



Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2017, 7, 36705

Hierarchical h-, m- and n-BiPO₄ microspheres: facile synthesis and application in the photocatalytic decomposition of refractory phenols and benzene†

Xiaoxin Tian,^a Tong Xu,^b Yunjian Wang^b and Sugang Meng^{a,b,c}

BiPO₄ microspheres with three kinds of crystal phase (h-BiPO₄, m-BiPO₄ and n-BiPO₄) were prepared by a facile hydrothermal-calcination process. The crystalline phase, microstructures and photoelectrochemical properties were studied by various techniques. The results indicated that h-BiPO₄ could transform into m-BiPO₄ at 600 °C. Interestingly, h-BiPO₄ accompanied with a little bit of n-BiPO₄ would transform into n-BiPO₄. After UV irradiation for 2 h, conversion of 94.6% and a mineralization rate of 76.8% could be achieved on n-BiPO₄ for photocatalytic degradation of bisphenol A, which is almost 1.33 times as high as that of m-BiPO₄ and 3.14 times that of h-BiPO₄. Moreover, the n-BiPO₄ also displayed the highest photocatalytic activity for degradation of other phenols (phenol and *p*-chlorophenol) in water and gaseous benzene. The enhancement of activity could be attributed to the improvement of the photogenerated electron–hole separation efficiency, which would be conducive to the formation of active species (hydroxyl radicals (·OH) and superoxide radicals (·O₂⁻)). The results suggest that n-BiPO₄ shows potential applications as an efficient and stable photocatalyst for the photocatalytic decomposition of persistent organic pollutants in water and in the gas phase.

Received 12th June 2017
 Accepted 20th July 2017

DOI: 10.1039/c7ra06560d

rsc.li/rsc-advances

1. Introduction

As one of the most promising technologies for environmental remediation (wastewater and exhaust gas), photocatalysis has been intensively studied because of its environmental merits and the capability to be driven by solar light.^{1–4} Since Fujishima⁵ and Carey⁶ found that H₂ could be generated and organic pollutants could be degraded over TiO₂ by a photocatalytic process, TiO₂ has been deemed as a candidate due to its non-toxicity, stability and high activity.¹ However, the shortcomings of rapid recombination of photogenerated carriers and low quantum efficiency hinder its extensive application in environmental remediation.^{7–9} To solve the problem, exploitation of new photocatalysts with excellent activity is one of the developing directions of photocatalysis.^{10–12} In numerous photocatalysts, BiPO₄ has drawn much great attention in recent years.^{13–24} For example, Zhu's group¹³ reported that the photocatalytic activity of BiPO₄ is superior to P25 (TiO₂, Degussa)

under UV light irradiation. Moreover, the photocatalytic activity of BiPO₄ could be enhanced remarkably by decreasing the grain size,¹⁴ building phase junction,¹⁵ exposing high-energy facet¹⁶ or coupling with other semiconductors.¹⁷ It is not only efficient for decoloration of dyes, but also diverse in crystal phase (hexagon (h-BiPO₄) and monoclinic phase with space group *P*₂₁/*m* (m-BiPO₄) and *P*₂₁/*n* (n-BiPO₄)).^{18–20} What's more, Zhu's group has demonstrated that n-BiPO₄ displayed higher activity than m-BiPO₄ and h-BiPO₄ due to the most distorted PO₄ tetrahedron.²⁰ Therefore, it is a promising photocatalyst and has much potential for environmental remediation in air and water by the direct absorption of light.²¹ However, the present studies mainly focus on the degradation of dyes (methylene blue,^{13–17} methyl orange²² and rhodamine B^{17,23}), while dyes are not suitable used to test the photocatalytic activity of photocatalyst because dyes have the dye-sensitized effect and an additional and more substantial problem.⁴ On the other hand, the colorless, highly toxic and refractory environmental endocrine disruptors and gaseous benzene were less reported.²⁴ And the photocatalytic activity is not high enough, for example, less than 20% of benzene was degraded on BiPO₄ photocatalyst under UV light irradiation for 12 h.^{24a} Therefore, fabrication of BiPO₄ with excellent photocatalytic activity on degradation of environmental endocrine disruptors and gaseous benzene are significant foci on BiPO₄ photocatalyst. It should be noted that the reason why the UV-light driven BiPO₄ photocatalyst (the band-

^aCollege of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Xinxiang University, Xinxiang 453003, Henan, China

^bCollege of Chemistry and Materials Science, Huaibei Normal University, Huaibei 235000, Anhui, China. E-mail: mengsugang@126.com; Fax: +86-561-3090518; Tel: +86-561-3802235

^cAnhui Key Laboratory of Energetic Materials, Huaibei 235000, Anhui, China

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c7ra06560d



gap energies are in the range of 3.8–4.6 eV) was chosen in our work is not only because what we have introduced on the above but also because UV-light driven photocatalysis has potential use in the actual water industry.³ This is primarily due to the exorbitant occupied area that would result in replacing UV lamp reactors with solar irradiation. For instance, how much solar collection area is required to replace one 1000 W mercury lamp? 2.6 m² of solar exposure would be needed to achieve the treatment power of one lamp. If for industrialization, this area would quickly add up due to the energy input requirements.³

