RSC Advances

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2017, 7, 34138

Received 19th April 2017 Accepted 30th June 2017 DOI: 10.1039/c7ra04401a

rsc.li/rsc-advances

1 Introduction

 $MnO₂$ nanostructures are one of the most stable manganese oxides in nature.¹ The morphology of $MnO₂$ is often an influential factor due to the presence of highly active sites which offers promising electrokinetics and catalytic activities.² In particular, the rich polymorphism and structural flexibility with excellent physical and chemical properties of these compounds^{3,4} have opened the door for a wide range of applications in energy storage systems, $5-13$ fuel cell catalysis, $14-17$ water treatment,^{18,19} biosensing^{20,21} etc. The past few decades have seen numerous techniques for the synthesis and characterization of these nanomaterials including sol–gel templating,²² thermal decomposition,²³ refluxing,²⁴ solvent-free solid reaction,²⁵ electrodeposition²⁶ and hydrothermal methods.²⁷⁻³¹ Among these, the hydrothermal method can well be a preferred choice because of its effectiveness, simplicity and low cost. Ramachandran et al.³² have used the hydrothermal method to grow a-phase manganese dioxide nanorods over nitrogen doped graphite nanowires. We have recently developed^{33,34} MnO₂

One-pot synthesis and first-principles elasticity analysis of polymorphic $MnO₂$ nanorods for tribological assessment as friction modifiers

Niraj Kumar, **D**^{ab} Shubrajit Bhaumik,^c Arijit Sen,^{*ab} A. Pooja Shukla^{ab} and S. D. Pathak^c

One-pot synthesis of single-crystalline α and β -MnO₂ nanorods was carried out by selectively varying the acidic concentrations. Ultrafine one dimensional nanorods with diameters of about 10–40 nm are achieved. The respective phases of the nanorods were then altered through simple optimization in the molar concentration of H₂SO₄. Morphological transition from microstructure to nanostructure is also examined by changing the acid concentration from high to low. Elastic and tribological properties of these nanomaterials were subsequently explored, with a view to their possible applications as nanoadditives in green lubricants. While β -MnO₂ nanorods showed a reduction in the coefficient of friction by about 15%, α -MnO₂ nanorods turned out to be even better nanoadditives yielding a reduction of as high as 30%. Moreover, both the polymorphs of $MnO₂$ nanostructures led to lower roughness when used as nanoadditives in the base oil. Our analysis suggests that such enhancement of antiwear properties originates primarily from the mutual interplay between the rolling action and the protective layer formation by respective polymorphs of quasi-1D MnO₂. From the first-principles analysis, we envisage that α -MnO₂ nanorods may potentially serve as efficient nanoadditives in comparison with β -MnO₂ nanorods due to superior elastic properties of the former. PAPER

(a) Cheek for updates
 $\frac{3}{2}$
 $\frac{$

nanorods and nanowires using a facile hydrothermal treatment without resorting to any seed, capping agent or template.

As mentioned earlier, the properties like rich polymorphism and structural flexibility exhibited by $MnO₂$ nanostructures can make it to withstand extreme pressure with high level of anti wear properties, which is a prerequisite for a good lubricant. This brings the need to explore the tribological properties of $MnO₂$ nanostructure as friction modifiers. Although $MnO₂$ nanorods have long been seen as an important electrode material for energy storage, its role as an efficient additive in lubrication has not been studied much. Lubrication is known to be one of the most important mechanical processes in controlling the wear of various machineries.

Commercially petroleum oils are used extensively as lubricants but have major drawback as they are conventionally nonrenewable energy sources. This has prompted researches to explore green or renewable energy alternatives.³⁵ Owing to this, biodegradable palm or vegetable oil as lubricants have gained much attention due to their better lubricating properties such as high-index viscosity, low volatility and good lubricity and of course for being perfect green or renewable energy alternatives.³⁶–³⁸ However, their anti-wear properties under extreme pressure as against commercial petroleum oils are not so impressive.³⁹ Zulkifli et al. demonstrated that palm oils suffer from poor anti-wear properties than commercial lubricants.⁴⁰ Recent progress in synthesis of nanomaterials provides the researchers a new prospect to overcome the above problem with

YAL SOCIETY
CHEMISTRY

a SRM Research Institute, SRM University, Kattankulathur-603203, India. E-mail: arijit.s@res.srmuniv.ac.in

b Department of Physics and Nanotechnology, SRM University, Kattankulathur-603203, India

c Department of Mechanical Engineering, SRM University, Kattankulathur-603203, India

the origin of nanotribology. Additives at nanoscale regime are supposed to improve the anti-wear properties of base oil on mixing due to their high surface activities as compared to their bulk counterpart.⁴¹⁻⁴⁴ Gulzar et al. have reported an enhancement in the anti-wear property of palm oil by adding CuO and $MoS₂$ nanoparticles as additives.³⁵ Various mechanism for nanoparticles based lubricants, which are responsible for protecting the metal to metal surfaces, include ball bearing effect, $45-47$ protective film effect, $48-52$ mending and polishing effect⁵³ etc. In the works of Zhang et al.,⁵⁴ the friction and wear properties of the base oil get enhanced with the use of WS_2 nanorods as additives. For Yang *et al.*,⁵⁵ high friction and wear properties of base oil are found to occur with the addition of WSe2 nanorods. They explain the effect of nanorods as additives in terms of the molecule-bearing mechanism of rolling friction and sliding friction of the nanorods between the rubbing surfaces. Besides, Panda et al .⁵⁶ report the tribological properties of ultra nanocrystalline diamond (UNCD) and diamond nanorod (DNR) films in ambient and nitrogen atmosphere for the implementation of reliable micro- and nanoelectromechanical systems (MEMS/NEMS). Also, Liu et al.⁵⁷ come across the enhanced tribological behaviour of $Fe₂O₃$ nanorods based composites with graphene nanosheets and bismaleimides. We have of late reported⁵⁸ the possibility of enhancing the tribological properties of castor oil with proper additives. Several recent studies have as well demonstrated that the tribological properties of lubricants can be augmented with the selective use of additives.⁵⁹⁻⁶³ Paper

