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Synthesis, properties and gas separation performance of poly(arylene ether sulfone) containing imide pendant groups†

Yunwu Yu, a Yan Wang,b Tianwei Li,a Wenfeng Liang,a Chun Li,a Wanyang Niua and Lili Gaoa

The precursor poly(arylene ether sulfone) containing amino-groups was synthesized by a nucleophilic polymerization route, and then modified using three commercially available anhydrides. The anhydride modified polymers had fractional free volume (FFV) values in the range of 0.128–0.162, and good mechanical, thermal and gas transport properties, which were correlated with the polymer chain structure. They showed higher glass transition temperatures in the range 207–227 °C compared with the precursor polymers due to the introduction of imide pendant groups, and better thermal stability with 5% weight loss above 512 °C. The incorporation of imide pendant groups into poly(arylene ether sulfone)s improved the gas transport performance evidently due to the higher fractional free volume. The anhydride modified polymer Br-PAES-20 possesses higher permeability and permselectivity simultaneously. The most permeable membrane PEP-PAES-60 showed permeability coefficients of 8.66 barrers to O2, 0.64 barrers to N2 and 13.56 barrers to CO2, with an ideal selectivity factor of 13.53 for the O2/N2 pair.

Introduction

Membrane-based separation technology has become promising in both cost and performance in the field of gas separation, and is even considered as a separation technology that could change the world.1 Polymeric membranes have been of great interest in the separation of many important industrial gases, such as oxygen/nitrogen enriched, natural gas dehydration, hydrogen recovery from the purge steam in ammonia plants, and light olefin–paraffin separation.2–4 Such interest in polymeric membrane technology is due to the easy processability of membranes and low capital investment cost.

Gas separation based polymeric membranes seems to possess tremendous potential as an energy-efficient alternative for room-temperature separations. To push forward the predominance of membrane-based separation technology, polymeric membranes should possess both higher permeability and selectivity.5–6 However, there is a trade-off relation between permeability and selectivity of polymeric membranes.5–7 The upper bound line to the trade-off of gas permeability versus gas selectivity was obtained by Robeson8 in 1991 for several gas pairs. As the separation performance of polymers improving, a higher upper bound line was established in 2008.7

The transport of gas molecules through dense polymeric membranes is usually described by the solution–diffusion mechanism.6 In this mechanism, the permeants dissolve in the polymeric membrane and then diffuse through the membrane down a concentration gradient. The permeation process could be viewed as a product of solution and diffusion. Therefore the permeability coefficient could be described by the following equation:9

\[ P = D \times S \]

where \( P \) is the permeability coefficient, \( D \) is the diffusion coefficient, and \( S \) is the sorption coefficient.

According to solution–diffusion mechanism, a very promising way to develop new polymeric materials with improved properties consists of optimizing the structure of the main chains and pendant groups to enhance fractional free volume domains with a tailored distribution of pore sizes in order to increase the diffusivity and also with restrictive or selective channels communicating the interchain voids to increase the permselectivity.10 Glassy polymers that have lower intrasegmental mobility and longer relaxation time are preferentially chosen as practical membrane materials, amongst the various polymer materials, for almost all industrial gas separation because of their inherent high selectivity, excellent thermal and chemical stability, and good mechanical properties.11
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In general, glassy polymers are characterized by the relatively small fraction of free volume, so the more permeable species in glassy polymers are those with low kinetic molecular diameter and selectivity is due to the difference in kinetic molecular dimension. The stiff structure of aromatic polymers, such as poly(aryl ether ketone), polysulfone, polyphenylene sulfide, polyimide etc., reduces the intrasegmental mobility and limits the degree of chain packing which in turn increases the free volume. Therefore, aromatic glassy polymers could possess relatively higher diffusivity. In particular, aromatic polyimides are extensive used for their excellent thermal oxidative stability, exceptional mechanical properties, along with an extraordinary ability to separate complex mixtures of gases in diverse applications.\(^{12-14}\)

