Molecular recognition with cyclodextrin polymer: a novel method for removing sulfides efficiently†
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A series of cyclodextrin polymers (CDPs) were synthesized and they were used for removing different sulfides by molecular recognition. Different CDPs showed a higher desulfurization efficiency for sulfides with an aromatic ring structure than those with an aliphatic chain structure. For different cyclodextrin polymers, β-CDP has a more suitable cavity size for removing DBT. Moreover, it has a good synergetic effect of adjacent cyclodextrin cavities and good electronic interactions with DBT. For these reasons, β-CDP showed the best desulfurization performance, in particular it has good performance for deep desulfurization by forming inclusion complexes and excellent selectivity for removing DBT. Meanwhile, the β-CDP showed good regeneration performance. Various characterization measurements were used to characterize the β-CDP before and after desulfurization of DBT, the results of which showed that it had advantages of wide application temperature range and good structure stability before and after desulfurization. Finally, a molecular recognition mechanism for removing sulfides efficiently was proposed.

1. Introduction

Due to the increasingly stringent environmental regulations, deep desulfurization of transportation fuels has become very urgent for the petroleum refining industry. Meanwhile, it is necessary to produce fuels with ultra-low levels of sulfur to meet the increasing demand for fuel cell application.1-3

As we all know, the conventional hydrodesulfurization (HDS) technique has already been widely used for decades. Although HDS is highly efficient for removing sulfides, the current HDS technology is not applicable for deep desulfurization.4 What is more, HDS has difficulty in removing sulfides with a thiophenic structure, especially dibenzothiophene (DBT), and the reaction conditions for it are severe and its cost is high.4-8

Recent years, a variety of non-hydrodesulfurization processes has been explored, including oxidation,9-14 adsorption,15-17 extraction,18-22 biosulfurization,23,24 molecular recognition,25-27 etc.28 It’s worth mentioning that, lately, a novel desulfurization method based on molecular recognition has been reported and quickly attracts lots of attention, which may be applied widely in deep and selective desulfurization. Some materials owning good specificity recognition were successfully prepared using molecular imprinting technology to selectively remove organosulfur like DBT.29-31 Cyclodextrins known as a typical kind of host compounds in molecular recognition, owing to the coexistence of hydrophobic cavity and hydrophilic surface in them, have showed good performance and already been widely used in drug delivery,32,33 separation,34,35 catalysis,36 as well as desulfurization.37-39 However, cyclodextrins have rather small binding constants with substrates,40 and the binding abilities for them couldn’t be improved effectively by functionalization and modification,41 limiting the application of them in molecular recognition. Fortunately, these problems have been solved by the bridged cyclodextrin in some degree.42 Two adjacent cyclodextrin cavities exist in them, which could act synergistically when bridged cyclodextrins interact with substrates. These bridged cyclodextrins can not only strengthen interactions between host and guest, but also improve the selectivity in molecular recognition process.43-48 Cyclodextrin polymers as a novel kind of bridged cyclodextrins, each molecule of the polymer has more cyclodextrin cavities, probably indicating a potentially stronger synergy. In addition, cyclodextrin cavities have certain sizes. The strong synergy and cavities with certain sizes in cyclodextrin polymers may make cyclodextrin polymers play a significant part in selective and deep desulfurization.

In view of those challenges in the removal of DBT by traditional desulfurization process and the unique properties owned by cyclodextrin polymers, in this paper, the cyclodextrin polymers were introduced in desulfurization process by molecular recognition method. What is more, it is obvious that the cyclodextrin polymers are much more environmentally friendly as a novel biobased material and lower costs than traditional desulfurizers.
To investigate the desulfurization performance of cyclodextrin polymers based on molecular recognition, a series of cyclodextrin polymers were synthesized and then used to remove different sulfides in \( \text{n-heptane} \). Desulfurization conditions, deep desulfurization performance, regeneration, selectivity of the chosen desulfurizer were investigated systematically. The structural changes of cyclodextrin polymer before and after desulfurization were confirmed by XRD, \(^1\text{H NMR}, \text{FTIR} \) and \( \text{N}_2 \) adsorption and desorption isotherms. Based on those results, the mechanism of desulfurization by molecular recognition of cyclodextrin polymers was studied.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials

