A new adsorbent of Pb(II) ions from aqueous solution synthesized by mechanochemical preparation of sulfonated expanded graphite
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In this study, sulfonated expanded graphite (SEG) was prepared through a mechanochemical method between EG, N,N-dimethylformamide, and a sulphur trioxide pyridine complex. XRD, XPS, FT-IR, BET, SEM-EDS, and zeta potentials were used to assess the characterizations of SEG. Thereafter, the effects of pH, adsorbent dosage, initial concentration, temperature, and contact time on adsorption performances were investigated. Results demonstrated that SEG shows superior adsorption properties to remove Pb(ii) with high efficiency, and the removal capacity reached 325.12 mg g⁻¹. The Langmuir model fit the equilibrium data better than either the Freundlich model or the Temkin model. Based on kinetic experiments, the adsorption of Pb(ii) with SEG was perfectly described by a pseudo-second order kinetics model. According to thermodynamic parameters, the adsorption process was endothermic and spontaneous. Adsorption–desorption results showed a superior regeneration and cyclic performance of SEG as well. In addition, results of the adsorption mechanism indicated that the sulfonated functional groups played a significant role during the adsorption process.

Experimental

Material and chemicals

Flaky graphite with an average size of 300 µm were obtained as flotation concentrates from Mozambique. Sulphur trioxide pyridine complex (SO₃-Py) was purchased from Aladdin Chemistry Co., Ltd. (China); N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF) and absolute ethyl alcohol were obtained from Sinopharm Chemical Technology Co., Ltd. (China).
Reagent Co., Ltd. (China). All reagents were of analytical grade and used without further purification.

**Sulfonated expanded graphite**

Expanded graphite was prepared through a modified procedure based on previous literature reports. In brief, a mixture of concentrated nitric acid and acetic acid, was mixed with flaky graphite at a temperature of 50 °C for 1 h. Then the acid-treated graphite was washed to a neutral pH, and dried at 60 °C. The resulting expandable graphite was heated to 850 °C in a muffle furnace for just 30 seconds (its expansion volume could reach approximately 350 mL g⁻¹).

Sulfonated expanded graphite (SEG) was synthesized by a mechanochemical method in wet conditions. First, 1 g EG was dispersed in 100 mL DMF with stirring for a while. Afterwards, 0.5 mmol SO₃-Py was added to the solution. Then, the mixture was wet milled by using a planetary mill in a steel cylinder with steel balls of 20 mm diameter at 300 rpm for 2.5 h. Finally, the obtained black colored products were filtered and washed several times with absolute ethyl alcohol and dried at 60 °C for several hours in a vacuum oven.

**Characterization**

Surface morphology was examined using a JSM-IT300 scanning electron microscope (SEM) and an energy dispersive spectrometer (EDS) was used to analyze surface chemical compositions (JEOL, Tokyo, Japan). X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were determined by a D8 Advance model X-ray powder diffractometer (Bruker Corporation, Stuttgart, Germany) with Cu Kα radiation (λ = 0.15412 nm) recorded in the range of 2θ = 5–70°. Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectra were recorded with a Nicolet IS-10 infrared spectrophotometer (Nicolet Corporation, Madison, USA) for analysis in the range from 400 to 4000 cm⁻¹. Zeta potentials of SEG with possession of 5 × 10⁻¹⁰ mol L⁻¹ NaCl at different pH values were determined with a Malvern Zetasizer Nano ZS90 (Malvern Instrument Corporation, Malvern, UK). X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was carried out on a VG Multilab 2000 spectrometer (Thermo Electron Corporation, Waltham, MA, USA), with the XPS spectra being corrected by the C 1s line at 284.6 eV. Specific surface areas of the samples were calculated by the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) method using an ASAP 2020M N₂ adsorption–desorption apparatus (Micromeritics Instrument Corporation, Atlanta, USA).

