



Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2017, 7, 43642

CuO/Cu₂O nanowire arrays grafted by reduced graphene oxide: synthesis, characterization, and application in photocatalytic reduction of CO₂

Qianyu Zhang,^a Lihua Huang,^b Shifei Kang,^b Chaochuang Yin,^b Zhen Ma,^c Lifeng Cui^{*a} and Yangang Wang^{*a}

CuO/Cu₂O nanowire arrays (NWAs) were prepared on a Cu foil substrate through a simple thermal process. The NWAs were then grafted with reduced graphene oxide (rGO) nanosheets *via* self-assembly. The combination of various characterization techniques including SEM, TEM, XRD, and XPS revealed the unique integration between CuO/Cu₂O NWAs and umbrella-like rGO nanosheets. The CuO/Cu₂O NWAs@rGO sample was found to be more active than bare CuO/Cu₂O NWAs for the photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ under simulated solar light. The improved photocatalytic activity was attributed to the slow recombination of charge carriers and the efficient transfer of photo-generated electrons through rGO nanosheets.

Received 3rd July 2017
 Accepted 29th August 2017

DOI: 10.1039/c7ra07310k

rsc.li/rsc-advances

1. Introduction

With the rapid industrialization in the past century, the concentration of CO₂ in the atmosphere is increasing tremendously due to fossil fuel combustion, thus leading to global climate changes and a serious threat to the environment.^{1,2} Photocatalytic conversion of CO₂ into useful chemicals or fuels by semiconductors has been actively studied.^{3,4} Efficient photocatalysts for the reduction of CO₂ are needed for this purpose.^{5–8} TiO₂-based photocatalysts have attracted much attention because of their high stability, low cost, strong oxidation activity and outstanding electronic properties.^{9–11} However, the fast photoinduced electron–hole pair recombination and wide band gap (3.2 eV) are limitations for its large-scale application.^{12,13} Thus, it is important to develop visible-light-driven semiconductors for artificial photosynthesis for CO₂ reduction.

CuO and Cu₂O, with narrow band gaps of 1.2 eV and 2 eV, respectively, are attractive p-type semiconductors for visible-light-driven photocatalysis. CuO and Cu₂O have been widely investigated in gas sensors,¹⁴ solar cells,¹⁵ water splitting,¹⁶ electronic materials,¹⁷ and photocatalysts.^{5,7,18} Thus, the CuO/Cu₂O system may be a good candidate for the photocatalytic reduction of CO₂.

Nevertheless, the low electric conductivity of copper oxides may lead to rapid recombination of photo-generated electrons

and holes limits their photocatalytic performance.⁷ The modification of copper oxides with some highly conductive carbon materials could improve their performance.^{19,20} Reduced graphene oxide (rGO) is a promising additive for hybrid materials, because of its unique properties such as superior mobility of charge carriers, extremely high specific surface area, and excellent conductivity.^{21,22} Thus, rGO may be added to the CuO/Cu₂O system to improve the photocatalytic performance for CO₂ reduction.

Although studies on the photocatalytic performance of CuO–rGO or Cu₂O–rGO have been reported frequently, there are less research on CuO/Cu₂O–rGO system. We anticipate that an ideal approach to construct copper oxide based high-performance photocatalyst is to synthesize a CuO/Cu₂O–rGO nanostructure in which the CuO/Cu₂O is tightly covered with ultrathin graphene nanosheets.

In this study, we successfully prepared CuO/Cu₂O NWAs@rGO composites through a simple thermal process and a self-assembly procedure. The CuO/Cu₂O NWAs@rGO composite showed enhanced photocatalytic activity for converting CO₂ to CO under simulated solar light, owing to the presence of ultrathin rGO nanosheets that possesses high conductivity and results in slow recombination of charge carriers and efficient transfer of photo-generated electrons. Chemical and structural features of nanocomposites were characterized by XRD, XPS, Raman, SEM and TEM.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials

