Microcosmic understanding on thickening capability of copolymers in supercritical carbon dioxide: the key role of $\pi-\pi$ stacking

Wenchao Sun, a Baojiang Sun,*a Ying Li,b Haiming Fan,a Yonghai Gao,a Haoyang Sunb and Guangchao Lic

In this study, styrene/heptadecafluorodecyl acrylate (St–HFDA) copolymers of different compositions were synthetized for the purpose of thickening supercritical carbon dioxide (SC–CO₂). The cloud point pressures of the copolymer–CO₂ mixtures and the thickening effects of these copolymers for SC–CO₂ were measured. Molecular dynamics (MD) simulations were used to evaluate the intermolecular interactions and microstructures of polymer–CO₂ systems, the copolymer–CO₂ interaction energy, cohesive energy density (CED), solubility parameter, equilibrium conformations and radial distribution functions (RDFs) were obtained, which provided useful information for microscopic understanding on the thickening capability of copolymers in SC–CO₂. It was found that all the synthesized St–HFDA copolymers induced greater viscosity enhancements of SC–CO₂ compared to poly(Heptadecafluorodecyl acrylate) (PHFDA), and $\pi-\pi$ stacking of the Styrene (St) groups played a key role in thickening SC–CO₂. On one hand, the introduction of the St groups into PHFDA weakened the CO₂-philicity of the polymers by reducing the CO₂–CO₂ interaction and increasing polymer–polymer interactions, resulting in higher cloud point pressure in SC–CO₂ compared to PHFDA. On the other hand, the increase of the polymer–polymer interaction via $\pi-\pi$ stacking provided an associative force to thicken SC–CO₂. The subtle relationship between the copolymer composition and thickening abilities of the copolymers in SC–CO₂ were evaluated and the optimum styrene molar ratio was determined. It can be concluded that the content of the CO₂-philic HFDA groups and the CO₂-phobic St groups in the copolymers should be optimized to achieve the balance between the solubility and the thickening capability.

Introduction

As a green solvent that is expected to replace the conventional organic solvents, supercritical carbon dioxide (SC–CO₂) has high potential in many industrial processes because of the mild critical temperature and pressure. In the oil and gas industry, CO₂ is usually used in enhance oil recovery (EOR), and is considered as one type of clean fracturing reservoir fractures to support oil.

However, the thickening of CO₂ is difficult to achieve, because CO₂ is a poor solvent for most polymers. Previous studies have showed that only silicone polymers and fluorinated polymers could be dissolved in CO₂ under low pressure. In the CO₂–polymer system, it is possible for the polymer to dissolve in CO₂ when the polymer–CO₂ interaction is greater than the polymer–polymer interaction. Thus, the strong polymer–CO₂ interaction is the premise for the polymers being dissolved in CO₂, and the weak polymer–polymer interaction is considered to be the basis of solvation. But on the other side, too weak polymer–polymer interaction is not favourable for increasing the viscosity of CO₂ by intermolecular association. So the moderate polymer–polymer interaction is essential for polymer to dissolve in and thicken CO₂.

According to the references in the literature, using copolymer obtained by copolymerizing the CO₂-philic and CO₂-phobic monomers is an effective strategy to form viscosity-enhancing molecular aggregates via $\pi-\pi$ stacking, hydrogen bond and van der Waals interactions. For instance,
Beckman et al. have attempted to thicken liquid CO₂ by St-HFDA copolymers and obtained good results. But the investigations about the thickening mechanism are rare, let alone the intensive understanding from the microscopic view.

Computer simulation has provided new way to study the mechanism of intermolecular interactions in polymer–CO₂ systems, and has been used to investigate the effects of microstructure variations on macroscopic properties. The most current report about simulations used for CO₂–polymer systems are ab initio quantum mechanical calculations for polymer repeat unit under absolute zero. But its calculation scale is too small to simulate systems containing large number of atoms. Wang has calculated the bonding modes and interaction energies between polymer repeat units and CO₂ by ab initio method, but the calculated results conflicted with the experimental results. Molecular dynamics simulation based on molecular mechanics could simulate the interaction of CO₂ with polymer chains at actual temperatures and pressures, so its calculation results are more credible.

