Isotope geochemistry, hydrochemistry, and mineralogy of a river affected by acid mine drainage in a mining area, South China†
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The Hengshi River is a classic example of an acid mine drainage (AMD)-affected river located in the Dabaoshan mining area in southern China. This work utilized stable isotopes (δ34S and δ18O) and hydrochemical data of surface water samples as well as the mineralogical composition of sediment samples to evaluate the processes that affect the sulfate content in water of the Hengshi River. High concentrations of heavy metals (e.g. Fe: >347.78 mg L⁻¹; Zn: >96.48 mg L⁻¹) in the mud impoundment and relatively stable S isotope values (δ34S: −1.53‰; δ18O: −0.88‰) in the upper stream suggested that most of the sulfates were derived from sulfide oxidation. Dilution and mineralization could decrease SO4²⁻ concentration, but had no significant influence on the isotope composition of SO4²⁻. However, δ34S and δ18O increased with the decrease of SO4²⁻ concentration, accompanied by the elevation of pH and adequate organic matter being available, suggesting that bacterial (dissimilatory) sulfate reduction played an important role in the transformation of sulfate downstream. The methods used in this study can also be used in other natural systems. Furthermore, it is important to understand the causes of environmental pollution and to help environmental remediation.

1. Introduction

Mining activity is a main source of hazardous elements and can produce acid mine drainage (AMD), which poses a major threat to the surrounding environment and human health.¹,² Sulfate is an essential component in AMD whose transformation plays a key role in the hydrochemical development of rivers in mining areas.¹,³ Sulfate is predominantly caused by the oxidation of pyrite, accompanied by the liberation of acid, and metals.⁴⁻⁸ Pyrite can be oxidized by dissolved molecular oxygen and ferric iron. Pyrite oxidation by Fe³⁺ has been shown to be 18 to 170 times more rapidly than by O₂.⁹ However, this step is limited by the rate of ferrous iron oxidation. The slow abiotic reaction which produces ferric iron can be catalyzed primarily by Fe-oxidizing bacteria like Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans and Acidithiobacillus thiooxidans.¹⁰,¹¹

Bacterial (dissimilatory) sulfate reduction (BSR) is an invertible process that can produce alkalinity and generate iron sulphide minerals,¹²⁻¹⁵ thereby potentially resulting in an effective neutralization of the river affected by AMD. Sulfate reducing bacteria are anaerobes, which prefer anaerobic environment with sufficient availability of organic matter.¹⁶,¹⁷ So BSR is often occurring in sediments, but it also can occur in the water column and the water–sediment interface.¹⁸ In AMD area, BSR often occurs in association with microbial ferric reduction.¹⁹⁻²¹ And the reaction can be summarized in the eqn [1]:²²

\[
15(\text{CH}_2\text{O}) + 6\text{FeOOH} + 7\text{SO}_4^{2-} + 14\text{H}^+ \rightarrow 15\text{CO}_2 + 6\text{FeS} + \text{S}^{(0)} + 25\text{H}_2\text{O}
\]

Sulfur and oxygen isotopes are powerful tools to track SO4²⁻ sources and assess S cycling in watersheds. According to field samples and experimental studies,²³⁻²⁵ the sulfur isotope composition of sulfate is very close to its major bedrock sources of S (like sulfides, evaporates, etc.) participating in water–rock interaction. But the oxygen isotope composition of sulfate can be affected by the oxidation mechanisms because of the difference between the oxygen isotopic composition of water (δ¹⁸O generally <0‰) and atmospheric oxygen (δ¹⁸O = 23.5 ± 0.3‰ (ref. 26)). Once formed, the sulfur and oxygen isotopic composition of sulfate tends to remain stable, because the
isotopic exchange between water and sulfate is slow (360 years at pH 1.0 and 25 °C). However, BSR is a complex process which involves large kinetic fractionation effects on both sulphur and oxygen isotopes. During the BSR, bacteria preferentially break $^{32}$S and $^{16}$O containing bonds and the residual dissolved sulfate becomes isotopically enriched in $^{34}$S and $^{18}$O. Therefore, the sulfur and oxygen isotope ratio of sulfate combined with their physical and chemical properties could be useful to identify bacterial reduction processes.

