



Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2017, 7, 42891

Electromagnetic wave absorption polyimide fabric prepared by coating with core–shell NiFe₂O₄@PANI nanoparticles

Yu Wang,^a Wei Wang,^a Meifang Zhu^b and Dan Yu *^{ab}

The nickel ferrite@polyaniline/polyimide (NiFe₂O₄@PANI/PI) fabric was prepared by coating the PI fabric with core–shell NiFe₂O₄@PANI nanoparticles to obtain an excellent microwave absorption performance. Firstly, a microwave absorbing agent was fabricated by dispersing the pre-synthesized core–shell NiFe₂O₄@PANI nanoparticles into epoxy monomer, in which the covalent bonding between epoxy groups and amine groups from PANI made them uniformly disperse. Then the agent was coated on to the PI fabric to a thickness of 0.12 mm. The results of field effect-transmission electron microscopy and scanning electron microscopy demonstrated the core–shell structure of NiFe₂O₄@PANI and the composite structure of the NiFe₂O₄@PANI/PI fabric. The resultant fabric possessed a high microwave attenuation property with a minimum reflection loss value of –19.2 dB (>90% attenuation) at 16.1 GHz and the effective absorption bandwidth was 5.1 GHz. This high performance was attributed to the uniform dispersion of core–shell NiFe₂O₄@PANI nanoparticles, better impedance matching and intensive synergistic effect between dielectric loss caused by the PANI shell and magnetic loss from the NiFe₂O₄ core. The favorable flexibility, processability and high tensile properties gave the composite fabric a long service time under pressure or foldable conditions. Furthermore, this process was environmentally friendly as no organic solvent was used in the whole process and the NiFe₂O₄@PANI/PI fabric could potentially be applied in microwave absorbing fields.

Received 20th July 2017
 Accepted 21st August 2017

DOI: 10.1039/c7ra08002f

rsc.li/rsc-advances

1. Introduction

The electromagnetic (EM) wave pollution from the proliferation of widespread electronics and instruments not only interrupts the usual functions of electronic devices but also influences people's health. Therefore, EM wave pollution has been a major concern and the worldwide demand for EM shielding textiles in the electronic and military industries as well as for the use of protective garments has increased dramatically because of their popular light-weight and flexible features.^{1–4} According to the mechanism of EM shielding, EM shielding textiles should have the capability of reflection or absorption. Currently, to reflect, those fabrics are mainly prepared by combining fabrics with metals (copper, gold, nickel, silver)^{5–9} or metallic compounds^{10–12} to obtain excellent electrical conductivity, which leads to high EM shielding effectiveness; however, their applications are limited because of high processing costs, heavy weight and secondary pollution. To give absorption properties,

the composite fabrics should have dielectric and/or magnetic loss which may be supplied using coating materials possessing high permittivity or permeability, for example, carbon materials (carbon nanotubes (CNTs), carbon fibers, carbon black, graphene, graphite),^{13–17} conductive polymers (polyaniline (PANI), polypyrrole),^{18–20} magnetic particles (iron(II,III) (Fe₃O₄), cobalt(II,III) oxide (Co₃O₄), cobalt ferrite (CoFe₂O₄), nickel ferrite (NiFe₂O₄), iron(III) oxide (Fe₂O₃), carbonyl iron)^{21–26} and dielectric/magnetic hybrid particles,¹⁶ all of which allow the EM energy to be attenuated in the absorbing medium. Nevertheless, many problems have not been solved yet such as poor absorption capacity, narrow absorption band and agglomeration effects from the EM wave absorbing fillers.

Using microwave theory,²⁷ the attenuation of EM wave energy can be accomplished by the reflection of the material surface, the absorption and the multiple internal reflections from the material itself. The entire absorption is an ideal method to attenuate the EM wave energy and now it can be achieved by improving the impedance matching and enhancing dielectric and magnetic loss. NiFe₂O₄ has already shown an unparalleled application potential in the field of EM wave absorption because of its low production cost, high permeability and permittivity, but its homogenous dispersion in resins is difficult to realize because of its poor interfacial compatibility/bonding.^{27–29} Surface modification is a common method to solve this

^aCollege of Chemistry, Chemical Engineering and Biotechnology, Donghua University, 2999 North Renmin Road, Songjiang District, Shanghai, PR China 201620. E-mail: yudan@dhu.edu.cn; Fax: +86-21-67792608; Tel: +86-21-67792456

^bState Key Laboratory for Modification of Chemical Fibers and Polymer Materials, College of Materials Science and Engineering, Donghua University, Shanghai, PR China 201620




dispersion problem through surfactants³⁰ and polymers.³¹ PANI has also been considered as an ideal EM wave absorbing material in coordination with the dielectric loss and improves the dispersion of fillers because of the presence of amine and imine groups in its structure.^{19,32,33} Additionally, epoxy resin is usually applied to complement the intrinsic characteristics of PANI as an EM wave absorbing matrix on account of its low cost, good chemical, mechanical and thermal stabilities.³⁴ Thus, selecting PANI as a medium to make core-shell NiFe₂O₄@PANI nanoparticles, can not only improve the EM absorbing performance, but also solve the nanoparticles' dispersion problem in epoxy resin because of the chemical bonding of epoxy groups with amine groups.