On the basis of facts above, we chose poly(arylene ether sulfone) contained amino-groups as precursor, and then introduced imide pendant groups by the reaction between amine-groups and anhydrides. Through this approach, we got a series of newly modified poly(arylene ether sulfone). The variation in chemical structure of polymers was expected to result in interesting variation in physical properties, for instance, packing density and segmental motion of the polymer chain, and thus should promote the gas permeation in membranes. The effect of structural variation on the gas separation properties has been investigated through the calculation of fractional free volume and gas permeation measurement. Moreover, thermally stable imide groups could improve heat resistance of polymers.

**Experimental**

**A Materials**

4,4’-Difluorodiphenylsulfone (DFDPS), 4,4’-(hexafluoroisopropylidene)diphenol, 4-nitroaniline, 1,4-benzoquinone were purchased from Aldrich. Three kinds of acid anhydride, 4-chlorophthalic anhydride, 4-bromo-1,8-naphthalic anhydride and 4-phenylethynylphthalic anhydride, as shown in Scheme 3. In a typical experiment, Cl-PAES-20 was prepared as follows: Am-PAES-20 (2 g) and 4-chlorophthalic anhydride (the mole ratio of anhydride and amino-group in PAES was 5 : 1) were dissolved in 20 mL NMP. The mixture was magnetically stirred for 12 h at 80 °C under anaerobic condition to yield a solution of PAES containing amic acid pendants. Chemical imidization was carried out via the addition of 2 mL mixture of acetic anhydride/pyridine (2/1 v/v) into the solution with mechanical stirring, and then stirred at 80 °C for 4 h. The solution finally obtained was poured into ethanol to get a precipitate, the imide group modified PAES. CI-PAES-20 powder was collected by filtration, different contents of amino-group (20%, 40%) were prepared through the route shown in Scheme 2 according to the reported procedures previously.\(^{16}\)

A typical synthesis procedure of Am-PAES-20 (4-AmPHQ mole percent content was 20%) as follows: 0.4024 g (2 mmol) 4-AmPHQ, 2.6898 g (8 mmol) 4,4’-(hexafluoroisopropylidene) diphenol, 2.5425 g DFDPS (10 mmol) and 1.518 g K₂CO₃ were added into three-necked flask with a Dean-Stark trap, condenser, mechanical stirrer and nitrogen inlet & outlet. N-Methyl-2-pyrrolidone (20 mL) and toluene (20 mL) were used as solvent and azetropic reagent, respectively. The reaction mixture was refluxed at 130 °C for 3 h to dehydrate the system completely. After dehydration and removal of toluene, the reaction temperature was raised to 180 °C gradually and held at this temperature for 3 h. Then the temperature was raised to 200 °C, and held at this temperature till the viscosity did not increase any further. A viscous solution was obtained and then poured into the mixture of ice and water slowly to precipitate the polymer. The polymer was pulverized into a powder using a high-speed disintegrator. The polymer powder was washed several times with ethanol and hot water with a nitrogen inlet. Finally the light yellow polymer powder was dried in a vacuum oven at 100 °C. Another precursor polymer Am-PAES-60 was prepared following the similar procedure.

**B Synthesis of Am-PAES**

The bisphenol monomer containing amino-group was 4-amino-phenyl hydroquinone (4-AmPHQ), synthesized in our lab through a two-step diazonium salt coupling-reduction reaction shown in Scheme 1.\(^{15}\) A series of precursor polymers with