Even though there has been a great deal of work focused on the species distribution, migration and transformation in the water of AMD-affected river, less attention has been paid to identify the source of sulfate. Thus, by means of characterizing water and sediments from Hengshi River affected by the AMD, the major objectives of this study are: (1) to identify the sources of dissolved sulfate; (2) to evaluate the processes that control spatial variation of concentrations and isotope compositions of dissolve SO$_4^{2-}$ along the Hengshi River.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Study site

The study site (Fig. 1) is located at the Dabaoshan Mining area (24°34' 28"N, 113°43'42"E) near the largest poly-metallic sulfide mine of Guangdong Province in southern China. Because of the mining activities for many years, there are large amounts of mining wastes left on the land surface. These wastes contain large amounts of sulfide minerals. The sulfide minerals could be oxidized and leading to the formation of AMD, which formed an acidic reservoir intercepted by a dam wall. The water of the reservoir contains high concentrations of sulfate (>4008.04 mg L$^{-1}$) and iron (>347.78 mg L$^{-1}$) with low pH values (<2.98) during the investigation period. With the accumulation of water and sediments, the reservoir was rapidly filled up, and then the acidic water continuously flowed into the Hengshi River for years. The Hengshi River runs through Shangba Village and Wengcheng Town, joined by several tributaries, including the Lengshui River, the Fanshui River and the Taiping River, and enters into the Wengjiang River finally. It’s worth noting that a sewage treatment plant was built in 2.5 km downstream (S2) from the dam after January 2016, in order to control the pollution.

2.2 Sampling and pretreatment

In January, August and November of 2016, surface water and sediment samples were collected from these sites of Hengshi River (Fig. 1), the same with the previous studied sites in our group. S1 ~ S11 were set along the Hengshi River, from the river’s headwaters (S1) to the Wengjiang River (S11). S0 represents the acidic lake of the mud impoundment. S8 and S9 are close to the residential area of Shangba Village and Wengcheng Town, respectively. In August 2016, we found that there were some domestic sewage from Shangba Village flowed into the Hengshi River in S8, which was observed only in this season. It was a pity that we didn’t collect the samples of S2 because the sewage treatment plant covered S2. Also we didn’t get the samples of S5 and C2 in August due to the geography changing.

In addition, C1, C2, C3 and TP served as control sites which were located in the four major tributaries, including Lengshui, Fanshui, Wengjiang and Taiping Rivers respectively. These tributaries flowed to Hengshi River. The Lengshui River and Taiping River were unpolluted, but the water of C2 also had been polluted by the AMD from the tailing impoundment.

In all locations, the water samples were filtered in the field with a 0.22 μm nylon syringe filter into 15 mL centrifuge tubes. One portion of water was acidified with 1 mL 3 N HCl to analyze
Fe\(^{2+}\) concentration. The second portion was acidified with 1 mL high-purity concentrated HNO\(_3\) for cation analysis, and the third portion was left untreated for anion analysis. Unfiltered surface water samples were collected in plastic bottles for S and O isotope analysis. Surface-sediment samples were collected at the same place also using plastic bottles. Each sample was made in triplicate. Water samples were stored at 4 °C while sediment samples were stored at −20 °C before analysis.