In this research, polyimide (PI) fabric was used as the host substrate and a simple method to prepare the NiFe₂O₄@PANI/PI EM wave absorbing fabric by incorporating dielectric/magnetic nanoparticles is proposed. The preparation process is shown in Scheme 1. Firstly, the core-shell NiFe₂O₄@PANI nanoparticles were synthesized using an *in situ* polymerization method. Secondly, a microwave absorbing agent was prepared by uniformly dispersing them into epoxy monomer because of the formation of covalent bonding between the epoxy groups and the amine groups from PANI. Then this agent was coated and cured on the surface of the PI fabric to obtain resultant fabric (Scheme 2). This novel EM wave absorption fabric was designed by considering better impedance matching and intensive synergistic effect from dielectric loss caused by the PANI shell and magnetic loss by the NiFe₂O₄ core. The chemical structure, magnetic properties and surface morphologies were investigated using Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR), X-ray diffraction (XRD), vibrating sample magnetometry (VSM), field effect-transmission electron microscopy (FE-TEM) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM). The EM wave absorption performance, attenuation mechanism, thermal stability and mechanical properties of the resultant fabric are also discussed in detail in this paper.

2. Experimental

2.1 Materials

The PI fabric was purchased from Jiangsu Aoshen Hi-tech Materials Co. The NiFe₂O₄ with an average size of 30 nm was obtained from the Nanjing Emperor Nano Material Co., Ltd. The bisphenol A epoxy monomer (BPA, 2,2-bis(4-glycidyloxyphenyl) propane, DER331) was provided by Adamas Reagent (Switzerland). Aniline (AN, C₆H₇N) monomer, ammonium persulfate (APS, (NH₄)₂S₂O₈) and *p*-toluene sulfonic acid (PTSA, C₇H₈O₃S) were purchased from Shanghai Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd, China. All chemicals were of analytical grade and were used as received without further purification.



Scheme 1 The preparation route of NiFe₂O₄@PANI/PI fabric.

2.2 Preparation of core-shell NiFe₂O₄@PANI nanoparticles

The core-shell NiFe₂O₄@PANI nanoparticles were prepared using an *in situ* polymerization method. Briefly, NiFe₂O₄ nanoparticles (2.5 g), PTSA (3.8 g) and APS (2.28 g) were added in 100 mL of deionized water at the room temperature with mechanically stirring (300 rpm) for one hour. Then AN monomer (1.9 mL) was added into the previous suspension and mechanically stirred (400 rpm) for 3 h at 0–5 °C in an iced-water bath. Finally the composites were vacuum filtered and washed with a large amount of deionized water, and then dried at room temperature.


2.3 Preparation of NiFe₂O₄@PANI/PI fabric

The NiFe₂O₄@PANI/PI fabric was prepared using a coating process. Firstly, the microwave absorbing agent was synthesized by dispersing as-prepared NiFe₂O₄@PANI nanoparticles (20, 30 and 40 wt%) into BPA and mechanically stirred (200 rpm) for 2 h so that the epoxy monomer would completely wet the NiFe₂O₄@PANI nanoparticles. Secondly, the curing agent (AN monomer) was added into the previously prepared suspension with a BPA/AN molar ratio of 2 : 1, and then mechanically stirred (200 rpm) at 70 °C for 2 h. After that, the microwave absorbing agent was coated by screen on the surface of the PI fabric and then cured at 60 °C for 24 h to obtain the NiFe₂O₄@PANI/PI fabric. The samples were described as 20NiFe₂O₄@PANI/PI fabric, 30NiFe₂O₄@PANI/PI fabric and 40NiFe₂O₄@PANI/PI fabric based on the different amounts of NiFe₂O₄@PANI nanoparticles (20, 30 and 40 wt%) used. The 30PANI/PI fabric and 30NiFe₂O₄/PI fabric were also prepared following the previously mentioned procedures with the 30 wt% filling content for comparison.

