reversal of magnetization with magnetic field for 126 K while a rapid jump of magnetization with magnetic field for 127 K are observed, see the sharp peak of  $d(M/M_S)/dH$  at 127 K in the inset (right) of Fig. 4(a).

The in-plane  $H_C$  as a function of temperature for 40 nm-thick  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  thin films on  $\text{Mg}_2\text{TiO}_4$  (001) and  $\text{MgO}$  (001) are plotted in Fig. 4(c). A sharp change of  $H_C$  occurs at their Verwey transitions for the two samples, respectively. Especially, the  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4/\text{Mg}_2\text{TiO}_4$  (001) sample keeps nearly constant  $H_C$  of only about 7 Oe above its  $T_V$ . As a contrast, the values of  $H_C$  are much larger for the  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4/\text{MgO}$  (001) sample, ranging from 140 Oe at 130 K to 90 Oe at 300 K and still about two times bigger than that of the  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4/\text{Mg}_2\text{TiO}_4$  (001) sample at low temperatures ( $T < T_V$ ). Furthermore, the two samples present the perpendicular anisotropic behavior, that the in-plane and out-of-plane correspond to the easy and hard axis, respectively (see Fig. 4(b)), and the anisotropic field is about 5 kOe, similar to that reported in previous work.<sup>20,39</sup> It has been known that the microstructural defects (such as the APBs) greatly affect the transport and magnetic properties of  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  thin films, the APBs were claimed to act as pinning centers for the magnetic domain walls,<sup>37</sup> thus the substantial enhancement of  $H_C$  for the  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4/\text{MgO}$  (001) film should be





**Fig. 4** (a) In-plane magnetic hysteresis loops in applied field of 50 kOe for 40 nm-thick Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> thin film grown on Mg<sub>2</sub>TiO<sub>4</sub> (001) at 126 (at  $T_V$ ) and 127 K (just above  $T_V$ ). Inset: (left) magnetic hysteresis loop under small magnetic field 0.1 kOe at 127 K; (right)  $d(M/M_S)/dH$  as a function of magnetic field around the  $H_C$ ; (b) in-plane and out-of-plane magnetic hysteresis loops of the sample on Mg<sub>2</sub>TiO<sub>4</sub> (001) at 300 K. Inset:  $d(M/M_S)/dH$  vs.  $H$  around the  $H_C$ ; (c) temperature dependence of  $H_C$  (in-plane) for 40 nm-thick Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> thin films on Mg<sub>2</sub>TiO<sub>4</sub> (001) and MgO (001). A sharp change of  $H_C$  can be seen at their Verwey transitions and the values of  $H_C$  for the sample on Mg<sub>2</sub>TiO<sub>4</sub> (001) are much smaller than that of the sample on MgO (001).

induced from this effect. As a result, by using a tailored spinel substrate we can obtain exceptionally high quality Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> thin film, with getting rid of the microstructure defects, it is the first time for us to observe the magnetic properties in Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> thin film having higher  $T_V$  than that of the single crystal bulk, which enlarges our understanding about the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. Furthermore, this Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/Mg<sub>2</sub>TiO<sub>4</sub> (001) thin film with extremely low coercivity will bring in quite low energy consumption in spin valves or spin tunnel junctions.

## IV. Conclusion

In summary, we have studied the magnetic properties of 40 nm-thick Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> thin films grown on Mg<sub>2</sub>TiO<sub>4</sub> (001) and on MgO (001). We found that the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/Mg<sub>2</sub>TiO<sub>4</sub> (001) film shows a very sharp Verwey transition with narrow hysteresis and high  $T_V$  up to 126 K, and especially an extremely small  $H_C$  as low as about 7 Oe from the Verwey transition to room temperature. This small  $H_C$  is close to that of the single crystal bulk but several times smaller than that of the films grown on general substrates. Our work not only gives a first example of the magnetic properties in Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> thin film having higher Verwey transition than that of the single crystal bulk but also provides a very good candidate for spintronic applications in quite low energy consumption.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Prof. Liu Hao Tjeng, Dr Chun-Fu Chang and Dr Alexander Komarek for useful discussion. This work has been supported by the Max Planck-POSTECH Center for Complex Phase Materials, and the National Basic Research Program of China (No. 2017YFA0206302), and the National Nature Science Foundation of China under projects 51590883 and 51331006, and as a project of the Chinese Academy of Sciences with grant number KJZD-EW-M05-3.