Alpha-cyclodextrin (\( \alpha \text{-CD} \)), beta-cyclodextrin (\( \beta \text{-CD} \)), gamma-cyclodextrin (\( \gamma \text{-CD} \)) and potassium carbonate (\( \text{K}_2\text{CO}_3 \)) all with purities > 99%, were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd. Thiophene (Th), benzothiophene (BT), dibenzothiophene (DBT), tert-butyl mercaptan (TBM), \( \text{n-hexadecyl mercaptan} \) (NHM), thiophenol (TP), tert-butyl sulfide (DES), tert-butyl sulfoxide (TBS), ethyl phenyl sulfide (EPS), diethyl disulfide (DED) all with analytical pure, and tetrafluoroterephthalonitrile (TFT, >99%) were purchased from Merck-Schuchardt. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) and dimethyl formamide (DMF) with purities > 99%, were also purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd. and trace water of them were removed by active alumina before use.

2.2. Synthesis of cyclodextrin polymers

\( \beta \)-Cyclodextrin polymer (\( \beta \text{-CDP} \)) was synthesized following the procedure reported by Alaaeddin Alsbaiee et al. In a typical synthesis, \( \beta \text{-CD} \) (2.00 g, 1.76 mmol), TFT (1.00 g, 5 mmol), and \( \text{K}_2\text{CO}_3 \) (3.00 g, 21.7 mmol) were added into a flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar, and the flask was purged with \( \text{N}_2 \) for 5 min. Then 80 mL dried THF/DMF (8/1, \( \text{v/v} \)) was added and purged by \( \text{N}_2 \) for another 3 min. The mixture was heated to 80 °C and stirred at 500 rpm for 48 h. After that, the suspension was filtered and washed by 1 mol L\(^{-1} \) HCl to remove residual \( \text{K}_2\text{CO}_3 \). The residual solid was soaked in 100 mL \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) for 15 min twice, 100 mL THF for 30 min twice and 150 mL \( \text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2 \) for 15 min once (after the process of soaking every time, the product was under the suction filtration).

Finally, \( \beta \text{-CDP} \) was obtained as a light yellow powder dried at RT for 2–3 days under vacuum. \( \alpha \text{-Cyclodextrin polymer} \) (\( \alpha \text{-CDP} \)) as a grass-green powder and \( \gamma \text{-cyclodextrin polymer} \) (\( \gamma \text{-CDP} \)) as a light yellow powder were also synthesized following the procedure described above. And then, mixed polymer with \( \beta \text{-cyclodextrin and} \gamma \text{-cyclodextrin} \) (\( \beta\&\gamma \text{-CDP} \)) was synthesized. \( \beta \text{-CD} \) and \( \gamma \text{-CD} \) were added with the molar ratio of 1 : 1. Then the same amount of other materials was added and identical procedure was conducted as above. Finally, (\( \beta\&\gamma \text{-CDP} \)) was obtained as a light yellow color powder.

2.3. Characterization

Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectra of CDs, CDPs and TFT were carried out by a Nicolet 6700 FTIR spectrometer using KBr technique. X-ray diffraction pattern (XRD) was recorded by a Pananalytical X`Pert Pro MPD diffractometer (Netherlands) with Cu K\( \alpha \) radiation (\( \lambda = 0.15406 \text{ nm} \)) to confirm the crystalline structure of different samples. Thermogravimetric analysis (TG) was used to confirm the structural changes of samples with temperature, and the sample was heated in alumina crucibles from room temperature to 700 °C at a rate of 10 °C min\(^{-1} \) under high purity nitrogen. Specific surface area was calculated using the Brunauer, Emmet and Teller (BET) isotherm and the pore volume was calculated using the Barrett–Joiner–Halenda method. \(^1\text{C NMR} \) solid-state NMR was used to confirm the structures of CDPs, and \(^1\text{H NMR} \) was used to confirm the structures of \( \beta \text{-CDP} \) before and after desulfurization.