This paper is devoted to the investigations for the influences of the intermolecular interactions on the thickening capability of copolymer in SC-CO₂. The study will help to reveal the thickening mechanism for SC-CO₂, and thereby provide design guidelines for the exploration of SC-CO₂ thickening agents. Four kinds of St–HFDA copolymers and PHFDA homopolymer were synthesized by free radical polymerization. The cloud point pressures and the thickening effects of these polymers in SC-CO₂ were measured. The relations of the copolymer compositions with the intermolecular interactions and thickening effects were investigated by combining MD simulations and experimental measurements. The MD simulation results of polymer–CO₂ interaction energy, cohesive energy density (CED), solubility parameter, equilibrium conformations and radial distribution functions (RDFs) were used to evaluate the intermolecular interactions and microstructures of polymer–CO₂ systems. The key role of π–π stacking of the St groups in thickening SC-CO₂ and the optimum St molar ratio in copolymers were determined. The content optimization principle of the CO₂-phlic HFDA group and the CO₂-phobic St group in the copolymers were explored.

Methods

Copolymers synthesis and properties measurements

3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,10-Heptadecafluorodecyl acrylate (HFDA, 98%, Alfa Aesar) and styrene (St, 99.5%, Alfa Aesar) were used to synthesize the copolymers. 2,2'-Azobisobutyronitrile (AIBN, 99%) was purchased from Aldrich and purified twice by recrystallization from methanol. 1,1,2-Trichlorotrifluoroethane (99.5%) and methanol (99.9%) were obtained from Aldrich and used as purchased.

The styrene/heptadecafluorodecyl acrylate copolymer was synthesized with AIBN as initiator according to the procedure of Beckman et al., as shown in Scheme 1. The mixture of 33.4 g HFDA and 6.6 g styrene was bubbled with nitrogen for 30 minutes to ensure that the entire reaction was under the nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction flask was sealed after adding 0.24 g AIBN. The reaction was carried out at 65 °C for 60 hours under an oil bath. After cooling, the reaction mixture was dissolved in 1,1,2-trifluorotrichloroethane, then precipitated with methanol, washed and finally dried in vacuum oven. The copolymers synthesized were named PHFDA-xSt and the 'x' represents the molar ratio of styrene. The four copolymers used herein were PHFDA-0.219St, PHFDA-0.299St, PHFDA-0.501St and PHFDA-0.702St, respectively.

The copolymer sample was dissolved in 1,1,2-trifluorotrifluoroethane and stirred into homogeneous. Ubbelohde viscometer was used to measure the intrinsic viscosity at 25 °C to reflect the molecular weight.

Bruker-400 MHz NMR was used to record the ¹H NMR spectrum of the mixture of copolymer with 1,1,2-trifluorotrifluoroethane in a 5 mm sample tube at a resonant frequency of 400 MHz. The spectra run three times and the average was used to obtain the styrene content by investigating the peak position and intensity in the spectrum.

The 10 wt% solution of copolymer sample with 1,1,2-trifluorotrifluoroethane was used to prepare a polymer film. Krishna DSAHT high temperature contact angle meter was used to measure the contact angles of water and n-hexadecane on the copolymer film at 25 °C. The measurements were repeated five times and the average value was used to calculate the surface tension of the copolymer by Owens two-liquid method.

Cloud point and viscosity measurements

The experiments of cloud point measurement and thickening capability evaluation for the four copolymers in SC-CO₂ were conducted by using the device as shown in Scheme 2. The aluminium ball could be placed into the kettle by adjusting the
cuff of the pitching device. Olympus I-TR high-speed camera was used to shoot the progress of aluminium ball through the window by 2000 frames per second. After the temperature of polymer-CO₂ mixture in the high pressure visual unit reached to the desired value, piston position was changed to allow the pressure reach the required value. Then the mixture was stirred for 30 minutes using the magnetic stirrer. Under constant temperature, the position of piston was changed slowly to increase the volume of the high pressure visual unit, so that the pressure decreased until the phase change formed. The pressure at which the phase change occurred was the cloud point pressure. The cloud point pressure was determined by repeating the three measurements.