2.4 Characterization

The chemical structure was determined using FT-IR analysis (Nicolet 6700, Thermo Fisher Scientific) in the spectral range of 4000–400 cm⁻¹, with 4 cm⁻¹ resolution. All of the samples were cut into powder and mixed with potassium bromide. The XRD at $\lambda = 0.1542$ nm (D/max 2550, Rigaku, Japan) was used to investigate the crystal structure of samples at room temperature with 2θ ranges from 5° to 80°, at 40 kV, and 200 mA, and a scanning step of 0.02° per second. The magnetic properties were characterized using VSM (Lake Shore Cryotronics, USA) at room temperature. The surface morphologies of the as-prepared NiFe₂O₄@PANI composites were characterized using FE-TEM (FE Tecnai TF20) with a field-emission gun, operated at an accelerating voltage of 200 kV. The morphologies of the PI fabric before and after coating were observed using SEM (Hitachi TM-1000). The SEM images were obtained in vacuum at a 3000 magnification and at an accelerating voltage of 10 kV. The thermal stability was determined using thermogravimetric analysis (TGA, TG 209 F1, Netzsch, Germany) in a flowing nitrogen (N₂) atmosphere with a heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹, from 50 to 900 °C. The photographs of the flexibility and processability were captured using an optical camera. The tensile tests were carried out using a materials testing machine





Scheme 2 The related mechanism of preparation.

(H5K-S, Tinius Olsen, USA) according to the Chinese standard GB 9997-88 at 25 °C and 65% relative humidity. Each sample was tested 10 times and the average value was taken as the result. The relative complex permittivity ($\epsilon_r = \epsilon' - j\epsilon''$) and relative complex permeability ($\mu_r = \mu' - j\mu''$) were tested on a vector network analyzer (Anritsu 37269D, USA) using the wave guide method in the frequency range of 12.4–18.0 GHz. All the test samples were cut into dimensions of $15.9 \times 8 \times 2$ mm³. The reflection loss (RL) coefficients and attenuation constant (α) were calculated according to transmission line theory.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Structure and magnetic analysis

The chemical structure was verified using FT-IR spectra and these are shown in Fig. 1(a). For the neat PANI, the absorption peaks at 1580 cm⁻¹ and 1497 cm⁻¹ were attributed to the C=C stretching vibration of the quinoid ring skeleton (Q) and the benzenoid ring skeleton (B), respectively. The intensity of these two peaks could give information about the degree of oxidation of the emeraldine form.²⁰ The strong absorption peak at 1127 cm⁻¹ was assigned to the B-Q-B stretching vibration, revealing that the conductive form of PANI was present.³⁵ The peak at 1299 cm⁻¹ was associated to the C-N stretching vibration of the benzene ring. These absorption peaks (1580 , 1497 , 1299 and 1127 cm⁻¹) were also observed in the spectrum of NiFe₂O₄@PANI nanoparticles, but had a slight shift (about 3–8 cm⁻¹) compared with the spectrum of neat PANI, suggesting that the surface of the NiFe₂O₄ was successfully functionalized by the PANI. Additionally, for the cured 30NiFe₂O₄/epoxy, the absorption peak around 1235 cm⁻¹ was derived from the C-O-C stretching vibration which also presented in the spectrum of the cured 30NiFe₂O₄@PANI/epoxy. Furthermore, it is worth noting that the terminal epoxy groups were observed at 912 cm⁻¹ in the spectrum of cured 30NiFe₂O₄/epoxy, but it had nearly disappeared in the spectrum of cured 30NiFe₂O₄@PANI/epoxy. This result could be explained by the fact that the amine groups with a reactive hydrogen atom from the PANI have

reacted with the epoxy groups, which was beneficial to the uniform dispersion of NiFe₂O₄@PANI nanoparticles in the epoxy resin.

The XRD patterns of NiFe₂O₄ and NiFe₂O₄@PANI nanoparticles are shown in Fig. 1(b). By comparison, it was clearly seen that these peaks of NiFe₂O₄ and NiFe₂O₄@PANI nanoparticles had no significant difference except for the intensity and all the diffraction peaks were located at $2\theta = 18.64^\circ$, 30.49° , 35.85° , 37.55° , 43.58° , 53.11° , 57.58° , 63.21° and 75.09° , corresponding to the (111), (220), (311), (222), (400), (422), (511), (400) and (533) cubic spinel of NiFe₂O₄ (JCPDS card no. 10-0325), respectively. The spectra of Fig. 1(a) and (b) confirmed the coexistence of NiFe₂O₄ and PANI and suggest that the introduction of PANI had a negligible influence on the crystal-line structure of the NiFe₂O₄ nanoparticles.²⁶ Additionally, it is believable that the magnetic properties may be conducive to balancing the impedance matching condition between the complex permittivity and complex permeability.¹⁶ So the investigation of magnetic properties plays an important role in understanding the EM wave attenuation performance and the magnetic hysteresis loops of NiFe₂O₄ and NiFe₂O₄@PANI are shown in Fig. 1(c). As can be seen in Fig. 1(c), both samples exhibited a typical hysteresis loop in their magnetic behavior and the saturation magnetization (M_s) values of NiFe₂O₄ and NiFe₂O₄@PANI were 36.4 emu g⁻¹ and 28.9 emu g⁻¹, respectively. Although the M_s value of NiFe₂O₄@PANI was slightly decreased because of the addition of non-magnetic PANI, on basis of research investigation,^{16,25} it is believed that the effects of dispersion improvement and impedance matching condition originating from PANI are important in enhancing the microwave absorption. The inset image of Fig. 1(c) shows the magnetic performance of NiFe₂O₄@PANI nanoparticles, which could be attracted when a magnet was placed beside a bottle filled with NiFe₂O₄@PANI nanoparticles dispersed in deionized water. Furthermore, the magnetic hysteresis loops of both samples showed an S-like shape and the enlarged magnetization hysteresis loops are shown in Fig. 1(d), which demonstrates that the NiFe₂O₄@PANI maintained the same coercivity (H_c) of