2.3 Chemical analysis

2.3.1 Water. pH, temperature, oxidation–reduction potential (ORP), and conductivity were measured in situ for surface water using a multi-parameter tester (SG2-T SevenGoprom\(^{TM}\) MTD, Switzerland). Dissolved oxygen (DO) was measured by DO meter. The determination of major cations (total Fe, Cu, Zn, Ca and Mg) concentration was performed by flame atomic absorption spectrophotometry (Z-2000, Hitachi, Japan). The concentration of Fe\(^{2+}\) were measured by ultraviolet colorimetric assay using the 1,10-phenanthroline spectrophotometry method at 510 nm (UV-2550, SHIMADZU, Japan). Sulfate concentrations were measured by ion chromatography (Dionex-1000, Thermo Scientific, USA) with an AG14 anion exchange columns in conjunction with an AS14 separator column to separate the anion species. The mobile phase composition was 3.5 mM Na\(_2\)CO\(_3\)–1.0 mM NaHCO\(_3\), delivered at a flow rate of 1.2 mL min\(^{-1}\).

2.3.2 Sediments. Before analyses, all sediment samples were centrifuged to remove pore water. Then the samples were dried by vacuum freeze-drying, followed by grounding and sieving by 200 mesh plastic sieves.

0.5 g sediment was used for the determination of water soluble sulfate (WS) and exchangeable sulfate (ESx) separately. The sediment extracted by deionized water (sediment : water = 1 : 20 w/w) was sampled to determine WS and that extracted by phosphate solution (0.032 M sodium dihydrogen phosphate, 150 rpm, 25 °C for 24 h) was used to determine ESx. A semiquantitative estimate of the mineralogical composition of the samples was taken by powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis using a Bruker XRD machine (Cu-K\(_\alpha\) radiation, D8 Advance, Bruker Co. Ltd., Germany). Scan parameters used were 5°–80° 2θ, with a step size of 0.02° 2θ and 0.1 s acquisition time.

2.3.3 Isotope analysis. Stable isotope analyses were conducted by an Elemental Analyzer with IsoPrime JBI44 (Elementar Co. Ltd., Germany) at the Institute of Geochemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences in Guizhou Province. Water samples for S, O isotope analyses of SO\(_4^{2-}\) were filtered with a 0.45 μm nylon syringe, acidified with HCl until pH < 2, then precipitated as BaSO\(_4\) by adding excessive 10% BaCl\(_2\) solution. After precipitated, BaSO\(_4\) were isolated by centrifugation. What\(^'\) more, the precipitates need to be rinsed several times with deionized water to remove Cl\(^-\) before drying at 110 °C for 24 h. According to the eqn (2), S isotope data were reported with respect to VCDT (Vienna Canon Diablo Troilite) and O isotope data were determined in parts per thousand (‰) deviation from the composition of Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water (VSMOW).

\[
\delta^{13}C_s = \left( \frac{R_{\text{sample}} - R_{\text{standard}}}{R_{\text{standard}}} \right) \times 1000 \quad (2)
\]

where \(R\) is the isotopic ratio of the heavy isotope and the light isotope, like \(^{34}\)S/\(^{32}\)S and \(^{18}\)O/\(^{16}\)O.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Water chemistry

Physicochemical properties of the water at each site are shown in Fig. 2. In January 2016, pH values gradually increased along the Hengshi River (Fig. 2(a)), which was consistent to the previous study.\(^47\) However, due to the sewage treatment plant built in S2, pH value of S3 was rapidly raised to 9.38 (in August) and 6.95 (in November), respectively. The highest pH value can reach to 10.3 (in November) in S4, and then gradually decreased to neutral pH in S11 (pH = 7.55). The dilution of water by tributaries (C1) and rainfall may result in the decrease of pH from S4–S7 sites. Phase transformation of metastable secondary minerals in the sediments with the release of H\(^+\) such as the transformation of schwertmannite to goethite as shown in eqn (3) may also play some roles on the decreased pH values.

\[
\text{Fe}_8\text{O}_6(\text{OH})_2\text{SO}_4(\text{s}) + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 8\text{FeOOH}(\text{s}) + \text{SO}_4^{2-} + 2\text{H}^+ \quad (3)
\]

In January, the ORP (Fig. 2(b)) ranged from +192 to +442 mV in the Hengshi River, while the value ranged from −86 to +332 mV in November. Changes of ORP was closely connected with pH changes from January to November. The DO values (Fig. 2(c)) in the Hengshi River were above 3.5 mg L\(^{-1}\), with further elevation in the winter (November).

