



Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2017, 7, 20628

Received 14th February 2017
 Accepted 31st March 2017

DOI: 10.1039/c7ra01857f

rsc.li/rsc-advances

Two decades of antifilarial drug discovery: a review

Jaiprakash N. Sangshetti,^a Devanand B. Shinde,^b Abhishek Kulkarni^a and Rohidas Arote^c

Filariasis is one of the oldest, most debilitating, disabling, and disfiguring neglected tropical diseases with various clinical manifestations and a low rate of mortality, but has a high morbidity rate, which results in social stigma. According to the WHO estimation, about 120 million people from 81 countries are infected at present and an estimated 1.34 billion people live in areas endemic to filariasis and are at risk of infection. In this review, we focus on Lymphatic Filariasis (LF) and provide brief insights on some other filarial conditions. Current drug treatments have beneficial effects in the elimination of only the larval stage of the worms. Very few drugs are available for treatment of filariasis but their repetitive use may

^aY. B. Chavan College of Pharmacy, Dr. Rafiq Zakaria Campus, Rauza Baugh, Aurangabad-(MS), India. E-mail: jnsangshetti@rediffmail.com

^bShivaji University, Kolhapur (MS), India

^cDepartment of Molecular Genetics, School of Dentistry, Seoul National University, Seoul, Republic of Korea



Dr Jaiprakash N. Sangshetti graduated from Y.B. Chavan College of Pharmacy, Aurangabad (MS), INDIA in 1999. He has completed M. Pharmacy from Prin. K. M. Kundnani College of Pharmacy, Mumbai. He obtained his PhD degree from Dr Babasaheb Ambedkar Marathwada University, Aurangabad under the supervision of Dr Devanand B. Shinde in 2009. Since 2010 he is working

as Associate Professor at Y.B. Chavan College of Pharmacy, Aurangabad (MS), INDIA. He has Three Patents to his credit and has published more than 125 research papers in International Journals. He has authored Two Books one on Pharmaceutical Validation and other on Quality by design. He is a Recipient of Young Scientist Award from Department of Science and Technology INDIA. He has received research grant from different funding agencies like DST, UGC and AICTE. His major area of research includes design and synthesis of bioactive molecules, development & validation of analytical methods for drugs & pharmaceutical dosage forms. He is currently working on the different targets in antibacterial drug discovery.



Dr Devanand B. Shinde is presently working as a vice chancellor of Shivaji University, Kolhapur (MS) India. He is NAMASTE Visiting Scholar to University of Oxford, 2017. Previously he was working as a Professor of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Department of Chemical Technology, Dr Babasaheb Ambedkar Marathwada University Aurangabad, India. He has more than 27 years of

research experience in synthetic organic chemistry, Medicinal Chemistry particularly in synthesis of molecules having biological and commercial importance. He obtained his PhD degree from Dr Babasaheb Ambedkar Marathwada University Aurangabad in 1991. He has five Patents (03 granted and 02 published) to his credit and has published more than 250 research papers in International Journals. He has authored Four Books. He is a Recipient of UGC-BSR-One time Grant under FRP Scheme. He has received research grant from different funding agencies like DST, UGC, ICMR, CSIR and AICTE. He has guided 20 students for PhD and 03 students are currently working for PhD. He is an elected fellow of the Maharashtra Academy of Sciences. He has received CSIR award for Short film on Need of New Drugs for Tuberculosis in 2014. He has Developed process for small scale manufacturing of 30 (Fine Chemicals) and industrial process for 11 API for Pharmaceutical Industry. He is currently working on the different targets in antileishmanial, antibacterial, anticancer drug discovery.



give rise to drug resistance. They are also found to be fairly ineffective towards eliminating adult worms. Moreover, no effective vaccine is available for treatment of filariasis. Because of these limitations, development of new antifilarials has been of utmost importance, a fact which encourages researchers to discover new drugs having antifilarial activity. Herein we extensively review developments in the field of antifilarials including the types of filariasis, their historical perspectives, eradication programs, different classes of drug (*i.e.* synthetic as well as natural), explored product-derived different targets, patents and clinical trials.

Introduction

“Overcoming neglected tropical diseases (NTDs) make sense both for economies and for development. The prospects for success have never been so strong. Millions of people are being freed from the misery and disability that have kept populations mired in poverty, generation after generation, for centuries”, according to Dr Margaret Chan, Director-General, World Health Organization.¹

A variety of NTDs have received less attention globally. Among these, vector-borne filariasis is one of the oldest, most debilitating, disabling and disfiguring of the NTDs. Vector-borne filariasis has a low rate of mortality, but a high morbidity rate, which results in social stigma.^{2–4} According to estimates, about 120 million people in 81 countries are currently infected, and 1.34 billion people who live in areas endemic to filariasis are at risk of infection.² Its two major forms are lymphatic filariasis (LF) (responsible vector: mosquito species) and onchocerciasis, also known as river blindness (responsible vector: black fly). In human beings these parasites reside in lymph nodes, in deep connective, cutaneous and subcutaneous tissues or in mesenteries.^{1,4} Severe symptoms generally start to appear during adulthood and are found more commonly in men than in women. These symptoms include damage to various tissues such as lymphatic system, arms, legs

or genitals, causing significant pain, loss of productivity and social isolation.^{5,6}

Filariasis exhibits various clinical manifestations depending on various factors such as vector, host immune response, associated microbes or affected site of the host (Fig. 1).^{7–17} Approximately 40 million people suffer from the stigmatizing and disabling clinical manifestations of the LF among whom 15 million have lymphoedema (elephantiasis), and particularly 25 million men have urogenital swelling, principally scrotal hydrocele.²

Lymphatic filariasis (LF) is endemic in five of the six WHO regions. Worldwide, 1.39 billion people require preventive chemotherapy. Currently, 877 million people from 9 countries (63–65% of the global population at risk) in the South-East Asia Region and 432 million people from 39 countries (31% of the global population at risk) in the Africa Region account for the maximum global burden of this disease and require preventive treatment. The South-East Asia Region also accounts for approximately 57% of total global burden of disability adjusted life years (DALYs) lost due to LF. From the Western Pacific Region, almost 40 million people are at a risk of infection and account for 3% of the global population at risk. This region is logistically divided into two regions *viz.* the Mekong-Plus region and the Pacific region. Brunei Darussalam, the Philippines, Lao People's Democratic Republic, Malaysia, Cambodia, and Vietnam are 6 endemic countries in the Mekong-Plus sub region



Abhishek A. Kulkarni graduated from Shri Bhagwan College of Pharmacy, Dr Babasaheb Ambedkar Marathwada University, Aurangabad (MS), India in 2013 with distinction. He perceived his master degree in Pharmaceutical Chemistry from Y. B. Chavan College of Pharmacy, Aurangabad (MS), India under the guidance of Dr Jai-prakash N. Sangshetti with distinction in 2015. He has pre-

sented various scientific posters on topics related to Pharmaceutical Chemistry at state and national level. His area of research includes design and synthesis of novel heterocyclic coupled bioactive compounds and evaluation for their antileishmanial, antimicrobial and antioxidant activities. He is also working on one-pot multicomponent synthesis of various bioactive molecules using various reusable catalysts.