Fig. 1 (a) FT-IR spectra of PANI, NiFe₂O₄, NiFe₂O₄@PANI, cured 30NiFe₂O₄@PANI/epoxy and cured 30NiFe₂O₄/epoxy. (b) XRD patterns, (c) magnetization hysteresis loops and (d) the enlarged magnetization hysteresis loops of NiFe₂O₄, NiFe₂O₄@PANI. Inset of (c) shows that NiFe₂O₄@PANI was attracted by a permanent magnet.

the NiFe₂O₄ nanoparticles, suggesting that the anisotropic energy was favorable for the enhancement of the EM wave attenuation performance.^{36–38}

3.2 Morphological analysis

The FE-TEM images of NiFe₂O₄@PANI nanoparticles are shown in Fig. 2(a) and (b). Both FE-TEM images indicate that the hybrid consists of evenly dispersed NiFe₂O₄ with an average diameter of about 30 nm in the PANI matrix. The selected area electron diffraction pattern [inset in Fig. 2(a)] exhibits polycrystalline diffraction rings with many obvious diffraction spots, indicating the highly crystalline structure of NiFe₂O₄. The bright amorphous layer depicted in Fig. 2(b) is distinctly different from the NiFe₂O₄ cores, revealing that the noncrystal PANI layer was formed on the surface of the NiFe₂O₄ nanoparticles. Fig. 2(c) displays the surface morphologies of the fabrics before and after coating. It is clearly observed that an even film was coated on the PI fabric surface. The coating thickness was measured using an electronic digital display micrometer and was about 0.12 mm. At a higher magnification [Fig. 2(d)], the relatively smooth surface indicates that NiFe₂O₄@PANI nanoparticles were evenly dispersed in a microwave absorbing agent, which resulted from the covalent bonding

between the epoxy groups and the amine groups from PANI, suggesting that there was no “dead area” of invalid microwave absorbing components.

3.3 Microwave absorption properties

As far as is known, the EM energy can be attenuated by the reflection of the material surface, the absorption and the multiple internal reflections from the absorber itself when exposed beneath the EM wave irradiation. The integral EM wave absorption performance of the composite fabrics can be determined by the RL values, which were simulated from the measured relative complex permittivity and permeability values. According to transmission line theory, the RL values were calculated by the following equations:³⁹

$$RL = 20 \log \left| \frac{Z_{in} - Z_0}{Z_{in} + Z_0} \right| \quad (1)$$

where Z_{in} is the input impedance of the absorption layer and Z_0 is the impedance of free space, which can be expressed as:

$$Z_{in} = Z_0 \sqrt{\mu_r/\epsilon_r} \tanh [j(2\pi fd/c)\sqrt{\mu_r\epsilon_r}] \quad (2)$$

$$Z_0 = \sqrt{\mu_0/\epsilon_0} \quad (3)$$






Fig. 2 (a) and (b) FE-TEM images of $\text{NiFe}_2\text{O}_4@PANI$ nanoparticles. (c) and (d) SEM micrographs of $30\text{NiFe}_2\text{O}_4@PANI/PI$ fabric.