S1 was seriously polluted by AMD and an area with high concentrations of sulfate (>4008.04 mg L\(^{-1}\)) and heavy metals (e.g. Fe > 347.78 mg L\(^{-1}\); Zn > 96.48 mg L\(^{-1}\)) (Fig. 3). In all investigated seasons, the concentration of SO\(_4^{2-}\) decreased with the increased distance from the headwater region. Concentrations of most of the major cations decreased from the S1 to S11 in January, including total Fe (from 646.13 to 0.10 mg L\(^{-1}\)), Zn (from 116.82 to 0.02 mg L\(^{-1}\)), Cu (from 11.89 to 0.01 mg L\(^{-1}\)), Mg (from 230.54 to 3.11 mg L\(^{-1}\)), but the concentration of Ca increased in S3, which might be attributed to the addition of CaCO\(_3\) from the sewage plant. Furthermore, the concentrations of heavy metals decreased to extremely low in S3 (e.g. Fe: 0.21 mg L\(^{-1}\); Cu: 0.02 mg L\(^{-1}\); Zn: 0.36 mg L\(^{-1}\)) of the samples collected in August and November with the elevated pH.

Statistical analysis of surface-water chemistry results (Table 1) confirmed the relationship between sulfide (such as pyrite and sphalerite etc.) oxidation and heavy metals concentrations. High concentrations of Fe (646.13 mg L\(^{-1}\)) and Zn (128.87 mg L\(^{-1}\)) with relatively lower concentrations of Ca (24.30 mg L\(^{-1}\)) in the mud impoundment (S0, data are not shown) suggested that most of the sulfate was derived from sulphide oxidation, rather than the dissolution of sulfate minerals, such as gypsum.\(^53\)
pH values are inversely correlated to the concentration of most dissolved metals and SO$_4^{2-}$ (Table 1), indicating the link of sulfuric acid generation and sulfide oxidation. The correlation may due to the pH-dependent complexing of components between the precipitated solid phases and metals dissolved in AMD liquid phases. These components at different pH may cause pH-induced sorption and/or precipitation reactions and decrease of solubility.$^{2,52}$ The clear positive relationship between heavy metals and SO$_4^{2-}$ may indicate the dominated migration of SO$_4^{2-}$ associated with the co-precipitation of secondary minerals with heavy metals.$^{50}$

3.2 Sediments chemistry

As shown in Fig. 4(a), the concentrations of the sediment organic matter (OM) slightly increased along the river.

Fig. 2 Aqueous parameters in the Hengshi River (a) pH; (b) ORP and (c) DO in Jan/Aug/Nov 2016.

Fig. 3 Concentrations of major ions, including SO$_4^{2-}$, total Fe, Fe$^{2+}$, Zn, Cu, Mg and Ca, in Hengshi River in Jan/Aug/Nov 2016.
Particularly in August, OM increased significantly at S8 due to the addition of the domestic sewage from Shangba Village. Furthermore, the concentrations of OM were always lower in the November than that in the August. Concentrations of WS and ExS at these sites (from S3 to S7) (Fig. 4(b)) changed a lot with the seasonal variation. In August, ExS at S7 reached to 12.93 mg g$^{-1}$, which can be explained by the formation of secondary minerals like schwertmannite or jarosite. In November, WS and ExS at S4 increased to 6.63 and 15.96 mg g$^{-1}$, which was corresponding to the high concentration of ExS detected at S7 in August. Similar results were previously divulged by others authors.  

XRD results (Fig. 5) indicate that surface sediments at S1, S4 and S7 were mainly composed of kaolinite, gismondine, quartz and iron oxides (mainly in forms of goethite and ferrihydrite). Schwertmannite were also observed at S7 through SEM analysis, which was corresponding to the high concentration of ExS detected at S7 in August. Similar results were previously divulged by others authors.  