Dr Rohidas B. Arote did his PhD in gene delivery from Seoul National University, Seoul, Republic of Korea in 2008. He continued as a post doctoral fellow in the same Seoul National University for the period of 2009–2010. He is presently working as Associate Professor with School of Dentistry, Seoul National University, Republic of Korea. His PhD research involved

development of biodegradable polymeric gene carrier system for the treatment of various types of diseases mainly cancer. His postdoctoral research efforts focus on developing efficient gene carriers for the treatment of lung and liver cancer. Presently, he is focusing on targeted drug and gene delivery by using multifunctional nanocarriers in the field of nanomedicine.






Fig. 1 Clinical classification of filariasis. * = Hydrocele results from accumulation of straw coloured fluid in sac covering the testicles; # = Rupture of dilated lymph vessels causes lymph to accumulate in affected body site called chyluria.




Fig. 2 Population needing preventive treatment in LF.

that are at risk of infection. However, in the same region, countries such as China and the Republic of Korea have successfully eliminated this disease. Four endemic countries (Brazil, the Dominican Republic, Haiti and Guyana) in the America Regions account for another 1% with the largest at risk population located in Haiti. Three endemic countries (Egypt, Sudan and Yemen) from the Eastern Mediterranean region account for 1% of the global disease burden, and 16 endemic countries in Oceania account for another 1% (Fig. 2).^{2,18}

Historical perspective on filariasis

Ancient artifacts suggest that filarial disease may have been found as early as 2000 B.C.¹⁹ First, ancient documented evidence of filariasis in India was recorded in Sushruta Samhita (approximately 600 B.C.) by the renowned Indian physician Sushruta.²⁰ According to one report, the first reliable

documentation of filariasis was found in the late 15th and early 16th centuries.²¹ Chyluria (passage of lymph in urine) a common condition associated with lymphatic filariasis, was documented by William Prout in 1849. In Paris, the French surgeon Jean-Nicolas was the first person to describe the microfilariae observed in the fluid extracted from hydrocele (1863).²² These microfilariae were first observed by Timothy Lewis in human blood (1872), who was working in India.²³ In 1876, the Australian scientist Joseph Bancroft recovered female adult worms of filariasis and named them *Filaria bancrofti*, and this species was later included in the genus *Wuchereria*.²⁴ In 1877, Sir Patrick Manson observed some parasitic development in the stomach of mosquito that was fed on the blood of a microfilaremic gardener and thus indicated that filariasis is transmitted by mosquitoes.²⁵ Two other species that cause filariasis were first identified in 1960 (*Brugia malayi*) and in 1977 (*B. timori*).²⁶

Filariasis – an overview

Background, epidemiology and need

The main species of thread like filarial spirurid nematode worms responsible for human LF are *Wuchereria bancrofti* (*W. bancrofti* causes almost 90% of infection), *Brugia malayi* (*B. malayi*) or *B. timori*, each of which is transmitted by their own specific insect vector, *i.e.*, through the bite of an infected mosquito.

From various species of the vector that causes LF, *Anopheles*, *Culex*, *Aedes* and *Mansonia* are the leading genera. According to the WHO, the increased numbers of infected hosts, the density of microfilariae in peripheral blood and the biting rate of the vector result in greater chances of microfilarial uptake by mosquito vectors and transmission to healthy people.⁵



Another worm, *i.e.*, *Onchocerca volvulus* (an African eye worm), which is a cutaneous and subcutaneous parasite, is responsible for onchocerciasis. *Loa loa* is another species of worm that causes loiasis. It has also been reported that *Wuchereria bancrofti* and *Onchocerca volvulus* do not require an animal reservoir.^{1,27–32}

According to the WHO, filarial transmission in a community is influenced by various factors, such as the number of infected persons, frequency of human-vector contact, density of vector mosquitoes, and the characteristics of the vectors, among others.³³ Although *W. bancrofti* selectively infects humans, other species of filaria may also infect animals. As discussed, approximately two-thirds of the infected peoples are residents of Asia, among which approximately 40% live in India. Data suggests that depressive illness is very common in individuals with LF and accounts for an estimated 5.09 million DALYs (disability-adjusted life years).^{34–36} After infection, microfilaremia usually start to appear in children with an approximate age of 5 years, but the actual signs of disease generally appear during puberty. Hydroceles also begin to develop in this stage. A 26 year survey conducted over the previous decade indicated that once the infection has been acquired, the chance of becoming naturally free from infection is low.³⁷

Filarial worms that cause lymphatic filariasis by colonizing the lymphatic system live up to 8 years and release millions of microfilariae into the blood. Regarding the elimination of the disease, the Global Alliance to Eliminate Lymphatic Filariasis (GPELF) was created in 2000 with the goal of interrupting the transmission of this disease by 2020 through the use of drugs distribution worldwide.³⁸ Although annual drug treatments may prove helpful in reducing the levels of circulating microfilariae in circulation (thereby disrupting disease transmission), these treatments fail to kill adult worms. These drug treatments include doses of albendazole plus ivermectin, diethylcarbamazine (DEC) fortified salt or DEC plus albendazole.^{31,39} Because these drugs are only effective against the early stage of parasite (microfilariae) development, they provide only a partial benefit to infected individuals. In addition, their repetitive use may result in drug resistance. They are also fairly ineffective toward adult worms (macrofilariae). Traditional or conventional approaches have provided relatively few classes of agents and no vaccine treatments, which are some of the limitations underlying the pressing need for advancements in antifilarial drug discovery. Thus, researchers are searching for new classes of leads to comfort patients as well as to combat and alleviate this disease on a global scale.³⁹

Diagnosis

LF is primarily diagnosed using the immunochromatographic card test kit *via* antigen detection methods (which also detects latent infections). The traditional diagnosis of LF is performed by microscopy to detect circulating microfilariae. Molecular xenomonitoring of parasites in mosquitoes, serological testing, ultrasonography, PCR tests, lymphoscintigraphy, detection of exposure to transmission in children *via* antibody detection and the recently introduced filariasis test strip (FTS) are some of the other diagnostic approaches that are currently used.^{42–48}

Life cycle of the parasite-biological process

All species of parasitic worms generally have similar life cycles. When a female mosquito bites an infected human for a blood meal, the microfilariae (mf) from its blood circulation are also taken up through bite wound by the mosquito. Inside the stomach of the mosquito, microfilariae lose their sheaths and migrates through the wall of the proventriculus and cardiac portion of the midgut to reach the thoracic muscles where they develop into sluggish first-stage larvae (L1), which subsequently (within 08–12 days) develop into second-stage larvae (L2) and finally into the highly infective larval form (L3) which further migrate through the hemocoel to the mosquito's proboscis. When the infected mosquito has a blood meal from a healthy human, these infectious larvae (male and female) enter the human through the bite wound, migrate into the lymph system of the healthy human and develop into numerous fourth-stage larvae (L4), which are finally converted into adult worms that normally resides in lymphatics. These adults matures in about 9 months and mate in lymph vessels and nodes where they produce microfilariae (177 to 230 μm in length and 5 to 7 μm in width for *B. malayi* whereas 244 to 296 μm in length by 7.5 to 10 μm in diameter for *W. bancrofti*) which are sheathed and have nocturnal periodicity except the South Pacific microfilariae which shows the absence of marked periodicity. These microfilariae then migrate into lymph and enter the blood stream reaching the peripheral blood (Fig. 3 and 4). According to morphological point of view, for *Brugia malayi* female worms generally measure 43 to 55 mm in length by 130 to 170 μm in width, and males generally measure 13 to 23 mm in length by 70 to 80 μm in width whereas for *W. bancrofti*, female measures about 80 to 100 mm in length and 0.24 to 0.30 mm in diameter and that of male measures 40 mm by 1 mm. Unlike the transmission of malaria, large numbers of bites from infected mosquitoes are generally required to initiate a new infection in a healthy person.^{5,49}




Fig. 3 Life cycle of parasite inside mosquito.