## References

- 1 F. Walz, The Verwey transition – a topical review, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter*, 2002, **14**, R285–R340.
- 2 J. Garcia and G. Subias, The Verwey transition – a new perspective, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter*, 2004, **16**, R145–R178.
- 3 R. A. de Groot, F. M. Mueller, P. G. van Engen and K. H. J. Buschow, New Class of Materials: Half-Metallic Ferromagnets, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 1983, **50**, 2024–2027.
- 4 A. Yanase and K. Siratori, Band structure in the high temperature phase of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.*, 1984, **53**, 312–317.
- 5 X. W. Li, A. Gupta, G. Xiao, W. Qian and V. P. Dravid, Fabrication and properties of heteroepitaxial magnetite (Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>) tunnel junctions, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 1998, **73**, 3282–3284.
- 6 G. Hu and Y. Suzuki, Negative Spin Polarization of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> in Magnetite/Manganite-Based Junctions, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2002, **89**, 276601.



- 7 L. M. B. Alldredge, R. V. Chopdekar, B. B. Nelson-Cheeseman and Y. Suzuki, Spin-polarized conduction in oxide magnetic tunnel junctions with spinel/perovskite interfaces, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2006, **89**, 182504.
- 8 T. Kado, Large room-temperature inverse magnetoresistance in tunnel junctions with a  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  electrode, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2008, **92**, 092502.
- 9 J. B. Moussy, From epitaxial growth of ferrite thin films to spin-polarized tunneling, *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.*, 2013, **46**, 143001.
- 10 E. J. W. Verwey, Electronic conduction of magnetite ( $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ ) and its transition point at low temperatures, *Nature*, 1939, **144**, 327–328.
- 11 D. T. Margulies, F. T. Parker, F. E. Spada, R. S. Goldman, J. Li, R. Sinclair and A. E. Berkowitz, Anomalous moment and anisotropy behavior in  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  films, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1996, **53**, 9175–9187.
- 12 D. T. Margulies, F. T. Parker, M. L. Rudee, F. E. Spada, J. N. Chapman, P. R. Aitchison and A. E. Berkowitz, Origin of the Anomalous Magnetic Behavior in Single Crystal  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  Films, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 1997, **79**, 5162–5165.
- 13 F. C. Voogt, T. T. M. Palstra, L. Niesen, O. C. Rogojuanu, M. A. James and T. Hibma, Superparamagnetic behavior of structural domains in epitaxial ultrathin magnetite films, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1998, **57**, R8107–R8110.
- 14 W. Eerenstein, T. Hibma and S. Celotto, Mechanism for superparamagnetic behavior in epitaxial  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  films, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2004, **70**, 184404.
- 15 G. Q. Gong, A. Gupta, G. Xiao, W. Qian and V. P. Dravid, Magnetoresistance and magnetic properties of epitaxial magnetite thin films, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1997, **56**, 5096–5099.
- 16 X. W. Li, A. Gupta, G. Xiao and G. Q. Gong, Transport and magnetic properties of epitaxial and polycrystalline magnetite thin films, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 1998, **83**, 7049–7051.
- 17 M. Ziese and H. J. Blythe, Magnetoresistance of magnetite, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter*, 2000, **12**, 13–28.
- 18 M. Ziese, Extrinsic magnetotransport phenomena in ferromagnetic oxides, *Rep. Prog. Phys.*, 2002, **65**, 143–249.
- 19 M. Ziese, R. Hohne, H. C. Semmelhack, H. Reckentin, N. H. Hong and P. Esquinazi, Mechanism of grain-boundary magnetoresistance in  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  films, *Eur. Phys. J. B*, 2002, **28**, 415–422.
- 20 W. Eerenstein, T. T. M. Palstra, S. S. Saxena and T. Hibma, Spin-Polarized Transport across Sharp Antiferromagnetic Boundaries, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2002, **88**, 247204.
- 21 S. K. Arora, R. G. S. Sofin and I. V. Shvets, Magnetoresistance enhancement in epitaxial magnetite films grown on vicinal substrates, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2005, **72**, 134404.
- 22 A. V. Ramos, J. B. Moussy, M. J. Guittet, A. M. Bataille, M. G. Soyer, M. Viret, C. Gatel, P. B. Guillemaud and E. Snoeck, Magnetotransport properties of  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  epitaxial thin films: Thickness effects driven by antiphase boundaries, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 2006, **100**, 103902.