**C Synthesis of anhydride modified PAES**

In this work, the modification of Am-PAES derived from ring-opening addition reaction with anhydrides, including 4-chlorophthalic anhydride, 4-bromo-1,8-naphthalic anhydride and 4-phenylethynylphthalic anhydride, as shown in Scheme 3. In a typical experiment, CI-PAES-20 was prepared as follows: Am-PAES-20 (2 g) and 4-chlorophthalic anhydride (the mole ratio of anhydride and amino-group in PAES was 5 : 1) were dissolved in 20 mL NMP. The mixture was magnetically stirred for 12 h at 80 °C under anaerobic condition to yield a solution of PAES containing amic acid pendants. Chemical imidization was carried out via the addition of 2 mL mixture of acetic anhydride/pyridine (2/1 v/v) into the solution with mechanical stirring, and then stirred at 80 °C for 4 h. The solution finally obtained was poured into ethanol to get a precipitate, the imide group modified PAES. CI-PAES-20 powder was collected by filtration,
washed thoroughly by acetone extraction, and dried at 80 °C in vacuum. Other anhydride modified polymers were synthesized in an analogous procedure.

D Membrane preparation

All Am-PAES membranes and anhydride modified PAES membranes were prepared by solution casting. Each polymer sample (1 g) was dissolved into NMP (12 mL) to form a solution. After being filtered, solutions were poured onto clean glass plates and kept in an oven initially at 60 °C overnight to remove solvent slowly, then at 80 °C and 120 °C successively for several hours to remove residual solvent completely. The thickness of membranes we got was in the range of 85–95 μm. Part of PEP-PAES membranes were treated at 390 °C for 2 h under N2 atmosphere, named as TPEP-PAES.

E Characterization and measurement

1H-NMR spectra were carried out on a Bruker 510 spectrometer (500 MHz for 1H) using DMSO-d6 as solvent. FTIR spectra were recorded via the KBr pellet method by using a Nicolet iS5 FTIR spectrophotometer. The Tgs were determined with DSC (Mettler Toledo DSC 821e instrument) at a heating rate of 20 °C min⁻¹ under nitrogen atmosphere. Thermo gravimetric analysis (TGA) was employed to assess thermal stability of polymers with a Netzsch Sta 449c thermal analyzer system. Before analysis, the polymer powders were dried and kept in the TGA furnace at 120 °C in nitrogen atmosphere for 15 min. The samples were cooled to 100 °C and then reheated to 800 °C at a rate of 10 °C min⁻¹ in nitrogen atmosphere, and the temperatures at 5% and 10% weight loss were recorded for each sample.

The mechanical properties of membranes were measured at room temperature on SHIMADZU AG-I 1KN at a strain rate of 2 mm min⁻¹. The size of samples was 20 mm × 4 mm. The samples were dried at 120 °C in vacuum oven for 24 h before measurement.

Single gas permeability coefficients (P) of the pure gases He, N2, O2 and CO2 were measured on polymer dense membranes by the constant volume method at 25 °C with an upstream pressure of 1 atm. The permeability of each pure gas was calculated by the following equation from the flux of pure gas on the permeating side.

\[ P = \frac{\text{Flux}}{\Delta p/l} \]

where \( \Delta p \), A and l are the partial pressure difference of the gases transmembrane, effective permeating area and the thickness of the tested membrane respectively.

The ideal permselectivities for various gas pairs were calculated based on the permeation rate of pure gases.

\[ \alpha_{AB} = \frac{P_A}{P_B} \]

where \( P_A \) and \( P_B \) are the permeation rate for gas A and B, respectively. For getting good reproducibility, the replicate experiments for each membrane were performed with three different samples prepared at the same time and the data of gas permeation appeared in this paper were the average results.

The effective diffusion coefficient D that is an apparent one for the glassy polymers is calculated from the time-lag \( \theta \) according to the following equation:

\[ D = \frac{l^2}{6\theta} \]

F Fractional free volume (FFV) measurement

The fractional free volume (FFV) was estimated using experimental density \( \rho \) and van der Waals volumes \( V_w \) of the atoms forming the repeat units. According to the Bondi method, the fractional free volume is calculated using the following equations:

\[ \text{FFV} = V_f/V_{sp} \]

\[ V_f = V_{sp} - 1.3V_w \]

where \( V_f \) (cm³ g⁻¹) is the free volume of a polymer, the specific volume \( V_{sp} \) is defined as reciprocal polymer density (1/\( \rho \)), the van der Waals volume \( V_w \) can be calculated using a group contribution method, and the universal “packing coefficient” estimated by Bondi equal to 1.3 is used to convert the van der Waals volume of the repeat unit in the occupied volume.