Herein, BiPO₄ with three different crystal phases (h-BiPO₄, m-BiPO₄ and n-BiPO₄) and similar morphology (spherically hierarchical structure) were controllably synthesized by a facile hydrothermal-calcination method. Various characterization techniques were carried out on the as-prepared BiPO₄ to obtain the information of crystal phase, micro-structure and optical properties. Bisphenol A (BPA) was selected as a model pollutant, because it is a representative material among environmental endocrine disruptors, ubiquitous in natural water, colorless, hard to be decomposed and has attracted much attention in recent years.^{25–27} For example, A. Omoike reported *Heliscus lugdunensis*, an aquatic fungus, could degrade over 70% of BPA after 12 days (microorganism method).^{27c} Zhu's group reported that the maximum adsorption capacity of graphene for decontamination of BPA could reach up to 128 mg g⁻¹, whereas the adsorption capacity of graphene is sensitive to pH and temperature of the solution (adsorption method).^{27d} For photocatalytic method, although some photocatalysts such as Pd/mpg-C₃N₄ (ref. 27a) and Ag₃PO₄ (ref. 27b) exhibited high activity with 93.9% and 100% of BPA removal from the solution within 180 min and 10 min, respectively. The removal efficiency of noble metal-free photocatalysts is still low. For instance, only 6% and 6.7% of BPA was degraded over g-C₃N₄ and TiO₂ after irradiation for 180 min, respectively.^{27a} Therefore, it calls for the development of more economical, powerful and durable photocatalyst for the removal of BPA. Up to now, there is scarce report about BPA degradation over BiPO₄ photocatalyst. The results showed that n-BiPO₄ photocatalyst displayed the highest activity and separation efficiency of photogenerated electron-hole pairs among these three BiPO₄ photocatalysts. Moreover, the mechanism of influence on the activity of BiPO₄ photocatalyst was also discussed. This work attempts to explore the potential application of BiPO₄ for photocatalytic degradation of refractory phenols (BPA, including phenol and 4-chlorophenol (4-CP)) and decomposition of benzene in the gas phase.

2. Experimental

2.1. Synthesis

All the chemicals used in this work were analytical grade reagents without any further purification. In a typical synthesis, 1.03 g polyphosphoric acid and 0.97 g bismuth nitrate were successively dissolved in 20 mL deionized water. Then, the resulting solution dealt with two different heating ways to get BiPO₄ samples. The first one is hydrothermal way that the beaker was heated to 160 °C and kept at this temperature for 1 h, which was marked with S-OH. The second was heated by

microwave (rated output of microwave is 800 W), denoted as S-MH. All the obtained BiPO₄ samples (S-OH and S-MH) were washed several times with distilled water and fully dried at 80 °C in oven. For further analysis, the prepared products S-MH and S-OH were calcined in a muffle furnace at 600 °C for 2 h. The obtained samples were called S-MHT and S-OHT, respectively.

2.2. Characterization

X-ray powder diffraction (XRD, Bruker D8 Advance, Cu K α radiation) was used to characterize the crystalline and phase constitutions of the samples. The morphology was analyzed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM, FEL Noval NanoSEM 230). Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) images were taken on a JEOL-2100 electron microscope operating at an accelerating voltage of 200 kV. The UV-vis diffuse reflection spectroscopy (DRS) patterns were measured by a Hitachi UV-365 spectrophotometer equipped with an integrating sphere, and BaSO₄ as a reference sample coated standard pattern. The photoluminescence (PL) spectra were recorded on a JASCO FP-6500 with an excitation wavelength of 256 nm. The photoelectrochemical analysis was performed in a conventional three-electrode cell (CHI-660E, Chenhua Instruments Co., Shanghai, China), using a Pt wire and an Ag/AgCl electrode as the counter electrode and reference electrode, respectively. The working electrode was prepared on indium-tin oxide (ITO) glass which was covered by 0.5 cm \times 0.5 cm samples. The electrolyte was 0.1 M KCl aqueous solution containing 0.01 M K₃[Fe(CN)₆]-K₄[Fe(CN)₆].