Hydrochloric acid (36.0–38.0%), ethanol (99.7%) and methanol (99.5%) were purchased from Shanghai Chemical Corp. All chemicals involved here were of analytical grade and used

^aSchool of Environment and Civil Engineering, Dongguan University of Technology, Guangdong 523808, China. E-mail: lifeng.cui@gmail.com; ygwang8136@gmail.com

^bDepartment of Environmental Science and Engineering, University of Shanghai for Science and Technology, Shanghai 200093, China

^cDepartment of Environmental Science and Engineering, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China



without further purification. Copper foil (99.9%, 1 mm thickness) were purchased from Shengjili Metals Sales Company. Partially reduced graphene oxide (rGO) was purchased from Hengqiu Technique Company.

2.2. Synthesis of CuO/Cu₂O NWAs

CuO/Cu₂O NWAs were fabricated by a simple thermal oxidation method. Copper foil (2 × 2 cm²) was carefully cleaned with a 6.0 M HCl solution in an ultrasound bath for 30 min to remove the CuO layer from the surface, then rinsed with deionized water and absolute ethanol for several times, and finally dried in air naturally. The bare Cu foil was calcinated at 600 °C in air for 4 h with a speed of 10 °C min⁻¹ to obtain CuO/Cu₂O NWAs.

2.3. Synthesis of CuO/Cu₂O NWAs@rGO

CuO/Cu₂O NWAs@rGO was fabricated *via* a two-step self-assembly procedure. In that procedure, 30 mg reduced graphene oxide (rGO) was added into 300 mL of methanol, and after being ultrasonically treated for 3 h, rGO was exfoliated into thin sheets and disintegrated into a homogeneous suspension. CuO/Cu₂O NWAs grown on the Cu foil were then put on a platform which was hung in the suspension and the suspension was stirred at room temperature for 24 h. Finally, the obtained sample was collected and dried in a vacuum oven at 60 °C.

2.4. Chemical and structural characterizations of materials

X-ray diffraction (XRD) experiments were conducted on a Bruker D8 Advance X-ray diffractometer (Bruker Optics, Ettlingen, Germany) with Cu-K α radiation, operated at 40 kV and 40 mA (scanning step: 0.02° s⁻¹) in 2 θ = 10–80°. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) experiments were conducted on a Tescan Vega3 scanning electron microscope (TESCAN Ltd., Czech) and a JEM-2010 transmission electron microscope (JEOL Ltd., Japan) at an acceleration voltage of 200 kV. X-ray photoelectron spectra (XPS) were recorded on an ESCALAB 250 XPS meter (Thermo Scientific) with monochromized Al K α irradiation at 250 W. Raman spectra were obtained with a Dilor LabRam-1B microscopic Raman Spectrum. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) experiments were conducted on a VSP three-electrode electrochemical analysis system (Bio-logic Science Instruments, France) in 6 M KOH aqueous solutions and obtained at the frequency from 0.01 Hz to 100 kHz with amplitude of 5 mV. The products supported on copper foil (2 × 2 cm²) were directly used as the working electrode without any binder or conductive additive. A Pt plate (2 × 2 cm²) and an Ag/AgCl electrode were used as the counter electrode and reference electrode, respectively.

2.5. Photocatalytic experiments

The photocatalytic experiments for CO₂ and H₂O reduction were carried out using CuO/Cu₂O NWAs and CuO/Cu₂O NWAs@rGO as the photocatalysts in a gas-closed circulation system with the volume of 2700 mL. For each test, 4 × 4 cm² catalyst was placed onto the stainless-steel film which was fixed in the center of

reactor. A Xe arc lamp (500 W) with sunlike radiation spectrum was put at the top of quartz window with the distance of 8 cm. The circulated cooling water was used to keep the photoreactor at ambient temperature during the reaction. Before the illumination, the reactor was vacuumed and purged with the CO₂ and H₂O mixture at about 20 mL min⁻¹ for 2 h to establish an adsorption–desorption equilibrium. During the irradiation, the gas phase products were taken at a given time interval (1 h) for subsequent quantitative analysis of product by using an on-line gas chromatograph.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Morphological and structural analyses