where ε_r and μ_r are the complex relative permittivity and permeability of the absorption layer, respectively, ε_0 and μ_0 are the complex relative permeability and permittivity of free space, respectively, d is the thickness, f is the frequency of the EM wave, and c is the velocity of light ($3 \times 10^8 \text{ m s}^{-1}$). The three-dimensional (3D) contour maps of RL with the correlation of thickness and frequency are shown in Fig. 3(a)–(e). It is clearly seen that the minimum RL values had a tendency to a lower frequency range with increasing thickness. It is believed that the different ratios of $\text{NiFe}_2\text{O}_4@PANI$ nanoparticles would lead to distinguishable dielectric/magnetic loss, and would also influence EM wave absorption performance. In Fig. 3(a)–(c), it is seen that the minimum RL values of prepared $20\text{NiFe}_2\text{O}_4@PANI/PI$, $30\text{NiFe}_2\text{O}_4@PANI/PI$ and $40\text{NiFe}_2\text{O}_4@PANI/PI$ fabric were -9.1 dB , -19.2 dB and -11.3 dB , respectively. Meanwhile, the $30\text{NiFe}_2\text{O}_4@PANI/PI$ fabric exhibited the highest EM wave absorption performance with over 90% attenuation at 16.1 GHz and the effective absorption bandwidth below -10 dB was 5.1 GHz with a thickness of only 1.5 mm, which could be explained reasonably by the effective medium theory of Maxwell-Garnett.⁴⁰ Furthermore, for comparison, the EM properties of the $30PANI/PI$ fabric [Fig. 3(d)] and $30\text{NiFe}_2\text{O}_4/PI$ fabric [Fig. 3(e)] were also measured and they exhibited poor EM wave absorption properties and the minimum RL values were only -7.1 dB and -6.3 dB , respectively. Because the lower the RL, the better is the EM wave absorption,²⁷ these results demonstrated that the $30\text{NiFe}_2\text{O}_4@PANI/PI$ fabric possessed a higher EM wave absorption performance than the $30PANI/PI$ fabric and $30\text{NiFe}_2\text{O}_4/PI$ fabric, which could be explained by the fact that the core-shell $\text{NiFe}_2\text{O}_4@PANI$ nanoparticles were beneficial for improving EM wave absorption performance because of the

intensive synergistic effect of dielectric/magnetic loss and the increasing for the number of interfaces.

Furthermore, the EM wave attenuation ability inside the materials is related to the attenuation constant. Thus, to further analyse the EM wave absorption performance, the attenuation constant was expressed according to transmission line theory as:⁴¹

$$\alpha = \frac{\sqrt{2}\pi f}{c} \times \sqrt{(\mu''\varepsilon'' - \mu'\varepsilon')^2 + (\varepsilon'\mu'' + \varepsilon''\mu')^2} \quad (4)$$

where ε' and ε'' are the real and imaginary parts of complex relative permittivity, μ' and μ'' are the real and imaginary parts of the complex relative permeability, f is the frequency of EM wave, and c is the velocity of light. Fig. 3(f) shows the curves of the calculated attenuation constant (α) and it is evident that the α value of $30\text{NiFe}_2\text{O}_4@PANI/PI$ fabric was higher than those of other composite fabrics and this is basically consistent with the results of RL, also suggesting that there is a higher EM wave attenuation performance.

It is known that the prominent EM wave attenuation performance may be derived from three factors: a suitable impedance matching condition, the strong dielectric loss and magnetic loss. As far as is known, the storage capability of the electric and magnetic energy is related to the real parts (ε' and μ') of the complex permittivity and permeability, whereas the dissipation loss capability can be determined by the imaginary parts (ε'' and μ''). The dielectric and magnetic loss tangent ($\tan \delta_E = \varepsilon''/\varepsilon'$, $\tan \delta_M = \mu''/\mu'$) may provide a measure of the amount of EM wave energy loss compared with the amount of






Fig. 3 3D contour maps of RL for (a) 20NiFe₂O₄@PANI/PI fabric, (b) 30NiFe₂O₄@PANI/PI fabric, (c) 40NiFe₂O₄@PANI/PI fabric, (d) 30PANI/PI fabric and (e) 30NiFe₂O₄/PI fabric. (f) Frequency dependence of the attenuation constants.

EM wave energy storage, respectively. Therefore, in order to determine the possible attenuation mechanism of the EM wave energy, the relative complex permittivity, relative complex permeability, dielectric and magnetic dissipation factors of the various samples were measured in the 12.4–18.0 GHz frequency range using the wave guide method and the results are shown in Fig. 4(a)–(e). As shown in Fig. 4(a) and (b), the ϵ' and ϵ'' values of all the samples were found to decrease with increasing frequency in the investigated range, which displayed a similar frequency dispersion behavior to certain carbon materials, for example, carbon nanocoils, CNTs and carbon fibers.⁴² In Fig. 4(a)–(c), it was observed that the ϵ' , ϵ'' and $\tan \delta_E$ values of 30NiFe₂O₄@PANI/PI fabric were higher than those of 30NiFe₂O₄/PI fabric, suggesting that 30NiFe₂O₄@PANI/PI fabric had a better electrical energy storage/loss capability and that the PANI shell played a vital role in enhancing dielectric loss. These results could be attributed to the plentiful interfaces and interfacial polarization that occurred between the NiFe₂O₄ surface and the PANI layer. In addition, compared with the 30PANI/PI fabric, the ϵ' and ϵ'' values of 30NiFe₂O₄@PANI/PI fabric were lower, but the added magnetic loss was favourable for improving the EM wave absorption performance, and furthermore, the 30NiFe₂O₄@PANI/PI fabric displayed a better impedance matching condition, allowing the EM wave to propagate into the material sufficiently while avoiding the strong reflection.^{43,44}