2.3. Photocatalytic tests

The photocatalytic activities of the synthesized samples were tested by the degradation of phenol, *p*-chlorophenol (4-CP) and bisphenol A (BPA) aqueous solution and degradation of gaseous benzene (C₆H₆). The photocatalytic reaction system has been reported in our previous work.¹² The degradation of phenols was operated in a tubular reactor, which was surrounded by a water cooling jacket. A low pressure mercury UV light (H-shaped, 16 W, a monochromatic emission at 254 nm) was assembled at the center of the tubular reactor. 0.1 g sample was put into 150 mL phenol, *p*-chlorophenol or bisphenol A aqueous solution (20 mg L⁻¹) and then magnetically stirred in dark for 30 min to establish an adsorption-desorption equilibrium. After that, a 4 mL solution was sampled at various illumination time intervals and analyzed through a UV-vis spectrophotometer (Persee TU-1950). Photocatalytic degradation of benzene was carried out in a fixed-bed tubular quartz reactor (250 mm \times 4 mm), in which 0.1 g sample mixed evenly with 1 g 50–70 mesh high purity quartz sands was loaded, surrounded by four UV-254 nm lamps (TUV 6W/G6 T5, Philips) and operated in a single-pass mode. Benzene vapor with a constant concentration of 250 ppm was supplied by a gas cylinder (Dalian Da'te gas Co. China) and fed to the sample a total flow rate of 30 mL min⁻¹. The reactor temperature was kept at 30 \pm 1 °C by an air-cooling system and circulating water. Simultaneous determination of the concentrations of residual C₆H₆ and generated CO₂ was



performed on an online gas chromatograph (Agilent 7820A) with a flame ionization detector (FID) and a thermal conductivity detector (TCD), respectively.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Characterization

Fig. 1 shows the XRD patterns of S-MH, S-MHT, S-OH, S-OHT and the standard diffraction peaks of h-BiPO₄ (JCPDS 45-1370), m-BiPO₄ (JCPDS 43-0637) and n-BiPO₄ (JCPDS 15-0767). It can be seen that the crystalline phase of S-MH is hexagonal phase with the main diffraction peaks at about 14.6°, 20.1°, 25.5°, 29.5°, 31.3° and 41.8°, which could be indexed to the (100), (101), (110), (200), (102) and (211) planes of h-BiPO₄, respectively. No impurity peaks are found, indicating high purity of the sample S-MH. After calcination at high temperature, the crystalline phase of S-MHT is converted into m-BiPO₄, and all the diffraction peaks of S-MHT are in good agreement with m-BiPO₄. However, the sample S-OH synthesized by a hydrothermal method is a mixture of h-BiPO₄ and n-BiPO₄. The peaks at 14.6° and 20.1° belong to (100) and (101) planes of h-BiPO₄ can be observed obviously. It is clear that the XRD pattern of S-OHT corresponds to n-BiPO₄ can be obtained by calcination of S-OH at 600 °C, and no impurity peaks are found. It is interesting to find that the h-BiPO₄ (S-MH) is easily transformed into m-BiPO₄ (S-MHT). But if it is a mixture of h-BiPO₄ and n-BiPO₄ (S-OH), it would be converted into n-BiPO₄ (S-OHT). The existence of n-BiPO₄ would play the part of seed crystal. Moreover, the sharp and intense XRD peaks suggest that the as-prepared samples S-MH, S-MHT and S-OHT are well-crystallized.




Fig. 1 XRD patterns of the as-synthesized S-MH, S-MHT, S-OH, S-OHT, together with the standard patterns of h-BiPO₄ (JCPDS 45-1370), m-BiPO₄ (JCPDS 43-0637) and n-BiPO₄ (JCPDS 15-0767).

The morphology, particle size and micro-structure of the as-prepared samples were investigated by SEM and TEM (Fig. 2). As shown in Fig. 2a and e, the morphology of S-MH is the solid sphere with the diameter of 1–3 μm, which is further composed of tiny nanoparticles. After heat treatment of S-MH, S-MHT is also kept sphere shape, while its surface is rugged (Fig. 2b and f). This may be caused by sintering of tiny S-MH nanoparticles under high temperature calcination. HRTEM images of S-MH (Fig. 2i) and S-MHT (Fig. 2j) display the resolved lattice spacings of 0.28 nm and 0.32 nm, which corresponding to the *d*-spacing values for (102) plane of h-BiPO₄ and (101) plane of m-BiPO₄, respectively. It is in good agreement with the XRD results. For S-OH, its morphology is very interesting. As shown in Fig. 2c and g, the shape of S-OH is like sea urchin. It shows spherically hierarchical micro-structure, which is built by some connected nanoparticles, some nanorods like needle inserted into the sphere partly and pores. While for S-OHT (Fig. 2d and h), the sphere is different from S-OH. It is composed of nanorods not just in the surface, but some shortly scattered nanorods inside sphere, and some spheres are broken. From Fig. 2k and l, it can be observed that the fringe spacings detected at nanorods of S-OH and S-OHT are about 0.32 nm and 0.47 nm, corresponding to the (200) and (011) lattice planes of n-BiPO₄, respectively. In addition, the lattice fringes of S-OHT are very clear, indicating high degree of crystallinity. It is in good agreement with the results of the XRD analysis. Based on XRD and the morphology transformation analysis between S-OH and S-OHT, it can be concluded that the nanoparticles with hexagonal phase in S-OH would be transformed into nanorods with monoclinic phase after calcination at 600 °C. S-OHT is the pure monoclinic phase of BiPO₄ with *P*₂₁/*n* space group, lattice constants *a* = 6.752, *b* = 6.933 and *c* = 6.468 Å, and the spherically hierarchical micro-structure is composed of some shortly scattered nanorods. S-MH and S-MHT both exhibit the solid sphere shape, which is constituted by tiny and sintered nanoparticles, respectively. While S-MH is the pure hexagonal phase of BiPO₄ with *P*₃₁21 space group and lattice constants *a* = 6.986, *b* = 6.986 and *c* = 6.475 Å; S-MHT is the pure monoclinic phase of BiPO₄ with *P*₂₁/*m* space group and lattice constants *a* = 4.883, *b* = 7.069 and *c* = 4.704 Å.