Fig. 1a shows the XRD patterns of CuO/Cu₂O NWAs, CuO/Cu₂O NWAs@rGO and rGO composites. Both CuO/Cu₂O NWAs and CuO/Cu₂O NWAs@rGO present almost the same profiles and all the peaks are in good agreement with the cubic Cu₂O phase (JCPDS no. 99-0041) and monoclinic CuO phase (JCPDS no. 89-7896), indicating the existence of CuO and Cu₂O. rGO exhibits a very broad peak at 2 θ = ~26°, corresponding to very few lamellae within the rGO nanosheets along with their corrugated structure.⁵ Compared to CuO/Cu₂O NWAs, no conventional stacking peak of rGO is detected because of the strong peaks of CuO and Cu₂O and low concentration and thus the relatively low peak intensity of rGO nanosheets.²³

XPS data were used to investigate chemical composition and the surface chemical states of CuO/Cu₂O NWAs@rGO. Fig. 1b reveals the chemical states of carbon. The C 1s spectrum could be fitted into four peaks at 284.6, 285.4, 286.6, 288.4 eV, associated with graphitic sp² carbon (C=C/C–C), C–O of hydroxyl or epoxy groups, and O=C–O, respectively.^{24,25} The much stronger peaks related to graphitic sp² carbon emerging from rGO skeleton suggests considerable content of graphitic carbon. The peak component at 286.6 eV was originated from the residual oxygen functionalities of rGO. The high energy peak component with low intensity at 288.4 eV was due to the environmental contamination to the sample. The O 1s spectrum in Fig. 1c consists of three oxygen contributions at 530.0, 531.4, and 533.4 eV, corresponding to oxygen bonded to Cu, carbonyl oxygen and oxygen atoms in hydroxyl groups, and oxygen atoms in carboxyl groups.²⁶ Fig. 1d presents the Cu 2p XPS spectrum. Two Cu peaks at 932.2 and 952.0 eV, corresponding to the Cu 2p_{3/2} and Cu 2p_{1/2} peaks of Cu⁺, respectively, confirm the existence of Cu₂O.²⁷ The peaks at 933.5 and 953.5 eV with two extra shake-up satellites are assigned to the Cu 2p_{3/2} and Cu 2p_{1/2} peaks of Cu²⁺, respectively.^{28,29}

The samples were further characterized by Raman spectroscopy (Fig. 1e). Compared to the smooth spectrum of CuO/Cu₂O NWAs, two strikingly similar peaks of rGO and CuO/Cu₂O NWAs@rGO (centered at ~1580 cm⁻¹ which represents the G-line and ~1350 cm⁻¹ representing the D-line) indicate the presence of rGO in CuO/Cu₂O NWAs@rGO.

The surface morphologies of CuO/Cu₂O NWAs and CuO/Cu₂O NWAs@rGO were characterized by SEM (Fig. 2). The Cu foil shown in Fig. 2a has a smooth surface with unidirectional nicks. Fig. 2b and c report SEM images of nanowires grown with






Fig. 1 (a) XRD patterns of CuO/Cu₂O NWAs; XPS spectra of (b) C 1s, (c) O 1s and (d) Cu 2p of CuO/Cu₂O NWAs@rGO, (e) Raman spectra of rGO, CuO/Cu₂O NWAs@rGO and CuO/Cu₂O NWAs.

high density, as obtained by the oxidation of a Cu foil for 4 h at 600 °C. After heating the Cu foil in air for 4 h, the large-scale, dense, and directional CuO/Cu₂O NWAs were directly grown *in situ* on the copper foil. The growth of the nanowires was preceded by a deformation of the substrate due to a straining force, with the formation of a hill and valley structure, in accordance with the literature.³⁰ SEM analysis in cross-view reveals the absolutely straight nanowires, which allows us to determine that the average length of the nanowires is 25–35 μm (Fig. 2d). SEM images of CuO/Cu₂O NWAs@rGO at different magnifications are shown in Fig. 2e–h. Plenty of ultrathin rGO nanosheets flock together and accumulate on the top of CuO/Cu₂O NWAs like umbrellas (Fig. 2e). Furthermore, long and uniform CuO/Cu₂O NWAs are coated with well-spread rGO nanosheets (Fig. 2f–h). Numerous tips of CuO/Cu₂O NWAs stretch directionally and were closely wrapped by the rGO

nanosheets, suggesting that the two materials are well combined through self-assembly procedure.