One origin of nanoules bag on distribution at minicial term of the original paper and the station of the paper of the station of the statio

Our present work focuses on the use of $MnO₂$ nanorods as additives in base oil (palm oil) for evaluating its tribological properties. Unlike other reported works,²⁸⁻³² we developed a common procedure requiring the common time, temperature and precursors to check rich polymorphism by creating two different polymorphs, α and β of MnO₂ and structure flexibility by drawing 1D nanostructures from bulk to micro and finally to nanoscale with simple optimizations in acidic concentration at the start of hydrothermal reaction without using any external agent. The as prepared nanorods were characterized using FESEM, EDX, XRD, FTIR and TEM while the tribological properties were tested for the nanorods as additives in the base oil using the four-balltest-rig method^{64,65} followed by the three dimensional profilometers and FESEM. In addition, first principles studies were carried out to analyze the elastic properties of α and β -MnO₂ nanorods. To the best of our knowledge, this work reports for the first time on the tribological aspects of α and β -MnO₂ nanorods as potential lubrication additives through a comparative analysis.

2 Experimental

2.1 Materials

Being of analytical grade with high purity, all the reagents, viz. Potassium permanganate (KMnO₄), sodium nitrite (NaNO₂) and sulphuric acid (H_2SO_4) , as purchased from Sigma Aldrich, along with biodegradable palm oil, as collected from a local store, were used without any further treatment. All the solutions were made with de-ionized water.

2.2 Synthesis

In a typical synthesis, 2 mM $KMnO₄$ and 3 mM $NaNO₂$ were mixed in 34 ml of de-ionized water using magnetic stirring. Then, $0.4 M H₂SO₄$ was prepared in 6 ml of de-ionized water and was mixed with the above solution by its dropwise addition at frequent intervals under effective stirring. The hydrothermal process was carried out at 160 $^{\circ}$ C for 12 h after keeping the above prepared solution in autoclave of 80% capacity. After 12 h of hydrothermal reaction the autoclave was left to be cooled in normal ambient condition. The supernatant was discarded and solid residue was collected upon thoroughly washing with deionized water. At last, the synthesized product was given heat treatment at 350 °C for 4 h and termed as sample S1. Sample S2 was prepared following the same synthesis procedures but only changing the molar concentration of H_2SO_4 to 0.3 M.

2.3 Preparation of lubricants

Palm oil is chosen as the base oil for its excellent biodegradable and oxidative properties and also its ease of availability. For the preparation of α -MnO₂ added palm oil as lubricant, 1 g of the asprepared α -MnO₂ nanorods were mixed with 100 ml of palm oil. The prepared solution was probe sonicated for 60 min to agitate the oil and form a homogenous mixture. The solution was further magnetically stirred thoroughly for nearly 60 min. The concentration of the $MnO₂$ nanorods was 1% by weight (wt). The same procedures were repeated with the β -MnO₂ nanorods to prepare β -MnO₂ nanorods added palm oil as lubricant.

2.4 Characterization

X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were extracted from PAN analytical X' Pert Pro diffractometer at 30 mA, 40 kV and 1.54 A˚ Cu-Ka rays of wavelength. Morphological studies were carried out via 'Quanta 200 FEG FE-SEM' and 'HR-TEM, JEM-2010'. Elemental and functional group analysis was done through 'Bruker 129 eV' with 'Espirit software' and Perkin Elmer Spectrophotometer respectively. The surfaces of the wear scars on the balls after tribo tests were characterized by the FESEM. a non-contact type of profilometer and an optical microscope.

2.5 Tribological setup

A four ball test rig was used to determine the anti-wear property of the nanorods based palm oil. The test balls, as used during the experiment, were of AISI 52100 steel with 12.7 mm diameter and approximately 58–60 Rc. Three balls were placed stationary in the lubricant chamber while the fourth ball was fixed in the rotating ball chuck. About 1 g of $MnO₂$ nanorods (each of α and β type) were added to 100 ml of palm oil. The suspensions were sonicated using a probe sonicator for 60 minutes followed by stirring using a magnetic stirrer for about 45 minutes. The nanorods suspended oil samples were then poured in the lubricant chamber. Approximately, 30 ml of oil samples were used during each experiment. Enough care was taken to ensure full submersion of all the contact points of the balls. The tests were conducted at 392 N (40 kgf), 1200 rpm and 75 °C for 3600 seconds (ASTM D 4172). The data were recorded continuously using a data acquisition system.

2.6 Computational details

All the structural relaxations pertaining to α -MnO₂ and β -MnO₂ quasi-1D nanostructures were performed using the density functional theory (DFT) until the residual forces became smaller than 0.005 eV $\rm{\AA}^{-1}.$ The Hamiltonian in the present work was expanded in real space by way of double- ζ with polarization (DZP) localized pseudoatomic orbitals.^{66,67} The exchangecorrelation energy was described by the spin-polarized generalized gradient approximation (SGGA). We set the grid mesh cut-off to 300 hartree, the force tolerance to 0.01 eV $\rm \AA^{-1},$ and the k-point sampling to $1 \times 1 \times 300$. For the calculation of the elastic constants, the Lagrangian strain and stress tensor were employed so that the stress could be evaluated numerically as a function of small deformations in the simulation cell. The universal linearly independent coupling strain (ULICS) vectors,⁶⁸ as adopted here, ensured that all the stress components and elastic constants were coupled.

