



Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2017, 7, 21974

Received 19th February 2017  
 Accepted 3rd April 2017

DOI: 10.1039/c7ra02074k

[rsc.li/rsc-advances](http://rsc.li/rsc-advances)

# Improvement of the selectivity to aniline in benzene amination over Cu/TS-1 by potassium†

Mi Nan, Yuecheng Luo, Guiying Li\* and Changwei Hu \*

Two different methods of introducing potassium into Cu/TS-1 were conducted and the catalysts obtained showed a rather different catalytic activity in the ammoxidation of benzene to aniline. K could enter the Cu/TS-1 catalyst under reaction conditions, and the resultant catalyst exhibited acceptable selectivity of 99.5% to aniline. However, the catalyst with potassium introduced by wet impregnation exhibited undesirable selectivity (<40%). X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy showed that Ti–O–K formed when potassium was introduced by wet impregnation while K–O–Si formed when K was introduced under reaction conditions. In the latter case, K showed no influence on the Ti site of TS-1, and the formation of K–O–Si species weakened the Brønsted acidity and enhanced the Lewis acid strength, providing active centers for easy adsorption of ammonia, which was considered to be one of the reasons for the high selectivity.

## 1 Introduction

Titanium silicalite-1 (TS-1) has attracted much attention for its excellent catalytic properties in many oxidation reactions, especially with the use of aqueous H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> as an oxidant.<sup>1–5</sup> Ti–O–H peroxy species were considered to be the active intermediates formed by the interaction of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> molecules with the tetrahedral Ti sites.<sup>6,7</sup> The TS-1/H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> system has been applied in the epoxidation of olefins,<sup>8</sup> hydroxylation of benzene,<sup>9</sup> oxidation of alkanes<sup>10</sup> and phenol,<sup>11</sup> desulfurization of liquid fuels,<sup>12</sup> and ammoxidation of ketones.<sup>13</sup> To improve the catalytic activity and selectivity of TS-1, a lot of methods including post-synthesis, such as the hydrothermal process, acid or basic treatment, secondary impregnation and calcination,<sup>14–20</sup> have been exploited. B. Wang<sup>18</sup> *et al.* reported the post-modification of hierarchical TS-1 with TPAOH and NH<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O to obtain relatively high crystallinity, grooves on the surface and intracrystalline voids. S. Du *et al.* synthesized TS-1 zeolites with secondary macropores by using two different fluoride-containing chemical etching post-treated routes, which showed remarkably enhanced catalytic activity in oxidative desulfurization reactions.<sup>19</sup> While post-synthesis helped to improve the catalyst performance, most processes were complex and not environmentally friendly. Therefore, a more economical route is desirable.

Among the variety of strategies in the post-synthesis of TS-1, many researches on doping by alkali metal, especially potassium, were reported.<sup>21–23</sup> It had been commonly

considered that the better catalytic performance was due to the formation of SiO–M<sup>+</sup> (M<sup>+</sup> = Li<sup>+</sup>, Na<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>).<sup>24,25</sup> Y. Kuwahara *et al.* introduced alkali metal cations into microporous titanosilicate molecular sieves, obtaining a significant enhancement of the oxidation of cyclohexene and styrene. They attributed the increased activity to the cation–π interaction with the C=C bonds in alkenes.<sup>26</sup> T. Tatsumi *et al.* found that potassium present in the silanol group (Si–O–K) in the vicinity of titanium in TS-1 had a much higher activity than potassium present as a charge-compensating cation in zeolite.<sup>25</sup>

In the last two decades, Cu-based catalysts had been found to exhibit excellent catalytic activity on C–H bond functionalizations.<sup>27–29</sup> In our previous work, the catalysts with typical MFI structure were greatly improved by the addition of copper for the C–H bond activation of benzene and its derivatives. B. Guo *et al.* obtained 1% yield and 88% selectivity to aniline in the direct oxyamination of benzene to aniline over Cu/TS-1 catalyst, and proposed that Ti–O–Cu species may provide specific chemisorption sites on which ammonia could be selectively activated.<sup>32</sup> T. Yu *et al.* improved the reaction by reactive distillation method and obtained 12.4% yield and 84.7% selectivity to aniline, and proposed that NH<sub>2</sub>OH was the reaction intermediate.<sup>31</sup> However, in these previous work, the simultaneous formation of phenols or nitrobenzene made the selectivity to aniline not satisfactory. L. Xu *et al.*<sup>24</sup> reported that introducing K into titanium–silica zeolite did not suppress the decomposition of hydroxylamine, but enhanced the catalytic activity of hydroxylamine formation. Moreover, there was a certain amount of hydroxyl on TS-1 which could combine with ammonia, and less ammonia was accessible to Ti–O–Cu. Above all, introducing K into Cu/TS-1 may form Si–O–K species and reduce the number of hydroxyl groups, which made more ammonia activated by Ti–O–Cu and was beneficial to NH<sub>2</sub>OH.

Key Laboratory of Green Chemistry and Technology, Ministry of Education, College of Chemistry, Sichuan University, 29 Wangjiang Road, Chengdu, Sichuan, 610064, China. E-mail: changwei.hu@scu.edu.cn; gchem@scu.edu.cn; Fax: +86 28 85411105; Tel: +86 28 85411105

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c7ra02074k



Under the above considerations, we studied the effect of potassium addition on the selectivity to aniline.