The structure of the sample obtained by annealing the copper foil at 600 °C in air for 4 h and CuO/Cu₂O NWAs@rGO were further studied by TEM. Typical TEM images of a single CuO/Cu₂O NWAs as synthesized are shown in Fig. 3a–c. The products have wire-like shapes and the nanowires are solid and straight with smooth surfaces and uniform diameters of ~115.8 nm (Fig. 3a and b). The interlayer fringe of the selected area is 0.23 nm, which agrees well with the (111) plane of CuO (Fig. 3c),^{17,28} whereas there is no interlayer fringe associated with Cu₂O. Thus, these nanowires are composed of CuO phase and the underlying film is Cu₂O.³¹ As seen from Fig. 3d, wrinkled and little aggregated rGO nanosheets were observed. The thickness of the ultrathin rGO nanosheets is about 40 nm.






Fig. 2 SEM images of (a) Cu foil, and (b–d) CuO/Cu₂O NWAs at different magnifications; (e–h) CuO/Cu₂O NWAs@rGO at different magnifications.

3.2. Photocatalytic activity

Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was further used to investigate the photogenerated charge separation process. Fig. 4a shows the EIS Nyquist plots of CuO/Cu₂O NWAs and CuO/Cu₂O NWAs@rGO. A smaller arc radius of the EIS Nyquist plot suggests an effective separation of photogenerated electron–hole pairs and fast interfacial charge transfer.^{32,33} Evidently, the diameters of the arc radius of CuO/Cu₂O

NWAs@rGO is smaller than that of CuO/Cu₂O NWAs, further confirming that the ultrathin layer of rGO with good conductivity facilitates the electron migration to the reaction sites on the surface of the composite.³⁴

CuO/Cu₂O NWAs and CuO/Cu₂O NWAs@rGO were tested for the photocatalytic reduction CO₂ with H₂O under simulated solar irradiation. Fig. 4a shows the evolution of the main product (CO) as function of irradiation time. No CO is observed in the absence of photocatalyst, whereas CuO/Cu₂O NWAs and






Fig. 3 Low- and high-magnification TEM images of (a–c) CuO/Cu₂O NWAs; (d) CuO/Cu₂O NWAs@rGO.

CuO/Cu₂O NWAs@rGO exhibits photocatalytic activity. The yields of CO over these two samples increase with the irradiation time. Significantly, CuO/Cu₂O NWAs@rGO demonstrates a higher photocatalytic performance compared to CuO/Cu₂O NWAs, and these two materials reach a CO yield of 0.31 and 0.20 $\mu\text{mol cm}^{-2}$, respectively, after 24 h of visible light irradiation.

CuO nanomaterials absorb visible light of solar spectrum very efficiently and generate electron–hole pairs. However, the fast recombination of electron–hole pairs (which is crucial for the electron-dominated reduction reaction) owing to the narrow band gap makes them unavailable for photocatalytic reaction.⁵ Therefore, CuO/Cu₂O NWAs showed lower photocatalytic conversion. CuO/Cu₂O NWAs@rGO revealed improved photocatalytic activity, most likely ascribed to the improvement of the conductivity of CuO/Cu₂O NWAs@rGO by introducing rGO and

the nearly equal position of conduction band of CuO (~ 4.1 eV) and LUMO of graphene (~ 4.4 eV).^{35,36} rGO platelets with high conductivity provide a direct pathway for efficient separation and transfer of photoexcited electrons, which means that the photo-generated electron in the conduction band of CuO/Cu₂O NWAs can easily transfer to the rGO nanosheets, thus inhibiting the recombination of electron–hole pairs and facilitating the electron transport to the catalytic sites for the reduction of CO₂ to CO. Photo-generated holes can oxidize the water molecules to give protons (H⁺).¹¹ Furthermore, the existence of mixture of Cu⁺/Cu²⁺ oxidation states on the surface of catalysts according to XPS analysis. Cu⁺ may also can interact with holes and suppress the combination of photogenerated electrons and holes.