Fig. 4 Life cycle of parasite.

Designing a drug to counter filariasis in biological point of view

Drug discovery is an iterative process that generally involves discrete stages. Knowledge of basic biology and biochemistry of the parasite is often required to identify molecular targets of the parasite. In some cases compounds are also tested, without prior knowledge of the target.

Compounds active against the whole parasite are defined as hits while compounds that are active in the animal models and are considered to be 'drug like' are termed as leads. Lead compounds generally require optimization for enhancing efficacy and good pharmaceutical properties. Once a compound reaches the stage at which it can be considered for testing in human patients, it is defined as a drug candidate. From there it enters the preclinical and then clinical trials (typical drug development pathway).⁵⁰

Based on the biological process and symptoms, a drug could be designed and developed (according to drug development pathway) so as to counter the filariasis. Number of targets can be studied for developing new drugs *viz.* macrofilaricidal drugs (drugs killing adult worms), drugs directly targeting microfilariae, drugs preventing exsheathing of microfilariae, drugs preventing migration of microfilariae through cell wall, drugs targeting developmental stages of larvae (L1, L2, L3 and L4). On the other hand, vaccine development can also prove beneficial preventive measure and development of some mosquito repellents such as insecticide nets, body lotions, mosquito killing agents (insecticides) such as sprays, coils *etc.* along with good sanitization activities may also prevent mosquito vector development which together can help in combating filariasis. In one

study by Babu *et al.*, they reported that host immune responses, especially CD⁴⁺ T-cell responses clearly play a role in mediating pathological manifestations of LF, including hydrocele, elephantiasis and lymphedema. Hence, they suggested that failure to induce T-cell hyporesponsiveness is the main underlying defect in the development of clinical pathology.⁵⁰ Therefore, study of immunology in the context of filariasis may further prove effective tool for the development of new anti-filarials. Drugs can also be designed and developed to combat filariasis according to its symptoms (Fig. 1) *viz.* drugs used for the treatment of lymphatic filariasis (drugs effective against adeno lymphadenitis, funiculitis, epididymo-orchitis, lymphedema, hydrocele, chyluria, chylocele, lymph scrotum) and drugs used in the treatment of other manifestations like asymptomatic microfilaraemia, occult filariasis, endemic normal, onchocerciasis, loiasis.

Current antifilarial therapy

Diethylcarbamazine


Diethylcarbamazine (DEC), a piperazine derivative (**1**), has been the most common and widely used orally administered drug over the previous 6 decades. The activity of DEC (**1**) was first time studied in cotton rats infected with *Litomosoides carinii* as well as in dogs with *Dirofilaria immitis*. The results revealed that DEC is an effective microfilaricide. In 1947, DEC was used for the first time to treat humans infected with filariasis. Later, strong antimicrofilarial activity was also shown against other filarial species that infect humans, such as *W. bancrofti*, *B. malayi*, *O. volvulus* and *Loa loa*.^{50–53} DEC (**1**) acts rapidly (within a few min), resulting in a rapid drop in the peripheral blood



microfilarial count. It is also known to act by initiating the host immune system.^{54–57} Along with its antimicrofilarial action, it also exhibits varying degrees of macrofilaricidal activity.^{58–61} One report published in the last decade describing an *in vitro* and *in vivo* study on DEC (1) showed a direct mechanism of action (including apoptosis and organelle damage) on *W. bancrofti* microfilariae.⁶² For rapid killing of microfilariae by DEC (1), inducible nitric oxide was found to be an essential factor.⁶³ However, combination therapy of DEC (1) with albendazole (4) revealed effective killing of *W. bancrofti* microfilariae, but this treatment was followed by the development of hydroceles and worsening of the pre-existing hydroceles.⁶⁴


In light of its pharmacokinetic studies, DEC (1) is almost completely absorbed after oral administration and is widely distributed in non-fatty tissues. Metabolism of DEC is extensive and rapid and the residuum is recovered unchanged in the urine within 48 hours of dosage. The plasma half-life is attained usually within the range of 6–12 hours. According to WHO, DEC (1) can be administered orally at a dose of 6 mg kg⁻¹ daily for 12 days (preferably in divided doses after meals) in an individual treatment and 6 mg kg⁻¹ in 24 hours (12 times at weekly or monthly intervals, or as a single annual dose) in mass treatment for treating *W. bancrofti* infection whereas at a dose of 3–6 mg kg⁻¹ daily for 6–12 days (preferably in divided doses after meals) in an individual treatment and 3–6 mg kg⁻¹ in 24 hours (6 times at weekly or monthly intervals) in a mass treatment for treating *B. malayi* and *B. timori* infections. Several trials that were conducted in India and China revealed that when a table salt medicated with diethylcarbamazine at a concentration of 0.1% when used consistently over a period of at least 6 months can eliminate *W. bancrofti* induced lymphatic filariasis. Also, concentration of about 0.3% may be necessary for 3–4 months where *B. malayi* is endemic. For the treatment of occult filariasis, DEC (1) at a dose of 8 mg kg⁻¹ daily for 14 days is generally administered but if symptoms returns, the dose can be repeated.

Due to severe encephalitis and retinal hemorrhage (Mazzotti type reaction), DEC (1) is not recommended for the treatment of onchocerciasis or for areas in which both onchocerciasis and loiasis are endemic.⁶⁵ Other side effects that are observed more frequently with an increasing dose include nausea, GIT upset, malaise, body aches, and anorexia (systemic reactions). However, abscess formation, lymphadenitis and transient lymphedema represent some of the localized reactions to DEC (1).⁶⁶ Its exact mechanism is still unknown, but according to Maizels and Denham, alterations in arachidonic acid metabolism are a possibility in both host endothelial cells and microfilariae, resulting in blood vessel constriction and host granulocyte and platelet aggregation.⁶⁷



Ivermectin

An effective microfilaricide, ivermectin (IVM), also known as mectizan (2), was the first commercially available macrocyclic lactone (introduced in 1981), with effects that persist for 12 months. Chemically, it is a 22,23-dihydro semisynthetic derivative of avermectin B1 (a fermentation product of actinomycetes *S. avermitilis*) developed by Merck in the mid-1970s, which has broad-spectrum activity against nematodes as well as arthropods.^{68–74} IVM (2), along with DEC (1) or individually, has shown efficacy in producing long-term suppression of microfilariae in bancroftian filariasis.^{60,75} It was also found to be effective for the treatment of brugian filariasis.⁷⁶ It has been reported that IVM (2) irreversibly reduces the number of microfilariae of the adult parasite by at least 65% (single treatment at a dose of 400 µg kg⁻¹).^{77,78} IVM (2) targets glutamate gated Cl⁻ and K⁺ ion channels in nematodes, which leads to an increase in cell membrane permeability to chloride ions, resulting in a hyperpolarization that causes paralysis of the body wall muscle and pharynx. The drug also affects ligand-gated chloride ion channels gated by GABA (gamma aminobutyric acid).^{72,79–81} Genetic evidence of IVM (2) resistance has been demonstrated in various studies.^{82,83} It has also been observed that adult worms are not killed by the drug despite administration for six months at doses of 4800 µg kg⁻¹.^{84,85} A single oral dose of IVM (2) effectively removes microfilariae of *W. bancrofti*, but the microfilariae gets rebound in the blood following post-treatment at a faster rate than that with DEC (1). Other side effects of IVM (2) are generally similar to those of DEC (1), and special care must be considered, such as avoiding its use in cases of pregnancy and in children younger than 5 years old.^{86–89} IVM (2) has also been found to compete efficiently with retinol for the retinol-binding site on retinol binding proteins (RBPs) in the parasite only, but no such binding was observed for the host RBP sites.⁹⁰