The correctness of this approach for all the polymers and in relation to transport of various gases has been discussed. It can be assumed that a large scatter of the empirical correlations of diffusion and permeability coefficients with \( V_f \) and FFV often observed for various polymers can be induced by the only approximate validity of these equations.

The polymer density \( \rho \) is the density of the film (g cm⁻³), which is determined by buoyancy method on a SD-200L electronic densimeter from Alfa Mirage Co., Ltd, Japan, and the density was calculated as follows:
\[ \rho = \frac{w_0}{w_0 - w_1} \rho_{H_2O} \]

where \( w_0 \) and \( w_1 \) are the film weights in air and water respectively.

**Results and discussion**

**A Synthesis and characterization of polymers**

The method of synthesizing precursor polymer Am-PAES has been described in detail in previous study. The modification reaction route was similar to the conventional two-step procedure for the synthesis of polyimides of ring-opening addition at 80 °C to form amide acid pendants and chemical imidization by adding mixture of acetic anhydride/pyridine.

The structures of the previous polymer and anhydride modified polymers have been confirmed by FT-IR and \(^1\)H-NMR spectra. Fig. 1 shows the FT-IR spectra of anhydride modified polymers, CI-PAES-60, Br-PAES-60 and PEP-PAES-60. The FT-IR spectra exhibited characteristic imide group absorptions peaks at around 1784 and 1730 cm\(^{-1}\) (typical absorptions of imide carbonyl asymmetrical and symmetrical stretchings) and did not show the amide carbonyl peak at 1650 cm\(^{-1}\), thus demonstrating complete imidization during modification. 1374 cm\(^{-1}\) (C–N–C stretching), 1093 and 730 cm\(^{-1}\) (imide ring deformation), 1279–1190 cm\(^{-1}\) (C–F and Ph–O–Ph stretchings) absorption bands are also clearly visible. The FT-IR spectrum of PEP-PAES-60 exhibited characteristic acetylene group stretching absorptions at 2215 cm\(^{-1}\). \(^1\)H-NMR spectra of CI-PAES, Br-PAES and PEP-PAES are shown in Fig. 2. All protons resonate in the region of 6.9–8.1 ppm and have been well assigned. In all spectra, the protons H7 and H8 shifted to a lower field compared with the same protons of Am-PEAS due to the electron-withdrawing property of imide group. As shown in complete spectra provided in the ESI,† no resonate of amino protons (around 5.2 ppm) were observed after modification. The above results demonstrate that these three anhydrides hold a good reaction activity with amino-groups in Am-PEAS. Fully chemical imidization catalyzed by acetic anhydride and pyridine could be achieved at 80 °C.

For synthesis of polyimides, the anhydride monomer with an electron withdrawing substituent and the diamine monomer

---

**Fig. 1** FT-IR spectra of anhydride modified PAESs.

**Fig. 2** \(^1\)H-NMR spectra of anhydride modified PAESs.
with an electron donating substituent on the aromatic ring have higher reactivity.\textsuperscript{21} The three anhydrides we chose in this study had enough reaction activity with amino-groups in Am-PEAS due to electron withdrawing –Cl, –Br or conjugated phenylethynyl group. In actual synthesis of poly amic acid, compared with aromatic dianhydride monomer, the aromatic diamine monomer has a greater influence on the formation of amide acid.

**B Polymer solubility**

The solubility of the polymers was tested in various solvents, and the results were summarized in Table 1. The aminated poly(arylene ether sulfone)s exhibited good solubility in common organic solvents. It was attempted to obtain 10% (weight/arylene ether sulfone)s and anhydride modified poly(arylene ether sulfone)s were insoluble in acetone. The good solubility could be due to the presence of hexafluoropropane \(-\text{C} (\text{CF}_3)\text{2}\) in polymer main chains. The –Cl and –Br in imide moiety also made a contribution to the solubility. The thermally treated PEP-PAES samples exhibited good solvent resistance. They were insoluble in the solvents previously mentioned, even exhibited good short-term swelling resistance.