Fig. 4 (a) EIS of CuO/Cu₂O NWAs and CuO/Cu₂O NWAs@rGO electrodes; (b) CO yield by photocatalysis of CuO/Cu₂O NWAs and CuO/Cu₂O NWAs@rGO.



4. Conclusions

In conclusion, the large-scale, dense, and directional CuO/Cu₂O nanowires on Cu foil were grafted by ultrathin rGO nanosheets. CuO/Cu₂O NWAs@rGO was used for the photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ to CO under visible light irradiation. XRD and XPS analysis confirm that tCuO/Cu₂O NWAs@rGO has a hetero-junction structure of Cu⁺/Cu²⁺. Meanwhile, SEM and TEM results show that the ultrathin rGO nanosheets are like umbrellas coated on the CuO/Cu₂O nanowires, which can enhance the conductivity of CuO/Cu₂O NWAs@rGO. CuO/Cu₂O NWAs@rGO exhibited enhanced photocatalytic performance compare to CuO/Cu₂O NWAs in the reduction of CO₂ with H₂O to CO under simulated solar irradiation.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by China National Natural Science Foundation (51772051, 51528202 and 51671136), Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province (2016A030310127), and “Shu Guang” project (13SG46) supported by Shanghai Municipal Education Commission and Shanghai Education Development Foundation.