2.4. Desulfurization experiments

In order to investigate the desulfurization performances exhibited by different CDPs, a variety of sulfides with approximately 100 \( \text{mg} \text{ g}^{-1} \) sulfur content were prepared by dissolving DBT, BT (benzothiophene), Th (thiophene), TBM (tert-butyl mercaptan), TP (thiophenol),DES (diethyl sulfide), TBS (tert-butyl sulfide), EPS (ethyl phenyl sulfide) and DEDS (diethyl disulfide) in \( \text{n-heptane} \), respectively. And mixed sulfides in \( \text{n-heptane} \) including DBT (60 \( \text{mg} \text{ g}^{-1} \)), NHM (\( \text{n-hexadecyl mercaptan} \), 20 \( \mu \text{g} \text{ g}^{-1} \), TBS (20 \( \mu \text{g} \text{ g}^{-1} \)), was also prepared to evaluate the desulfurization selectivity of cyclodextrin polymer.

The desulfurization experiments were performed as follows. CDP powder was firstly added in an Erlenmeyer flask equipped with a stirring magneton, and then \( \text{n-heptane} \) solution of sulfides was added into the flask with the mass ratio of CDP to sulfide solution controlled as 1 : 40. Meanwhile the desulfurization temperature was maintained at 25 ± 0.2 °C. With stirring, the desulfurization process was carried out and the sample was taken out at different time intervals. The sulfur content of sulfide solution was analyzed by ANTEK9000 NS analyzer (USA), and the desulfurization efficiency was calculated according to the following equation.

\[
Y = 100\% \left( C_0 - C_t \right) V / C_0 V
\]

\[
Q = \left( C_0 - C_t \right) V \times 10^{-3} / A
\]

where \( Y \) is the desulfurization efficiency, \( V \) (mL) is the volume of \( \text{n-heptane} \) solution of sulfides, \( C_0 \) (\( \mu \text{g} \text{ mL}^{-1} \)) is the initial sulfur concentration of \( \text{n-heptane} \) solution of sulfides, and \( C_t \) (\( \mu \text{g} \text{ mL}^{-1} \)) is the sulfur concentration of \( \text{n-heptane} \) solution of sulfides at desulfurization time \( t \) (min), \( Q \) (mg g\(^{-1} \)) is the sulfur adsorption capacity, \( A \) (g) is the mass of the adsorbent.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Characterization of cyclodextrin polymers

FTIR spectra of TFT, CDs, and CDPs were showed in Fig. 1 to confirm structures of synthesized samples. It could be seen
that, different CDs had almost the same adsorption bands ascribed to the similar structures and functional groups owned by them. The broad adsorption band at 3300 cm\(^{-1}\), band near 2930 cm\(^{-1}\) and band at 1030 cm\(^{-1}\) was ascribed to –OH stretching vibration, C–H aliphatic stretches and C–O stretching vibration, respectively. For the CDPs, similar characteristic adsorption peaks mentioned above were all observed in them, indicating that the CDPs have many similar structures to CDs. However, there were some differences between CDPs and the corresponding polymers. The biggest differences were the adsorption bands produced by nitrile stretch at 2235 cm\(^{-1}\) corresponding polymers. The biggest differences between 0 and 1.0. The surface area (BET) based on nitrogen adsorption of β-CDP was 156 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\). While the surface areas (BET) of other CDPs were all below 50 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\), which were relatively small.

Compared with other CDs, β-CD has a complete secondary belt is formed by circular hydrogen bonds in it. And the existence of complete secondary belt makes β-CD own a rather rigid structure, which leads to a very low solubility in water compared with other CDs.\(^{50,51}\) The special structure of β-CD is probably related with the high specific surface area of β-CDP compared with other CDPs.