Viscosity is calculated by eqn (1) [42–52]

\[
\eta = \frac{t(1 - p_i/p_s)}{A[1 + 2a(T - T_i)][1 - 2b(p - p_i)]}
\]

where \(a\) and \(b\) are the linear thermal expansion coefficient and the compression coefficient of the material used, \(T\) and \(p_i\) are the reference temperature and pressure, \(p_s\) and \(p_i\) are the density of the solution and aluminium ball respectively, \(t\) is the drop time of aluminium ball, and \(A\) is the instrument constant obtained from the regression of the experimental data as a function of \(t(1 - p_i/p_s)\) in units of mPa \(^{-1}\). Viscosity measurements were repeated five times and the average value was used for the calculation.

The relative viscosity \(\eta_R\) which represents the ratio of the CO₂-polymer mixture viscosity to CO₂ viscosity measured under the same temperature and pressure was obtained according to the eqn (2) to evaluate the thickening capability of the copolymer. It was observed that the greater the \(\eta_R\), the better thickening capability.

\[
\eta_R = \frac{\eta_{\text{mix}}}{\eta_{\text{CO₂}}}
\]

where \(\eta_{\text{mix}}\) is the viscosity of the CO₂-polymer mixture, \(\eta_{\text{CO₂}}\) is the viscosity of CO₂.

**Molecular dynamics simulation**

The Material Studio (MS) package was used to simulate the systems of CO₂, polymers and polymer–CO₂. All the force field parameters of CO₂ and polymers were determined by the COMPASS force field. The constructed molecules of CO₂ and polymer chains were optimized by the Smart Minimizer in the Discover module of MS. Five-cycle annealing calculations from 300 to 500 K in the Forcite module were carried out to delay the systems effectively. The MD simulation process was done in a run time of 2 ns with a time step of 1 fs by using the NPT ensemble at 308.2 K and 25 MPa. The temperature was controlled by the Andersen method and the pressure was controlled by the Berendsen method. The Lennard-Jones 9–6 potential was used to perform the van der Waals interaction; meanwhile, the electrostatic interaction was examined by the coulombic term. The last 500 ps were used for the analysis.

Three kinds of systems were simulated by MD, respectively, the system with 2000 molecules of CO₂, the system with four copolymer chains, and the polymer–CO₂ system with four polymer chains and 2000 molecules of CO₂. Considering the effect of the molecular weight of the copolymer on the thermodynamic properties and the accuracy of the simulation results together, the PHFDA chain consisted of 10 HFDA repeating units, the PHFDA–0.219St chain consisted of 2 St repeating units and 7 HFDA repeating units, the PHFDA–0.299St chain consisted of 3 St repeating units and 7 HFDA repeating units, the PHFDA–0.501St chain consisted of 6 St repeating units and 6 HFDA repeating units, and the PHFDA–0.702St chain consisted of 12 St repeating units and 5 HFDA repeating units, to ensure that the molecular weights of different polymer chains are close to one another. The different systems of the simulation were shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>Mn of one chain</th>
<th>Number of chains</th>
<th>Number of HFDA units</th>
<th>Number of St units</th>
<th>Number of CO₂</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>3855</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>CO₂ + PHFDA–0.702St</td>
<td>3729</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>CO₂ + PHFDA–0.501St</td>
<td>3941</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>CO₂ + PHFDA–0.299St</td>
<td>3837</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>CO₂ + PHFDA–0.219St</td>
<td>4147</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>PHFDA–0.702St</td>
<td>3855</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>PHFDA–0.501St</td>
<td>3729</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>PHFDA–0.299St</td>
<td>3941</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>PHFDA–0.219St</td>
<td>3837</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>PHFDA</td>
<td>4147</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Results and discussion**

The composition, intrinsic viscosity and surface tension measurement results of the four copolymers and PHFDA samples synthesized in this paper were shown in Table 2.