3 Results and discussions

 $MnO₂$ nanorods were prepared from the redox reaction between permanganate and nitrite ions in the presence of sulphuric acid. In brief, the reaction mechanism can be described as:²¹

$$
2MnO_4^- + 3NO_2^- + 2H^+ \rightarrow 2MnO_2 + 3NO_3^- + H_2O \quad (A)
$$

According to the Le Chatelier's principle, the feasibility of the reaction depends on the involvement of protons. The protons needed for the reaction is supplied by the sulphuric acid. Here, permanganate ion acts as oxidants and resource of manganese (Mn) element, whereas nitrite ions are used as reducing agents. It is conspicuous that the stoichiometric molar ratio of permanganate to nitrite ions is $2:3$. Modifications in the amount of proton can lead to the variations in shape, size and phase of the final product.

3.1 Structural analysis

The XRD patterns of the samples S1 and S2 prepared with 0.4 and 0.3 M $H₂SO₄$ are shown in Fig. 1(a). The diffraction peaks are primarily observed at $2\theta = 28.68$, 37.33 and 56.65 for sample S1 and at $2\theta = 12.7, 18.1, 28.8, 37.4, 49.8, 60.2$ for sample S2. These prime diffraction peaks can be ascribed to the pure tetragonal phases of β -MnO₂ (JCPDS 24-0735) and α -MnO₂ (JCPDS 44-0141) for the respective samples S1 and S2. No other peaks of impurities were observed for both the samples, which suggest for their high purity. It is evident that the phase of the synthesized material differs when the same synthesis procedure is followed with different acid concentration. Sample S1, prepared with 0.4 M H₂SO₄, has β phase while sample S2, prepared with 0.3 M H_2SO_4 , has α phase of MnO₂. The XRD pattern indicates that the subsequent formation of β -MnO₂ crystals preferably grow along the (110) plane direction though the growth for α -MnO₂ remains along (211) plane direction.

Interestingly, there was a phase transition to α phase when the concentration of H_2SO_4 was reduced to 0.3 M, following the same synthesis procedures. It is apparent that MnO^{4-} and NO^{2-} coexisting with the corresponding H^+ ions (eqn (A)) play a crucial role in our synthesis process to determine the crystal structure and morphology of the products. Few assumptions which can be made for the change of phase are detailed below. A certain amount of Mn^{4+} ions is required as stabilizing ions for the formation of tunnel structures. In our experiment, the decrease in H^+ ions results in a decrease in the formation rate of Mn^{4+} or the growth unit $[MnO₆]₆₉$ which further accounts for a decrease in the formation rate of various crystal faces. This could be the viable reason for the formation of α phase of MnO₂, as it has lower crystal faces due to the presence of larger $[2 \times 2]$ tunnel structures as against smaller $[1 \times 1]$ tunnel structures present in its β phase counterpart.⁶⁹ However, a clear insight needs to be done in near future. BSC Advances Word Hits article (ASTM 19412). The data were recorded computed with the article is are also as H-SO are the sound of 1/6 July 2018. The article is likely the sound of the sound of the sound of the sound of t

3.2 Functional group analysis

Infrared spectra of samples S1 and S2 are shown in Fig. 1(b). A common form in transmittance spectra is observed for both the

Fig. 1 (a) XRD patterns and (b) FTIR spectroscopy of the samples S1 and S2 prepared using $K MnO_4$ and $N a NO_2$ in the molar ratio of 2 : 3 at a temperature of 160 °C for 12 h with H₂SO₄ in the molar concentration of 0.4 M and 0.3 M, respectively.

samples. The common bands at around 715, 531 and 448 cm^{-1} can be referred to the metal–oxygen, Mn–O bonding vibrations.⁷⁰ No other vibrating bands are present in samples, which reveals for their high purity and is consistent with the XRD analysis.

3.3 Morphological analysis

The TEM and HRTEM images shown in Fig. 2(a)–(f) for α and β - $MnO₂$ samples confirm their morphologies to be in one dimensional nanorods like shape with diameter in the range of 10-40 nm. The HRTEM image of α -MnO₂ (see Fig. 2(c)) in growth direction of (310) suggests the d-spacing of 0.314 nm while that of β -MnO₂ (see Fig. 3(f)) in growth direction of (101) indicates the d-spacing of 0.24 nm. Both the results match well with their respective JCPDS data.

Energy dispersive X-ray analysis (EDX) of α and β -MnO₂ nanorods are presented in inset of Fig. 2(c) and (f), respectively. The presence of high intensity peaks for manganese and oxygen elements and the absence of any impurity peaks, indicates that the as-obtained α and β -MnO₂ nanorods were in pure form with negligible impurities. The results are found in corollary with the XRD data.

For morphological analysis at different acid strengths, different samples were prepared. Fig. $1(a)$ – (f) shows the FESEM images of samples prepared under different molarities of 1.3, 1.2, 0.9, 0.6, 0.4 and 0.3 M $H₂SO₄$ respectively. FESEM image of the sample prepared with 1.3 M H_2SO_4 (Fig. 1(a)) clearly depicts the bulk morphology and rules out presence of any nanostructure. Microstructure in the form of microflakes with microsheets like morphology having thickness of $0.5-1 \mu m$ is conspicuous. As seen from Fig. 1(b), microrods like morphology are obtained instead of microsheets when $H₂SO₄$ content is decreased to 1.2 M. These microrods still resemble the bulk