## 2 Experimental

### 2.1 Catalyst preparation

TS-1 was synthesized following the procedure reported by T. Yu *et al.*<sup>31</sup> Briefly, 40 g of isopropanol ( $\geq 95$  wt%) and 40 g of DI water were slowly added to a beaker containing 91 g of TEOS (tetraethyl orthosilicate,  $\geq 28$  wt%), then, 160 g of TPAOH aqueous solution (20 wt%) was added dropwise to the mixture under stirring. After stirred for 0.5 h, the mixture of 5 g titanium trichloride solution ( $\geq 15$  wt%) dissolved in 20 g DI water was dropped into the solution. After that, the resulted solution was heated in a water bath keeping at 55 °C for 1 h to remove isopropanol and at 85 °C for about 6 h to promote the hydrolysis of TEOS. In this step, the volume of solution was kept by adding small amount of water at about 1.0 mL min<sup>-1</sup>. The precursor was transferred to a 0.5 L Teflon-lined steel autoclave and heated to 175 °C for 7 days under autogenous pressure. After crystallization, the product was separated by filtration and washed with distilled water, then dried at 100 °C overnight and calcined at 550 °C for 10 h in air.

Cu/TS-1 catalyst was prepared by wet impregnation method under negative pressure. In brief, the TS-1 support was placed on a tube with a branch pipe linked with circulating water vacuum pump to get rid of the gas in pores. After 0.5 h, aqueous solution with desired concentration of Cu(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> was dropped onto TS-1 from a separatory funnel connected to the tube under negative pressure. The mixture was dried at 80 °C after being kept for 24 h at room temperature, then dried at 100 °C overnight and calcined at 550 °C for 10 h in air. In the present work, the controlled Cu content was 2.5 wt% in Cu/TS-1.

**2.1.1 The addition of K on Cu/TS-1.** Two different methods were employed to introduce potassium onto the catalyst. The one was wet impregnation method, which was carried out as follows. The as-prepared Cu/TS-1 was impregnated with potassium nitrate solution at room temperature for 24 h. The mixture was dried at 80 °C then kept at 100 °C overnight and calcined at 550 °C for 3 h. The samples obtained were denoted as Cu/TS-1-*x*K, where *x* represented the weight ratio of K quantified by ICP. In another way, potassium nitrate was mixed with reactant mixture containing NH<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, and K could exchange with the H of O-H group then enter the catalyst. The catalyst obtained after reaction was named as *x*K-Cu/TS-1 (*x* represented the weight ratio of K quantified by ICP).

### 2.2 Catalyst characterization

The X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) was performed on a LTD DX-1000 CSC diffractometer using Cu-K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda = 1.5405$  Å) from 5 to 75°. The data were collected using a voltage of 40 kV and current setting of 30 mA.

IR spectra were collected by using a FT-IR spectrometer (VERTEX 70) equipped with a MCT detector at 40 scans and 4 cm<sup>-1</sup> resolution in the region of 4000–400 cm<sup>-1</sup>. IR study with NH<sub>3</sub> as a probe molecule was also conducted. The mixed powder of catalyst and potassium bromide were added in IR

cell, purged with N<sub>2</sub> at 300 °C for 1 h. After cooling to room temperature, the gas was switched to NH<sub>3</sub> with a flow rate of 30 mL min<sup>-1</sup> for 30 min. Physically adsorbed NH<sub>3</sub> was removed by nitrogen purging for 30 min with a flow rate of 30 mL min<sup>-1</sup>.

The amount of K was quantified by inductively coupled plasma method (ICP) on a Thermo E.IRIS atomic emission spectrometer. Catalysts were dissolved by 1 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> at 60 °C for 1 h then transferred to a 50 mL volumetric flask and diluted with DI water to volume to prepare samples for ICP analysis.

The N<sub>2</sub> adsorption and desorption isotherms were measured on a Micromeritics Tristar 3020 analyser, using conventional BET and BJH methods to quantify the value of surface areas and pore volumes of zeolite samples. Prior to analysis, the samples were degassed at 120 °C for 2 h and 300 °C for 2 h.

Ultraviolet-visible diffuse reflectance (DR UV-vis) spectra were recorded on a TU-1901 spectrometer with BaSO<sub>4</sub> as standard at the scan range from 200 to 800 nm.

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was performed with an AXIS Ultra DLD (KRATOS) spectrometer equipped with a monochromatic Al-K $\alpha$  X-ray source (excitation energy = 1468.6 eV). The binding energies were referenced to the internal C 1s (284.8 eV) standard. Spectrum curve fitting was carried out using the XPS Peakfit software with the smart background correction.