**Adsorption experiments**

A batch of adsorption experiments were conducted in 250 mL conical flasks, each containing 100 mL Pb(II) ions solution. A standard solution of Pb(II) (100 mg L⁻¹) was prepared by dissolving 0.159 g Pb(NO₃)₂ in 1000 mL of deionized water. The adsorbent doses of SEG ranged between 0.01 g and 0.08 g. Experiments were performed at an initial metal concentration of 20 mg L⁻¹ Pb(II) and pH = 5.59, with the mixture shaken in a controlled shaker at 150 rpm at 30 °C for 15 min. Afterwards, the adsorbents were separated from their solutions through filtration; in addition, amounts of remaining Pb(II) ion concentration were analyzed via an ultraviolet spectrophotometer at a wavelength of 575 nm, which is the maximum absorbance. The amounts of Pb(II) ions adsorbed at any time were calculated from their concentrations in solutions before and after adsorption. Removal efficiency and amount of Pb(II) adsorbed were calculated using the following equations:

\[ \eta (%) = \frac{C_0 - C_t}{C_0} \times 100\% \]  
\[ q_t = \frac{(C_0 - C_t)V}{m} \]

where \( q_t \) (mg g⁻¹) is the adsorbed amounts at any time; \( C_0 \) and \( C_t \) (mg L⁻¹) are the initial and final concentrations of Pb(II) ion in the solution, respectively. \( V \) (L) is the volume of the Pb(II) ions solution. Finally, \( m \) (g) is the amounts of adsorbents added into the solution.

**Desorption and regeneration experiments**

Desorption experiments were carried out with different eluents. At first, the adsorption experiments were completed and the spent adsorbents were separated through filtration. After that, the desorption treatment was conducted by adding spent adsorbent to 100 mL eluent with a high rate of stirring for 2 hours. Then, the Pb(II)-loaded adsorbent was washed thoroughly for the next cycle and the concentrate of Pb(II) at each step was measured by an ultraviolet spectrophotometer mentioned above. The desorption efficiency was calculated using the following equation:

\[ \eta_D (%) = \frac{C_i}{C_0 - C_i} \times 100\% \]

where \( C_i \) is the equilibrium concentration of Pb(II) in the solution after desorption.

**Results and discussion**

**SEM images of EG**

The SEM images of expanded graphite, the product of expan-
sible graphite after puffing at a high temperature, are shown in Fig. 1. During the process of intercalation, nitrate and acetate ions of nitric acid and acetic acid took part in the reaction, entering into the carbon atoms layers, while nitrate and acetate ions would decrease as attributed to the high-temperature pyrolysis. With the vaporization between the graphite layers, expansible graphite turned into a fluffy product during the process of expansion. The photograph clearly shows the
vermiform and porous appearance, and the chain structure is evident as well.

**Characterization of SEG**

Fig. 2(a) shows the surface morphology of mechanochemically sulfonated expanded graphite by the milling process through SEM analysis. Compared with the large thick-massive particles of EG, the micrograph of SEG indicated a progressive increase in porosity and a remarkable decrease in the particle size after grinding with SO$_3$-Py and DMF. Since surface porosity plays an important role in adsorption, the porous surface of SEG modified by formation of sulfonated functional groups was likely to promote the adsorption and diffusion of heavy metal ions, resulting in improved removal of Pb(II). As shown in Fig. 2(b), the S element distributed on its surface was present as well as in the corresponding EDS spectrum. Mass fractions at the SEG interface are as follows: C = 85.87%, O = 4.86%, S = 8.46% and N = 0.84%. Obviously, the C concentration is the highest, followed by S and O. The presence of S demonstrated that the combination of EG and SO$_3$-Py resulted in sulfonated functional groups being attached on the surface for better adsorption performance.

Fig. 3 shows the crystalline structure of the original and sulfonated expanded graphite as evidenced by XRD. EG shows a strong peak at 2θ = 26.41°, due to the diffraction of 002 planes. And beyond that, another relatively weaker peak appears at 2θ = 44.61°, resulting from the 004 planes diffracting. Compared with original expanded graphite, the pattern of SEG made an evident difference; plus a dominant and broad peak at approximately 2θ = 25.01° turned up. In addition, the correspondent peak intensity of sulfonated expanded graphite markedly decreased as a consequence of plentiful replacement superficial carbon atoms with sulfonic groups. Additional information that can be obtained from the pattern is the degree of graphitization, also called “the crystallinity of graphite”, which can be calculated through the equation:

$$d_{002} = 0.3440 - (0.3440 - 0.3354)(2 - r)$$  \(4\)

where 0.3440 is the interlayer spacing of the fully disordered graphitic carbon (nm), 0.3354 is the interlayer spacing of the ideal graphite crystal (nm), and $d_{002}$ is the interlayer distance measured by XRD using the Bragg equation. The result for SEG was 58.49%, reduced significantly compared to that of EG, which was 88.02%. These findings revealed that the modification by sulfonated treatment could deconstruct the crystalline region and set free more carbon atoms to combine with oxygen atoms.