Suramin

Suramin (3), which possesses a complex structure, is commercially available as a hexasodium salt (antrypol) and was initially used for the treatment of trypanosomiasis. Its activity against filarial worms was subsequently discovered serendipitously (for




a long period, it is the only drug of choice used as a macrofilaricidal agent to treat onchocerciasis in humans). Chemically, it is an 8,8'-(carbonylbis[imino-3,1-phenylenecarbonylimino(4-methyl-3,1-phenylene)carbonylimino])bis-1,3,5-naphthalenetrisulfonic acid hexasodium salt, and it is the only currently available macrofilaricide that is effective against *W. bancrofti* and *O. volvulus*, among others.^{91–93} After entering the body, suramin (3) forms complexes with protein and then slowly dissociates, such that its effect can last for three months.^{94,95} It adversely affects enzymes associated with glucose catabolism and destabilizes DNA and protein kinase enzymes in filarial worms.^{96–98} However, it causes very severe side effects. Immediate withdrawal may be required due to serious adverse reactions such as fatal collapse, albuminuria, ulceration, and persistent high fever, among others. Thus, it should always be administered under medical supervision in the hospital. Since it is having nature to form complexes with protein and is consequently not absorbed from the gastrointestinal tract, suramin (3) is given slowly by intravenous route of administration (10% solution in water for injection). For adults, a total of 66.7 mg kg⁻¹ dose should be administered in six incremental weekly doses (3.3, 6.7, 10.0, 13.3, 16.7, 16.7 mg kg⁻¹ for 1st to 6th weeks respectively).⁹⁹ It enters the extracellular space but does not penetrate into the cerebrospinal fluid. It dissociates slowly from plasma proteins and is detectable unchanged in the urine for up to 3 months after the last dose. Polyuria, tiredness, tenderness, anorexia, and increased thirst, among others, are some of the milder side effects associated with this drug. Due to its extreme toxicity, the use of suramin (3) is prohibited in geriatrics, pediatrics and in patients with renal or hepatic diseases.^{94,99–102}



Albendazole


Albendazole (4), a benzimidazole derivative that is generally used to treat helminthiasis, has recently been assessed in a clinical trial for its antifilarial efficacy.¹⁰³ It was found to be more effective when administered in combination with either DEC (1) or IVM (2). Albendazole (400 mg) together with ivermectin (150–200 μg kg⁻¹) or with diethylcarbamazine (DEC)

(6 mg kg⁻¹) is generally given annually to an entire at-risk population.^{104–106} A macrofilaricidal effect of albendazole (4) had also been reported.^{107,108} Some studies showed that blockage of tubulin polymerization and thereby inhibiting microtubule formation by this drug further inhibits mitosis in the worm such that its embryogenesis and egg hatching is inhibited. It was also found to inhibit parasite intestinal cells, preventing glucose uptake and thereby leading to the death of the parasite due to starvation. However, like the other drugs, albendazole (4) also causes some side effects, such as embryotoxicity and teratogenicity, and therefore, it is prohibited during pregnancy.^{109–111}



Levamisole

Levamisole (5) is the drug of choice for the treatment of ascariasis and has been shown to be virtually free from side effects at the recommended doses. It has also been reported to exhibit significant activity against the microfilariae of *Wuchereria bancrofti* and *Brugia malayi*.¹¹² According to one study, at an initial dose of 100 mg followed by the same dose twice daily for 10 days, levamisole (5) was found to be as effective as the total oral dosage of DEC at 126 mg per kg body weight.¹¹³ Some researchers have reported that levamisole (5) acts as nicotinic receptor agonist that causes prolonged activation of the excitatory nicotinic acetylcholine (nACh) receptors on the body wall muscle of parasites, leading to spastic muscle paralysis in the worm.^{114,115}



Antifilarials: from synthetic origins

Sincere efforts have been made in the past few decades in the search for new antifilarial agents and their development, resulting in different cores possessing antifilarial activity. Therefore, in the context of lymphatic filariasis, efforts are made herein to encompass the maximum reported antifilarials from synthetic as well as from natural origins (Fig. 5).

Pyrimidine

Chauhan *et al.* synthesized trisubstituted pyrimidine derivatives that were found to possess improved ATP-dependent DNA topoisomerase II inhibitory activity against the filarial parasite *S. cervi*, many of which were found to be superior to the available standard antifilarial drug (DEC) as well as the standard topoisomerase-II inhibitors (nalidixic acid and novobiocin). Among these derivatives, compounds (6), (7), (8) and (9) were



Antifilarials From Synthetic Origin


- Pyrimidine
- Indole
- Quinoline Derivatives
- Glycoside
- Dioxocine
- Alcohols
- Triazine
- Benzopyran/Coumarin
- Naphthalene Derivatives
- Thiazolidine
- BHA
- Piperazide
- Pyrrolidine
- Diaminoalkane
- Secondary amine
- Glycyrrhetic acid derivatives
- Nitrazoxamide & Trizoxamide
- Anthraquinone
- Sulfonamide
- Benzothiazole
- Thiazole
- Benzimidazole
- Silver

Fig. 5 Classification of antifilarials from synthetic origin.


found to be most effective. Compounds (6), (7) and (9) showed constant % inhibition (80%), whereas compound (8) showed 70% inhibition at tested concentrations of 40, 20 and 10 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$. Even at 5 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$, compounds (6) and (7) showed approximately 60% inhibition, while compounds (8) and (9) showed approximately 40% and 25% inhibition, respectively. However, standard antifilarial DEC showed very low inhibition, *i.e.*, 45% and 10% at concentrations of 40 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ and 20 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$, respectively, and was ineffective below these concentrations. Standard topoisomerase II inhibitors were also less

effective, showing 10–80% inhibition at 10–40 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ and no effect of them was observed at 5 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ concentration. According to the authors, the amino group and 4-aminophenyl group at the second position plays an important role in exerting antifilarial activity by inhibiting the topoisomerase II enzyme of *S. cervi*.¹¹⁶