### 2.3 Measurements of catalytic activity

The amination of benzene to aniline over 2.5 wt% Cu/TS-1 was carried out in a 50 mL round bottom flask equipped with a reflux condenser. The catalyst and benzene was added to the flask, and the reactant mixture of H<sub>2</sub>O, NH<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and KNO<sub>3</sub> kept at 0 °C was dropped into the flask at 0.20 L min<sup>-1</sup> by peristaltic pump with stirring vigorously at 333 K for 4 h. The addition of H<sub>2</sub>O to dilute H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> was to prevent nitrobenzene formation.<sup>31,32</sup> Moreover, there was a competition between amination and hydroxylation of benzene in the reaction system, it might be more advantageous to the hydroxylation of benzene to phenol when increased the molar ratio of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> to benzene.<sup>30</sup> After cooling to room temperature, the liquid mixture was separated from the catalyst by filtration. Then, the liquid phase was qualitatively analysed with GC-MS (Agilent, 5973 New-ork6890N) and quantified by HPLC (Agilent 1200) equipped with a ZORBAX Eclipse XDB-C18 column and an UV detector. Due to some losses of benzene and no ring opened products detected in the process,<sup>30</sup> the yield of products, selectivity to aniline, and conversion of benzene were calculated by the following:

$$\text{Yield (mol\%)} = \frac{\text{mole amount of product}}{\text{mole of initial benzene added}}$$

$$\text{Selectivity to aniline (\%)} = \frac{\text{mole of aniline}}{\text{the total mole of products}}$$

$$\text{Conversion of benzene (\%)} = \text{yield of aniline} + \text{yield of phenol}$$

The reported value of yield was the average of the data at least three parallel tests, and the error of aniline yield was less



than 0.05 mol%, detailed data was shown in Table S1.† The effect of benzene loading on the amination reaction have also been investigated (Table S2†) with no obvious variation on the yield.

## 3 Results and discussion

### 3.1 Catalytic amination of benzene

**3.1.1 The effect of the amounts of  $\text{KNO}_3$  and Cu/TS-1 on the selectivity to aniline.** As shown in Fig. 1, Cu/TS-1 catalyst showed significantly high selectivity in the presence of  $\text{KNO}_3$  in the reaction mixture. With increase amount of potassium nitrate, the selectivity of aniline increased and reached a maximum of 99.5% (from 33.3% with no  $\text{KNO}_3$  added) when 1.0 g  $\text{KNO}_3$  was added.

Further increasing potassium content would decrease the selectivity to aniline. To probe the reason for decreased selectivity, a control experiment was carried out to ascertain the amount of dissolved ammonia with increased amount of  $\text{KNO}_3$  added. The results of acid base titration with methyl red as indicator was shown in Table 1. It proved that the solubility of ammonia decreased in potassium nitrate solution with increase amount of  $\text{KNO}_3$ . Therefore, the reduced solubility of ammonia in the solution containing excess potassium nitrate might be the reason for the decreased selectivity.




Fig. 1 The effect of  $\text{KNO}_3$  amount on the yield of products, the conversion of benzene and the selectivity to aniline. Reaction conditions: 0.5 g catalyst, 40.0 mL  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , 0.25 mL benzene, 10 mL  $\text{NH}_3 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$  and 2.5 mL  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ , reaction temperature 60 °C, reaction time 4 h.

Table 1 The concentration of ammonia in the solution with different amount of  $\text{KNO}_3$  added<sup>a</sup>

Amount of K (g)	Ammonia concentration (mol L <sup>-1</sup> )
0	2.79
0.5	2.75
1	2.72
1.5	2.59

<sup>a</sup> Measuring conditions: 0.5 g catalyst, 1 g  $\text{KNO}_3$ , 40 mL  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , 10 mL  $\text{NH}_3 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ , 2.5 mL  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ , 60 °C, 4 h.

Fig. 2 illustrated the influence of the quantities of catalyst on the reaction. With increase amount of catalyst, the yield of aniline, conversion of benzene went up initially, reaching the maximum of 2.7%, 3.0% respectively with 0.8 g catalyst used and then decreased, whereas the maximum selectivity to aniline was 99.5% with 0.5 g catalyst used and decreased to 90.0% when 0.8 g catalyst was added. With further increase of the catalyst from 0.8 g to 1.0 g, the conversion of benzene dropped from 3.0% to 1.9%. It was indicated that the excessive amount of catalyst might also accelerate the decomposition of hydrogen peroxide and decrease the desired catalytic performance as what has been reported.<sup>33</sup>

For comparison, Cu/TS-1-xK synthesized by impregnation method was tested under similar conditions in the absence of  $\text{KNO}_3$ . The results were shown in Fig. 3. This series of catalysts exhibited a rather different catalytic performance. The selectivity to aniline was less than 40% on Cu/TS-1-1.0K, which was much lower than 99.5% over the corresponding one with the same K content (1.0K-Cu/TS-1). Besides, with the increase of




Fig. 2 The effect of catalyst amount on the yield of products, the conversion of benzene and the selectivity to aniline. Reaction conditions: 40 mL  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , 0.25 mL benzene, 10 mL  $\text{NH}_3 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$  and 2.5 mL  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ , 1 g  $\text{KNO}_3$ , reaction temperature 60 °C, reaction time 4 h.




Fig. 3 The effect of K loading on the yield of products, the conversion of benzene and the selectivity to aniline. Reaction conditions: 0.5 g catalyst, 40 mL  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , 0.25 mL benzene, 10 mL  $\text{NH}_3 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$  and 2.5 mL  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ , reaction temperature 60 °C, reaction time 4 h.



K loading, the yield of aniline, conversion of benzene and selectivity to aniline decreased monotonically.