The TG curves of CDPs were shown in Fig. S1.\(^\dagger\) Different CDPs had similar TG curves. The slow weight-loss step appeared at temperature below 200 °C, which was generally assigned to the loss of water in the CDP sample. What is more, there was another peak appearing at about 300 °C, which could be ascribed to that amorphous structure of the CDP began to decompose. Due to the high decomposition temperature for CDP, it has the advantage of wide application temperature range, which could better meet practical demands.

\(^{13}\)C-NMR was adopted to confirm the structures of CDPs. The results of \(^{13}\)C-NMR analysis of all CDPs were showed in Fig. S2.\(^\dagger\)
It could be seen that $^{13}$C signals of other samples exhibited resonances at $\delta = 72, 100$ and $140$ ppm, which are similar with those of $\beta$-CDP, as reported by Alsbaiee, etc. 

3.2. Desulfurization performances of different CDPs for different sulfides

As we can see from Fig. 4, four different cyclodextrin polymers were used to remove different kinds of sulfides in $n$-heptane, including Th, BT, DBT, TBM and DES. For Th, BT and DBT, the sulfur removals all decreased in the same order as, $\beta$-CDP > ($\beta$&$\gamma$)-CDP > $\gamma$-CDP. $\alpha$-CDP performed a little different, as exhibited that it showed a relatively low sulfur removal and needed long removal time to reach equilibrium. While other polymers could all reach equilibrium in a rather short time, and among those thiophene compounds listed above, $\beta$-CDP could always be the most efficient desulfurizer under the same condition. For other kinds of sulfides, such as TBM and DES mentioned in Fig. 4D and E owning same carbon numbers with Th, all those polymers performed much poorer, the sulfur removals hardly exceeded 12%. This might be due to the efficient molecular recognition of $\beta$-CDP, which could be concluded that the $\beta$-CDP has more suitable cavity size for removing DBT by the inclusion effect. Taking all results discussed above into account, the $\beta$-CDP was chosen as the best desulfurizer.

Fig. 5 showed the desulfurization performances of $\beta$-CDP for sulfides with different structures. From the results of sulfides with aromatic ring structure removal over $\beta$-CDP, it’s could be seen that $\beta$-CDP exhibited the best desulfurization performance for DBT, and its desulfurization efficiency could reach 63%. For the other sulfides, the removal percentage of them decreased in the order: BT > TP > EPS > Th. As a comparison, the desulfurization rate of sulfides with aliphatic chain structure was much lower, and all below 12%. Moreover, the removal percentage of these sulfides decreased in the order: TBM > DEDS > DES > TBS. The reason for the results might lie in that the cavities of $\beta$-CDP have suitable size for DBT and the electron-deficient aromatic structures of $\beta$-CDP have good electrostatic interactions with the electron-rich aromatic structures of DBT. And the results may indicate that the size of $\beta$-CDP cavities and electrostatic effects between $\beta$-CDP and DBT play an important role in efficient molecular recognition.

3.3. Effects of desulfurization conditions on desulfurization performance of $\beta$-CDP for DBT

Fig. 6A showed the effect of different temperatures on desulfurization for DBT. It could be clearly seen that as the desulfurization temperature rising, the removal efficiency decreased gradually and the proper temperature of removing DBT was $25 \degree C$ or room temperature, which suggested that DBT could be removed by $\beta$-CDP under very moderate temperature than other desulfurization methods.

In addition, the mass ratio of $\beta$-CDP to $n$-heptane solution of DBT was also investigated. As shown in Fig. 6B, it’s obvious that the sulfur removal efficiency increased with the mass ratio of $\beta$-CDP to $n$-heptane solution of DBT increasing, while the sulfur adsorption capacity of $\beta$-CDP showed the opposite results. Considering two factors of sulfur removal efficiency and adsorption capacity, the appropriate mass ratio of $\beta$-CDP to $n$-heptane solution of DBT was about 1 : 20.