Fig. 3 shows the UV-vis DRS of S-MH, S-MHT and S-OHT. The absorption edges of these three samples all occur at about 275 nm. The band gap energy (*E*_g) is calculated *via* the Tauc equation,^{28–30} $(\alpha h\nu)^n = A(h\nu - E_g)$, where *A*, *α*, *h* and *ν* are proportionality constant, absorption coefficient, Planck constant and light frequency, respectively. The value of the exponent *n* is decided from the properties of the transition in a semiconductor.^{21,30} Monoclinic BiPO₄ (S-MHT and S-OHT) is an indirect band semiconductor, the value of *n* is 0.5. While hexagonal BiPO₄ (S-MH) is direct, the value of *n* is 2. As shown in the inset of Fig. 3, the band gaps of S-MH, S-MHT and S-OHT are about 4.65, 4.54 and 4.49 eV, respectively. The difference among the band gaps of these three samples may be caused by their electronic structural difference limited by the crystalline phase, as also reported by Zhu's group²¹ and observed in anatase and brookite titanium dioxide.³¹






Fig. 2 (a–d) SEM, (e–h) TEM and (i–l) HRTEM images of the as-synthesized (a, e, i) S-MH, (b, f, j) S-MHT, (c, g, k) S-OH and (d, h, l) S-OHT.

3.2. Photocatalytic properties

The photocatalytic activities of the as-prepared S-MH, S-MHT and S-OHT were tested by photocatalytic degradation of BPA, phenol and 4-CP under UV light irradiation. To investigate the effect of the amount of photocatalyst on the photodegradation efficiency of BPA, experiments performed (Fig. S1†) with different amount of n-BiPO₄ exhibited that the photocatalytic efficiency increases with an increase in n-BiPO₄ amount up to 0.15 g, and is then decreased. A similar phenomenon had been reported by others when studying photocatalytic degradation of dyes,³² and it can be explained in terms of availability of active sites on the catalyst surface and the penetration of light into the suspension.³² To further study the activity of n-BiPO₄ for photocatalytic degradation of low concentration of BPA, the influence of the BPA concentration was investigated and shown in

Fig. S2.† The results showed that the as-prepared n-BiPO₄ could effectively photocatalytic degrade different concentrations of BPA (5, 10, 15 and 20 mg L⁻¹). Additionally, 150 mL BPA with concentration of 5 mg L⁻¹ could be eliminated completely over n-BiPO₄ (0.1 g) within irradiation for 30 min. Fig. 4a displays the degradation profiles of BPA with BiPO₄ samples. After 120 min of irradiation, 55.5%, 87.9% and 94.6% of BPA are degraded by the S-MH, S-MHT and S-OHT photocatalysts, respectively. The outstanding activity of the BiPO₄ is further detected by comparing the apparent reaction rate constants (*k*). As shown in Fig. 4b, the degradation of BPA can be described by the pseudo first-order reaction kinetics, $\ln(C/C_0) = -kt$, where *C*₀ and *C* are the initial concentration and the concentration at reaction time *t*, respectively. The *k*s for the S-MH, S-MHT and S-OHT are estimated to be about 0.42, 0.99 and 1.32 h⁻¹, respectively. The






Fig. 3 UV-vis DRS spectra and bandgap energies (the inset) of the as-synthesized S-MH, S-MHT, S-OH and S-OHT.

k of S-OHT is 1.33-fold and 3.14-fold higher than that of S-MHT and S-MH. As we all known, degradation does not mean mineralization, because the original organic pollutants would be degraded to small organic molecules. Therefore, total organic carbon (TOC) technique was applied to further measure the mineralization of the solution, and the results are shown in Fig. 4c. It is clear that S-OHT exhibits the higher capacity (76.8%) of mineralization for BPA than S-MHT (65.2%) and S-MH (25.1%). It means that the reactive species produced on n-BiPO₄ photocatalyst not only can degrade BPA, but also can efficient decompose BPA into CO₂. Moreover, the as-prepared S-OHT is a stable photocatalyst in the process of photocatalytic degradation of BPA in water. After 3 cycles of the photocatalytic reaction, the photodegradation efficiency of BPA over the used




Fig. 5 Photocatalytic activities of the samples (0.1 g) for (a) phenol and (b) 4-CP degradation under UV light irradiation.