Since the highest selectivity to aniline was obtained with 0.5 g catalyst used, catalyst recycling experiments were performed with repeated uses of Cu/TS-1 with 0.5 g catalyst added under the same reaction conditions. In the repeated runs, no potassium nitrate was added in the reaction mixture solution. It can be seen from Table 2, the yield of aniline decreased slightly from 2.12 to 1.64% after being used in the first run and then remained almost unchanged with further runs. The declined activity during the recycling test may be caused by the leaching of Cu. Another control experiment using TS-1 as the catalyst in the presence of  $\text{KNO}_3$  was also carried out to test the effect of Cu. It found that TS-1 showed poor catalytic performance (the detail data was shown in Table S3†) and Cu was essential for the amination. The ICP results indicated that the Cu loading of the catalyst decreased slightly from 2.49 to 2.12% after being used for four runs and then remained stable, which accorded with the catalyst activity. Moreover, it demonstrated that the high selectivity of benzene to aniline was not due to the potassium ion in solution, while the potassium might be incorporated into Cu/TS-1, and the thus formed catalysts were rather stable with high selectivity to aniline.

### 3.2 Catalyst characterization

**3.2.1 The incorporation of K in TS-1.** The amount of K determined by inductively coupled plasma method was shown in Table 3. Entry 1–4 showed the amount of potassium in catalyst treated with the mixture of reactants contained different concentrations of potassium nitrate solution. It indicated that more than 96% of potassium retained in the solution and about 3.6% transferred into Cu/TS-1. Moreover, with the increase of  $\text{KNO}_3$  concentration, the amount of potassium incorporated into the catalyst increased monotonically. Entry 5 showed the amount of K without aqueous ammonia added. Obviously, the addition of ammonia was beneficial to the introduction of potassium into the catalyst and then promoted the selectivity to aniline.

Entry 6–10 measured the potassium content in Cu/TS-1-xK used in activity experiment. The controlled potassium loading was 1.0, 2.0, 3.0, 4.0 and 5.0 wt% respectively. The result showed

Table 3 The amount of potassium quantified by ICP<sup>a</sup>

Entry	The total concentration of potassium nitrate (ppm)	The amount of potassium in solution (ppm)	The amount of potassium in catalyst (wt%)
1	3.12	2.96	0.52
2	4.80	4.61	0.69
3	6.39	6.13	1.02
4	11.34	10.91	1.96
5	6.39	6.34 <sup>b</sup>	0.39 <sup>b</sup>
6	—	—	0.99 <sup>c</sup>
7	—	—	1.99 <sup>c</sup>
8	—	—	2.97 <sup>c</sup>
9	—	—	3.98 <sup>c</sup>
10	—	—	4.96

<sup>a</sup> The reaction conditions. <sup>b</sup> 0.5 g catalyst, 50 mL  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , 2.5 mL  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ , 60 °C, 4 h. <sup>c</sup> The preparation method Cu/TS-1-xK.

that more than 99% of potassium entered in Cu/TS-1. However, the catalyst showed lower performance compared to the catalyst with K introduced under reaction conditions.

The above data showed that the potassium incorporated into Cu/TS-1 under  $\text{NH}_3 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$  might be critical for promoting the selectivity to aniline. To prove the effect of  $\text{NH}_3 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ , the introduction of potassium into Cu/TS-1 in the presence of  $\text{NH}_3 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$  was carried out. Since the highest selectivity was obtained when 1.0 g  $\text{KNO}_3$  was added according to Fig. 1, 0.5 g Cu/TS-1 was mixed with 1.0 g  $\text{KNO}_3$  under  $\text{NH}_3 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$  at 60 °C with different contact time, and the thus obtained catalysts were tested with no  $\text{KNO}_3$  added. The result shown in Fig. 4 indicated that after K being introduced in the presence of  $\text{NH}_3 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ , the catalysts exhibited desirable selectivity to aniline just as xK-Cu/TS-1. With increasing contact time, the selectivity to aniline augmented continuously and reached the maximum of 99.3%, and then kept stable after 3 hours (the corresponding sample was named catalyst 1). Fig. 5 showed the concentration distribution of K in Cu/TS-1. It indicated that more potassium shifted from solution to Cu/TS-1 with time increasing then stabilized after 3 hours, which was consistent with the catalytic activity

Table 2 Reusability of 1.0K-Cu/TS-1<sup>a</sup>

Recycling number	Yield (mol%)		Conversion of benzene (%)	Selectivity of aniline (%)	Cu <sup>c</sup> (wt%)
	Aniline	Phenol			
0 <sup>b</sup>	2.12	0.01	2.13	99.5	2.49
1	1.64	0.005	1.65	99.4	2.26
2	1.60	0.006	1.61	99.4	2.14
3	1.58	0.005	1.59	99.4	2.12
4	1.57	0.005	1.58	99.4	2.12

<sup>a</sup> Reaction conditions: a: 0.5 g 1.0K-Cu/TS-1, 40 mL  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , 0.25 mL benzene, 10 mL  $\text{NH}_3 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$  and 2.5 mL  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ , reaction temperature 60 °C, reaction time 4 h. <sup>b</sup> 0.5 g Cu/TS-1, 40 mL  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , 0.25 mL benzene, 10 mL  $\text{NH}_3 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ , 2.5 mL  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  and 1.0 g  $\text{KNO}_3$ , reaction temperature 60 °C, reaction time 4 h. <sup>c</sup> Cu loading determined by ICP.




Fig. 4 The effect of contact time between catalyst and  $\text{KNO}_3$  on the performance of the catalyst. Reaction conditions: 40 mL  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , 0.25 mL benzene, 10 mL  $\text{NH}_3 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$  and 2.5 mL  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ , 60 °C, 4 h.