form due to high level of agglomerations and attachments. Microrods having diameters of about 0.3-1 μ m with lesser agglomeration and increased finesse can be visualized for the sample prepared at further decreased acidic strength of 0.9 M. The agglomerations are found to be drastically reduced upon decreasing the amount of H_2SO_4 to 0.6 M (Fig. 1(c)) and ultrafine nanorods with diameter in the range of 50-150 nm are obtained. This predicts the possibility of achieving morphologies at nanoscale level by decrease in amount of acid concentration during the synthesis process. The formation of smaller dimensional $MnO₂$ structures with slimmer forms was possible due to the restriction imposed on the redox reaction by the decrease in amount of protons (H_2SO_4) which eventually increases the reduction potential of permanganate ions based on the Nernst equation.⁷¹ Fortunately, the further decrease in $H₂SO₄$ content to 0.4 and 0.3 M leads to reduction in diameters of nanorods (Fig. 1(e) and (f)) and 1D nanorods with diameters in the range of 10–40 nm are formed. Hence, it can be predicted that as the surface energy of nanorods is very high compared to their bulk counterpart, they cannot withstand to form a stable structure under high acidic pressure. Only under optimized conditions with relatively less acidic effect, the probabilities of getting nanostructures are high. In conjugation with the XRD analysis, it is notable that at 0.4 M $H₂SO₄$, β -MnO₂ nanorods exist and at 0.3 M H_2SO_4 , α -MnO₂ nanorods exist. Paper

Secret on order on the correct on the constraints are a created on the constraints are constraints are a created on the constraints are a created to the the light particle in the constraints are about the constraint

For studying the growth mechanism of nanorods, FESEM images were captured at different time intervals of 2 h, 5 h and 7 h (Fig. 4(a)–(c)), respectively for the sample prepared at 0.4 M $H₂SO₄$ (β -MnO₂). It can be assumed that during the initial stage of hydrothermal reaction *i.e.* after 2 hours, the precursors disintegrates under extreme hydrothermal pressure and nucleates to form nanoparticles (Fig. 4(a)). When the reaction proceeds for 5 h, these nanoparticles self assembled to reduce

Fig. 2 (a, b) TEM images of α -MnO₂ at different magnifications, (c) HRTEM image of α -MnO₂ with growth direction (310), d-spacing = 0.314 nm and EDX pattern as inset in (c). (d, e) TEM images of β -MnO₂ at different magnifications, (f) HRTEM image of β -MnO₂ with growth direction (101), d -spacing = 0.24 nm and EDX pattern as inset in (f).

Fig. 3 FESEM images of the final product prepared using KMnO₄ and NaNO₂ in the molar ratio of 2 : 3 at a temperature of 160 °C for 12 h with $H₂SO₄$ in the molar concentration of (a) 1.3 M, (b) 1.2 M, (c) 0.9 M, (d) 0.6 M, (e) 0.4 M and (f) 0.3 M.

Fig. 4 FESEM images of the sample prepared with 0.4 M H_2SO_4 at hydrothermal reaction time of (a) 2 h, (b) 5 h and (c) 7 h.

the interfacial energies generated by their high surface areas and eventually coagulates to form dense mess of aggregated nanorods (Fig. 4(b)). When the reaction further proceeds for 7 h, the aggregated nanorods starts getting their individuality and are fine tuned (Fig. 4(c)). Finally at 12 h of reaction ultrafine nanorods having 10–40 nm diameter can be seen in Fig. 3(e). This can be accounted for the Kirkendall effect. The results are in line with our previous reports.⁷²

3.4 DFT analysis

As Fig. 5 suggests, quasi-1D nanostructures of α - and β -MnO₂ are structurally different, which is in good agreement with the HRTEM data (see Fig. 2(c) and (f)). Besides, the chemical potential of α -MnO₂ is about 40% more than that of β -MnO₂ (see Table 1). For finding the stability of free-standing structures, we resort to the wire tension (f) minima, as suggested by Tosatti et al.,⁷³ such that

$$
f = (F - \eta N)/L, \tag{1}
$$

where, η represents the chemical potential, and F the free energy associated with N number of atoms for the wire length of L. With about 30% lower tension, β -MnO₂ comes out to be

structurally more stable than α -MnO₂ in its quasi-1D form (see Table 1). Tompsett et al.⁷⁴ have recently provided some theoretical insight on the stability and electronic structure of b- $MnO₂$ surfaces. Subsequently, Yuan et al.⁷⁵ have demonstrated how the oriented attachment plays an important role in the formation of one dimensional tunnelled α -MnO₂.

Additionally, Fig. 5 demonstrates that both the phases can exhibit the half-metallic character as well, often suitable for various device applications. However, the spin polarization is more intense along the central strand of α -MnO₂ unlike the case with β -MnO₂, where the spin polarization is spread almost all over. It goes well with the recent observation⁷⁶ of surface metallicity in $MnO₂$.

To further shed light on the mechanical properties, Table 1 enlists the calculated elastic constants for the two polymorphs of MnO₂, based on which the compressibility (Σ) , shear modulus (G), Young's modulus (Y), and Poisson's ratio (V_{xy}) were evaluated using the Voigt-Reuss-Hill averaging scheme.⁷⁷ The compressibility can become just double while the shear modulus can drop close to even half the value for β -MnO₂ in comparison with α -MnO₂. Similarly, the calculated Young's modulus for α -MnO₂ turns out to be as much as three times that of β -MnO₂ in quasi-1D conformation.

Fig. 5 Calculated quasi-1D nanostructures (top as well as side view) and associated spin-polarized density of states for (a) β -MnO₂ and (b) α -MnO2 phases. The electron density difference of each phase for up (red) and down (blue) spins is shown in the respective inset.