Fig. 4 presents the FT-IR spectra, which were applied to determine the main functional groups of SEG for elucidating the adsorption mechanism. The adsorption peaks at 3448.36 cm$^{-1}$ found in each sample could correspond to the stretching vibrations of –OH from vapour and crystal water. Moreover, the bands at nearly 2950 and 2850 cm$^{-1}$ might correspond to the –CH$_2$ stretching vibrations, while the band at 1652.52 cm$^{-1}$ could be attributed to the C=C stretching mode for both of EG and SEG. In addition, the peaks at 1400.83 cm$^{-1}$ expressed the appearance of C–H in the –CH$_3$ group, while those at 1165.85 cm$^{-1}$ of SEG could result from the –CO stretching for the keto form and secondary alcohol. After the mechanochemical synthesis of SEG, two new strong adsorption peaks showed up at positions around 1235 and 1070.12 cm$^{-1}$, which could be attributed to asymmetrical and symmetrical S–O stretching vibrations, and the peak located at approximately 729.10 cm$^{-1}$ could be ascribed to symmetrical vibration of C–O–S distributed to C–O–SO$_3$ groups. Thus, appearance of
adsorption peaks due to the vibrations of S–O and C–O–S demonstrated that the bridging function of O atoms to combine SO3-Py and EG played an indispensable role.

Table 1 shows the surface atom concentrations of EG and SEG derived by XPS. The low concentrations of N could result from the entrance of nitric acid during the process to prepare EG, while the tiny amount of S should be attributed to an impurity not removed in the graphite.

The XPS survey spectra of EG and SEG powder are presented in Fig. 5. Finding strong and dominant peaks centered at 283.08 eV of C 1s in the spectra of both EG and SEG indicated that the carbon element content is the greatest on the surface of them. Another peak, with a binding energy of 532.08 eV, belongs to O 1s and corresponds to C–O, whose content was second to that of C. In addition, a weak peak appeared at 398.7 eV due to the slight concentration of N on the surface. Differing from the peaks of C 1s, O 1s, and N 1s in EG, a new peak located at 159.08 eV appeared, which could refer to S 2p in SEG. Concurrently, the results also made it clear that the surface sulfur and oxygen element content definitely increased. The XPS spectra of C 1s could be attributed to C–C as well as C–O on the surface, and the S 2p spectrum could result from S–O–S or S–O groups surficially, which is consistent with the consequence of the FTIR spectra. In addition, the C 1s XPS spectrum of SEG (Fig. 5(b)) could be deconvoluted into two peaks attributed to C=C=C in the aromatic rings as well as C–O. This demonstrated that the combination of SO3-Py and EG was attributed to the banding of S atoms belonging to SO3-Py and C atoms at the surface of EG through the anchor function of O atoms imported by high energy and heat during the process of mechanochemical modification.

Fig. 6 indicates N2 adsorption–desorption isotherms of EG and SEG. Based on the Brunauer–Deming–Deming–Teller (BDDT) classification, the samples followed a type IV adsorption isotherm, consistent with graphitic materials. And at a high relative pressure (0.8–1.0), capillary condensation of N2 occurred, resulting in non-overlap on both adsorption and desorption curves. The structures of EG and SEG belonged to typically parallel walls with slit-type pores according to hysteresis on the curves. As obtained from the results, the specific surface area was 51.43 m2 g−1 for SEG, much greater than that of EG, whose surface area was just 37.68 m2 g−1, similar to the results of porous modified expanded graphite materials. The process of mechanochemical modification, in other words, was a process of steel balls striking solid materials. First, the ball milling process caused repeated fracture of EG, with a sustaining impact force in the planetary mill. This was followed by crushing; plentiful fresh surfaces were exposed, and more pores and channels could come into being inside the product, resulting in diminished particle size. Particle size distribution of SEG is presented in Fig. 7, showing a consequent increase in surface area, as well as pore volume and diameter, which also can be found in Table 2. In addition, for the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Atomic concentration of elements/%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EG</td>
<td>95.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEG</td>
<td>84.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ</td>
<td>−11.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 XPS-derived surface concentrations of EG and SEG
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Fig. 5 Wide-scan XPS spectrum (a) and C 1s XPS spectrum (b) of SEG.