S-OHT is similar to that over the fresh sample (Fig. 4d). The similar result was also reported by Zhu's group that n-BiPO₄ is stable during the photocatalytic degradation of methylene blue.¹³

To study the broad-spectrum activity of BiPO₄ for other phenols, phenol and 4-chlorophenol (4-CP) were further selected as probe molecules to investigate the photocatalytic activities of the as-prepared BiPO₄ samples. As shown in Fig. 5, whether the degradation of phenol or 4-CP over BiPO₄ samples follows pseudo-first-order kinetics and the corresponding kinetic constants are showed in Fig. 5. Moreover, the photocatalytic activity for phenol and 4-CP degradation decreases in




Fig. 4 (a) Photocatalytic degradation curves of BPA (20 mg L⁻¹) for different samples (0.1 g) under UV light irradiation; (b) kinetic plots of $\ln(C/C_0)$ vs. irradiation time; (c) mineralization rate of BPA by different samples under UV light irradiation for 2 h; (d) cycling runs of S-OHT for BPA degradation under UV light irradiation.



the order S-OHT > S-MHT > S-MH. The sequence is consistent with their performance for BPA degradation. In order to further illustrate the photocatalytic activity of the photocatalysts, the dark adsorption test and the blank test were carried out. As shown in Fig. S3a,† the dark adsorption test in the absence of irradiation but with the catalysts showed that no significant change in the substrate concentration is found, and an adsorption–desorption equilibrium had been established after stir in dark for 30 min. On the other hand, the blank tests (Fig. S3b†) showed that 11.7% of BPA, 16.6% of phenol and 24.3% of 4-CP were removed after irradiation for 2 h due to photo-induced self-photodegradation. However, compared with the distinctively photocatalytic activities of n-BiPO₄ (94.6% of BPA, 81.6% of phenol and 100% of 4-CP were eliminated after irradiation for 2 h), the presence of both photocatalyst and illumination is necessary for the effectively photocatalytic degradation of refractory phenols.

The photocatalytic degradation of phenols over S-OHT indicates that S-OHT has a great capability to decompose the benzene ring in the phenols. However, the degradation of benzene in the gas phase may be different to that in a liquid phase for the deficiency of water, which is crucial for the elimination of volatile organic compounds. To verify the validity of S-OHT on the photocatalytic decomposition of the benzene ring, the photocatalytic degradation behaviors of gaseous benzene by BiPO₄ samples were carried out with the dry O₂ atmosphere under the UV-light irradiation. As shown in Fig. 6, excluding S-MH, the degradation of benzene can be achieved on the S-MHT and S-OHT. The initial conversion of benzene on S-MHT sample was about 80%, with 500 ppm CO₂ produced. However, after 10 h reaction time, the amount of CO₂ gradually dropped to 105 ppm, and the conversion decreased to 13%. Compared with S-MHT, the conversion of benzene over S-OHT sample was about 85% with 800 ppm CO₂ produced during the first half hour. After irradiation for 7 h, the benzene

conversion remained 67% with more than 675 ppm CO₂ generated, and the average mineralization ratio of benzene was about 55%, suggesting that most of benzene was mineralized to CO₂. In the following 3 h, the S-OHT sample displayed no obvious inactivation. Moreover, benzene could not be decomposed under UV-light irradiation, and the S-OHT sample didn't produce CO₂ in the dark reaction. The results proved that the benzene ring was indeed decomposed by the S-OHT.

3.3. Mechanism of photocatalysis

In general, there are many factors influencing the photocatalytic activity of photocatalyst, such as the phase structure, light absorption, surface area, and the separation efficiency of photogenerated electron–hole pairs.¹ It should be noted that the light source used in this work is monochromatic light with wavelength of 254 nm. The light absorption of these three samples is all around 275 nm, as shown in Fig. 3. Moreover, the BET surface area is all negligible (<1 m² g⁻¹). Therefore, it may be due to other factors that influence the activities of S-MH, S-MHT and S-OHT. In the previous report, Zhu's group²¹ found that the highest photoactivity of n-BiPO₄ originates from the most distorted PO₄ tetrahedron, not other factors such as photo absorption, BET surface area and the oxidation potential of photoexcited holes. The distorted PO₄ tetrahedron facilitates the separation of photoexcited electron–hole pairs. Therefore, the photocatalytic activity of BiPO₄ is deeply relying on the separation efficiency of photogenerated electrons and holes. To further confirm this summing-up, electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) and photoluminescence test (PL) were carried out in our experiments to investigate the differences of separation, transfer and recombination of the photoexcited electrons and holes between these samples. As previous studies,^{33,34} the diameters of the EIS plots under light irradiation are supposed to manifest the charge separation and transfer resistance across the solid–liquid junction in the electrode–electrolyte interface region. Besides, the smallest arc radius indicates most effective separation of the photoexcited electrons and holes, and *vice versa*. The typical EIS responses of BiPO₄ with different crystal phase are presented in Fig. 7. The




Fig. 6 (a) The production of CO₂ and (b) the conversion and (c) mineralization efficiencies of C₆H₆ over prepared S-MH, S-MHT and S-OHT as a function of irradiation time.