The desulfurization performance of $\beta$-CDP for DBT with different initial sulfur concentrations was showed in Fig. 7. It
could be seen that the desulfurization efficiency increased with the decrease of initial sulfur content. For the DBT solution with 20 μg g⁻¹ sulfur content, the desulfurization efficiency of β-CDP could reach 73% and the sulfur content of DBT solution could be reduced to 5.3 μg g⁻¹ after desulfurization.

3.4. The desulfurization selectivity to DBT of β-CDP

As everyone knows, the desulfurization selectivity of desulfurizer is important, so the desulfurization selectivity of β-CDP for DBT was studied.

The desulfurization experiment about the selectivity to DBT was carried out as follows. Firstly, β-CDP and n-heptane solution of sulfides were added to a 25 mL vial, and then the mixture was stirred by magneton for 2 h to reach the equilibrium. The result of sulfur removal of β-CDP for different sulfides was presented in Fig. 8.

As an interesting result shown in Fig. 8, the total desulfurization rate was 58.14%, and meanwhile the desulfurization rate of DBT was 54.76%. It could be clearly seen that DBT removal contributed about 94% to the total sulfur removal, indicating that β-CDP has an excellent selectivity to DBT. Based on this result, probably DBT could be produced and enriched as a by-product in desulfurization by β-CDP, which would be a meaningful process for practical application. The reason for the results also lies in that the cavities of β-CDP have suitable cavity size for DBT and the excellent electronic effects between β-CDP with DBT. And the good synergetic effect of adjacent cyclodextrin cavities in β-CDP and the electron effect could be combined with cavities well in molecular recognition and promote the desulfurization performance.

In order to better investigate the deep desulfurization performance of β-CDP for DBT, a series of desulfurization experiments were conducted and the result was summarized in Table 1.

As shown in Table 1, for the initial concentration of DBT solution below 30 μg g⁻¹, the sulfur content could be reduced to 10 μg g⁻¹ after desulfurization, which could better meet the demands of deep desulfurization.56,57 The result suggested that β-CDP has good performance for deep desulfurization. Because of the high synergetic effect of adjacent cyclodextrin cavities in β-CDP and electronic effect between β-CDP and DBT, DBT might be combined with cavities well in molecular recognition and promote the desulfurization performance.

### Table 1 Deep desulfurization performance of β-CDP for DBT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The initial sulfur concentration/μg g⁻¹</th>
<th>Sulfur removal/%</th>
<th>Sulfur content after desulfurization/μg g⁻¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>69.3</td>
<td>12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>70.3</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>73.7</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>73.9</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Desulfurization conditions: temperature = 25 °C, time = 2 h, mass ratio of β-CDP to n-heptane solution of DBT is 1 : 40.
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Fig. 6 Effects of (A) desulfurization temperature and (B) mass ratio of β-CDP to n-heptane solution of DBT on the desulfurization performance of β-CDP for DBT. All results were obtained in triplicate, and standard deviation is indicated by the error bars.

Fig. 7 Desulfurization performance of β-CDP for DBT with different initial sulfur concentration. Desulfurization conditions: temperature = 25 °C, time = 2 h, mass ratio of β-CDP to n-heptane solution of DBT is 1 : 40. All results were obtained in triplicate, and standard deviation is indicated by the error bars.
From Table S1, it can be seen that, for experiment A, the DBT removal could reach 91.4%. At the same time, for experiment B, the DBT removal could reach 87.9%. The results suggest that β-CDP has a good selectivity for DBT, which is consistent with the result obtained in the DBT, NHM and TBS experiment (Fig. 8).