**C Thermal properties of polymers**

The thermal behavior data of all the polymers are listed in Table 2. Generally, the order of \(T_g\) correlates with both molecular packing and chain conformation (chain rigidity and interaction) of polymers. Precursor polymers showed higher \(T_g\) due to the strongly hydrogen bond interaction between chains caused by –NH\(_2\) groups. The DSC curves of anhydride modified PAESs are shown in Fig. 3. The \(T_g\) values of Am-PAESs and anhydride modified PAESs were, respectively, in ranges of 205–209 \(^\circ\)C and 207–227 \(^\circ\)C, which increased with the amount of imide group. As we expected, the \(T_g\) values of modified PAES increased with the increasing rigidity of PAES backbones attributed to the introduction of bulky pendant imide groups which having large volume and high rigidity and restricting polymer chain segment motion. PAESs modified by 4-bromo-1,8-naphthalic anhydride have maximum \(\Delta T_g\)s (5 \(^\circ\)C and 18 \(^\circ\)C) that benefited from the fused ring structure of naphthal group. The DSC curve of PEP-PAES-60 from 100 \(^\circ\)C to 500 \(^\circ\)C was shown in Fig. 4, in which an exothermal peak ranged from 370 \(^\circ\)C to 450 \(^\circ\)C could be observed. The exotherm could not be detected in the curves of PAESs modified by other anhydride. It could be attributed to the crosslinking reaction of phenylethynyl moieties in PAESs modified by 4-phenylethynylphthalic anhydride (Fig. 5).\textsuperscript{22}

Thermal stabilities of the PAESs evaluated by TGA are also listed in Table 2. \(T_d\)s of Am-PAESs increases with increasing the content of –C(\text{CF}_3)\text{2}– component due to the presence of stronger C–F bonds with higher thermal stability\textsuperscript{23,24} than –NH\(_2\). Besides, –C(\text{CF}_3)\text{2}– is in the backbone and has a more significant contribution to thermal stability. Compared with Am-PAESs, all anhydride modified PAESs exhibited more excellent thermal stability, and no obvious decomposition was observed below 500 \(^\circ\)C. Compared with precursor polymers, anhydride modified PAESs showed an opposite trend of the \(T_d\) with the pendant content. This was because that the more amino groups in the precursor polymer, the more imide pendant groups with

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{llllllll}
\hline
Polymers & NMP & DMF & DMAc & DMSO & CHCl\(_3\) & THF & Acetone \\
\hline
Am-PAES & ++ & ++ & ++ & + & + & + & – \\
Cl-PEAS & ++ & ++ & ++ & ++ & + & + & – \\
Br-PAES & ++ & ++ & ++ & ++ & + & + & – \\
PEP-PAES & ++ & ++ & ++ & + & + & + & – \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Solubility of polymers\textsuperscript{a}}
\textsuperscript{a} ++, soluble at room temperature; +, soluble with more stirring or heating; –, insoluble at room temperature.
\end{table}
excellent thermal stability were introduced (the maximum \( \Delta T_{d5\%} \) and \( \Delta T_{d10\%} \) were 67 °C and 58 °C, in the case of Cl-PAES-60). Their char yield at 800 °C in nitrogen was in the range of 44–70 wt%. The TGA data indicated that these PAESs had fairly higher thermal stability regardless of the introduction of the imide pendant groups. For Cl-PAES and Br-PAES, the char yield was decreased with the increase of the imide group content while an opposite trend occurs for PEP-PAES. We postulated that the marked difference in char yield was mainly due to crosslinking of ethynyl groups during temperature rising.