Fig. 7 EIS plots for the as-synthesized samples under UV-light irradiation.



arc radiuses of prepared BiPO₄ samples are different from each other. EIS data was analyzed in terms of an equivalent circuit model and the values of charge transfer resistance were about 330, 435 and 579 Ω cm⁻² for n-BiPO₄, m-BiPO₄ and h-BiPO₄, respectively. It indicates that S-OHT with n-BiPO₄ phase possesses the highest efficiency of electron-hole separation among these three BiPO₄ samples. This is also supported by the results of PL spectra, which can reflect the recombination rate of photoexcited electrons and holes.

As well known, there are certain intrinsic relationships between the PL spectrum and photocatalytic activity of a semiconductor material according to the mechanisms of PL and photocatalysis.³⁵ The lower the PL intensity, the higher the separation efficiency of photoexcited carriers, and thus the higher the photocatalytic activity.^{29,35} Fig. 8 presents the PL spectra excited at 255 nm wavelength at room temperature. A main emission peak centered at about 301 nm are observed for all samples. A weaker PL emission intensity than that of S-MH and S-MHT is produced on S-OHT, indicating that the recombination of the photoexcited electrons and holes is efficiently hampered on S-OHT. The result is in good agreement with the EIS. According to the above analysis, the photocatalytic activity difference between h-BiPO₄, m-BiPO₄ and n-BiPO₄ is deeply relying on the separation efficiency of photogenerated electrons and holes. The results further confirm the previous report.²¹

It is well known that the photoexcited electrons and holes are the cornerstone of the photocatalysis.¹ The efficient separation of photoexcited electrons (e⁻) and holes (h⁺) favors the production of hydroxyl radicals ([•]OH) and superoxide radicals ([•]O₂⁻), which are the primary and straightforward reactive species for the photocatalytic degradation of organic pollutants in the water. The photoexcited electrons and holes at the conduction band and valence band of BiPO₄ can reduce O₂ and oxidize OH⁻ to form [•]O₂⁻ and [•]OH, respectively.¹⁵ Moreover, [•]OH may come from [•]O₂⁻ *via* chain reactions, and H₂O₂ is a significant intermediate species in the process. In order to confirm the presence of the photoactive radicals in the reaction system, following experiments were carried out. Terephthalic acid-photoluminescence (TA-PL), nitroblue tetrazolium (NBT) and *N,N*-diethyl-*p*-phenylenediamine (DPD) methods were used




Fig. 8 Photoluminescence spectra of the as-synthesized samples (excitation wavelength was 256 nm).

to determine the photogenerated radical species [•]OH, [•]O₂⁻ and H₂O₂ over BiPO₄ upon the irradiation of light, respectively.³⁶⁻³⁹

TA used as the indicator can readily react with the generated [•]OH producing 2-hydroxyterephthalic acid (HOA), which has a good fluorescence. The intensity of PL implies the amount of generated [•]OH in the reaction system.^{36,37} As shown in Fig. 9a, it is clear that the PL peaks located at about 426 nm for these three samples are observed, indicating that [•]OH is produced in the photocatalytic reaction process. Moreover, S-OHT displays the higher formation efficiency of [•]OH than S-MHT and S-MH. The formation of [•]OH order is S-OHT > S-MHT > S-MH, which is in accordance with the results of the photocatalytic activity and separation of photoexcited carriers tests. Nitroblue tetrazolium, exhibiting an absorption maximum at 259 nm, was used for the analysis of [•]O₂⁻. NBT can react with the formed [•]O₂⁻ in the water solution, and then its absorption peak would be reduced.³⁸ Therefore, NBT method used as a simple approach for detection of [•]O₂⁻ has been widely adopted. As shown in Fig. 9b, the intensity of NBT at about 259 nm decreases gradually with the order: S-MH > S-MHT > S-OHT. It indicates that the concentration of NBT in the presence of S-OHT is reduced greatly. That is more [•]O₂⁻ is produced over S-OHT in the photocatalytic reaction process. Furthermore, the DPD method was employed for detecting the existence of H₂O₂.³⁹ As shown in Fig. 10, in the presence of either S-MH, S-MHT or S-OHT under UV-light irradiation, two clearly characteristic peaks of existence of H₂O₂ with absorption maxima at 510 nm and 550 nm are found. Moreover, the production of H₂O₂ decreases in the order S-OHT > S-MHT > S-MH. It is in line with the results of TA-PL experiment and the photocatalytic activity test.

In order to further understand the roles of the main oxidative species involved in the decomposition of BPA over BiPO₄ photocatalyst, the trapping experiments of active species was performed, using isopropanol (IPA) as [•]OH scavenger,⁴⁰ ammonium oxalate (AO) as h⁺ capturer,⁴¹ and benzoquinone




Fig. 9 (a) The photoluminescence emission spectra of TA solution and (b) the absorption of NBT solution in the presence of different samples under UV light irradiation.