Fig. 4(d)–(f) show the μ' , μ'' and $\tan \delta_M$ values of 30PANI/PI fabric, 30NiFe₂O₄/PI fabric and 30NiFe₂O₄@PANI/PI fabric. These curves demonstrated a specific fluctuation from 12.4 to

18.0 GHz, which might be attributed to the small size effect and the confinement effect.⁴⁵ In Fig. 4(d), the μ' values of 30NiFe₂O₄/PI fabric and 30NiFe₂O₄@PANI/PI fabric were around 1.02, and they first increased and then decreased slightly with the increasing frequency. However, for μ'' in Fig. 4(e), the values were around 0 and then decreased slightly with the increasing frequency. The negative μ'' value demonstrated that the magnetic energy was mainly radiated outwards. It is known that the magnetic loss mainly originates from several aspects: hysteresis loss, eddy current loss, domain wall resonance loss (multi-domain materials), natural resonance and exchange resonance loss.⁴⁶ The weak peak which appeared at 15.2–18.0 GHz in Fig. 4(f) corresponded to the exchange resonance loss. However, the NiFe₂O₄ nanoparticles with a size of about 30 nm used in this study were usually regarded as single domain materials and the hysteresis loss is negligible in a weak field. The natural resonance loss can be described by the following equation:⁴⁷

$$H_a = 4|K_1|/3\mu_0 M_s \quad (5)$$

where H_a is the anisotropic energy, $|K_1|$ is the anisotropic coefficient and M_s is the saturation magnetization. As depicted in Fig. 1(b), the M_s value of NiFe₂O₄@PANI nanoparticles was lower than that of NiFe₂O₄ nanoparticles, demonstrating a higher anisotropic energy for NiFe₂O₄@PANI nanoparticles, which was advantageous for enhancing the EM wave attenuation performance especially at a high frequency. Furthermore, to estimate the eddy current loss of the 30NiFe₂O₄@PANI/PI fabric, the $f^{-1}(\mu')^{-2}\mu''$ values were simulated and are shown in






Fig. 4 (a) Real part, (b) imaginary part and (c) dielectric tangent loss of relative complex permittivity, (d) real part, (e) imaginary part and (f) magnetic tangent loss of relative complex permeability for the 30PANI/PI fabric, the 30NiFe₂O₄/PI fabric and the 30NiFe₂O₄@PANI/PI fabric.

Fig. 5(a). If the RL values can be caused by the eddy current loss, the $f^{-1}(\mu')^{-2}\mu''$ values will incline to constant when the frequency varies.²¹ In Fig. 5(a), the $f^{-1}(\mu')^{-2}\mu''$ of 30NiFe₂O₄@PANI/PI fabric approximated to a constant, revealing that the 30NiFe₂O₄@PANI/PI fabric possessed the eddy current loss effect for the EM wave energy dissipation. As discussed previously, the possible EM wave attenuation mechanism of 30NiFe₂O₄@PANI/PI fabric is shown in Fig. 5(b). In brief, the 30NiFe₂O₄@PANI/PI fabric inherited dielectric and magnetic properties from the *in situ* polymerized core-shell NiFe₂O₄@PANI nanoparticles. It is believed that the more uniform dispersion of core-shell NiFe₂O₄@PANI nanoparticles, the better the impedance matching, and the intensive synergistic effect of dielectric loss caused by PANI shell and the magnetic loss from the NiFe₂O₄ core could contribute to the improvement of the EM wave absorbing performance.

3.4 Thermal stability and mechanical properties

Fig. 6(a) shows the degradation curves of PI, NiFe₂O₄@PANI nanoparticles, 30NiFe₂O₄@PANI/PI and cured epoxy resin. Because the 30NiFe₂O₄@PANI/PI fabric had the highest EM wave absorption performance, it was chosen for use in the following tests. As shown in Fig. 6(a), for the PI fabric, the first weight loss at 200–230 °C might be assigned to the decomposition of oligomers and the second decomposition process (550–700 °C) could be attributed to the disconnection of the PI chains. For the 30NiFe₂O₄@PANI/PI fabric, two weight loss steps at 300–500 °C and 550–700 °C were observed in the measured temperature range, which were from the decomposition of the cured epoxy resin and the PI fabric, respectively. Nevertheless, the decomposition of PANI chains in the curve of 30NiFe₂O₄@PANI/PI was not distinct, which was because of the




Fig. 5 (a) Frequency dependence of the $f^{-1}(\mu')^{-2}\mu''$ values for 30PANI/PI fabric, 30NiFe₂O₄/PI fabric and 30NiFe₂O₄@PANI/PI fabric. (b) Scheme of the possible EM wave attenuation mechanism.