The 2-sulfanyl-6-methyl-1,4-dihydropyrimidines were synthesized by Tripathi *et al.* After evaluation for their antifilarial activity against adult worms of *B. malayi*, compound (10) was found to be most promising at 25 and 50 μM . It also showed approximately 70% inhibition in the MTT reduction assay compared with standard DEC (ineffective even up to 200 μM). After *in vivo* testing against *B. malayi* in a *Mastomys coucha* infection model, compound (10) exhibited good antimacrofilarial action at a dose of 100 mg kg^{-1} . When evaluated for its embryostatic activity, compound (10) caused approximately 68% sterilization of female worms, which was quite significant compared with the 20% sterilization that was observed in the untreated controls. It also showed no apparent signs of any toxicity in treated as well as untreated animals. When evaluated for *in vivo* activity in the *B. malayi* jirid model, compound (10) displayed approximately 46% macrofilaricidal activity. According to the authors, 4-aryl-1,4-dihydropyridine, which has a less bulky



electron-withdrawing group at position 4 of the phenyl ring (compound **10**), leads to a potent compound in the series.¹¹⁷



Indole

Agarwal *et al.* reported the synthesis and evaluation of substituted pyrido-indoles (β -carbolin). From the series, compound (**11**) administered at a dose of 30 mg kg⁻¹ for 5 days exhibited 81% adulticidal activity against *L. carinii* in *S. hispidus* (cotton rats), whereas it showed 96% microfilaricidal activity when tested against *A. viteae* in *M. natalensis* at a dose of 50 mg kg⁻¹ for 5 days. When evaluated against *A. viteae* in *M. natalensis* (at the same dose), another compound (**12**) obtained *via* aromatization of the pyridine ring containing the 1-carbox-amido group, showed 100% micro and 50% macrofilaricidal activity, along with complete sterilization of surviving female worms. While discussing its SAR, researchers have explained that the substituent present at position 1 in tetrahydro or aromatized β -carboline plays an important role in eliciting the antifilarial response in rodents.¹¹⁸ In another report, Chauhan *et al.* described the synthesis of β -carboline (substituted 9H-pyrido[3,4-*b*]indoles) and evaluated their micro- and macrofilaricidal activities against 3 species of filariae *viz.* *L. carinii* (metabolically facultative filarial species maintained in cotton rats and also successfully tested in human filariasis) against *A. viteae* (metabolically similar to human filarial parasites, which are anaerobic in nature and recommended by the WHO for experimental chemotherapy of filariasis) and *B. malayi* in a *M. coucha* model. From these compounds, among the 4-halo substituents (at position 1 of β -carboline), compound (**13**) exhibited potent 94% microfilaricidal and 39% macrofilaricidal activities along with sterilization of all surviving female worms (when tested against *A. viteae* at a dose of 50 mg kg⁻¹ for 5 days). The 4-chlorophenyl substituent was found to be important for the antifilarial response. In particular, indole containing the tetrahydropyridine ring along with the ester function at position 3 (**13**) was found to be beneficial. However, among the 4-substituted-phenyl substituents (at position 1 of β -carboline), the highest, *i.e.*, 100% macrofilaricidal activity (when tested against *A. viteae* at a dose of 50 mg kg⁻¹ for 5 days), was observed for compound (**14**). The ester function at position 3 with a 4-methylphenyl substituent at position 1 was important for the adulticidal action. Another compound, compound (**15**), with a hydroxymethyl group at position 3 and 4-chlorophenyl group at position 1 of β -carboline was found to have good antifilarial activity against all three types of worms tested. Compound (**15**) showed 76% microfilaricidal and 56% macrofilaricidal activities, along with 75% inhibition of female worms against *A. viteae*. When its antifilarial activity was assessed


against *L. carinii*, compound (**15**) showed 95% adulticidal and 29% microfilaricidal responses. Interestingly, it was the only compound that, when tested against human filarial parasite (*B. malayi*), was found to possess antifilarial activity. At an intra-peritoneal dose of 50 mg kg⁻¹ for 5 days, it showed 62% adulticidal activity and sterilized approximately 85% of the surviving female worms. The researchers further concluded that the β carboline skeleton and nature of the substituents, especially at positions 1 and 3, contribute to the macrofilaricidal activity of synthesized compounds.¹¹⁹⁻¹²¹

Quinoline and related compounds

Chauhan *et al.* synthesized 7-chloro-4-(substituted amino)quinolines and evaluated their activities against filarial worms. Compound (**16**) with a nitrophenyl hydrazine function at position 4 of the quinoline ring was found to be most potent, exhibiting 41% microfilaricidal and 78% macrofilaricidal activities against *A. viteae*. A 50% sterilization of female worms was also observed with compound (**16**). Compound (**17**), which contains an ethanolamine function on the quinoline ring, exhibited 86% microfilaricidal activity, similar to the standard drug DEC (90%). However, where DEC was inactive for the adulticidal response, compound (**17**) showed 22% macrofilaricidal activity. The bulky substitution at position 4 of the quinoline ring was beneficial for the antifilarial activity, as observed for compound (**16**), which revealed a maximum antifilarial response after the study.¹²² Azad *et al.* synthesized 3-nitro-4-quinolones *via ipso*-nitration reaction. The synthesized compounds were evaluated for antifilarial activity and also for *Brugia malayi* thymidylate kinase inhibitory activity. Among all the synthesized compounds (**16a**) was the most active compound with IC₅₀ 2.9 μ M.¹²²

Chauhan *et al.* also reported the synthesis of 5-amino and 5,8-diamino-substituted isoquinoline derivatives, and evaluated these compounds *in vivo* against *A. viteae*. Among the synthesized derivatives, compound (**18**) was the most potent, exhibiting 76% adulticidal and 60% microfilaricidal activities. After biochemical evaluation, compound (**18**) significantly reduced the ATP and tubulin contents of the worm (essential contents required for the functional integrity and survival of the parasite). The morpholine function at position 5 of the isoquinoline ring was found to be important for the biological response against *A. viteae*.¹²³ Furthermore, Chauhan *et al.* reported that quinolones are a new class of antifilarial agents. Among the synthesized compounds that were screened *in vivo* against *A. viteae* at a dose of 200 mg kg⁻¹ for 5 days, three compounds, *viz.* compounds (**19**), (**20**) and (**21**), displayed the most significant antifilarial activities, among which compound (**19**) showed 100% macrofilaricidal and 90% microfilaricidal action, whereas the standard drug DEC citrate showed only 90% microfilaricidal action with no effect on the macrofilarial count. Compound (**20**) exhibited 80% macrofilaricidal activity with 24% microfilaricidal activity, whereas compound (**21**) caused 100% sterilization of female worms with 40% microfilaricidal and 38% macrofilaricidal activities. After its evaluation against DNA topoisomerase II enzyme, compound (**19**) showed more than 80% enzyme inhibition. Regarding SAR, among the entire *N*-











substituted quinolone carboxamide derivatives, compound (19) with a cyclohexyl substituent on the nitrogen atom of carboxamide and with no substitution on the quinolone nucleus was found to be the most potent compound. Nitration at position 7 (compound 21) was also beneficial for antifilarial activity. Replacement of the cyclohexyl substituent with a bulkier group, such as a long-chain alkane at the nitrogen of carboxamide, resulted in a significant reduction in macrofilaricidal activity.¹²⁴