Fig. 10 H_2O_2 was detected by addition of *N,N*-diethyl-*p*-phenylenediamine and horseradish peroxidase to S-MH, S-MHT and S-OHT aqueous solution after UV light irradiation for 20 min, respectively.




Fig. 11 Plots of photogenerated carriers trapping in the system of photocatalytic degradation of BPA on S-OHT.

(BQ) as $\cdot\text{O}_2^-$ scavenger.⁴² As shown in Fig. 11, the photocatalytic activity of S-OHT is obviously suppressed by adding AO or BQ, whereas slightly suppressed by $\cdot\text{OH}$ scavenger. It indicates that h^+ , $\cdot\text{O}_2^-$ and $\cdot\text{OH}$ are all the oxidative species for the photocatalytic degradation of BPA over *n*- BiPO_4 photocatalyst, and the effect to BPA degradation from strong to weak follows the order of $\cdot\text{O}_2^-$, h^+ and $\cdot\text{OH}$. Therefore, on the basis of the discussion and results above, a possible mechanism for the photocatalytic decomposition of phenols and benzene over *n*- BiPO_4 is proposed in Fig. S4.†

4. Conclusions

Sphere-like BiPO_4 photocatalysts with h- BiPO_4 , m- BiPO_4 and n- BiPO_4 crystal phases were controllably synthesized through

a simple hydrothermal-calcination method, respectively. The n- BiPO_4 exhibited much higher photocatalytic activity towards degradation of BPA, phenol, 4-CP and benzene than h- BiPO_4 and m- BiPO_4 . The BPA degradation and mineralization rates could reach up to 94.6% and 76.8% under UV light irradiation for 2 h, respectively. And the degradation efficiency is maintained at over 94% after three cycles. The excellent photocatalytic activity could be attributed to the distortion of PO_4 tetrahedron, which improves the separation efficiency of the photoexcited electron-hole pairs and produces more oxidative species. During the photocatalytic process, effect of the oxidative specie to BPA degradation follows the order of $\cdot\text{O}_2^- > \text{h}^+ > \cdot\text{OH}$.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Anhui Province (1608085QB37), and the Collaborative Innovation Center of Advanced Functional Materials (XTZX103732016001).

References

- M. R. Hoffmann, S. T. Martin, W. Choi and D. W. Bahnemann, *Chem. Rev.*, 1995, **95**, 69–96.
- Y. Sun, S. Gao, F. Lei and Y. Xie, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2015, **44**, 623–636.
- E. L. Cates, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2017, **51**, 757–758.
- N. Barbero and D. Vione, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2016, **50**, 2130–2131.
- A. Fujishima and K. Honda, *Nature*, 1972, **238**, 37–38.
- J. H. Carey, J. Lawrence and H. M. Tosine, *Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.*, 1976, **16**, 697–701.
- X. Chen and S. S. Mao, *Chem. Rev.*, 2007, **107**, 2891–2959.
- R. Daghrir, P. Drogui and D. Robert, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2013, **52**, 3581–3599.
- M. Pelaez, N. T. Nolan, S. C. Pillai, M. K. Seery, P. Falaras, A. G. Kontos, P. S. M. Dunlop, J. W. J. Hamilton and J. A. Byrne, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2012, **125**, 331–349.
- X. C. Wang, K. Maeda, A. Thomas, K. Takanebe, G. Xin, K. Domen and M. Antonietti, *Nat. Mater.*, 2009, **8**, 76–80.
- Z. G. Yi, J. H. Ye, N. Kikugawa, T. Kako, S. Ouyang, H. Stuart-williams, H. Yang, J. Y. Cao, W. J. Luo, Z. S. Li, Y. Liu and R. L. Withers, *Nat. Mater.*, 2010, **9**, 559–564.
- X. Fu, J. Wang, D. Huang, S. Meng, Z. Zhang, L. Li, T. Miao and S. Chen, *ACS Catal.*, 2016, **6**, 957–968.
- C. Pan and Y. Zhu, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2010, **44**, 5570–5574.
- C. Pan and Y. Zhu, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2011, **21**, 4235–4241.
- Y. Zhu, Y. Liu, Y. Lv, Q. Lin, D. Liu and Y. Zhu, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2014, **2**, 13041–13048.
- Q. Zhang, H. Tian, N. Li, M. Chen and F. Teng, *CrystEngComm*, 2014, **16**, 8334–8339.
- (a) B. Lu, X. Ma, C. Pan and Y. Zhu, *Appl. Catal., A*, 2012, **435**, 93–98; (b) C. Pan, J. Xu, Y. Wang, D. Li and Y. Zhu, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2012, **22**, 1518–1524; (c) Y. Liu, P. Zhang, H. Lv, J. Guang, S. Li and J. Jiang, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 83764–83772.



