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Hybrid electrodes exhibit intriguing properties due to the synergetic effect of different components that are propitious for the practical applications of thermo-cells (TECs). In this study, Ag–carbon nanotube (CNT) hybrid electrodes were prepared using two simple and effective steps, involving the direct growth of CNTs on stainless steel substrates without any external catalyst, followed by decorating the CNTs with Ag nanoparticles. Ag–CNT electrodes were characterized via scanning electron microscopy, X-ray diffraction, Raman spectroscopy, and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy and were applied in TECs for the first time. The TEC performances of the Ag–CNT electrodes were significantly enhanced compared to that of the pristine CNT electrode due to their better conductivity and thermal conductivity, as well as more activation sites. The results show that the construction of Ag–CNT hybrid electrodes can effectively improve the TEC performances of CNT electrodes, which is promising for heat energy harvesting by CNT-based electrodes.

1 Introduction

With the decrease in fossil fuel resources, research interests have been focused on the development of sustainable energy, such as wind, geothermal, hydro and biomass. Among the sustainable energy sources, low-grade heat (usually temperatures below 130°C) has attracted great attention due to its advantage of electricity production without air pollution. More importantly, there is plenty of low grade waste heat in many fields, e.g. waste heat from industry, exhaust gases of vehicles, geothermal energy, and heat from storage systems.

Thermo-cell (TEC) technology is attracting more and more interest in energy harvesting due to its simple design, direct energy conversion from heat to electricity, capability of continuous operation, and low cost. Compared with traditional TEC materials, e.g. Pt or Pd, carbon nanotubes (CNTs) are considered promising alternatives as TEC electrodes due to their high thermal and electrical conductivities, high specific surface areas, and quick electron transfer ability. However, it is still difficult to obtain high relative conversion efficiency with a single component based on carbon nanomaterials, which is crucial for the practical applications of CNT-based TECs. Recently, CNT-based hybrid electrodes were used as TEC electrodes with higher output power and relative energy conversation efficiency due to the synergetic effects of different materials. Romano et al. reported that the TEC performances of single-walled carbon nanotube-reduced graphene oxide hybrid electrodes were improved significantly due to an enhanced mass transport property. Im et al. reported that decorating CNTs with Pt nanoparticles (NPs) could result in much higher conversation efficiency in comparison with pristine CNTs due to faster kinetics and larger electroactive sites.

The direct growth of CNTs on metal substrates could enhance the adherence between CNT electrodes and metal substrates, which might be beneficial for electron and thermal transfer in CNT electrodes. In addition, the construction of Ag–CNT hybrid electrodes could enhance the conductivity, thermal conductivity, and electrochemical activity of pristine CNT electrodes. In comparison with the Pt–CNT and Au–CNT hybrids, the Ag–CNT hybrid has higher conductivity and thermal conductivity, which enhance the performances of thermo-cells. In addition, noble metal NPs such as Pt and Au were coated on the surfaces of CNTs via a reduction reaction, whereas Ag NPs were decorated on CNTs via the electrophoretic deposition method, which is simple, easy to control, and low cost. In this work, Ag–CNT hybrid electrodes are prepared using two simple and effective steps, which involve the direct growth of CNTs on stainless steel substrates without any external catalyst, followed by decorating the CNTs with Ag NPs, and the as-obtained electrodes are applied in TECs. In comparison with the pristine CNT electrode, the TEC performances of the Ag–CNT hybrid electrodes are much improved, which suggests a promising approach to develop practical CNT-based hybrid electrodes.
2 Experimental

Direct growth of CNTs on stainless steel (SS) substrates

First, SS substrates were pretreated with acetone, alcohol, and deionized water for 15 min, respectively. Subsequently, the SS substrates were anodized with an oxalic acid solution (0.3 mol L\(^{-1}\)), followed by CVD growth of CNTs directly on the substrates using C\(_2\)H\(_2\) (20 sccm) as the carbon source and an Ar flow (200 sccm) as the carrier gas at 750 °C.