Glycoside


Jana, Sinha Babu and Misra *et al.* recently reported that C-cinnamoyl glycosides are a new class of antifilarial agents. They studied these compounds in terms of their antifilarial

activity, mechanism of action (MOA) and 3D QSAR. From the series of synthesized compounds, compound (22) exhibited the most potent activity in terms of MIC (~3.40 nM), IC₅₀ (~6.90 nM) and LC₅₀ (~25 nM) values, whereas the standard drug IVM showed MIC, IC₅₀ and LC₅₀ values of approximately ~7 nM, ~20 nM and ~54 nM, respectively, when tested *in vitro* against the bovine filarial parasite *S. cervi*. Compound (22) also exhibited a CC₅₀ value of approximately 103 nM (SI = ~15) when evaluated against the normal kidney epithelial cell line in an MTT experiment. When evaluated against the microfilariae of the human filarial parasite *W. bancrofti* by RM assessment and MTT assay, the MIC and IC₅₀ values of compound (22) were 4.4 nM mL⁻¹ and 8.96 nM mL⁻¹, respectively, together with






24



a 40.6% reduction in worm viability at the highest tested concentration (18.4 nM mL^{-1}). In contrast, the standard drug IVM showed a reduction in worm viability of only 17.6%. In the discussion of its MOA, they reported that after Hoechst and propidium iodide staining, compound (22) showed an induction of chromatin condensation and DNA fragmentation, which are characteristics of apoptosis. SEM studies further revealed that this compound also damaged the cuticular sheath of the microfilaria, as observed for DEC. The 3D QSAR studies showed the relative arrangements of substituents at the *meta* and *para* positions of aromatic groups that are responsible for two/three-fold differences in activities. The compounds, particularly those with a halide substitution *meta* to the aromatic ring, showed higher antifilarial activity.¹²⁵





Dioxocine


Sashidhara *et al.* reported the synthesis of 3,6-epoxy dioxocines. After antifilarial evaluation of these compounds against *B. malayi* in the *M. coucha* model, compound (23) showed good IC_{50} values ($0.4 \text{ } \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ and $1.8 \text{ } \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$, with selectivity indices (SI) of 100 and 22.2 with respect to macrofilariae and microfilariae, respectively). Another compound (24) was found to be potent in terms of both *in vitro* ($\text{IC}_{50} = 1.6 \text{ } \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ and $3.5 \text{ } \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ for macrofilariae and microfilariae, respectively) as well as *in vivo* antifilarial activity. After *in vivo* evaluation of compound (24) in the *B. malayi* in jirid model, it showed no apparent signs of toxicity and minimal worm recovery compared with the control at a dose of 200 mg kg^{-1} . It demonstrated 38% adulticidal activity and 63% sterilization of female worms, revealing its superior effect compared with DEC citrate (20% adulticidal activity and 11% sterilization of female worms). While discussing SAR of the synthesized compounds, authors stated that compounds containing naphthalene nucleus were found inactive while compounds that contains alkyl group at position number 10 were found to be more promising. Interestingly, the substitution on the phenyl ring of chalcone seems to have no effect, as the activity was conserved in both.¹²⁶

Alcohols

Murthy *et al.* reported the *in vivo* antifilarial activities of cyclic and acyclic alcohols. They further evaluated cyclohexanol, 2-




substituted propanol and cyclooctanol derivatives against *A. viteae* and *L. carinii* in rodents. Among all of the tested compounds, compound (25), a cyclooctanol derivative containing 4-benzyl piperidine substituted at position 2, exhibited 100% macrofilaricidal activity (at a dose of 200 mg kg⁻¹ for 5 days) with approximately 81% sterilization of female worms (at a dose of 100 mg kg⁻¹ for 5 days) against *A. viteae*. The hydroxyl group along with the benzyl piperidine substituent was essential for the antifilarial activity, as observed by the loss of activity following acetylation of the hydroxyl group.¹²⁷



Triazine

Goswami *et al.* explored DHFR (dihydrofolate reductase) inhibitors for their *in vitro* activity against *B. malayi*. Among the evaluated compounds, three were found to be most active, from which compound (26), caused almost 100% loss of motility of filarial worms at 20 µg mL⁻¹ concentration. It also showed better activity (IC₅₀ = 10.90 µM) when compared with standard antifolate (positive control) compounds, *i.e.*, trimethoprim (IC₅₀ = 12.92 µM) and pyrimethamine (IC₅₀ = 20.10 µM). Furthermore, it exerted good inhibitory activity (approximately 74%) against PARP (polyadenosine diphosphate ribose polymerase) enzyme. The bulky tricyclic substituent and the basic DHFR pharmacophore (2,4-diamino pyrimidine converted to a 2,4-diamino triazine) were found to be essential for antifilarial activity.^{128,129}



Benzopyran (coumarin)

Murthy *et al.* synthesized benzopyran derivatives and evaluated them for antifilarial activity against *L. carinii* and *A. viteae*-induced infections in cotton rats and *M. coucha* models, respectively. A simple benzopyran compound (27) displayed approximately 56% macrofilaricidal and 74% microfilaricidal activity against *A. viteae*.¹³⁰ In another work, the same research group synthesized a series of benzopyran derivatives, among which compound (28) was a promising antifilarial compound

when evaluated against *L. carinii* (in cotton rats) and *B. malayi* (in the *M. coucha* and jirid model). When administered orally at a dose of 300 mg kg⁻¹ for 5 days in the *B. malayi*-*M. coucha* model, it showed 53.6% macrofilaricidal and 46% microfilaricidal activity, along with approximately 46% sterilization of female worms. The said compound also interfered with infective L3 larva-induced infection to an extent of approximately 50% at the same dose. An inhibition of protease activity by 82% was also observed with 1 µM compound (28). Thus, not only the benzopyran moiety itself but also the benzopyran ring with a substitution at position 7 fused to benzopyran was found to be a promising lead for exerting antifilarial activity.¹³¹ Misra-Bhattacharya and Katiyar *et al.* synthesized and evaluated 4-oxycoumarin derivatives. After an *in vitro* evaluation against the human filarial parasite *B. malayi*, compound (29) with *n*-dodecyl substitution was found to exhibit the most potent antifilarial activity in the nanomolar range. The observed IC₅₀ value for compound (29) was 14 nM (129 times lower than that of IVM) and 5.6 nM (714 times lower than that of IVM), whereas the standard drug IVM had IC₅₀ values of 1800 nM and 4000 nM against adult worms and microfilariae of the parasite, respectively. The CC₅₀ value for this compound was more than 10 000 nM in the Vero cell line (monkey kidney cell), resulting in SI values of more than 7142 and 17 857 for adult worms and microfilariae, respectively. When evaluated *in vivo* in the *B. malayi*-jirid model, compound (29) showed approximately 75% adulticidal along with moderate (~50%) microfilaricidal activity at a dose of 100 mg kg⁻¹ for 5 days, which was found to be better than the standard drug DEC with only approximately 33% adulticidal activity. Based on the activity data, it seems that the nature of the substituents at the amino nitrogen of 4-oxycoumarin derivatives is responsible for effective antifilarial activity. Also, a long-chain alkyl substitution at this amino nitrogen provides the best antifilarial response, as observed for compound (29).¹³²




Naphthalene derivative

Mathew *et al.* synthesized 1,4-naphthoquinones and evaluated their antifilarial activity *in vitro* using the adult bovine filarial worm *Setaria digitata*. Compound (30) was the most potent, with an ED₅₀ value of 2.6 µM after a 24 h incubation and 0.91 µM after a 48 h incubation (in the motility assay). Therefore, the researchers suggested that a 1,3-dimethyl substitution on the butylamino side chain favors an increased lipophilicity with potentially improved binding to the active site, which results in elevated macrofilaricidal activity.¹³³