Fig. 6 (a) TGA curves of PI, NiFe₂O₄@PANI, 30NiFe₂O₄@PANI/PI and cured epoxy resin. (b) Photographs of the flexibility and processability. Tensile properties of fabrics before and after different coatings in the warp direction (c) and the weft direction (d).

relatively small amount of PANI coated on the NiFe₂O₄ surface. The residual components at 900 °C, of PI, NiFe₂O₄@PANI nanoparticles, 30NiFe₂O₄@PANI/PI and cured epoxy resin were 49.1%, 66.7%, 39.9% and 4.8%, respectively, demonstrating that the mass content of NiFe₂O₄@PANI nanoparticles in the 30NiFe₂O₄@PANI/PI fabric was about 16.7 wt%.

Furthermore, the mechanical properties were evaluated using the flexibility, processability and tensile properties tests at 25 °C and 65% relative humidity. As shown in Fig. 6(b), a large strip (20 cm × 5 cm) of the as-fabricated 30NiFe₂O₄@PANI/PI fabric could be freely twisted and directly processed into the desired shapes. Fig. 6(c) and (d) show the tensile properties of various samples in the warp and weft directions. Compared with the neat PI fabric, the breaking stress and elongation of coating fabric increased by about 5–10%, which originated from the adhesion of epoxy resin between yarn and yarn, indicating that the preparation process could retain or even increase the mechanical properties from the PI fabric. Thus, such remarkable flexibility, processability and high tensile properties would allow the as-fabricated composite fabric to work for a long time work under pressure or as foldable systems.

4. Conclusions

In summary, the NiFe₂O₄@PANI/PI EM wave absorbing fabric with incorporated dielectric/magnetic nanoparticles was successfully fabricated and the coated fabric inherited dielectric and magnetic properties from the *in situ* polymerized core-shell NiFe₂O₄@PANI nanoparticles. The FT-IR results were used to interpret the

formation mechanism of the microwave absorbing agent. FE-TEM results indicated that the PANI layer was formed on the NiFe₂O₄ surface. SEM images showed a uniform coating of the microwave absorption agent on PI fabric. The studies of relative permittivity and relative permeability indicated that the better impedance matching and the intensive synergistic effect between dielectric loss causing PANI shell and magnetic loss from the NiFe₂O₄ core contributed to the improvement of the EM wave attenuation performance. The minimum RL value of 30NiFe₂O₄@PANI/PI fabric was −19.2 dB (>90% attenuation) at 16.1 GHz and the effective absorption bandwidth was 5.1 GHz with a thickness of only 1.5 mm, which made the composite fabric an attractive candidate for EM wave protective garments. Furthermore, the favorable mechanical properties gave the composite fabric for a long service time under pressure or foldable conditions.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

The research was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 51403032).

References

- 1 W. L. Song, L. Z. Fan, Z. L. Hou, K. L. Zhang, Y. Ma and M. S. Cao, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2017, 5, 2432–2441.