Fig. 6 Nitrogen adsorption–desorption isotherm of EG and SEG.
modification process controlled in an appropriate time period, the phenomenon of agglomeration could be avoided; thus, fracturing of the solid would be produced continuously. In addition, the specific surface area increased and acquired more regions for sulfonated sites, which could lead to high efficiency and capacity of Pb(II) absorbed on SEG.

Adsorption study

**Effect of pH.** The pH of a solution made a significant difference on the surface properties of the adsorbed heavy metal ions. The effect of pH on the removal efficiency of Pb(II) is illustrated in Fig. 8. When the pH increased the removal efficiency and amount both increased. The extremely low efficiency in acidic medium, where pH value was less than 3, is attributed to the fact that the surface of SEG possessed a positive charge, which can be noted in Fig. 9. The lower the pH, the higher the hydrogen ion concentration of the solution, which intensively competes with lead ions for the adsorption sites thus resulting in depressed adsorption capacity. In addition, the availability of $\text{SO}_3^-$ of SEG is reduced with a condition of low pH because of a right shift in the equilibrium equation.

$$\text{EG–SO}_3^- + \text{H}^+ \rightarrow \text{EG–SO}_3\text{H}$$

(5)

As can be seen, the competitiveness of hydrogen ions with lead ions for adsorption sites would become weaker and weaker at higher pH levels. And when the pH was 5 to 6, the adsorption performance of Pb(II) was significantly improved with removal efficiency, reaching approximately 95%. Hence, the optimal pH for adsorption should be established at 5.59, which was the original pH value of the solution.

**Effect of adsorbent dosage.** Effect of SEG dosage on adsorption of Pb(II) was investigated in a range from 0.01 g to 0.08 g. It was known that the amount of adsorption sites per unit mass of SEG should remain constant, without relation to the total adsorbent mass; however, enhancement of adsorbent dosage in a settled volume could reduce available sites attributed to the decrease of the effective surface area. From Fig. 10, it was obvious that the removal efficiency increased with the

**Table 2** Pore characteristics of EG and SEG

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Surface area (m² g⁻¹)</th>
<th>Average pore volume (cm³ g⁻¹)</th>
<th>Average pore diameter (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EG</td>
<td>37.68</td>
<td>0.105</td>
<td>16.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEG</td>
<td>51.43</td>
<td>0.121</td>
<td>18.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 7 Particle size distribution of SEG.

![Particle size distribution of SEG.](image)

![Effect of pH on adsorption (Pb²⁺ concentration, 20 mg L⁻¹; volume of Pb²⁺, 100 mL; SEG dose, 0.02 g; contact time, 30 min; temperature, 30 °C).](image)

Fig. 8 Effect of pH on adsorption (Pb²⁺ concentration, 20 mg L⁻¹; volume of Pb²⁺, 100 mL; SEG dose, 0.02 g; contact time, 30 min; temperature, 30 °C).

**Table 2** Pore characteristics of EG and SEG

![Zeta potentials.](image)

Fig. 9 Zeta potentials.

![Effect of adsorbent dose (Pb²⁺ concentration, 20 mg L⁻¹; volume of Pb²⁺, 100 mL; pH of solution, 5.59; contact time, 30 min; temperature, 30 °C).](image)

Fig. 10 Effect of adsorbent dose (Pb²⁺ concentration, 20 mg L⁻¹; volume of Pb²⁺, 100 mL; pH of solution, 5.59; contact time, 30 min; temperature, 30 °C).
augment of adsorbent loading, and plenty of adsorbent in the process would guarantee sufficient adsorption capacity to remove Pb(II) as much as possible. In addition, Pb(II) could be removed with an efficiency of approximately 95% even at a dosage as low as 0.02 g, which is attributed to the strong interaction between SEG and lead ions. With an increase of adsorbent amount, there was no further enhancement of Pb(II) adsorption, reaching a gradually diminished adsorption capacity less than 90 mg g⁻¹. Meanwhile, removal efficiency maintained steady due to adsorption equilibration on Pb(II) at the initial concentration of 20 mg L⁻¹.