Fig. 5 The effect of contact time between catalyst and  $\text{KNO}_3$  on the amount of K in catalyst.

results. From the above data, the introduction of potassium in the presence of  $\text{NH}_3 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$  was a critical factor for the increase of selectivity, while the potassium concentration and suitable contact time were beneficial to the transfer of K into Cu/TS-1, resulting in amination more advantageous in the competition with hydroxylation of benzene.<sup>25</sup> The characterization of catalyst 1 indicated that the features of the sample (as shown in ESI†) were almost the same as those of 1.0K-Cu/TS-1 in BET surface area, XRD, FT-IR, XPS and  $\text{NH}_3$ -IR.

**3.2.2  $\text{N}_2$  adsorption-desorption isotherm.** In order to observe the influence of K on Cu/TS-1,  $\text{N}_2$  adsorption-desorption characterization of the representative samples was carried out.  $S_{\text{BET}}$  and pore structure parameters of the catalysts were summarized in Table 4. The BET surface area and total volume of the Cu/TS-1- $x$ K decreased from 402 to 232  $\text{m}^2 \text{g}^{-1}$  and 0.31 to 0.17  $\text{cm}^3 \text{g}^{-1}$  with the increase of K loading from 0 to 4.96 wt%, respectively. It was likely that the introduction of more potassium into Cu/TS-1- $x$ K would plug the smaller pore,<sup>34</sup> which was consistent with the enlarged pore width. On the other hand, the  $S_{\text{BET}}$  and  $V_{\text{p}}$  of 1.0K-Cu/TS-1 slightly decreased, suggesting that the K introduced under reaction conditions hardly blocked the

channel compared to those by wet impregnation. For the used samples, the  $S_{\text{BET}}$  reduced slightly from 397  $\text{m}^2 \text{g}^{-1}$  to 373  $\text{m}^2 \text{g}^{-1}$  after the first two runs and then remained stable, which might be caused by the vigorous stirring conditions. It also showed the stability of the catalyst.

**3.2.3 XPS characterization.** To explore the site occupied by K in Cu/TS-1 obtained from different introducing methods, two representative samples, that is, 1.0K-Cu/TS-1 and Cu/TS-1-1.0K were chosen to be characterized by XPS. Fig. 6a showed the  $\text{K}_{2\text{p}}$  spectra of the catalysts. The main sharp peak at 291 eV due to  $\text{K}_{2\text{p}_{3/2}}$  accompanied by a sharp satellite peak at 294.5 eV due to  $\text{K}_{2\text{p}_{1/2}}$  was attributed to K-O group.<sup>35</sup> Besides, dispersed  $\text{K}_2\text{O}$  species were observed at about 293.0 eV in Cu/TS-1-1.0K,<sup>36</sup> suggesting the interaction of potassium with hydroxyl and the two steps impregnation was easier to form metal oxide compared with post-modification under reaction conditions.

The  $\text{Ti}_{2\text{p}}$  electron spectra of the catalysts were shown in Fig. 6b. Curve fitting of the  $\text{Ti}_{2\text{p}_{3/2}}$  core level of Cu/TS-1 and 1.0K-Cu/TS-1 to two components showed that the higher binding energy peak at 458.5 eV could be attributed to the Ti species in the form of Ti-O-Cu,<sup>37</sup> while the lower binding energy peak at 456.5 eV was usually assigned to titanium in tetrahedral coordination.<sup>29</sup> Moreover, another peak at 455.6 eV was obtained in Cu/TS-1-1.0K, suggesting a new environmental chemistry of Ti formed after K was introduced by wet impregnation. We




Fig. 6 (a)  $\text{K}_{2\text{p}}$  spectra of catalysts. (a) 1.0K-Cu/TS-1; (b) Cu/TS-1-1.0K. (b)  $\text{Ti}_{2\text{p}}$  spectra of catalysts. (a) Cu/TS-1; (b) 1.0K-Cu/TS-1; (c) Cu/TS-1-1.0K.

Table 4 The textural properties and chemical compositions of the catalysts

Samples	$S_{\text{BET}}^a$ ( $\text{m}^2 \text{g}^{-1}$ )	$V_{\text{p}}^b$ ( $\text{cm}^3 \text{g}^{-1}$ )	$\Phi_{\text{p}}^c$ ( $\text{\AA}$ )
2.5 wt% Cu/TS-1	402	0.31	25.0
1.0K-Cu/TS-1	397	0.29	26.1
Cu/TS-1-1.0K	385	0.26	26.6
Cu/TS-1-2.0K	344	0.24	27.4
Cu/TS-1-3.0K	300	0.20	27.9
Cu/TS-1-4.0K	276	0.19	28.6
Cu/TS-1-5.0K	232	0.17	30.0
1.0K-Cu/TS-1 (used once)	382	0.32	27.9
1.0K-Cu/TS-1 (used twice)	373	0.29	26.6
1.0K-Cu/TS-1 (used third)	370	0.27	26.2
Catalyst 1	395	0.30	25.9
Catalyst 2	388	0.25	27.0

<sup>a</sup> Specific surface area calculated by BET method. <sup>b</sup> Total pore volume obtained at  $P/P_0$  of 0.99. <sup>c</sup> Adsorption average pore width.



tentatively assigned it to Ti–O–K species because the  $K_{2p_{2/3}}$  also showed the existence of K–O groups on the samples. It showed that different introducing methods of K could cause different state of K in Cu/TS-1. The introduction of K under reaction conditions would not influence the Ti site of Cu/TS-1 catalyst but Ti–O–K species would form when potassium was introduced by wet impregnation method.