The present study helps to demonstrate the importance of geochemistry. Therefore the present study is of great importance for the advancement of science.

### 3.3 S and O isotope compositions of dissolved sulfate

Variations of $\delta^{34}$S and $\delta^{18}$O of dissolved SO$_4^{2-}$ along the Hengshi River are shown in Fig. 6. In Jan/Nov/Dec 2016, the $\delta^{34}$S of dissolved SO$_4^{2-}$ in the Hengshi River gradually increased from $-1.23^{\%}_{\text{av}}$ to $+1.99^{\%}_{\text{av}}$ from the headwater region (S1) to the Wengjiang River (S11). Similarly, $\delta^{18}$O values (only in January) of dissolved SO$_4^{2-}$ gradually increased from $-2.66^{\%}_{\text{av}}$ to $+4.31^{\%}_{\text{av}}$ along the river. The water of mud impoundment (S0) had the lowest value of $\delta^{34}$S of $-1.53^{\%}_{\text{av}}$. Results showed (Fig. 6) the relatively stable values for S and O isotope before S8 ($-1.53^{\%}_{\text{av}}$), $-0.88^{\%}_{\text{av}}$ and $-3.18^{\%}_{\text{av}}$~$-2.68^{\%}_{\text{av}}$, respectively) and the increasingly values after S8 ($-0.88^{\%}_{\text{av}}$~$+1.99^{\%}_{\text{av}}$ and $-2.68^{\%}_{\text{av}}$~$+4.31^{\%}_{\text{av}}$, respectively). However, there was a slight increase of $\delta^{34}$S at S6, which may be caused by the heterogeneous mixture of water from C2 and S5 because S6 was sited near the intersection. The collected surface water of C2, polluted by the AMD from the tailing impoundment, showed similar values ($\delta^{34}$S = $-0.44^{\%}_{\text{av}}$, $\delta^{18}$O = $-3.97^{\%}_{\text{av}}$) with observed in the upper stream. Nevertheless, S isotope values of water collected from the unpolluted river (C1, C3 and TP) were much higher than that collected from the polluted river. One tributary sample (C3) taken in January has a significantly lower concentration of $\delta^{34}$S compared with other samples that were taken from August and November. In addition, other samples did not display any obvious season-related effects.

It is well-known that oxidation of sulfides to SO$_4^{2-}$ is generally accompanied by only a minimal fractionation essentially retaining the original isotopic composition of the sulfide minerals.  

Accordingly, the stable values for S isotope at S0

Table 1  Correlation analysis results in surface water samples (in bold $p$-value < 0.05)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pH</th>
<th>ORP</th>
<th>$\delta^{34}$S</th>
<th>$\delta^{18}$O</th>
<th>SO$_4^{2-}$</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>Zn</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>Ca</th>
<th>Mg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORP</td>
<td>-0.957</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta^{34}$S</td>
<td>0.326</td>
<td>-0.348</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta^{18}$O</td>
<td>0.657</td>
<td>-0.676</td>
<td>0.889</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO$_4^{2-}$</td>
<td>-0.623</td>
<td>0.478</td>
<td>-0.504</td>
<td>-0.372</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>-0.628</td>
<td>0.516</td>
<td>-0.302</td>
<td>-0.288</td>
<td>0.893</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>-0.580</td>
<td>0.478</td>
<td>-0.318</td>
<td>-0.264</td>
<td>0.659</td>
<td>0.617</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>-0.732</td>
<td>0.573</td>
<td>-0.391</td>
<td>-0.368</td>
<td>0.829</td>
<td>0.716</td>
<td>0.818</td>
<td>0.930</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>-0.210</td>
<td>-0.474</td>
<td>-0.359</td>
<td>0.479</td>
<td>0.153</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.158</td>
<td>0.121</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>-0.712</td>
<td>0.605</td>
<td>-0.468</td>
<td>-0.371</td>
<td>0.973</td>
<td>0.924</td>
<td>0.643</td>
<td>0.930</td>
<td>0.811</td>
<td>0.351</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 4  Concentration distributions of organic matter (OM) (a), water soluble sulfate (WS) and exchangeable sulfate (ExS) (b) in sediment samples along the Hengshi River.
May suggested that most sulfate in the upper stream is derived from sulphide oxidation which typically has negative $\delta^{34}S$ values. However, sulfate could also be influenced by other processes, which mainly included: (1) mixing of sulfate resulted from human activities and tributaries, (2) atmospheric deposition, (3) precipitated as secondary mineral, (4) bacterial (dissimilatory) sulfate reduction.