**Effect of initial concentration.** Effects of the initial concentration on adsorption of Pb(II) was investigated by changing it in a range between 10 and 150 mg L⁻¹. What can be learned from Fig. 11 was that removal efficiency progressively decreased from around 95% to 43%, when the initial concentration of Pb(II) gradually increased. On the contrary, increasing initial concentration of Pb(II) could lead to augmenting the amount of adsorbed Pb(II). In general, high concentrations of reactants could result in a positive shift to the direction for products; thus, higher initial concentrations of Pb(II) promoted improved adsorption capacity. However, when Pb(II) concentration was greater than 125 mg L⁻¹, the removal amount no longer changed, and the growth trend gradually slowed down, exhibiting the highest adsorption capacity of 325.12 mg g⁻¹.

**Effect of temperature.** Effects of temperature on adsorption of Pb(II) was investigated by varying it from 20 to 60 °C. As shown in Fig. 12, with an increase of temperature, the removal efficiency increased by degrees, implying that the adsorption of Pb(II) was a spontaneous process of decalescence. And in the process of heating up, removal efficiency increased from 43.3% to almost 72.8%, with the highest adsorption capacity more than 450 mg g⁻¹, indicating that increasing temperature might lead to an increase in available adsorption sites on the surface of SEG. In addition, the movement of molecules and ions contributing to the diffusion rate would be faster at higher temperatures, meaning that the adsorption equilibrium could be reached in a relatively short time. Nearly 325.12 mg g⁻¹ of removal capacity was obtained even at an indoor ambient temperature of 30 °C.

*Fig. 11* Effect of initial concentrate of Pb²⁺ (volume of Pb²⁺, 100 mL; SEG dose, 0.02 g; pH of solution, 5.59; contact time, 30 min; temperature, 30 °C).

*Fig. 12* Effect of temperature (Pb²⁺ concentration, 125 mg L⁻¹; volume of Pb²⁺, 100 mL; SEG dose, 0.02 g; pH of solution, 5.59; contact time, 30 min).

**Effect of contact time.** Effects of contact time on adsorption of Pb(II), which plays an important role in a cost-efficient adsorption system and is a vital parameter for adsorption capacity, was investigated by varying it in a range from 5 to 30 minutes. Fig. 13 illustrates that the removal efficiency of Pb(II) increased sharply at the beginning, and as the contact time increased, the trend became slower until it reached the condition of maximum equilibrium. Notably, the removal efficiency surged to approximately 43% in the first 15 minutes; nevertheless, it increased slowly with additional time, and achieved maximum capacity of 325 mg g⁻¹ in 30 minutes. This result was ascribed to the available adsorption sites on the surface were sufficient initially, but the remaining adsorption sites decreased with time, and finally reached saturation capacity. In addition, a shorter contact time to achieve maximum removal efficiency than with many other reported adsorbent materials could be obtained because of graphite’s properties.49

**Adsorption isotherm**

The Langmuir (eqn (6)),50–52 Freundlich (eqn (7))53,54 and Temkin isotherms (eqn (8))55,56 were applied to evaluate the equilibrium of the data.
where \( q_e \) is the equilibrium concentration of Pb(ii) in solution (mg L\(^{-1}\)), \( q_e \) is the amount of Pb(ii) adsorbed on the SEG (mg g\(^{-1}\)), \( q_m \) is the maximum amount of Pb(ii) adsorbed per unit weight of SEG, and \( K_L \) (L mol\(^{-1}\)) represents the enthalpy of adsorption. \( K_F \) (mol\(^{1-n}\) L\(^n\) g\(^{-1}\)) and \( n_F \) are the Freundlich constants related to adsorption capacity and intensity, respectively. \( A \) (mg g\(^{-1}\)) and \( B \) (J mol\(^{-1}\)) are the Temkin constants.