Thiazolidine

Goswami *et al.* recently synthesized heterocyclic thiazolidine compounds and evaluated them *in vitro* against the human lymphatic filarial parasite *B. malayi*. Among the compounds tested for antifilarial activity and cytotoxicity studies (using human peripheral blood mononuclear cells), two compounds, *viz.* compound (31) and compound (32), were found to be most potent, with IC₅₀ values of 5.2 µM and 1.78 µM and LD₅₀ values of 349 µM and 17.59 µM, respectively. After examining their







effect on the motility of adult worms, compound (31)-treated worms were found to be less active, whereas compound (32)-treated worms were found to be completely immotile.¹³⁴

Butylated Hydroxy Anisole (BHA)

Rathaur *et al.* reported that after treatment with butylated hydroxyl anisole (BHA) (33), microscopic visualization revealed a ruptured body wall along with microfilarial stiffness in *S. cervi*. They further concluded that the BHA (33)-induced imbalance



results from a major detoxification pathway in the filarial parasite, which leads to cytotoxicity and death of the parasite. When studied using proteomics and biochemical assays with the GSH–GST system and molecule signaling, BHA (33) at 100 μM was found to be a potent adulticide, and oxidative stress-induced apoptosis was found to be its major killing mechanism.¹³⁵




Piperazine

The use of piperazine as an antifilarial agent has been recently reported.¹³⁶ Kalyanasundaram *et al.* synthesized benzoyl piperazine derivatives and evaluated them against adult *S. cervi* in terms of their antifilarial activity. From the series, two compounds, *viz.* compound (34) and compound (35) containing a 4-chloro (*para*) substituent and 3-methyl (*meta*) substituent on the aromatic ring, were found to be the most promising. Worms were immotile following treatment with these two compounds at a concentration of 8 mg mL⁻¹. The authors further concluded that among the chloro-substituted compounds, substitution at the *para* position was more beneficial than substitution at the *meta* or *ortho* positions. However, in the case of methyl-substituted compounds, *meta* substituted compounds showed greater activity than *para*-substituted compounds.¹³⁷

Pyrrolidine


Awasthi *et al.* reported that the chalcone derivative (36) containing the pyrrolidine-methoxy group showed a significant suppression of glutathione-*S*-transferase (GST) activity in the macrofilariae of female *S. cervi* at a concentration of 3 μM *in vitro*. The activity of GST was completely lost (100% inhibition) following treatment with methoxy group derivatives (compound 36). This activity might be due to the formation of a β -carbonyl-glutathione complex, resulting in a reduction in free GSH for catalysis. Inhibition of viability by 96% was observed when this compound was tested against adult *S. cervi* using the MTT

reduction assay. The authors proposed that small and medium-sized lipophilic or hydrophobic groups containing single or multiple nitrogen or oxygen atoms in an acetophenone ring of chalcone might be involved in the loss of GST activity, motility and viability in the worms. They also suggested that the decreased GST activity and GSH level observed in worms treated with compound (36) supported the possibility of a reduced chance of resistance to this compound.¹³⁸




Diaminoalkane


Tripathi *et al.* reported that among the synthesized *N*¹,*N*ⁿ-xylofuranosylated diaminoalkanes, compound (37) at 50 mg kg⁻¹ provided approximately 38.7% recovery of macrofilariae and 63.80% sterilization of female parasites of *B. malayi* in the *M. coucha* model. The same compound also showed 33.5% adulticidal action along with 50% sterilization of female worms of *B. malayi* in the jirid model. According to researchers, lipophilic diamine spacer and 3-*O*-substituent along with 1,2-*O*-isopropylidene group were found to be important for effective antifilarial activity that probably help the compounds to bind with the active sites of enzymes or interfere with parasite metabolic machinery.¹³⁹



Secondary amines

Chauhan *et al.* reported that from the synthesized secondary amines when tested against *A. viteae*, two compounds showed






the most potent *in vivo* antifilarial activities at a dose of 200 mg kg⁻¹ for 5 days. Compound (38) exhibited 100% macrofilaricidal activity, whereas compound (39) elicited a microfilaricidal response of approximately 93%. Concomitantly, both exhibited 50% sterilization of female worms. While discussing their SAR, they reported that aromatic secondary amines did not show any significant activity, but aliphatic amines such as secondary amines with longer alkyl chains ($n > 5$) were responsible for showing adulticidal activity. On the other hand, tertiary amines with a dialkyl chain ($n = 3$) may play an important role in exhibiting microfilaricidal response.¹⁴⁰

Glycyrrhetic acid derivatives

Murthy and Srivastava *et al.* isolated glycyrrhetic acid from the roots of *G. glabra*, synthesized its analogs and evaluated them for antifilarial activity. Among the synthetic derivatives that were tested *in vitro* against *B. malayi*, compound (40), the benzyl amide analog, was found to be effective for killing microfilariae and macrofilariae at 50 and 25 μM , respectively, with an SI value of more than 60. The IC₅₀ values were found to be 2.2 μM against microfilariae and 8.8 μM against macrofilariae of the worm. Moderate adulticidal activity (approximately 40%) was also observed at a dose of 100 mg kg⁻¹ for 5 days when tested *in vivo* in the *B. malayi*-jird model. It is quite interesting to note that the said compound showed macrofilaricidal activity along with microfilaricidal activity because its natural precursor, glycyrrhetic acid, was only potent against microfilariae (IC₅₀ =



1.20 μM). Acylation, esterification and amidation of the C-30 carboxylic group showed antifilarial activity, from which the presence of the amide group at C-30 (compound 40) showed remarkable activity against adult worms.¹⁴¹

Nitazoxanide and tizoxanide

Rao *et al.* examined the *in vitro* as well as the *in vivo* effects of nitazoxanide (NTZ) (41) and tizoxanide (TZ) (42) on *B. malayi* nematodes. Macrofilariae were found completely immotile after 6 days when cultured with these two compounds at concentrations of 20 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$. On day 8 of culture at concentrations ≥ 2.5 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$, both drugs also caused a 50% decrease in worm viability. Microfilarial motility was also hampered by these compounds at concentrations exceeding 5 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$, and the worms were completely immotile following treatment with 20 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ (after 48 h). The researchers further reported that both compounds reduced microfilarial production and impaired embryogenesis in female worms. They also suggested that mitochondria in the worms may be a possible target of NTZ (41) and TZ (42) because in addition to damaged worm tissues, they found alterations in the mitochondria.¹⁴²




Anthraquinone

Nair *et al.* reported that among the synthesized series of anthraquinone derivatives (positional isomers of each other), compound (43) at 5 ppm (18–19 μM) showed 100% mortality within 1, 5, and 3 days against microfilariae and adult male and female worms of *B. malayi*, respectively. Compound (43) is basically a 3-methylcatechol with a substitution of acylium ions at the *ortho* position with respect to the methyl group which may be playing an important role for exerting antifilarial action. After histological analysis of the compounds, the researchers further suggested that most of the anthraquinones had marked effects on intrauterine embryos of parasite.¹⁴³