**3.2.4 X-ray diffraction.** Fig. 7 showed the XRD patterns of the TS-1, 2.5 wt% Cu/TS-1 and used 2.5 wt% Cu/TS-1 samples. The peaks at  $7.9^\circ$ ,  $8.8^\circ$ ,  $23.1^\circ$ ,  $23.9^\circ$  and  $24.4^\circ$  demonstrated the typical MFI structure of TS-1 with a monoclinic symmetry.<sup>38</sup> Furthermore, no diffraction peaks were observed at  $35.29^\circ$  and  $38.49^\circ$ , suggesting that there was no crystal phase of CuO and the copper was well dispersed.<sup>39</sup> After four times run, the peak positions were not changed, which showed good structure stability of TS-1.

**3.2.5 FT-IR.** The FT-IR spectra of the catalysts were depicted in Fig. 8. The absorption bands at  $3430\text{--}3450\text{ cm}^{-1}$  and  $1630\text{--}1640\text{ cm}^{-1}$  were associated with the stretching vibration and the bending vibration of O–H in SiO–H and physical adsorption of  $H_2O$ .<sup>40</sup> The band at around  $1230$  and  $547\text{ cm}^{-1}$  were characteristic peaks of the MFI type zeolite structure,<sup>41</sup> which were consistent with the XRD. A band at  $960\text{ cm}^{-1}$  was also observed, and it was associated with the stretching mode of




Fig. 7 XRD patterns of TS-1, 2.5 wt% Cu/TS-1 and used 2.5 wt% Cu/TS-1 catalysts.




Fig. 8 FT-IR spectra (a) TS-1; (b) Cu/TS-1; (c) 1.0K–Cu/TS-1; (d) Cu/TS-1–1.0K.

the  $[SiO_4]$  tetrahedral bond with Ti atoms, which was the fingerprint of Ti in the framework.<sup>24,42</sup> Compared to the fresh Cu/TS-1, the band at  $960\text{ cm}^{-1}$  remained almost intact after reaction and two steps impregnation, suggesting that both the treatment under reaction conditions and the impregnation of potassium exhibited almost no poisoning effects on the framework formed by titanium and silicate. There should be unabated peak strength at  $3430\text{--}3450\text{ cm}^{-1}$  due to the formation of Si–O–K, but it could hardly be observed because of the interference of water.

**3.2.6 Diffuse reflectance UV-vis spectroscopy.** The UV-vis spectra of the samples were shown in Fig. 9. All the samples showed strong absorption band at  $225\text{ nm}$ , corresponding to the isolated tetrahedrally coordinated Ti atoms.<sup>43,44</sup> There was no obvious change at  $225\text{ nm}$ , suggesting the stable framework formed by titanium and silicate. The adsorption at  $330\text{ nm}$  was assigned to the extra framework Ti,<sup>41,43</sup> then the weak band indicated most of titanium incorporated into framework. A broad absorption band in the region of  $600\text{--}800\text{ nm}$  was resulted from the formation of octahedral Cu species. The absorption peak at  $330\text{ nm}$  was probably overlapped because of the formation of Ti–O–Cu after introducing copper.<sup>31</sup>

**3.2.7  $NH_3$ -IR.**  $NH_3$  adsorption IR study was carried out to probe the acidity of the catalysts and the results were presented in Fig. 10. On all samples, the peak at  $3300\text{ cm}^{-1}$  was assigned to  $NH_3$  at Lewis acid centers,<sup>42</sup> and the double peaks in the  $1500\text{--}1400\text{ cm}^{-1}$  range was peculiar to  $NH_4^+$ ,<sup>45,46</sup> representing the Brønsted acid sites which usually derived from hydroxyl. For 1.0K–Cu/TS-1, the subdued peak intensity at  $1460\text{ cm}^{-1}$  suggested the K introduced under reaction conditions removed the hydroxyl-related acid sites. According to XPS result, there was no Ti–O–K in 1.0K–Cu/TS-1. Thus, it could be the evidence of the formation of Si–O–K. For Cu/TS-1–1.0K, the peak at  $1460\text{ cm}^{-1}$  also decreased compared to Cu/TS-1, but it was stronger than that for 1.0K–Cu/TS-1. It might be due to the interaction of some K with Ti other than SiO–H, and then less hydroxyl-related acid sites were removed. Furthermore, both 1.0K–Cu/TS-1 and Cu/TS-1–1.0K catalysts showed the enhanced peak intensity at  $3300\text{ cm}^{-1}$ , which indicated the enhanced Lewis acidic strength after the introduction of potassium.




Fig. 9 UV-vis spectra (a) TS-1; (b) Cu/TS-1; (c) 1.0K–Cu/TS-1; (d) Cu/TS-1–1.0K.






Fig. 10  $\text{NH}_3$ -IR analysis of the samples: (a) 2.5 wt% Cu/TS-1; (b) 1.0K-Cu/TS-1; (c) Cu/TS-1-1.0K.