From Fig. 14 and Table 3, it was obvious that the Freundlich and Temkin models were not fit to describe the adsorption experiments due to the low \( R^2 \) coefficients determined for them. On the contrary, the highest regression correlation of \( R^2 = 0.996 \) reflected that the adsorption experimental data of Pb(ii) adsorbed on SEG was primarily suitable for the Langmuir model. Therefore, the maximum adsorption capacity \( (q_m) \) of Pb(ii) on SEG was calculated by using the Langmuir equation, which was approximately 333.33 mg g\(^{-1}\). Note the experimental result was 325.12 mg g\(^{-1}\), with only a 2.4% difference between the theoretical calculation and experimental value, indicating that they were in superb agreement considering system errors. These findings indicated that the adsorption process took place on a single layer, not a heterogeneous surface of SEG. In addition, the efficient adsorption could be closely linked to the presence of sulfonated functional groups on the surface.

### Adsorption kinetics

To investigate the effect of contact time and obtain the resulting kinetic parameters, adsorption kinetic experiments of Pb(ii) on SEG were carried out. The kinetic parameters of Pb(ii) adsorption on SEG were evaluated using pseudo-first-order (eqn (9)) and pseudo-second-order (eqn (10)) kinetic models.\(^{57,58}\) The kinetic models for describing the adsorption behavior are presented as follows:

\[
\ln(q_e - q_t) = \ln q_e - k_1 t
\]

\[
\frac{t}{q_t} = \frac{1}{k_2 q_e^2} + \frac{t}{q_e}
\]

where \( k_1 \) and \( k_2 \) are the pseudo-first-order and pseudo-second-order rate constants, respectively, and \( q_e \) and \( q_t \) are the adsorption capacity of Pb(ii) on SEG at a random and equilibrium time, respectively (Fig. 15).

Table 4 illustrates all the kinetic parameters obtained by linear fitting using the pseudo-first-order and pseudo-second-order models. The \( R^2 \) correlation coefficients of the pseudo-first-order model was 0.882, implying that the pseudo-first-order model is not fit for the experimental kinetics data. However, the \( R^2 \) coefficient of the pseudo-second-order model was greater than 0.99, indicating that the adsorption experiments could be well-described by the pseudo-second-order kinetic model. And based on that model, the calculated value of the equilibrium capacity was 328.95 mg g\(^{-1}\), in good accordance with the experimentally measured capacity of 325.12 mg g\(^{-1}\).

### Adsorption thermodynamics

To estimate the influence of temperature on adsorption, three basic thermodynamic parameters, enthalpy (\( \Delta H^0 \)), entropy (\( \Delta S^0 \)), and Gibbs free energy (\( \Delta G^0 \)), were calculated using the following equations:\(^{59,60}\)

\[
\ln K_d = \frac{\Delta S^0}{R} - \frac{\Delta H^0}{RT}
\]

\[
K_d = \frac{C_0 - C_t}{C_t}
\]

\[
\Delta G^0 = -RT \ln K_d
\]

### Table 3 Langmuir, Freundlich, and Temkin isotherm model constants and regression correlation coefficients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Constant 1 (mg g(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Constant 2 (L mol(^{-1}))</th>
<th>( R^2 )</th>
<th>Constant 1 (mol(^{1-n}) L(^n) g(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Constant 2</th>
<th>( R^2 )</th>
<th>( R^2 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Langmuir</td>
<td>333.33</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.996</td>
<td>87.88</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>0.876</td>
<td>107.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freundlich</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temkin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.954</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The constants of the models were calculated from the slopes and intercepts of linear fitting of \( C_e \), ln \( C_e \), and ln \( C_e \) versus \( C_e/q_e \), ln \( q_e \) and \( q_e \) respectively, related to Langmuir, Freundlich, and Temkin equations. The regressions were obtained from the correlation coefficients of fitting equations calculated by the ratio of regression sum of squares occupied by the total.
where $K_d$ is the adsorption equilibrium constant, $T$ (K) is the temperature of the solution, and $R$ is the ideal gas constant (8.314 J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$).