3.3.1 Influenced by physiochemical process. Influenced by tributaries (C1) and human activities (especially at S8, where had an abouchement of domestic sewage in August), SO$_4^{2-}$ concentration decreased a lot at S4 and S8. However, only small changes in $\delta^{34}S$ and $\delta^{18}O$ values of SO$_4^{2-}$ (Fig. 6) were observed at these two sites, which suggested that dilution had no significant effect on the isotope composition of SO$_4^{2-}$. SO$_4^{2-}$ from atmospheric deposition was often accompanied by the rainfall which was quite different in different seasons. Specifically, SO$_4^{2-}$ concentrations in the dry season (January and November) were higher than those in the wet season (August). However, no clear distinction of $\delta^{34}S$ between the different seasons was obtained, suggesting that atmospheric deposition failed to exert an obvious effect on the $\delta^{34}S$ values. It may only have a dilution effect. Sulfate levels decline sharply in river and high content of ExS precipitated as secondary mineral at S4 and S7. But the similar $\delta^{34}S$ and $\delta^{18}O$ of SO$_4^{2-}$ before S8 seems to suggest that only minor fractionation of either sulfur or oxygen isotopes was produced during precipitation and/or dissolution of SO$_4^{2-}$ minerals.

3.3.2 Influenced by biological process. Generally, bacteria prefer to metabolize $^{32}S$ compared with $^{34}S$, resulting in progressively more enriched $^{34}S$ in remaining sulfate with the sulfate concentrations decrease. As seen in Fig. 7(a), the $\delta^{34}S$ and $\delta^{18}O$ indeed increased with decreasing SO$_4^{2-}$ concentrations along the Hengshi River. Moreover, the plot of $\delta^{18}O$ versus $\delta^{34}S$ (Fig. 7(b)) showed a positive correlation of sulfur and oxygen isotope ratios. Samples collected in the upper stream

![Fig. 5](image-url) XRD and SEM of surface sediments in the river in August (Mt – muscovite, Qz – quartz, Kt – kaolinite, Gn – gismondite, Gp – gypsum, Gt – goethite, Sh – schwertmannite, Fh – ferrihydrite).

![Fig. 6](image-url) Variations of $\delta^{34}S$ (a) and $\delta^{18}O$ (b) of dissolved SO$_4^{2-}$ along the Hengshi River.
(S0 ~ S8) mainly plot in the lower left-hand quadrant while the samples collected in further downstream move toward the upper right-hand quadrant. In addition, samples collected in C3 also plotted in the lower left-hand quadrant, which means that C3 cannot be the major SO$_4^{2-}$ source in downstream. It may suggest another important process that affects concentrations and isotope compositions of dissolved sulfate in downstream (after S8): bacterial (dissimilatory) sulfate reduction. From the linear equation fitted with all samples from Hengshi River, the linear regression yielded a correlation coefficient ($R^2$) of 0.93 and a slope of the regression line of 2.12, which proved that it is bacterial (dissimilatory) sulfate reduction that can modify isotope compositions and concentrations of sulfate along the river. 

Similar results were reported by our previous study, and they found that reduced sulfur increased at S7, S8 and S10, which also can prove the existence of BSR.