Table 1 Comparative analysis of chemical potential (η) , average magnetic moment (m), wire tension (f), compressibility (Σ) , shear modulus (G), Young's modulus (Y) and Poisson's ratio (V_{xy}) between quasi-1D nanostructures of α - and β -MnO₂, as calculated within the density functional theory

Mechanical properties	α-MnO ₂ nanorods	β-MnO ₂ nanorods
η (eV)	5.69	3.98
$m(\mu_{\rm B})$	1.04	1.95
f (eV \AA^{-1})	2.6	1.8
Σ (GPa ⁻¹)	0.14	0.31
G (GPa)	4.5	2.0
Y(GPa)	139.5	38.9
V_{xy}	-0.97	-1.38

A detailed study by Riedo et al.⁷⁸ shows that the change in the friction coefficient of the samples is inversely related to the variations of their Young's modulus. This way, it can be predicted that quasi-1D α -MnO₂ possesses lower coefficient of friction than β -MnO₂. It further suggests that α -MnO₂ should be a promising candidate as lubricant additive in comparison to β - $MnO₂$, though the latter has better structural stability in reduced dimensions.

3.5 Tribological analysis

The coefficient of friction is calculated according to the following relation:

$$
\mu = \left(T\sqrt{6}\right)/3Wr
$$
 (2)

where, μ denotes the coefficient of friction, T the mean frictional torque (kg mm), W the applied load (kg) and r the distance from the centre of the contact ball surface (lower) to the axis of rotation (mm).

3.5.1 Analysis of frictional properties. Minimum frictional torque was observed for α -MnO₂ added palm oil followed by β - $MnO₂$ added palm oil and pure palm oil (see Fig. 6(a)). The coefficient of friction in case of α -MnO₂ added palm oil (0.075) was found to be lower than that of β -MnO₂ (0.0881) added palm oil and pure palm oil (0.10419) (see Fig. 6(b)). Error bars shown in Fig. 6(b) account for the average value determined from the three different repeated test values. Lower value of the coefficient of friction, as observed for α -MnO₂, is in good agreement with our theoretical analysis. The coefficient of friction in case of α -MnO₂ and β -MnO₂ nanorods are observed to be 25% and 11.9% lower than the reported coefficient of friction of $TiO₂$ nanoparticles added palm oil at same additive weight percentage of 0.1%.⁷⁹ For a lubricant, lower the coefficient of friction the higher is its lubricity. In this regard we can infer that $MnO₂$ nanorods can be a better nanoadditive for enhancing the lubricity of biodegradable oils.

The results are also in line with the scar diameters as observed from the Fig. $7(a)$ – (c) , which indicated that surface to surface contact in case of α -MnO₂ (scar diameter 0.525 mm) is lower than that of β -MnO₂ (scar diameter 0.535 mm) and pure

Fig. 6 (a) Frictional torque with respect to time observed using four ball test technique, (b) coefficient of friction of pure palm oil β -MnO₂ added palm oil and α -MnO₂ added palm oil and (c) schematic outlay of the four-ball test rig.

Fig. 7 FESEM images of scar surfaces of the balls tested using (a) pure palm oil, (b) β -MnO₂ added palm oil and (c) α -MnO₂ added palm oil as lubricants and (d) their respective wear scar diameters. Three dimensional surface morphologies taken using profilometer with line roughness (R_q) and average roughness (R_a) values for balls tested using (e) pure palm oil, (f) β -MnO₂ added palm oil and (g) α -MnO₂ added palm oil as lubricants.

palm oil (scar diameter 0.645 mm) (Fig. 7(d)). Error bars account for the average values obtained after three repeated experiments. The scar diameter in case of palm oil is comparable with the reported data (0.62 mm at 40 kgf load).³⁵ The scar diameters in case of α -MnO₂ and β -MnO₂ nanorods as additives are found to be 7.9% and 6.14% lower than the reported data,³⁵ which uses $MoS₂$ nanoparticles as additives in base palm oil at similar condition. Lower scar diameter directly relates to lowering of wear rate in lubricant. Therefore, the nanorods as additives are expected to show better anti-wear properties.

Further insight into the frictional property through the theoretically calculated Young's modulus can be drawn from Bowden and Tabor theory,⁸⁰ as given by

$$
f = \tau A, \tag{3}
$$

where f is the force of friction, A is the area of contact of the contacting bodies and τ is the shear strength (critical). From the modified Hertzian theory, s^{s1} the area of contact A may take the form

$$
A = \pi \left[\frac{3r_{\rm c} \left(F_{\rm N} + F_{\rm adh} \right)}{4E^*} \right]^{\frac{2}{3}},\tag{4}
$$

where r_c , F_N , F_{adh} and E^* are respectively the radius of the contacting body (considered as a sphere which is on a plane), normal load, adhesive load and reduced Young's modulus. For a multi-asperity contact,⁸² the frictional force can be written as

$$
f = \mu(F_{\rm N} + F_{\rm adh})
$$
 (5)

Thus, from eqn (4) and (5), we obtain

$$
f = \tau \pi \left(\frac{3r_{\rm c}}{4E^*}\right) \left(F_{\rm N} + F_{\rm adh}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \tag{6}
$$

From eqn (6), it can be observed that the frictional force is directly proportional to the forces applied and is inversely proportional to the Young's modulus of the contacting bodies. Since, α -MnO₂ has higher Young's modulus than β -MnO₂, the frictional force should also be lower in case of α -MnO₂, as compared to β -MnO₂.

3.5.2 Analysis of surface roughness. The contact areas of the balls were evaluated for the change in topography after the tribo test using a 3D non-contact type of profilometer. Fig. $7(e)$ -(g) shows the three dimensional images of the surface topographs of the balls tested using palm oil, β -MnO₂ added palm oil and α -MnO₂ added palm oil, respectively. The line roughness

Fig. 8 FESEM images of the deposited nanorods on the scar surfaces of balls tested using (a, b) α -MnO₂ added palm oil with FESEM image of α -MnO₂ nanorods as inset in (b), (c, d) β -MnO₂ added palm oil with FESEM image of β -MnO₂ nanorods as inset in (d) and (e) schematic of the mechanisms responsible for enhancement of antiwear property of the base oil by nanorods.