Table 5 lists the thermodynamic parameters of the adsorption experiments. From results of the thermodynamic parameters, the positive values of $\Delta H^0$ indicated that the adsorption process was endothermic, requiring an input of energy to combine Pb(II) with the sulfonated groups on the surface of SEG. Moreover, the value of $\Delta H^0$ was greater than 40 kJ mol$^{-1}$, implying that the adsorption was a process of physisorption accompanied by chemisorptions. Also, the adsorption process is spontaneous due to the negative values of $\Delta G^0$ at different experimental temperatures. As for $\Delta S^0$, the positive value reflected an increase in randomness during the process of Pb(II) adsorption on SEG at the solid–liquid interface, suggesting a firm affinity existed between Pb(II) and the adsorbents.

### Desorption and regeneration

For an excellent adsorbent, high adsorption capability is one of the most significant parameters, but beyond that reusability is a vital factor to reduce overall costs for potential commercial applications. Thus, desorption of SEG is necessary for regeneration and to restore adsorption capacity. As mentioned in eqn (5), it could be effective for Pb(II) ions to be released from SEG by adding dilute acid. Therefore, a desorption experiment with Pb(II) was investigated using 0.1 M HCl, 0.1 M HNO$_3$, and H$_2$O for blank control as eluents. As shown in Fig. 17, the desorption efficiencies of Pb(II) released from spent SEG were 90.8%, 85.7%, and 27.6% using 0.1 M HCl, 0.1 M HNO$_3$, and H$_2$O, respectively. Both HCl and HNO$_3$ solutions showed effective elution capabilities. Removal efficiency of Pb(II) on regenerated SEG reached 88.6% with the initial re-adsorption. Additionally, Fig. 18 shows that the removal efficiency for Pb(II) on SEG decreased only 9% after 6 cycles of adsorption–desorption, manifesting superior regeneration and recycle performance that would be important for extensive industrial applications.

**Adsorption mechanism**

Fig. 4 shows the FT-IR spectrum of Pb(II)-loaded SEG. After adsorption, the dominant and broad peak shifted from 3448.36 cm$^{-1}$ to 3435.29 cm$^{-1}$, which might result from the complexation of Pb(II) with ionized –OH groups of “free” hydroxyl groups. And the apparent and sharp peaks located at 1235 and 1070.12 cm$^{-1}$ shifted to 1219 and 1049 cm$^{-1}$, respectively, which could be due to the combination of Pb(II) with S–O groups on the surface of SEG. In addition, the intensity of the peak at 729.10 cm$^{-1}$ distinctly decreased, with a slight shift to 725.15 cm$^{-1}$, which could be attributed to complexation between Pb(II) and C–O–S belonging to C–O–SO$_3$ groups. According to analysis of FT-IR data, the schematic diagram of SEG interacted with Pb(II) as shown in Fig. 16, and the reactions on the surface of SEG could be presented as follows:

![Fig. 15 Pseudo-first-order (a) and pseudo-second-order (b) adsorption kinetics models for Pb(II) adsorption on SEG.](image)

**Table 4 Pseudo-first-order and pseudo-second-order kinetic parameters for Pb(II) adsorption on SEG**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$q_e$ (exp) (mg g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Pseudo 1st kinetics model</th>
<th></th>
<th>Pseudo 2nd kinetics model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$k_1$ (min$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>$q_e$ (mg g$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>$R^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>325.12</td>
<td>0.123</td>
<td>175.74</td>
<td>0.882</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Table 5 Thermodynamic parameters for Pb(II) adsorption on SEG](image)
Conclusion

Experimental results show that a novel promising absorbent with adsorption capacity of Pb(II) on SEG reaching 325.12 mg g\(^{-1}\) was successfully synthesized by a mechanochemical method.

The equilibrium data of Pb(II) adsorbed on SEG were compatible with Langmuir isotherms, and the adsorption process followed pseudo-second-order kinetics. Moreover, thermodynamic parameters indicated that the adsorption of Pb(II) onto SEG is an endothermic and spontaneous process that relies on physisorption accompanied by chemisorption. In addition, SEG was easily desorbed and repeatedly used as an efficient adsorbent.

The sulfonated functional groups located at the surface of SEG mainly participated in the complexation of Pb(II). This enhanced adsorption and removed Pb(II) from aqueous solution with high efficiency. Additionally, Pb(II) could combine with the hydroxyl groups at the edges of SEG as well.
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