### 3.3 Discussion

From the above data, the different introducing method of K would cause differences in content of potassium and the site occupied by potassium in Cu/TS-1, resulting in a rather different catalytic performance. The wet impregnation made almost all K into catalyst interacting with Ti then forming Ti-O-K species. The effect on Ti site might be harmful for the formation of the Ti-O-O-H peroxy species which was considered to be the active intermediates in TS-1/ $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  system, resulting in a lower selectivity and conversion, which was in sympathy with previous report.<sup>25</sup> By contrast, introducing potassium under reaction conditions, potassium entered Cu/TS-1 and formed  $x\text{K-Cu/TS-1}$  catalyst, where the potassium would only exchange with Si-O-H due to the faintly acidity of silanol and just formed Si-O-K species, which showed higher selectivity and good recyclability.

According to  $\text{NH}_3$ -IR result, the formation of Si-O-K species could weaken the Brønsted acid strength and enhance the Lewis acid strength of the catalyst. Z. Zhuo *et al.* reported that higher Lewis acidic strength of Ti active sites could promote the formation of  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$ ,<sup>47</sup> and  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  was thought as the reaction intermediate in ammoxidation benzene to aniline.<sup>31,32,48</sup> The formation of Ti-O-K might have negative impact on Ti site then the formation of  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$ . If potassium was introduced firstly (the sample was named catalyst 2), the yield of aniline was only 0.14% with an extremely low selectivity of 9.7%, the XPS data of

this sample indicated the formation of Ti-O-K species (data of characterisation of the catalyst were depicted in ESI†). It also illustrated that the K introduced by wet impregnation inclined to impact on the Ti site and exhibited undesirable catalytic performance. The XPS peak area ratios of Ti species for several typical samples were shown in Table S4.† In 1.0K-Cu/TS-1, there was no poison effect on Ti active sites, and the reduced Brønsted acid site could make more ammonia accessible to active site, and the formation of metal-N bond was considered as the critical step in amination.<sup>49</sup> Therefore, Si-O-K species can be considered to be responsible for the high selectivity of benzene to aniline in 1.0K-Cu/TS-1 catalyst.

## 4 Conclusions

Introducing potassium under reaction conditions into Cu/TS-1 could form Si-O-K species which weakened the Brønsted acidity and enhanced the Lewis acidity thus improve the selectivity of benzene to aniline significantly, moreover, potassium exerted no poisoning effect on Ti active sites, and almost had no influence on  $S_{\text{BET}}$  and  $V_{\text{P}}$ , then the catalyst obtained was rather stable. The alkaline condition, the treatment time and the concentration of potassium nitrate were critical factors. Excessive amounts of potassium nitrate would reduce ammonia solubility and lessen the selectivity of amination. Introducing potassium by wet impregnation would form Ti-O-K and was harmful for the formation of  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$ , resulted in undesirable catalyst performance. Further work is underway to enhance the conversion of benzene on Cu/TS-1 catalyst.

## Acknowledgements

Financial support from the NSFC (no. 21172157, no. 21372167 and no. 201321061) of China, and technical support from the Analytical and Testing Centre of Sichuan University are cordially acknowledged.

## Notes and references

- 1 A. Bhaumik, P. Kumar and R. Kumar, *Catal. Lett.*, 1996, **40**, 47–50.
- 2 S. B. Shin, D.-W. Lee and D. Chadwick, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.*, 2016, **423**, 478–488.
- 3 W. Cheng, X. Wang, G. Li, X. Guo and S. Zhang, *J. Catal.*, 2008, **255**, 343–346.
- 4 W. Fan, R.-G. Duan, T. Yokoi, P. Wu, Y. Kubota and T. Tatsumi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 10150–10164.
- 5 A. C. Alba-Rubio, J. L. G. Fierro, L. León-Reina, R. Mariscal, J. A. Dumesic and M. López Granados, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2017, **202**, 269–280.
- 6 F. Bonino, A. Damin, G. Ricchiardi, M. Ricci, G. Spanò, R. D'Aloisio, A. Zecchina, C. Lamberti, C. Prestipino and S. Bordiga, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2004, **108**, 3573–3583.
- 7 S. Kwon, N. M. Schweitzer, S. Park, P. C. Stair and R. Q. Snurr, *J. Catal.*, 2015, **326**, 107–115.
- 8 S. C. Laha and R. Kumar, *J. Catal.*, 2002, **208**, 339–344.