In order to calculate the average enrichment factors for $^{34}$S and $^{18}$O during bacterial sulfate reduction, we use Rayleigh equations$^{44}$ (eqn (4) and (5)) as follows:

$$\delta^{34}\text{SO}_4^{2-} = \delta^{34}\text{SO}_4^{2-}_{\text{initial}} + \varepsilon \ln f$$ (4)

$$\delta^{18}\text{O}

where $\varepsilon$ respects the enrichment factor for sulfur and oxygen and $f$ stands for the fraction of residual sulfate in water.

Due to the fact that BSR usually occur in downstream, we can assume that the initial value of SO$_4^{2-}$ concentration (770.46 mg L$^{-1}$) at S7 in January as the highest measured sulfate concentration to calculate the fraction of the residual sulfate ($f = C_{\text{SO}_4^{2-}} / C_{\text{SO}_4^{2-}}$) from S7 to S11. From these logarithmic functions in Fig. 8, the enrichment factors for sulfur and oxygen were calculated to be $-1.05\%_{\text{oo}} (\varepsilon^{34}\text{S})$ and $-2.46\%_{\text{oo}} (\varepsilon^{18}\text{O})$, with correlation coefficient ($R^2$) of 0.79 and 0.76, respectively. However compared with other study on sulfur isotope enrichment factors for BSR in nature, our $\varepsilon^{34}\text{S}$ value is relatively low (e.g.: Schroth et al., 2001: $-21.5\%_{\text{oo}}$; Spence et al., 2001: $-9.4\%_{\text{oo}}$; Bolliger et al., 2001: $-23.5\%_{\text{oo}}$ (ref. 68)), but not unusual (e.g. Mandernack et al., 2000: $-2.6\%_{\text{oo}}$ (ref. 69)). Similarly, the value of $\varepsilon^{18}\text{O}$ was smaller than that calculated in the study which is $-3.6\%_{\text{oo}}$. The low isotope enrichment factors of S and O could be attributed to dilution, which can decrease the concentration of SO$_4^{2-}$, then lower the value of fraction of residual sulfate, but have no effect on the values of $\delta^{34}\text{SO}_4^{2-}$.

### 4. Conclusions

Mining activities in Dabaoshan Mine area have produced large amount of AMD, characterized by extremely low pH values
and high concentrations of heavy metals (e.g. Fe: 347.78 mg L\(^{-1}\); Zn: 96.48 mg L\(^{-1}\)) and SO\(_4^{2-}\) (>4008.04 mg L\(^{-1}\)) which is isotopically depleted in both \(^{34}\)S and \(^{18}\)O.

According to the regional distribution of concentrations and isotope ratios of sulfate, sulfide oxidation is the major source in upper stream, followed by precipitating as iron hydroxides (like jarosite, schwertmannite) along the river. Dilution by tributary water (C1 and TP) and precipitation of SO\(_4^{2-}\) minerals decreases the concentration of SO\(_4^{2-}\), and results in a minimal fractionation on the S and O isotope composition on sulfate. On the other hand, the pattern of \(^{34}\)S of soluble sulfates shows no substantial seasonal variations during the investigation, which indicates that atmospheric deposition through raining also failed to exert an obvious on the \(^{34}\)S values.

Because of the suitable environment (e.g. neutral pH, and adequate organic materials available) in the downstream, the extent of bacterial sulfate reduction increased gradually in the direction of river flow, which was in consistent with the rapid positive shift in the sulfur and oxygen isotope composition of the dissolved sulfate in the downstream. From the relationship between the fraction of the residual sulfate and the \(^{34}\)S and \(^{18}\)O values in the downstream (after S7), the enrichment factors for sulfur and oxygen isotope were calculated to be \(-1.05\%_\text{oo}\) and \(-2.46\%_\text{oo}\), respectively.

In general, S and O isotope investigations are found to be useful tools to identify the sources and transformations of sulfur as well as the influence by bacterial sulfate reduction, which will lay a foundation for the remediation strategies of AMD.
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