3.5. Regeneration performance of β-CDP

The recycling of the desulfurizer is a key issue for practical application. For the regeneration performance of β-CDP, β-CDP was firstly deactivated using n-heptane solution of DBT, until its desulfurization efficiency of DBT with the sulfur content of 100 µg g\(^{-1}\) below 10%. After that, the deactivated β-CDP was regenerated with the ethanol. The deactivated β-CDP and ethanol with mass ratio of 1 : 50 were stirred in a flask at 60 °C for 30 min. This regeneration procedure was repeated four times with ethanol. The regenerated β-CDP was tested in the typical desulfurization procedure according to procedure showed in 2.4. The results were presented in Fig. S3. The results illustrated that the desulfurization performance of β-CDP was kept almost the same after being regenerated four times and the recyclability of β-CDP as a novel desulfurizer was excellent. Moreover, the regeneration method of β-CDP is easy and its cost is low.

3.6. Adsorption kinetic studies of β-CDP for DBT

To better understand the mechanism of the desulfurization process between DBT and β-CDP, adsorption kinetic was investigated. And the obtained data was analyzed by the pseudo-second order. The linear form of the model was given as:

\[
\frac{t}{q_t} = \frac{t}{q_e} + \frac{1}{k_2 q_e^2}
\]

where \(q_t\) and \(q_e\) (mg g\(^{-1}\)) were the amount of DBT adsorbed per g of sorbent at contact time and equilibrium time \(t\) (min), respectively. And \(k_2\) (g mg\(^{-1}\) min\(^{-1}\)) was the rate constant of the second-order kinetic model.

The plot of \(t/q_t\) versus time was shown in Fig. S4, and a good linear relation was observed according to \(R^2\) (0.9998). At the same time, \(k_2\) and \(q_e\) were also calculated, and they were 0.6389 g mg\(^{-1}\) min\(^{-1}\) and 2.8425 mg g\(^{-1}\), respectively.

3.7. Mechanism of molecular recognition of β-CDP for DBT

Fig. 9 showed that the XRD patterns of the fresh β-CDP, used β-CDP and DBT. The results demonstrated that the used β-CDP has almost similar diffraction patterns to fresh β-CDP, certifying good structural stability of β-CDP in desulfurization process. Furthermore, the diffraction signals of DBT were not observed in diffraction pattern of used β-CDP, indicating that most DBT did not form aggregation on the surface of β-CDP and they probably form inclusion complex by efficient molecular recognition.

In order to investigate the mechanism of DBT desulfurization by β-CDP, \(^1\)H NMR spectra of relevant substances were carried out and given in Fig. 10 and 11, respectively. Furthermore, the chemical shifts of different protons of β-CDP and inclusion complex, DBT and inclusion complex were summarized in Tables 2 and 3, respectively. The great chemical shifts were ascribed to the signals of H-3, H-6 and H-5 of β-CDP, which
The BET surface areas of fresh and used materials used in desulfurization by adsorption process slightly decreased 27 m² g⁻¹. This may be due to the adsorption of DBT on the surface of β-CDP, which probably because that the shielding effect of DBT to β-CDP was larger than that of β-CDP to DT. And the result also confirmed that β-CDP formed inclusion complex with DBT and DT was mainly removed in the form of inclusion complex.

Fig. S5† showed the nitrogen adsorption and desorption isotherms of β-CDP before and after desulfurization of DT. The BET surface areas of fresh and used β-CDP were 156 and 129 m² g⁻¹, respectively. It’s obvious that the BET surface area decreased 27 m² g⁻¹, which might be due to the adsorption of DT on the surface of β-CDP and the inclusion of DT by β-CDP. In the meantime, the decrease in BET surface area was small, and it also indicated that the DT was mainly removed in the form of inclusion complex by molecular recognition. Moreover, Fig. S5† showed that the BET surface area of β-CDP decreased slightly after desulfurization, while the surface area of most materials used in desulfurization by adsorption process generally decreased more than 100 m² g⁻¹ and even more than 300 m² g⁻¹, as reported by Zhang et al. and Xiao, et al. respectively.

FTIR spectra of fresh and used β-CDP were presented in Fig. S6.† It showed that the adsorption bands of used β-CDP were similar to those of fresh β-CDP and no new adsorption bands appearing in spectra of used β-CDP, which is consistent with the reported results. Combined with the XRD (Fig. 9), NMR results (Fig. 10) and references, it could be concluded that few DT was absorbed on the surface of β-CDP and most DT is included in β-CD cavity by molecular recognition.