- 23 A. F. Pacheco, J. Orna, J. M. De Teresa, P. A. Algarabel, L. Morellon, J. A. Pardo, M. R. Ibarra, E. Kampert and U. Zeitler, High-field Hall effect and magnetoresistance in  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  epitaxial thin films up to 30 Tesla, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2009, **95**, 262108.
- 24 R. G. S. Sofin, S. K. Arora and I. V. Shvets, Positive antiphase boundary domain wall magnetoresistance in  $\text{Fe}(110)$  heteroepitaxial films, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2011, **83**, 134436.
- 25 W. Eerenstein, T. T. M. Palstra, T. Hibma and S. Celotto, Origin of the increased resistivity in epitaxial  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  films, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2002, **66**, 201101.
- 26 X. H. Liu, A. D. Rata, C. F. Chang, A. C. Komarek and L. H. Tjeng, Verwey transition in  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  thin films: Influence of oxygen stoichiometry and substrate-induced Microstructure, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2014, **90**, 125142.
- 27 X. H. Liu, C. F. Chang, A. D. Rata, A. C. Komarek and L. H. Tjeng,  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  thin films: controlling and manipulating an elusive quantum material, *npj Quantum Materials*, 2016, **1**, 16027.
- 28 F. Y. Ran, Y. Tsunemaru, T. Hasegawa, Y. Takeichi, A. Harasawa, K. Yaji, S. Kim and A. Kakizaki, Angle-resolved photoemission study of  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4(001)$  films across Verwey transition, *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.*, 2012, **45**, 275002.
- 29 W. Wang, J. M. Mariot, M. C. Richter, O. Heckmann, W. Ndiaye, P. De Padova, A. Taleb-Ibrahimi, P. Le Fèvre, F. Bertran, F. Bondino, E. Magnano, J. Krempasky, P. Blaha, C. Cacho, F. Parmigiani and K. Hricovini,  $\text{Fe } t_{2g}$  band dispersion and spin polarization in thin films of  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4(001)/\text{MgO}(001)$ : Half-metallicity of magnetite revisited, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2013, **87**, 085118.
- 30 S. A. Chambers and S. A. Joyce, Surface termination, composition and reconstruction of  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4(001)$  and  $\gamma\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3(001)$ , *Surf. Sci.*, 1999, **420**, 111–122.
- 31 S. A. Chambers, R. F. C. Farrow, S. Maat, M. F. Toney, L. Folks, J. G. Catalano, T. P. Trainor and G. E. Brown Jr, Molecular beam epitaxial growth and properties of  $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4$  on  $\text{MgO}(001)$ , *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.*, 2002, **246**, 124–139.
- 32 M. Luysberg, R. G. S. Sofin, S. K. Arora and I. V. Shvets, Strain relaxation in  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4/\text{MgAl}_2\text{O}_4$  heterostructures: Mechanism for formation of antiphase boundaries in an epitaxial system with identical symmetries of film and substrate, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2009, **80**, 024111.
- 33 B. A. Calhoun, Magnetic and Electric Properties of Magnetite at Low Temperatures, *Phys. Rev.*, 1954, **94**, 1577–1585.
- 34 Z. Kakol, Magnetic and transport properties of magnetite in the vicinity of the Verwey transition, *J. Solid State Chem.*, 1990, **88**, 104–114.
- 35 A. R. Muxworthy and E. McClelland, Review of the low-temperature magnetic properties of magnetite from a rock magnetic perspective, *Geophys. J. Int.*, 2000, **140**, 101–114.
- 36 A. R. Muxworthy, Low-temperature susceptibility and hysteresis of magnetite, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 1999, **169**, 51–58.



- 37 A. Bollero, M. Ziese, R. Hohne, H. C. Semmelhack, U. Kohler, A. Setzer and P. Esquinazi, Influence of thickness on microstructural and magnetic properties in  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  thin films produced by PLD, *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.*, 2005, **285**, 279–289.
- 38 F. Delille, B. Dieny, J. B. Moussy, M. J. Guittet, S. Gota, M. G. Soyer and C. Marin, Study of the electronic paraprocess and antiphase boundaries as sources of the demagnetisation phenomenon in magnetite, *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.*, 2005, **294**, 27–39.
- 39 P. A. A. van der Heijden, M. G. van Opstal, C. H. W. Swuste, P. H. J. Bloemen, J. M. Gaines and W. J. M. de Jonge, A ferromagnetic resonance study on ultra-thin  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  layers grown on (0 0 1) MgO, *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.*, 1998, **182**, 71–80.