 (R_q) and average roughness (R_a) values determined across the sliding direction are represented accordingly. It was observed that the samples which were tested with α -MnO₂ nanorod based oil had the least roughness (R_a 0.817 μ m, R_q 1.20 μ m) values followed by β -MnO₂ (R_a 1.14 μ m, R_q 1.79 μ m) and pure palm oil $(R_a 1.30 \mu m, R_a 1.96 \mu m)$. Thus, the nanorods acted as potential friction modifiers at extreme conditions.

 α -MnO₂ added palm oil showed better lubricity than β -MnO₂ added palm oil. This is in agreement with the results observed from the scar diameter and coefficient of friction values. Fig. 8(a) and (b) shows the presence of α -MnO₂ nanorods while Fig. 8(c) and (d) shows the presence of β -MnO₂ nanorods on the scars of tested balls with morphological variations in the nanometer range. Nanorods possessing 1D structure were able to penetrate easily into the intrinsic parts of the contact areas by filling up the small fissures and holes in the rubbing surfaces through the mending effect. A schematic of the mechanism responsible for lowering the coefficient of friction is portrayed in Fig. 8(e). The anchoring behaviour of $MnO₂$ initiated the formation of in situ films which restricted the metal to metal contact, thus, arresting the asperity–asperity contact. As a consequence, nanorods were supposed to form protective layers above the rubbing surfaces which were smoothened enough to exhibit the low coefficient of friction. BSC Advances Article Computes (R_a¹ views Article of the considerable of the considerable of the significant Creative Common and the common and

In view of nanoscience, a deeper insight can be presumed for the lowering of coefficient of friction with addition of nanorods. It is clear that the additives used were 1D nanostructure and so they must have experienced confinement in density of states by degeneracy of energy levels. This could have increased density of electrons on to the surface, which were confined to move only in one dimension thereby increasing the surface energy. This confined one dimensional movement might have increased the mobility of these high energy electrons which could have developed enough kinetic energy that adds up in the movement of balls and thus helped in decreasing the coefficient of friction.

The FESEM images, as shown in Fig. 8(c) and (d), reveal the noticeable morphological changes in the β -MnO₂ nanorods as compared to α -MnO₂ nanorods, as shown in Fig. 8(a) and (b). It is evident that the diameter of β -MnO₂ nanorods rose to 80– 100 nm range from $10-50$ nm range (approximately) after experiencing the anti-wear test. However, in the case of α -MnO₂ nanorods, there was no noticeable difference in the morphology even after the test. This reveals that α -MnO₂ nanorods have better elastic properties and superior shock absorbing capabilities in comparison with β -MnO₂ nanorods.

4 Conclusions

Ultrafine one dimensional α and β -MnO₂ nanorods with diameters of about 10–40 nm were successfully obtained by onepot synthesis. Phase of the $MnO₂$ nanorods was effectively controlled by altering the molar concentration of H_2SO_4 at the start of hydrothermal reaction. The work suggests that the nanostructures can exist in relatively low acidic conditions than compared with bulk counterpart. We have explored the tribological aspects of two well-known polymorphic phases of MnO₂ in quasi-1D form. The as prepared nanorods are found to

considerably enhance the lubricity of the base oil. We observe that even a reduction of as high as 30% in the coefficient of friction can happen when α -MnO₂ nanorods are exploited as nanoadditives in a biodegradable lubricant such as palm oil. The right interplay between the rolling action and the mending effect may be attributed to be the principal mechanism behind such augmentation of the antiwear properties of the base oil, once added with $MnO₂$ nanorods. Although β -MnO₂ nanorods are structurally more stable than β -MnO₂ nanorods, the latter come out to be less susceptible to any morphological changes during the antiwear test. Our first principles elasticity analysis reveals that α -MnO₂ nanorods can be better additives than β - $MnO₂$ nanorods due primarily to superior elastic properties of the former. This work has established that the polymorphic quasi-1D $MnO₂$ nanostructures can well be used as effective nanolubricant additives in future.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by DST Nano Mission, Govt. of India, via Project No. SR/NM/NS-1062/2012. We are thankful to the National PARAM Supercomputing Facility (NPSF), Centre for Development of Advanced Computing (C-DAC), India, and SRMHPCC for facilitating the high-performance computing.