- 2 A. Haji, R. S. Rahbar and A. M. Shoushtari, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2014, **311**, 593–601.
- 3 S. T. Hsiao, C. C. Ma, W. H. Liao, Y. S. Wang, S. M. Li, Y. C. Huang, R. B. Yang and W. F. Liang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2014, **6**, 10667–10678.
- 4 K. Cheng, S. Ramakrishna and K. Lee, *Composites, Part A*, 2000, **31**, 1039–1045.
- 5 Y. Lu, L. Xue and F. Li, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2011, **257**, 3135–3139.
- 6 D. Yu, W. Li, W. Wang and J. Zhang, *Fibers Polym.*, 2015, **16**, 23–30.
- 7 S. Mu, H. Xie, W. Wang and D. Yu, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2015, **353**, 608–614.
- 8 M. S. Ozen, E. Sancak, N. Soin, T. H. Shah and E. Siores, *J. Text. Inst.*, 2015, **107**, 912–922.
- 9 S. Bi, H. Zhao, L. Hou and Y. Lu, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2017, **419**, 465–475.
- 10 M. Ramesan, *Polym. Eng. Sci.*, 2014, **54**, 438–445.
- 11 X.-S. Hu, Y. Shen, L.-H. Xu, L.-M. Wang, L.-s. Lu and Y.-t. Zhang, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2016, **385**, 162–170.
- 12 C. Y. Lee, D. E. Lee, C. K. Jeong, Y. K. Hong, J. H. Shim, J. Joo, M. S. Kim, J. Y. Lee, S. H. Jeong and S. W. Byun, *Polym. Adv. Technol.*, 2002, **13**, 577–583.
- 13 Y. Yang, M. C. Gupta, K. L. Dudley and R. W. Lawrence, *Nano Lett.*, 2005, **5**, 2131–2134.
- 14 C. Feng, X. Liu, Y. Sun, C. Jin and Y. Lv, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, **4**, 22710–22715.
- 15 S. Das, G. Nayak, S. Sahu, P. Routray, A. Roy and H. Baskey, *J. Eng.*, 2014, 2014.
- 16 J. Fang, Z. Chen, W. Wei, Y. Li, T. Liu, Z. Liu, X. Yue and Z. Jiang, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 50024–50032.
- 17 Z. Lu, L. Ma, J. Tan, H. Wang and X. Ding, *Nanoscale*, 2016, **8**, 16684–16693.
- 18 M. S. Kim, H. K. Kim, S. W. Byun, S. H. Jeong, Y. K. Hong, J. S. Joo, K. T. Song, J. K. Kim, C. J. Lee and J. Y. Lee, *Synth. Met.*, 2002, **126**, 233–239.
- 19 X. D. Yang, K. Q. Lei and J. Y. Hu, *Russ. J. Appl. Chem.*, 2014, **87**, 909–915.
- 20 D. Chen, Y. E. Miao and T. Liu, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2013, **5**, 1206–1212.
- 21 J. Wang, H. Zhou, J. Zhuang and Q. Liu, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2015, **17**, 3802–3812.
- 22 Z. Guo, S. E. Lee, H. Kim, S. Park, H. T. Hahn, A. B. Karki and D. P. Young, *Acta Mater.*, 2009, **57**, 267–277.
- 23 X. Huang, J. Zhang, Z. Liu, T. Sang, B. Song, H. Zhu and C. Wong, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2015, **648**, 1072–1075.
- 24 J. Feng, F. Pu, Z. Li, X. Li, X. Hu and J. Bai, *Carbon*, 2016, **104**, 214–225.
- 25 W.-p. Li, L.-q. Zhu, J. Gu and H.-c. Liu, *Composites, Part B*, 2011, **42**, 626–630.
- 26 M. Fu, Q. Jiao and Y. Zhao, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2013, **1**, 5577–5586.
- 27 S. P. Pawar, M. Gandhi and S. Bose, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 37633–37645.
- 28 W. Wang, C. Zang and Q. Jiao, *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.*, 2015, **378**, 261–266.
- 29 L. Li, G. Li, R. L. Smith and H. Inomata, *Chem. Mater.*, 2000, **12**, 3705–3714.
- 30 X. Wang, C. Zhang, X. Wang and H. Gu, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2007, **253**, 7516–7521.
- 31 Y. Kang and T. A. Taton, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2003, **125**, 5650–5651.
- 32 P. Saini, V. Choudhary, N. Vijayan and R. Kotnala, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2012, **116**, 13403–13412.
- 33 P. Liu, Y. Huang, J. Yan and Y. Zhao, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2016, **4**, 6362–6370.
- 34 W. Yang, S. Yu, R. Sun and R. Du, *Ceram. Int.*, 2012, **38**, 3553–3562.
- 35 D. Yu, Y. Wang, T. Hao, W. Wang and B. Liu, *J. Ind. Text.*, 2017, 1–15.
- 36 J. Guo, X. Wang, P. Miao, X. Liao, W. Zhang and B. Shi, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2012, **22**, 11933–11942.
- 37 J. Zhu, S. Wei, N. Haldolaarachchige, D. P. Young and Z. Guo, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2011, **115**, 15304–15310.
- 38 X. Li, J. Feng, H. Zhu, C. Qu, J. Bai and X. Zheng, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, **4**, 33619–33625.
- 39 Y. Sun, J. Xu, W. Qiao, X. Xu, W. Zhang, K. Zhang, X. Zhang, X. Chen, W. Zhong and Y. Du, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2016, **8**, 31878–31886.
- 40 J. V. Mantese, A. L. Micheli, D. F. Dungan, R. G. Geyer, J. Baker-Jarvis and J. Grosvenor, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 1996, **79**, 1655–1660.
- 41 L. Yuan, L. Xiangxuan, L. Rong, W. Wu and W. Xuanjun, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 8713–8720.
- 42 Y. Du, W. Liu, R. Qiang, Y. Wang, X. Han, J. Ma and P. Xu, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2014, **6**, 12997–13006.
- 43 W.-L. Song, X.-T. Guan, L.-Z. Fan, Y.-B. Zhao, W.-Q. Cao, C.-Y. Wang and M.-S. Cao, *Carbon*, 2016, **100**, 109–117.
- 44 J. Liu, R. Che, H. Chen, F. Zhang, F. Xia, Q. Wu and M. Wang, *Small*, 2012, **8**, 1214–1221.
- 45 H. Wang, Z. Yan, J. An, J. He, Y. Hou, H. Yu, N. Ma, G. Yu and D. Sun, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 92152–92158.
- 46 Y. Wang, W. Wang and D. Yu, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2017, **425**, 518–525.
- 47 J.-Q. Zhu, X.-J. Zhang, S.-W. Wang, G.-S. Wang and P.-G. Yin, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 88104–88109.