### D Mechanical properties

The mechanical properties of PAES membranes are summarized in Table 3. The PAES membranes had tensile strength of 69–110 MPa, tensile modulus of 2.5–5.2 GPa, and maximum elongation of 2.8–10.8%. The strength of polymers decreased with the introducing of imide pendant groups. We thought it was because that the introduction of bulky imide substituent reduced the bulk density and entanglement degree of polymer chains, led to the lower strength of membranes. TPEP-PAES showed much higher tensile strength and modulus, meanwhile, a lower elongation at break as a result of ethynyl groups’ crosslinking. Anyway, all of the membranes possess high enough toughness for gas permeation test.

### E Gas permeability and permselectivity

It is well-known that permeation of gas molecules in dense polymer membranes following the solution–diffusion mechanism, and then the permeability coefficient \( P \) is determined by the solubility coefficient \( S \) and the diffusion coefficient \( D \), \( P = DS \). The diffusion coefficient of common gases, which have no obvious interactions with polymers, is considered as a function of the effective molecular diameter of the gas molecule and the diffusivity coefficient correlates very well with the FFV of polymers. In this condition, the \( P \) is mainly determined by the diffusion coefficient. The mean permeability coefficients of four different gases O₂, N₂, CO₂ and He through these PAES membranes and ideal permselectivity values for different gas pairs are presented in Table 4. To explain the effect of imide pendant groups on gas permeation of polymers, the fraction free volume (FFV) of polymers was calculated by utilizing the Bondi’s group contribution method and listed in Table 4.

For all the PAES membranes, the gas permeability coefficient decreases following the sequence \( P_{(He)} > P_{(CO_2)} > P_{(O_2)} > P_{(N_2)} \), which is in the reversed order of their respective kinetic diameter, He (2.60 Å) < CO₂ (3.3 Å) < O₂ (3.46 Å) < N₂ (3.64 Å). Compared with anhydride modified PAESs, precursor polymers Am-PAES-20 and Am-PAES-60 exhibited lower O₂, N₂, CO₂ and

---

**Table 3** Mechanical properties of PAES membranes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Membrane</th>
<th>Thickness (μm)</th>
<th>Tensile strength (MPa)</th>
<th>Tensile modulus (GPa)</th>
<th>Maximum elongation (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Am-PAES-20</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Am-PAES-60</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl-PAES-20</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl-PAES-60</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Br-PAES-20</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Br-PAES-60</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEP-PAES-20</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEP-PAES-60</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPEP-PAES-20</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPEP-PAES-60</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
improvement in permeability accompanied with a decrease in permselectivity, and vice versa. As can be seen from Table 4, the results of separating property of anhydride modified PAESs almost followed the above rule, except for Br-PAES-20. Compared with Am-PAES-20, the \( P(\text{O}_2) \), \( P(\text{N}_2) \) and \( P(\text{CO}_2) \) of Br-PAES-20 increased from 2.57, 0.33 and 5.80 to 4.26, 0.48 and 10.33 barrers, respectively, accompanying with the increase in separation coefficients for three gas pairs.

Conclusions

Three kinds of imide group pendant were successfully introduced into poly(arylene ether sulfone). All modified polymers displayed good solubility in polar solvents, high thermal stability, and good mechanical properties. Gas transport properties for \( \text{O}_2 \), \( \text{N}_2 \), \( \text{CO}_2 \) and \( \text{He} \) had been investigated. Introduction of bulky imide moieties increased the FFV of polymers, thus resulting in increasing of gas permeability. In comparison with Am-PAES membranes, modified polymer membranes showed higher gas permeabilities. The gas permeabilities indicated that the introduction of imide groups into polymer pendant is highly effective to improve the gas permeability of membranes. Meanwhile, the permselectivity of modified PAESs almost decreased due to the trade-off relationship between permeability and permselectivity. The only exception, Br-PAES-20, possesses higher permeability and permselectivity simultaneously. This anhydride modification approach may act as a simple and feasible option to improve gas separation performance of polymer membranes.
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