References

- 1 T. Zordan and L. G. Hepler, Chem. Rev., 1968, 68, 737–745.
- 2 G. Gnanakumar, Z. Awan, K. Nahm and J. Xavier, Biosens. Bioelectron., 2014, 53, 528–534.
- 3 T. Gao, H. Fjellvåg and P. Norby, Nanotechnology, 2009, 20, 055610.
- 4 J. E. Post, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 1999, 96, 3447–3454.
- 5 S. Chen, J. Zhu and X. Wang, ACS Nano, 2010, 4, 6212–6218.
- 6 L. Feng, Z. Xuan, H. Zhao, Y. Bai, J. Guo, C.-w. Su and X. Chen, Nanoscale Res. Lett., 2014, 9, 1.
- 7 L.-L. Yu, J.-J. Zhu and J.-T. Zhao, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2014, 2, 9353–9360.
- 8 W. Tang, Y. Hou, X. Wang, Y. Bai, Y. Zhu, H. Sun, Y. Yue, Y. Wu, K. Zhu and R. Holze, J. Power Sources, 2012, 197, 330–333.
- 9 Y. Yang, L. Xiao, Y. Zhao and F. Wang, Int. J. Electrochem. Sci., 2008, 3, 67–74.
- 10 Y.-C. Chen, Y.-K. Hsu, Y.-G. Lin, Y.-K. Lin, Y.-Y. Horng, L.-C. Chen and K.-H. Chen, Electrochim. Acta, 2011, 56, 7124–7130.
- 11 W. Wei, X. Cui, W. Chen and D. G. Ivey, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2011, 40, 1697–1721.
- 12 P. Yu, X. Zhang, D. Wang, L. Wang and Y. Ma, Cryst. Growth Des., 2008, 9, 528–533.
- 13 V. Subramanian, H. Zhu, R. Vajtai, P. Ajayan and B. Wei, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2005, 109, 20207–20214.
- 14 X. Li, B. Hu, S. Suib, Y. Lei and B. Li, Biochem. Eng. J., 2011, 54, 10–15.
- 15 T. T. Truong, Y. Liu, Y. Ren, L. Trahey and Y. Sun, ACS Nano, 2012, 6, 8067–8077.
- 16 Y. Yuan, H. Li, M. Luo, S. Qin, W. Luo, L. Li and H. Yan, Water, Air, Soil Pollut., 2014, 225, 1–9.
- 17 Y. Hou, Y. Cheng, T. Hobson and J. Liu, Nano Lett., 2010, 10, 2727–2733.
- 18 J. B. Fei, Y. Cui, X. H. Yan, W. Qi, Y. Yang, K. W. Wang, Q. He and J. B. Li, Adv. Mater., 2008, 20, 452–456.
- 19 J. Cao, Q. Mao, L. Shi and Y. Qian, J. Mater. Chem., 2011, 21, 16210–16215.
- 20 W. Zhang, C. Zeng, M. Kong, Y. Pan and Z. Yang, Sens. Actuators, B, 2012, 162, 292–299.
- 21 J.-J. Feng, P.-P. Zhang, A.-J. Wang, Y. Zhang, W.-J. Dong and J.-R. Chen, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 2011, 359, 1–8.
- 22 B. B. Lakshmi, C. J. Patrissi and C. R. Martin, Chem. Mater., 1997, 9, 2544–2550.
- 23 D. Zitoun, N. Pinna, N. Frolet and C. Belin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 15034–15035.
- 24 J. C. Villegas, L. J. Garces, S. Gomez, J. P. Durand and S. L. Suib, Chem. Mater., 2005, 17, 1910–1918.
- 25 Y.-S. Ding, X.-F. Shen, S. Sithambaram, S. Gomez, R. Kumar, V. M. B. Crisostomo, S. L. Suib and M. Aindow, Chem. Mater., 2005, 17, 5382–5389.
- 26 M.-S. Wu, J.-T. Lee, Y.-Y. Wang and C.-C. Wan, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2004, 108, 16331–16333.
- 27 B. N. Rao, P. Muralidharan, P. R. Kumar, M. Venkateswarlu and N. Satyanarayana, Int. J. Electrochem. Sci., 2014, 9, 1207– 1220.
- 28 J. G. Zhao, J. Z. Yin and S. G. Yang, Mater. Res. Bull., 2012, 47, 896–900.
- 29 W. Tang, Y. Hou, X. Wang, Y. Bai, Y. Zhu, H. Sun, Y. Yue, Y. Wu, K. Zhu and R. Holze, J. Power Sources, 2012, 197, 330–333.
- 30 D. Su, H. J. Ahn and G. Wang, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2013, 1, 4845–4850.
- 31 J. Chen, Y. Wang, X. He, S. Xu, M. Fang, X. Zhao and Y. Shang, Electrochim. Acta, 2014, 142, 152–156.
- 32 K. Ramachandran, A. Zahoor, T. Kumar, K. Nahm, A. Balasubramani and G. Kumar, J. Ind. Eng. Chem., 2017, 46, 19–27.
- 33 N. Kumar, P. Dineshkumar, R. Rameshbabu and A. Sen, Mater. Lett., 2015, 158, 309–312.
- 34 N. Kumar, P. Dineshkumar, R. Rameshbabu and A. Sen, RSC Adv., 2016, 6, 7448–7454.
- 35 M. Gulzar, H. Masjuki, M. Varman, M. Kalam, R. Mufti, N. Zulkifli, R. Yunus and R. Zahid, Tribol. Int., 2015, 88, 271–279.
- 36 P. S. Lathi and B. Mattiasson, Appl. Catal., B, 2007, 69, 207– 212.
- 37 M. Fazal, A. Haseeb and H. Masjuki, Energy Convers. Manage., 2013, 67, 251–256.
- 38 N. Kumar, S. Chauhan, et al., Proc. Inst. Mech. Eng., Part J, 2014, 228, 797–807.
- 39 N. Fox and G. Stachowiak, Tribol. Int., 2007, 40, 1035–1046.
- 40 N. Zulkifli, M. Kalam, H. Masjuki, M. Shahabuddin and R. Yunus, Energy, 2013, 54, 167–173.
- 41 H. Huang, J. Tu, T. Zou, L. Zhang and D. He, Tribol. Lett., 2005, 20, 247–250.
- 42 G. Liu, X. Li, B. Qin, D. Xing, Y. Guo and R. Fan, Tribol. Lett., 2004, 17, 961–966.
- 43 L. Sun, Z. Zhang, Z. Wu and H. Dang, Mater. Sci. Eng., A, 2004, 379, 378–383.
- 44 C. Grossiord, J. Martin, T. Le Mogne and T. Palermo, Surf. Coat. Technol., 1998, 108, 352–359.
- 45 M. Charoo and M. F. Wani, Tribol. Ind., 2016, 38, 156–162.
- 46 M. Kandeva, D. Karastoianov, B. Ivanova and V. Pojidaeva, Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Tribology–SERBIATRIB'13, Kragujevac, Serbia, 2013, pp. 31– 36. Open Access Article. Published on 07 July 2017. Downloaded on 1/6/2025 1:06:13 AM. This article is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/) **[View Article Online](https://doi.org/10.1039/c7ra04401a)**
	- 47 L. Rapoport, V. Leshchinsky, M. Lvovsky, O. Nepomnyashchy, Y. Volovik and R. Tenne, Ind. Lubr. Tribol., 2002, 54, 171–176.
	- 48 Y. Wu, W. Tsui and T. Liu, Wear, 2007, 262, 819–825.
	- 49 F. Chinas-Castillo and H. Spikes, J. Tribol., 2003, 125, 552– 557.
	- 50 Z. S. Hu, R. Lai, F. Lou, L. Wang, Z. Chen, G. Chen and J. Dong, Wear, 2002, 252, 370–374.
	- 51 Z. Xiaodong, F. Xun, S. Huaqiang and H. Zhengshui, Lubr. Sci., 2007, 19, 71–79.
	- 52 B. Ginzburg, L. Shibaev, O. Kireenko, A. Shepelevskii, M. Baidakova and A. Sitnikova, Russ. J. Appl. Chem., 2002, 75, 1330–1335.
	- 53 J. Zhou, J. Yang, Z. Zhang, W. Liu and Q. Xue, Mater. Res. Bull., 1999, 34, 1361–1367.
	- 54 L. Zhang, J. Tu, H. Wu and Y. Yang, Mater. Sci. Eng., A, 2007, 454, 487–491.
	- 55 J. Yang, H. Yao, Y. Liu and Y. Zhang, Nanoscale Res. Lett., 2008, 3, 481.
	- 56 K. Panda, N. Kumar, K. Sankaran, B. Panigrahi, S. Dash, H.-C. Chen, I.-N. Lin, N.-H. Tai and A. Tyagi, Surf. Coat. Technol., 2012, 207, 535–545.
	- 57 C. Liu, H. Yan, Z. Chen, L. Yuan and T. Liu, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2015, 3, 10559–10565.
	- 58 S. Bhaumik, S. Datta and S. D. Pathak, J. Tribol., 2017, 139(6), 061802.
	- 59 J. Hershberger, O. Ajayi and G. Fenske, Scr. Mater., 2005, 53, 1449–1453.
	- 60 L. Joly-Pottuz, F. Dassenoy, M. Belin, B. Vacher, J. Martin and N. Fleischer, Tribol. Lett., 2005, 18, 477–485.
	- 61 F. Dalla Torre, H. Van Swygenhoven, R. Schäublin, P. Spätig and M. Victoria, Scr. Mater., 2005, 53, 23–27.
	- 62 P. Hu, Y. Liu, L. Fu, X. Wang and D. Zhu, Appl. Phys. A: Mater. Sci. Process., 2005, 80, 35–38.
	- 63 Z. Li, X. Tao, Y. Cheng, Z. Wu, Z. Zhang and H. Dang, Mater. Sci. Eng., A, 2005, 407, 7–10.
	- 64 F. Ilie and C. Covaliu, Lubricants, 2016, 4, 12.
	- 65 A. Dongare and G. Vikhe Patil, Int. J. Eng. Res. Dev., 2012, 6– 11.
	- 66 Atomistix ToolKit version 2015.1, http:// www.quantumwise.com.
	- 67 M. Brandbyge, J.-L. Mozos, P. Ordejón, J. Taylor and K. Stokbro, Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys., 2002, 65, 165401.
	- 68 R. Yu, J. Zhu and H. Ye, Comput. Phys. Commun., 2010, 181, 671–675.
- 69 X. Wang and Y. Li, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2002, 124(12), 2880– 2881.
- 70 Y.-J. Huang and W.-S. Li, J. Inorg. Mater., 2013, 28, 341–346.
- 71 C. M. McShane and K.-S. Choi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 2561–2569.
- 72 N. Kumar, A. Sen, K. Rajendran, R. Rameshbabu, J. Ragupathi, H. Therese and T. Maiyalagana, RSC Adv., 2017, 7, 25041. **SOC Advances**