- 9 L. Balducci, D. Bianchi, R. Bortolo, R. D'Aloisio, M. Ricci, R. Tassinari and R. Ungarelli, *Angew. Chem.*, 2003, **115**, 5087–5090.
- 10 T. Zhang, Y. Zuo, M. Liu, C. Song and X. Guo, *ACS Omega*, 2016, **1**, 1034–1040.
- 11 G. Wu, J. Xiao, L. Zhang, W. Wang, Y. Hong, H. Huang, Y. Jiang, L. Li and C. Wang, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 101071–101078.
- 12 G. G. Zeelani, A. Ashrafi, A. Dhakad, G. Gupta and S. L. Pal, *International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology*, 2016, **3**, 331–336.
- 13 M. Wu, X. Liu, Y. Wang, Y. Guo, Y. Guo and G. Lu, *J. Mater. Sci.*, 2014, **49**, 4341–4348.
- 14 B. Wang, M. Lin, B. Zhu, X. Peng, G. Xu and X. Shu, *Catal. Commun.*, 2016, **75**, 69–73.
- 15 A. Silvestre-Albero, A. Grau-Atienza, E. Serrano, J. García-Martínez and J. Silvestre-Albero, *Catal. Commun.*, 2014, **44**, 35–39.
- 16 P.-Y. Chao, S.-T. Tsai, T.-C. Tsai, J. Mao and X.-W. Guo, *Top. Catal.*, 2009, **52**, 185–192.
- 17 T. Liu, P. Hacıoğlu, S. T. Oyama, M.-F. Luo, X.-R. Pan and J.-Q. Lu, *J. Catal.*, 2009, **267**, 202–206.
- 18 B. Wang, M. Lin, X. Peng, B. Zhu and X. Shu, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 44963–44971.
- 19 S. Du, X. Chen, Q. Sun, N. Wang, M. Jia, V. Valtchev and J. Yu, *Chem. Commun.*, 2016, **52**, 3580–3583.
- 20 Y. Zhou, Y. Jin, M. Wang, W. Zhang, J. Xie, J. Gu, H. Wen, J. Wang and L. Peng, *Chemistry*, 2015, **21**, 15412–15420.
- 21 T. Tatsumi, K. A. Koyano and Y. Shimizu, *Appl. Catal., A*, 2000, **200**, 125–134.
- 22 M. Capel-Sanchez, *Appl. Catal., A*, 2003, **246**, 69–77.
- 23 C. V. Rode, U. N. Nehete and M. K. Dongare, *Catal. Commun.*, 2003, **4**, 365–369.
- 24 L. Xu, J. Ding, Y. Yang and P. Wu, *J. Catal.*, 2014, **309**, 1–10.
- 25 T. Tatsumi, Y. Watanabe and K. Koyano, *Chem. Commun.*, 1996, 2281–2282.
- 26 Y. Kuwahara, K. Nishizawa, T. Nakajima, T. Kamegawa, K. Mori and H. Yamashita, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 12462–12465.
- 27 Z. Chen, B. Wang, J. Zhang, W. Yu, Z. Liu and Y. Zhang, *Org. Chem. Front.*, 2015, **2**, 1107–1295.
- 28 B. Venu, B. Vishali, G. Naresh, V. V. Kumar, M. Sudhakar, R. Kishore, J. Beltramini, M. Konarova and A. Venugopal, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2016, **6**, 8055–8062.
- 29 W. Zhang, J. Xie, W. Hou, Y. Liu, Y. Zhou and J. Wang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2016, **8**, 23122–23132.
- 30 T. Yu, R. Yang, S. Xia, G. Li and C. Hu, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2014, **4**, 3159.
- 31 T. Yu, Q. Zhang, S. Xia, G. Li and C. Hu, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2014, **4**, 639–647.
- 32 B. Guo, Q. Zhang, G. Li, J. Yao and C. Hu, *Green Chem.*, 2012, **14**, 1880.
- 33 V. V. Ishtchenko, K. D. Huddersman and R. F. Vitkovskaya, *Appl. Catal., A*, 2003, **242**, 123–137.
- 34 C. Xia, L. Long, B. Zhu, M. Lin and X. Shu, *Catal. Commun.*, 2016, **80**, 49–52.
- 35 A. Miyakoshi, A. Ueno and M. Ichikawa, *Appl. Catal., A*, 2001, **219**, 249–258.
- 36 R. Sawyer, H. Nesbitt and R. Secco, *J. Non-Cryst. Solids*, 2012, **358**, 290–302.
- 37 M. C. Capel-Sanchez, J. M. Campos-Martin, J. L. G. Fierro, M. P. de Frutos and A. P. Polo, *Chem. Commun.*, 2000, 855–856, DOI: 10.1039/b000929f.
- 38 X. Feng, D. Chen and X. G. Zhou, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 44050–44056.
- 39 S. Payra, A. Saha, S. Guchhait and S. Banerjee, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 33462–33467.
- 40 M. Tian, W. Liang, G. Rao, L. Zhang and C. Guo, *Compos. Sci. Technol.*, 2005, **65**, 1129–1138.
- 41 G. Zou, D. Jing, W. Zhong, F. Zhao, L. Mao, Q. Xu, J. Xiao and D. Yin, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 3729–3734.
- 42 M. Cambor, A. Corma and J. Perez-Pariente, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1993, 557–559.
- 43 X. Feng, X. Duan, H. Cheng, G. Qian, D. Chen, W. Yuan and X. Zhou, *J. Catal.*, 2015, **325**, 128–135.
- 44 J. Zhou, Z. Hua, X. Cui, Z. Ye, F. Cui and J. Shi, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, **46**, 4994–4996.
- 45 F. Yin, A. Blumenfeld, V. Gruver and J. Fripiat, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 1997, **101**, 1824–1830.
- 46 A. Zecchina, L. Marchese, S. Bordiga, C. Paze and E. Gianotti, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 1997, **101**, 10128–10135.
- 47 Z. Zhuo, L. Wu, L. Wang, Y. Ding, X. Zhang, Y. Liu and M. He, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, **4**, 55685–55688.
- 48 L. Zhu, B. Guo, D. Tang, X. Hu, G. Li and C. Hu, *J. Catal.*, 2007, **245**, 446–455.
- 49 G. Kovács, A. Lledós and G. Ujaque, *Angew. Chem.*, 2011, **123**, 11343–11347.