Synthesis of Ag–CNT hybrid electrodes

First, AgNO\(_3\) (99.5%, Aladdin) was dispersed in ethanol and sonicated for about 1 hour to form a homogeneous solution. During electrophoretic deposition (EPD), the as-prepared CNT electrode (working electrode) and stainless steel sheet (counter electrode) were immersed in the AgNO\(_3\) solution (1 × 10\(^{-5}\) g L\(^{-1}\)) at a distance of 1 cm apart. After EPD, the products were annealed at 400 °C under the protection of Ar to finally obtain the Ag–CNT hybrid electrode. The TEC performances of the Ag–CNT hybrids were optimized by changing the deposition time. The as-prepared hybrid electrodes are denoted as Ag–CNTs-x, where x represents the deposition time.

Characterization

The morphologies of the electrodes were observed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM; JEOL JSM-7100F). The species components of the as-prepared hybrid film were analyzed via X-ray diffraction (XRD; GmbH SMART APEX), Raman spectroscopy (Renishaw Invia Raman Microscope) and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS; PHI 5000 VersaProbe).

TEC testing

The TEC performances of the CNTs and Ag–CNTs hybrid electrodes were tested in a cup-shaped device (see SI-1 in ESI†) using a potassium ferri/ferrocyanide aqueous solution as the electrolyte (0.4 mol L\(^{-1}\)). The distance between the two test electrodes was 4 cm and the electrode area was 0.36 cm\(^2\). The temperatures of the cold and hot sides were controlled using ice water and heating tape, respectively. The temperature readings of the two sides were both obtained using OMEGA thermocouple probes. The cell potentials and output currents were measured using a KEITHLEY 2440 multimeter.

3 Results and discussion

The structural characterizations of the samples are shown in Fig. 1. From the SEM images, the diameters of the pristine CNTs grown on the SS substrate are typically in the range of 50–100 nm (Fig. 1a). After the EPD process, the CNT surface was coated with Ag particles with sizes in the range of 5–40 nm (Fig. 1b). As shown in Fig. 1c, for the pristine CNTs, XRD characterization indicates that the peak at 26.4° corresponds to the (002) plane of CNTs.\(^{20}\) The other four peaks could be attributed to the (111), (110), (200) and (220) crystallographic planes of SS.\(^{21}\) For the Ag–CNTs, besides the above-mentioned five peaks, the metal Ag (111) peak appeared at 38.2°.\(^{15}\)

![SEM images of (a) pristine CNTs and (b) Ag–CNTs hybrid nanostructures, (c) XRD results and (d) Raman spectra of the products.](image-url)
Raman spectroscopy was applied to detect if any charge transfer occurred between the Ag NPs and CNTs. As shown in Fig. 1d, both the pristine CNTs and Ag–CNTs show two Raman peaks with the same values, i.e. D-band at 1353 cm$^{-1}$ and G-band at 1580 cm$^{-1}$, which suggests that no obvious charge transfer took place between the Ag NPs and CNTs. As is well known, the D-band is related to the defects or vacancies in CNTs and comes from the amorphous or disordered carbon structures in CNTs, whereas the G-band is mainly related to the degree of graphite in the CNTs. Generally, if the intensity ratio of the G-band to D-band is high, the CNTs are considered to have good conductivity. Therefore, in the present study, in comparison with the pristine CNTs, the higher value of $I_G/I_D$ of the Ag–CNTs indicates that the decoration of CNTs with Ag NPs should improve the conductivity of the pristine CNTs.

XPS was carried out for further analysis of the Ag–CNTs samples, as displayed in Fig. 2 (see SI-2 in ESI†). As shown in Fig. 2a, the characteristic signals of C and Ag were observed in the Ag–CNTs-10 sample, where the O signals were from the surface oxidation of the product and the signals of Fe and Cr originated from the SS substrate. For the C 1s spectra (Fig. 2b), a major signal from sp$^2$ carbon was detected at 284.6 eV, and two minor signals from C–O and C=O bonds were observed at 285.8 and 288.8 eV, respectively. For the O 1s spectra (Fig. 2c), four peaks from O–Fe, O–Cr, O==C and O=C bonds appeared at 529.8, 530.6, 531.7 and 533.3 eV, respectively (see SI-3 in ESI†). As shown in Fig. 2d, the binding energies (BE) of Ag 3d$_{3/2}$ and Ag 3d$_{5/2}$ were detected at 374.4 eV and 368.4 eV, respectively, which is in agreement with that for metallic silver.