References

- 1 T. Seki, Y. Kokubo, S. Ichikawa, T. Suzuki, Y. Kayaki and T. Ikariya, *Chem. Commun.*, 2009, **3**, 349–351.
- 2 J. D. Figueroa, T. Fout, S. Plasynski, H. McIlvried and R. D. Srivastava, *Int. J. Greenhouse Gas Control*, 2008, **2**, 9–20.
- 3 J. Mao, K. Li and T. Y. Peng, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2013, **3**, 2481–2498.
- 4 W. Fan, Q. Zhang and Y. Wang, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2013, **15**, 2632–2649.
- 5 R. Gusain, P. Kumar, O. P. Sharma, S. L. Jain and O. P. Khatri, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2016, **181**, 352–362.
- 6 J. Qin, S. Wang, H. Ren, Y. Hou and X. Wang, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2015, **179**, 1–8.
- 7 W. Zou, L. Zhang, L. Liu, X. Wang, J. Sun, S. Wu, Y. Deng, C. Tang, F. Gao and L. Dong, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2016, **181**, 495–503.
- 8 L. C. Sim, K. H. Leong, P. Saravanan and S. Ibrahim, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2015, **358**, 122–129.
- 9 W. N. Wang, W. J. An, B. Ramalingam, S. Mukherjee, D. M. Niedzwiedzki, S. Gangopadhyay and P. Biswas, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 11276–11281.
- 10 X. Feng, J. D. Sloppy, T. J. Latempa, M. Paulose, S. Komarneni, N. Bao and C. A. Grimes, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2011, **21**, 13429–13433.
- 11 J. Yu, J. Low, W. Xiao, P. Zhou and M. Jaroniec, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 8839–8842.
- 12 H. C. Yang, H. Y. Lin, Y. S. Chien, C. S. Wu and H. H. Wu, *Catal. Lett.*, 2009, **131**, 381–387.
- 13 K. Kočí, L. Obalová, L. Matějová, D. Plachá, Z. Lacný, J. Jirkovský and O. Šolcová, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2009, **89**, 494–502.
- 14 S. Deng, V. Tjoa, H. M. Fan, H. R. Tan, D. C. Sayle, M. Olivo, S. Mhaisalkar, J. Wei and C. H. Sow, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 4905–4917.
- 15 S. Brittman, Y. Yoo, N. P. Dasgupta, S. I. Kim, B. Kim and P. Yang, *Nano Lett.*, 2014, **14**, 4665–4670.
- 16 A. Dubale, W. N. Su, A. Tamirat, C. J. Pan, B. Aragaw, H. M. Chen, C. H. Chen and B. J. Hwang, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2014, **2**, 18383–18397.
- 17 J. Zhao, X. Shu, Y. Wang, C. Yu, J. Zhang, J. Cui, Y. Qin, H. Zheng, J. Liu, Y. Zhang and Y. Wu, *Surf. Coat. Technol.*, 2016, **299**, 15–21.
- 18 H. Wang, J. Xu, H. Jing, J. Zhang, P. Li and F. Lu, *Acta Chim. Sin.*, 2013, **71**, 941.
- 19 C. Jie, S. H. Shen, P. H. Guo, W. Meng, P. Wu, X. X. Wang and L. J. Guo, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2014, **152–153**, 335–341.
- 20 X. Zhao, Y. Tan, F. Wu, H. Niu, Z. Tang, Y. Cai and J. P. Giesy, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 2016, **571**, 380–387.
- 21 Q. Xiang, J. Yu and M. Jaroniec, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2011, **41**, 782–796.
- 22 Y. Z. Zhang, G. Q. Mo, X. W. Li, W. D. Zhang, J. Q. Zhang, J. S. Ye, X. D. Huang and C. Z. Yu, *J. Power Sources*, 2011, **196**, 5402–5407.
- 23 L. J. Seok, K. H. You and P. C. Beum, *Adv. Mater.*, 2012, **24**, 1084–1088.
- 24 L. Liu, Z. Liu, A. Liu, X. Gu, C. Ge, F. Gao and L. Dong, *ChemSusChem*, 2014, **7**, 618–626.
- 25 D. Yang, A. Velamakanni, G. Bozoklu, S. Park, M. Stoller, R. D. Piner, S. Stankovich, I. Jung, D. A. Field and C. A. Ventrice, *Carbon*, 2009, **47**, 145–152.
- 26 I. A. Khan, A. Badshah, M. A. Nadeem, N. Haider and M. A. Nadeem, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2014, **39**, 19609–19620.
- 27 H. Li, Y. Lei, Y. Huang, Y. Fang, Y. Xu, L. Zhu and X. Li, *J. Nat. Gas Chem.*, 2011, **20**, 145–150.
- 28 K. Wang, X. Dong, C. Zhao, X. Qian and Y. Xu, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2015, **152**, 433–442.
- 29 Q. X. Qing, M. Masahiro, S. Kayano, M. Masafumi, L. Min, L. Yue, L. Ding, S. Yoshiki, H. Yasuhiro, K. Yasushi and H. Kazuhito, *ACS Nano*, 2011, **6**, 1609–1618.
- 30 M. Kaur, K. P. Muthe, S. K. Deshpande, S. Choudhury, J. B. Singh, N. Verma, S. K. Gupta and J. V. Yakhmi, *J. Cryst. Growth*, 2006, **289**, 670–675.
- 31 S. C. Vanithakumari, S. L. Shinde and K. K. Nanda, *Mater. Sci. Eng., B*, 2011, **176**, 669–678.
- 32 X. J. Bai, L. Wang, R. L. Zong and Y. F. Zhu, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2013, **117**, 9952–9961.
- 33 X. Q. An, J. C. Yu and J. W. Tang, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2013, **2**, 1000–1005.
- 34 X. An, K. Li and J. Tang, *ChemSusChem*, 2014, **7**, 1086–1093.
- 35 N. L. Yang, J. Zhai, D. Wang, Y. S. Chen and L. Jiang, *ACS Nano*, 2010, **4**, 887–894.
- 36 D. Chauhan, V. R. Satsangi, S. Dass and R. Shrivastav, *Bull. Mater. Sci.*, 2006, **29**, 709–716.