**Solubility of copolymers in SC-CO₂**

Fig. 1 showed the cloud point pressures of the five polymers in SC-CO₂ at 308.2 K with mass concentration (a) and the styrene molar ratio at 1 wt% of polymer (b), respectively. When the molar ratio of styrene reaches 70.2%, the maximum mass concentration of this copolymer dissolved in CO₂ was 1% at the pressure of 30 MPa. As shown in Fig. 1(a), the cloud point
pressures of the five polymers in SC-CO₂ increased with increase of mass concentration. The differences of intrinsic viscosity which reflects the molecular weight of polymer between the five polymer samples was small as shown in Table 2, the effect of molecular weight on cloud point pressure can be ignored. So the data in Fig. 1(a) also reflected the influence of styrene molar ratio, as shown in Fig. 1(b). With the increase in the molar ratio of styrene, the cloud pressure of the copolymer in SC-CO₂ increased rapidly. It could be inferred that the introduction of styrene into PHFDA may be unfavourable to the solubility of the polymer in SC-CO₂.

In CO₂–polymer system, the strong polymer–CO₂ interaction is prerequisite for the polymer to be dissolved in CO₂. The strength of the intermolecular interactions could be characterized by intermolecular interaction energy. The greater the absolute value of the polymer–CO₂ interaction energy, the greater the CO₂-philicity of the polymer. In order to compare the effect of the introduction of styrene on the CO₂-philicity of copolymers quantitatively, the interaction energies between polymer chains and CO₂ of systems 2–5 in Table 1 were examined with the eqn (3)\(^3\)

\[
E_{\text{inter}} = -E_{\text{binding}} = E_{\text{chain–CO₂}} - (E_{\text{chain}} + E_{\text{CO₂}}) \quad (3)
\]

where \(E_{\text{chain–CO₂}}\) is the total energy of CO₂ and polymer chains, \(E_{\text{CO₂}}\) is the energy of CO₂, \(E_{\text{chain}}\) is the energy of the polymer chains and \(E_{\text{inter}}\) is the polymer–CO₂ interaction energy.

The results were shown in Table 3. The interaction energy of PHFDA with CO₂ was significantly larger than those of the four copolymers. With the increase in styrene content of the copolymers, the absolute value of the interaction energy of the copolymers with CO₂ became smaller. This indicated that the introduction of styrene into PHFDA reduced the intermolecular interaction strength of polymer–CO₂, and the debilitated polymer–CO₂ interaction decreased the CO₂-philicity of the copolymers.

The solubility of polymer in CO₂ does not only depend on the polymer–CO₂ interaction, but also is related to the polymer–polymer interaction. The high solubility of polymer in CO₂ requires strong polymer–CO₂ interaction, and weak polymer–polymer interaction which could be described by the low surface tension.\(^2,3\) The surface tension of the polymer is related to its Cohesive Energy Density (CED) and solubility parameter. The CED and solubility parameter describe the interactive strength of polymer–polymer, which is consistent with the trend of the intermolecular interactions. Previous studies have shown that polymers with higher solubility in CO₂ tend to show lower surface tension and CED.\(^29\) The low surface tension is favourable to the solvation of the polymer in SC-CO₂ and improves thermodynamic stability of the mixture. According to the similarity principle of solubility parameter, the smaller the solubility parameter difference \(|\Delta\delta|\) between the polymer and the CO₂, the better the miscibility of the polymer with CO₂. The CED and solubility parameters of systems 1 and 7–11 in Table 1 obtained by the MD simulation were shown in Table 4. The \(\delta\) of CO₂ obtained by MD simulation at 25 MPa and 308.2 K was 14.02, which is slightly lower than the result of Ohashi\(^19\) (14.3 at 20 MPa and 318 K) and higher than that of Liu\(^17\) (13.15 at 20 MP and 298 K). The solubility parameter of PHFDA was closest to CO₂ and its cloud point was also the lowest among the five polymers. With the increase in the molar