The unchanged BE for Ag in the Ag–CNT hybrid sample indicates that the charge transfer between the Ag and CNT species is negligible, which is in agreement with the Raman result. Three types of interactions exist between Ag and CNTs in the Ag–CNT hybrids in previous reports, i.e. covalent bonding, van der Waals forces and electrostatic interaction. According to the XPS analysis, we do not believe that covalent bonding exists in the Ag–CNT hybrids because there is no obvious charge transfer between Ag and CNTs. In addition, electrostatic interaction does not exist in the Ag–CNT hybrids due to the existence of uncharged CNTs. Therefore, we assume that the mutual relations between Ag and CNTs are van der Waals forces since similar cases can be found in the literature.

According to previous experimental results, Ag NPs were successfully coated on CNTs. Therefore, superior TEC performances could be expected for the hybrid electrodes due to their enhanced conductivity and more activation sites. In this work, the TEC properties were tested using a cup-shaped TEC and 0.4 M potassium ferro-ferricyanide aqueous solution was employed as the redox couple due to its high Seebeck

![Fig. 2 XPS spectra of the Ag–CNTs-10 sample. (a) Survey; (b) C 1s; (c) O 1s and (d) Ag 3d. Note: the peak for C 1s at 284.6 eV is used for calibration.](image-url)
As shown in Fig. 3a, the Seebeck coefficient was found to be \(\sim 1.42 \text{ mV K}^{-1}\) by linear fitting of the data, which is in agreement with the previous reports.\(^2\) Fig. 3b shows that the current densities \(J_{sc}\) improved with an increase in the temperature differences for the pristine CNTs electrode and the Ag–CNTs hybrid electrodes, and the \(J_{sc}\) of the Ag–CNTs electrodes was much higher than that of the pristine CNTs electrode at the same temperature difference due to their better conductivity and thermal conductivity (see SI-4 in ESI†). In the case of the Ag–CNTs hybrid electrodes, with an increase in the content of Ag NPs, the conductivity and thermal conductivity of the hybrids were enhanced, but the specific surface area of the Ag–CNTs hybrid electrode gradually decreased due to the increased Ag NP density,\(^30,31\) thus the optimal TEC performance was obtained with the Ag–CNT-10 hybrid electrode.

The output power of TECs is determined by the cell potential \(U\) and output current \(I\). The output current could be obtained by changing the values of the external load resistance \(R_{ext}\) in series with the cell according to the equation:

\[
U = V_{oc} - I \times r,
\]

where \(V_{oc}\) is the open-circuit potential depending on the temperature difference,\(^32\) and \(r\) is the internal resistance of the TEC, which is mainly determined by the configuration of the TEC.\(^3,4,32\) Therefore, an approximately linear relationship between \(U\) and \(I\) can be found. The output power \(P\) is then obtained using the following equations:

\[
P = UI = (V_{oc} - I \times r)I = V_{oc} \times I - I^2 \times r,
\]

thus the \(P-I\) curve shows an approximately quadratic relationship.

Fig. 4 Cell potential versus current for (a) CNTs and (b) Ag–CNTs electrodes. (c) Internal resistance versus time and (d) plots of cell potential and specific output power versus current density at the steady state.
Fig. 5  Effects of temperature difference on the dependence of (a) cell potential and (b) specific output power on current density and effects of operating temperature on the dependence of (c) cell potential and (d) specific output power on current density.