(a) X. Wang and Y. Li, J. Acc. Chee, Soc., 2002, 124(12), 2000-17 Downloaded on 2017. The published on 1/6. Islam, J. Phys. Chee, C, 2014, 118, 128, 2025, 2025, 2025, 2025, 2025, 2025, 2025, 2025, 2025, 202
	- 73 E. Tosatti, S. Prestipino, S. Kostlmeier, A. D. Corso and F. D. Di Tolla, Science, 2001, 291, 288–290.
	- 74 D. A. Tompsett, S. C. Parker and M. S. Islam, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 1418–1426.
	- 75 Y. Yuan, S. M. Wood, K. He, W. Yao, D. Tompsett, J. Lu, A. Nie, M. S. Islam and R. Shahbazian Yassar, ACS Nano, 2016, 10, 539–548.
- 76 D. A. Tompsett and M. S. Islam, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2014, 118, 25009–25015.
- 77 R. Hill, Proc. Phys. Soc., London, Sect. A, 1952, 65, 349.
- 78 E. Riedo and H. Brune, Appl. Phys. Lett., 2003, 83, 1986–1988.
- 79 M. Shaari, N. Roselina, S. Kasolang, K. Hyie, M. Murad and M. Bakar, J. Teknol., 2015, 76(9), 69–73.
- 80 F. P. Bowden and D. Tabor, Friction and Lubrication of solids, Part 1, Oxford University Press, New York, 1950.
- 81 K. Johnson, Contact Mechanics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1987.
- 82 B. Persson, Sliding friction: Physical principles and applications, Springer, Berlin, 2nd edn, 2000.