- 18 Y. Wang, X. Guan, L. Li and G. Li, *CrystEngComm*, 2012, **14**, 7907–7914.
- 19 M. Zhao, L. Li, L. Yang, J. Zheng and G. Li, *CrystEngComm*, 2013, **15**, 609–615.
- 20 C. Pan and Y. Zhu, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2015, **5**, 3071–3083.
- 21 (a) C. Pan, D. Li, X. Ma, Y. Chen and Y. Zhu, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2011, **1**, 1399–1405; (b) Y. Liu, Y. Zhu, J. Xu, X. Bai, R. Zong and Y. Zhu, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2013, **142**, 561–567.
- 22 P. Shan, C. Niu, D. Huang, G. Zeng and H. Zhang, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 89105–89112.
- 23 (a) C. Pan, J. Xu, Y. Chen and Y. Zhu, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2012, **115**, 314–319; (b) H. Lv, J. Guang, Y. Liu, H. Tang, P. Zhang, Y. Lu and J. Wang, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 100625–100632.
- 24 (a) B. Long, J. Huang and X. Wang, *Prog. Nat. Sci.: Mater. Int.*, 2012, **22**, 644–653; (b) X. Zou, C. Ran, Y. Dong, Z. Chen, D. Dong, D. Hu, X. Li and Y. Cui, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 20664–20670.
- 25 J. G. Hengstler, H. Foth, T. Gebel, P. J. Kramer, W. Liliensblum, H. Schweinfurth, W. Volkel, K. M. Wollin and U. Gundert-Remy, *Crit. Rev. Toxicol.*, 2011, **41**, 263–291.
- 26 L. N. Vandenberg, I. Chahoud, J. J. Heindel, V. Padmanabhan, F. J. R. Paumgartten and G. Schoenfelder, *Environ. Health Perspect.*, 2010, **118**, 1055–1070.
- 27 (a) C. Chang, Y. Fu, M. Hu, C. Wang, G. Shan and L. Zhu, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2013, **142**, 553–560; (b) H. Katsumata, M. Taniguchi, S. Kaneco and T. Suzuki, *Catal. Commun.*, 2013, **34**, 30–34; (c) A. Omoike, T. Wacker and M. Navidonski, *Chemosphere*, 2013, **91**, 1643–1647; (d) J. Xu, L. Wang and Y. Zhu, *Langmuir*, 2012, **28**, 8418–8425.
- 28 M. A. Butler, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 1977, **48**, 1914–1920.
- 29 S. Meng, X. Ning, T. Zhang, S. Chen and X. Fu, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2015, **17**, 11577–11585.
- 30 Y. F. Liu, Y. H. Lv, Y. Y. Zhu, D. Liu, R. L. Zong and Y. F. Zhu, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2014, **147**, 851–857.
- 31 T. A. Kandiel, A. Feldhoff, L. Robben, R. Dillert and D. W. Bahnemann, *Chem. Mater.*, 2010, **22**, 2050–2060.
- 32 (a) N. Naneshvar, D. Salari and A. R. Khataee, *J. Photochem. Photobiol., A*, 2004, **162**, 317–322; (b) M. S. T. Goncalves, A. M. F. Oliveira-Campos, E. M. M. S. Pinto, P. M. S. Plasência and M. J. R. P. Queiroz, *Chemosphere*, 1999, **39**, 781–786.
- 33 B. L. He, B. Dong and H. L. Li, *Electrochem. Commun.*, 2007, **9**, 425–430.
- 34 H. Zhang, X. Lv, Y. Li, Y. Wang and J. Li, *ACS Nano*, 2010, **4**, 380–386.
- 35 L. Jing, Y. Qu, B. Wang, S. Li, B. Jiang, L. Yang, W. Fu, H. Fu and J. Sun, *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells*, 2006, **90**, 1773–1787.
- 36 J. C. Barreto, G. S. Smith, N. H. P. Strobel, P. A. McQuillin and T. A. Miller, *Life Sci.*, 1994, **56**, 89–96.
- 37 K. Ishibashi, A. Fujishima, T. Watanabe and K. Hashimoto, *J. Photochem. Photobiol., A*, 2000, **134**, 139–142.
- 38 B. H. J. Bielski and H. W. Richter, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1977, **99**, 3019–3023.
- 39 S. Meng, D. Li, X. Fu and X. Fu, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2015, **3**, 23501–23511.
- 40 M. Sadakane, K. Sadaki, H. Kunioku, B. Ohtani, W. Ueda and R. Abe, *Chem. Commun.*, 2008, **48**, 6552–6554.
- 41 H. Kominami, A. Furusho, S. Murakami, H. Inoue, Y. Kera and B. Ohtani, *Catal. Lett.*, 2001, **76**, 31–34.
- 42 R. Palominos, J. Freer, M. A. Mondaca and H. D. Mansilla, *J. Photochem. Photobiol., A*, 2008, **193**, 139–145.