Fig. 4a and b. With an increase in time, the internal resistance (i.e., the slope of the U–I curves) of the TECs for both electrodes improved and the Ag–CNTs electrode reached the equilibrium state after 3 min, which is less time than that of the pristine CNTs electrode (4 min). During the continuous operation of the cells, buildup of the concentration gradient occurs, especially at the cold side of the TECs. Therefore, the mass transport overpotential should be considered in practical applications. The mass transport overpotential can be estimated by measuring the time dependence of the internal resistance of the TEC. The Ag–CNTs hybrid electrode reached the steady state with the internal resistance of 39.93 Ω (Fig. 4c), which is lower than that of the pristine CNTs electrode, due to its lower thermal resistance and more reaction activation sites. As shown in Fig. 4d, the Ag–CNTs electrode generated a $j_{SC}$ of 53.6 A m$^{-2}$ and $P_{MAX}$ of 0.967 W m$^{-2}$, corresponding to a normalized current density ($j_{SC}$ΔT$^{-1}$) of 1.07 A m$^{-2}$ K$^{-1}$ and normalized specific power density ($P_{MAX}$ΔT$^{-2}$) of 0.387 mW m$^{-2}$ K$^{-2}$, respectively, which are 85.7% higher than that for the pristine CNTs electrode and contribute to better conductivity and lower thermal resistance at the electrode/substrate junctions.

The energy conversion efficiency ($\eta$) can be calculated using the following formula:

$$\eta = \frac{0.25V_{oc}I_{sc}}{Ak(\Delta T/d)}$$

where, $V_{oc}$ represents the open-circuit potential, $I_{sc}$ is the short-circuit current, $A$ is the cross-sectional area of the electrode, $k$ represents the thermal conductivity of the redox couple, and $\Delta T$ and $d$ are the temperature difference and distance between the test electrodes, respectively. The relative energy conversion efficiency ($\eta_\text{r}$) can be described as $\eta_\text{r} = \eta/(\Delta T/Tе)$, where, $Tе$ represents the temperature of the hot side. $\eta_\text{r}$ for the pristine CNTs electrode and Ag–CNTs hybrid electrode is 0.51% and 0.96%, respectively.

The open-circuit potential is mainly determined by the temperature difference ($\Delta T$) between the test electrodes; however, the relation between the maximum output power ($P_{MAX}$) and the temperature difference is more complicated. Taking the Ag–CNTs hybrid electrode as an example (Fig. 5a), with an increase in temperature difference, the open-circuit potential increases from 10.2 mV for $\Delta T = 6.1$ °C to 43.21 mV for $\Delta T = 30.1$ °C, resulting in an enhancement in $P_{MAX}$ from 11.5 mW m$^{-2}$ for $\Delta T = 6.1$ °C to 304.2 mW m$^{-2}$ for $\Delta T = 30.1$ °C (Fig. 5b). The internal resistance of the cell decreases with an increase in temperature difference (see SI-5 in ESI†) and the $P_{MAX}$ increases quadratically with the temperature difference (see SI-6 in ESI†). As shown in Fig. 5c, under the same temperature difference (13.2 °C), the internal resistance of the cell decreased (also see SI-7 in ESI†) with the increase in operating temperature (the average temperature of the hot and cold electrodes). The decrease in the internal resistance benefits the
ion transport and diffusion between the test electrodes, leading to an improvement in $J_{SC}$ of 13.0 A m$^{-2}$ and $P_{MAX}$ of 61.2 mW m$^{-2}$ at a high operating temperature of 25.1 °C, which are higher than that at an operating temperature of 15.1 °C.

To obtain a higher output power, two identical cells were connected in series (see SI-8 in ESIF). The two cell system generated a voltage of 140.2 mV at the temperature difference of 50 °C, which is approximately twice of that for the single cell. Meanwhile, the internal resistance of the cell was doubled as well. According to the relation $P = U^2/R$, the output power of the two cell system is twice that of the individual cell. The $P_{MAX}$ of the Ag–CNT hybrid electrode is 1.93 W m$^{-2}$.

4 Conclusions

In summary, Ag–CNT hybrid electrodes were prepared using two simple and effective steps, which involved the direct growth of CNTs on stainless steel substrates without any external catalyst, followed by decorating CNTs with Ag NPs. The as-prepared electrodes were characterized via SEM, X-ray diffraction, Raman spectroscopy and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy. The TEC performances of the hybrid Ag–CNT electrodes were improved significantly compared to that of the pristine CNT electrode, which is attributed to their better electrical and thermal conductivities, as well as more activation sites. This study demonstrates that decorating CNT electrodes with Ag NPs is effective to enhance the TEC performances of the CNT electrode, which is promising for heat energy harvesting by CNT-based electrodes.
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