Combined the results showed above, the mechanism of the removal of DT by β-CDP was put forward in Scheme 1. It indicated that DT and β-CDP could form inclusion complex and DT was mainly removed in the form of inclusion complex by molecular recognition. In the molecular recognition, the main interaction was host–guest inclusion due to the suitable cavity size of β-CD cavities towards DT and high synergetic effect of adjacent cyclodextrin cavities, which was confirmed by NMR, FTIR and BET.

Besides, the electronic interactions between electron-deficient groups in β-CDP and electron-rich DT might strengthen the inclusion effects and be helpful to improve the desulfurization performance for DT.††

In a word, the cyclodextrin polymer shows high desulfurization efficiency and selectivity by the molecular recognition as a novel method.

### Table 2 Variation in ¹H-NMR proton chemical shift of β-CDP and inclusion complex in DMSO-d₆

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>OH-2,3</th>
<th>OH-6</th>
<th>H-3,6</th>
<th>H-5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>δ(β-CDP: DTB)/ppm</td>
<td>5.6773</td>
<td>4.4519</td>
<td>3.6030</td>
<td>3.6030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>δ(β-CDP)/ppm</td>
<td>5.6922</td>
<td>4.4363</td>
<td>3.6524</td>
<td>3.6233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δδ/ppm</td>
<td>0.0149</td>
<td>0.0156</td>
<td>0.0494</td>
<td>0.0203</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3 Variation in ¹H-NMR proton chemical shift of inclusion complex and DTB in DMSO-d₆

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>H-2,10</th>
<th>H-5,13</th>
<th>H-1,6,11,12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>δ(β-CDP: DTB)/ppm</td>
<td>8.3648</td>
<td>8.0275</td>
<td>7.5153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>δ(DTB)/ppm</td>
<td>8.3747</td>
<td>8.0359</td>
<td>7.5258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δδ/ppm</td>
<td>0.0099</td>
<td>0.0084</td>
<td>0.0075</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

were shifted from 3.6030 to 3.6524 and 3.6030 to 3.6233, respectively. It lies in that H-3 and H-5 were belonged to the protons in the interior of β-CDP cavities and the inclusion complex of DTB with β-CDP probably have larger effect on the protons which located in the interior of β-CDP cavities. As Table 3 showed, the proton chemical shifts of DTB were much smaller than those of β-CDP, which probably because that the shielding effect of DTB to β-CDP was larger than that of β-CDP to DTB. And the result also confirmed that β-CDP formed inclusion complex with DTB⁶⁸,⁶⁹ and DTB was mainly removed in the form of inclusion complex.

In a word, the cyclodextrin polymer shows high desulfurization efficiency and selectivity by the molecular recognition as a novel method.

### 4. Conclusions

A series of CDPs were synthesized and they were used for removing different sulfides, which hasn’t been reported previously. The results showed that different polymers had a higher desulfurization efficiency for sulfides with aromatic ring structure than those with aliphatic chain structure. The β-CDP exhibited the best desulfurization performance among CDPs, in particular, it had an excellent selectivity for removing DTB. The
proper temperature and the mass ratio of β-CDP to n-heptane solution of DBT were 25 °C and 1 : 20, respectively. Meanwhile, the β-CDP displayed good performance for deep desulfurization, maybe due to the inclusion and electronic effect between β-CDP and DBT by excellent molecular recognition. Furthermore, the samples were characterized by various characterization measurements, and the results showed that β-CDP had the advantages of wide applicable temperature range. Simultaneously, the structure of β-CDP maintained almost unchanged before and after desulfurization, which was confirmed by XRD, 1H NMR and FTIR.

Based on the above results, the molecular recognition mechanism was proposed and it revealed that β-CDP had more suitable cavity size and electronic effects for removing DBT in the form of inclusion complex.
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