### Table 2 Composition, intrinsic viscosity and surface tension measurement results of PHFDA–xSt

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polymer</th>
<th>Content of styrene (mol%)</th>
<th>Intrinsic viscosity (g mL⁻¹)</th>
<th>γ (mN m⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PHFDA–0.702St</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>166.7</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHFDA–0.501St</td>
<td>50.1</td>
<td>159.3</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHFDA–0.299St</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>161.5</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHFDA–0.219St</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>154.5</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHFDA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>168.6</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3 Interaction energy between polymer chains and CO₂ at 308.2 K and 25 MPa (energy unit: kJ mol⁻¹)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>(E_{\text{chain–CO₂}})</th>
<th>(E_{\text{chain}})</th>
<th>(E_{\text{CO₂}})</th>
<th>(E_{\text{inter}})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PHFDA + CO₂</td>
<td>-3666.75</td>
<td>-1137.35</td>
<td>-1820.52</td>
<td>-708.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHFDA–0.219St + CO₂</td>
<td>-3296.59</td>
<td>-850.28</td>
<td>-1848.62</td>
<td>-597.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHFDA–0.299St + CO₂</td>
<td>-3165.67</td>
<td>-724.84</td>
<td>-1866.61</td>
<td>-574.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHFDA–0.501St + CO₂</td>
<td>-2713.58</td>
<td>-338.47</td>
<td>-1853.31</td>
<td>-521.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHFDA–0.702St + CO₂</td>
<td>-2533.58</td>
<td>-114.60</td>
<td>-1939.61</td>
<td>-479.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4 CED and solubility parameter values of the five polymers and CO₂ at 308.2 K and 25 MPa

| System          | \(\delta\) | \(\delta_{\text{coh}}\) | \(|\Delta\delta|\) |
|-----------------|-----------|-------------------------|----------------|
| PHFDA           | 1.69      | 2.15 × 10⁶               | 0.65          |
| PHFDA–0.219St   | 1.64      | 2.21 × 10⁶               | 0.86          |
| PHFDA–0.299St   | 1.62      | 2.24 × 10⁶               | 0.95          |
| PHFDA–0.501St   | 1.53      | 2.34 × 10⁶               | 1.28          |
| PHFDA–0.702St   | 1.40      | 2.43 × 10⁶               | 1.57          |
| CO₂             | 0.909     | 1.97 × 10⁶               | 0             |

\(^a\) Notice: \(|\Delta\delta| = |\delta_{\text{polymer}} - \delta_{\text{CO₂}}|\).
ratio of styrene in the copolymers, the CED of the copolymers increased gradually, indicating that the polymer–polymer interactions increased gradually. The results were consistent with the results of the surface tension shown in Table 1. Therefore, it can be concluded that the introduction of CO₂-phobic styrene reduced the polymer–CO₂ interaction and enhanced the polymer–polymer interaction, which disfavoured the dissolution of the polymer in SC-CO₂ and resulted in the increase of the cloud point pressures.

**Thickening mechanism of copolymers in SC-CO₂**

Fig. 2 showed the variation of relative viscosities of the polymers in SC-CO₂ at 308.2 K and 30 MPa with mass concentration (a) and styrene molar ratio at 1 wt% of polymer (b). Although the introduction of styrene increased the cloud point pressure of the polymer in SC-CO₂, the experimental results illustrated in Fig. 2(a) indicated that all the copolymers emerged better thickening abilities than PHFDA, and PHFDA-0.299St which exhibited the best thickening effect could increase the viscosity of SC-CO₂ by 352 times at the concentration of 5 wt%. As shown in Fig. 2(b), the effect of the styrene molar ratio on the thickening capability of the copolymers was not monotonically increasing or decreasing, but there existed an optimal value. It is widely known that the compounds containing phenyl groups tend to produce intermolecular association through π–π stacking. So we inferred that the π–π stacking between styrene groups played a key role in thickening SC-CO₂ and the styrene content of the copolymers with the best thickening capability might be about 29.9 mol%. The connection of the microstructures with the copolymers thickening effects was researched below using conformation snapshots and RDF obtained by MD simulation. The role of styrene and π–π stacking on the thickening capability were confirmed.

The equilibrium conformations of MD simulation for the five polymer–CO₂ systems were shown in Fig. 3. The CO₂ molecules were hidden to highlight the polymer chains. The phenyl group atoms were marked as red, the backbone atoms were marked as black. The most pronounced intermolecular association was initiated by PHFDA-0.299St, which formed effective molecular aggregates through π–π stacking and enhanced the viscosity of SC-CO₂ significantly as shown in Fig. 2(a). The lack of intermolecular association of the PHFDA chains led to slight molecular aggregate, although the chains were very stretch, indicating high miscibility with SC-CO₂. PHFDA-0.219St with lower styrene content showed less interchain associations. For PHFDA-0.501St and PHFDA-0.702St with higher styrene contents, the crispation of molecular chains...
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