Sulfonamide

Chandak and Goswami *et al.* recently synthesized sulfonamide chalcones and evaluated them *in vitro* against *B. malayi*. Amongst them, compound (44) with a chloro substitution at the *para* position of the terminal phenyl ring demonstrated remarkable antifilarial activity and therapeutic efficacy. After testing for *B. malayi* motility, its IC₅₀ value was found to be 4.4 μM , with an LD₅₀ value of 188 μM when evaluated for






cytotoxicity against human peripheral blood mononuclear cells. It also showed 100% loss of microfilarial motility of *B. malayi* at 500 μM concentration after 48 h of incubation.¹⁴⁴



Benzothiazole

Sashidhara and Saxena *et al.* reported a series of novel chalcone–benzothiazole hybrids. Among all compounds that were tested *in vitro* against *B. malayi*, compound (45) had IC_{50} values of 2.12 μM and 1.63 μM , respectively for adult worms as well as microfilariae and a MIC value of 5 μM for both the forms. The CC_{50} value for compound (45) was 95.3 μM , with an SI value higher than 10. However, the standard drug IVM was highly active against adult worms (MIC = 0.625 μM and IC_{50} = 0.30 μM) but exhibited lower microfilaricidal activity (MIC = 10 μM and IC_{50} = 4 μM). A computational study revealed that compound (45) was selective towards the *Bm*TMK enzyme. It showed higher binding interactions at the active site of *Bm*TMK (*B. malayi* thymidylate kinase, an essential enzyme for nucleotide metabolism in *B. malayi*). It interacted with amino acids such as His-70, Thr-107, and Lys-110, and demonstrated a hydrogen bonding interaction with Arg-77 and Arg-98. It also displayed π – π stacking interactions and hydrophobic

interactions with Phe-73, Phe-43, Tyr-57 and Tys-106. Furthermore, it showed reduced binding affinity towards HsTMK (human thymidylate kinase).¹⁴⁵




Thiazole

Shashidhara and Murthy *et al.* synthesized chalcone–thiazole derivatives and assessed their antifilarial activity against the human lymphatic filarial parasite *B. malayi*. Among all of the compounds, compound (46) showed 100% embryostatic activity when administered *in vivo* in the *B. malayi*–jirid model at a dose of 100 mg kg^{-1} for 5 days. When administered at the same dose in the *B. malayi*–*M. coucha* model, this compound exerted approximately 49% macrofilaricidal activity and 44% sterilization of female worms. It was also effective *in vitro* when compared with the standard drugs IVM and DEC (Table 1). The results indicated that the imine–thiazole moiety is required for antifilarial activity, and branching at position 3 of the phenyl ring along with the five-membered heterocycle as a chalcone counterpart further enhanced this activity.¹⁴⁶

Benzimidazole

In 1971, compound (47), named HOE 33258, was the first benzimidazole derivative to be reported as an antifilarial agent with activity against the microfilariae of *L. carinii* and *D. immitis*.¹⁴⁷ Some benzimidazole carbamates, such as mebendazole (48), flubendazole (49), albendazole (4) and oxibendazole (50), have demonstrated significant antifilarial activity.^{148–154} Chatterjee *et al.* evaluated compound (51), which is chemically





defined as 2,2'-dicarbomethoxyamino-5,5'-dibenzimidazolyl ketone (82/437). Compound (51) eliminated almost 100% of adult worms and microfilariae of *L. carinii* in cotton rats. At a dose of 150 and 200 mg kg⁻¹ for 5 days, it killed 100% of the macrofilariae and 97% of the microfilariae of *D. viteae* and *B. malayi*, respectively, in the *M. natalensis* model.¹⁵⁵ Townsend *et al.* reported the synthesis of benzimidazole-2-carbamates and derivatives along with their evaluation of antifilarial agents. Among them, compound (52), with a methyl 2-carbamate and a 5-isopropoxycarbonyl group, showed curative action at a dose of 12.5 mg kg⁻¹ for 5 days and 82% effectiveness at a dose of 6.25 mg kg⁻¹ for 5 days.¹⁵⁶ A recent study by Geary *et al.* showed that flubendazole (49) damages the tissues required for the reproduction and survival of parasite at pharmacologically relevant concentrations (per short-term *in vitro* culture experiments in adult filariae). In addition, a long-term study examining the effects of flubendazole (49) on adult *Brugia malayi* in jirds revealed prominent morphological damage in developing embryos accompanied by a decrease in microfilarial output at 4 weeks post-exposure of flubendazole (49); however, the exposed worms recovered and were found to be viable after 8 weeks of the treatment. In another study, Geary *et al.* also suggested that flubendazole (49) acts predominantly on rapidly dividing cells of the parasite which provides a basis for selecting molecular markers of drug induced damage that may be useful in predicting efficacious flubendazole regimens.¹⁵⁷

Silver

Dash *et al.* described the antifilarial activity of nanosilver against the filarial parasite *B. malayi*. Nanosilver showed an LD₅₀ concentration (by trypan blue exclusion) of 101.2 μM and an IC₅₀ value of 50.6 μM (complete microfilariae population

found immotile). At 4.6 μM only, nanosilver caused a 50% decrease in the motility of the parasite. The researchers further reported that nanosilver induced approximately 70% attenuation of poly(adenosine diphosphate-ribose) polymerase (PARP) activity, leading to apoptosis. Furthermore, at a concentration of 50 μM, nanosilver induced ultrastructural changes in the microfilariae (marked loss in the sheath), as observed by electron microscopy. They also claimed that their report provides the first ever conclusive proof supporting apoptosis as novel strategy in the design of antifilarials, with nanosilver as the valid lead.¹⁵⁸

Antifilarials: from natural origins

Streptomyces sp. 17944

Of the three new tirandamycins from *Streptomyces* sp. 17944, compound (53) was found to selectively inhibit the *B. malayi* asparaginyl-tRNA-synthetase (*BmAsnRS*) enzyme at an IC₅₀ value of 30 μM and to efficiently kill the *B. malayi* parasite. Compound (53) exhibited a minimum 10-fold selectivity for *BmAsnRS* over human *AsnRS*. The authors further reported that compound (53) dramatically induced filarial death after less than 24 h, whereas albendazole induced parasite death after more than 10 days, both at 100 μM. Additionally, the IC₅₀ value to kill adult worms was calculated using the MTT reduction assay and found to be 1 μM (Fig. 6).¹⁵⁹

Streptomyces sp. 9078

Depsipeptide was isolated for the first time as a tachykinin antagonist. A new congener of depsipeptide, WS9326D, *i.e.*, compound (54), was isolated by bioassay-guided fractionation of *Streptomyces* sp. 9078 and found to specifically inhibit *BmAsnRS* (*B. malayi* asparaginyl-tRNA-synthetase) with an IC₅₀ value of 50 μM. It also exerted filaricidal activity without showing any significant general toxicity toward human hepatic cells at 100 μM. Furthermore, it rapidly killed the macrofilariae of the same parasite within 24 h and displayed macrofilaricidal activity within 10 days at concentrations as low as 10 nM.¹⁶⁰






Streptomyces sp. 4875


Four adipostatins (alkyl resorcinols) from the bioassay guided de-replication of the active strain of *Streptomyces* sp. 4875 selectively inhibited *BmAsnRS* and also markedly destroyed the adult *B. malayi* parasite. Compound (55) was the most potent among the compounds, killing the worms at concentrations as low as 1 μM. The assessment of general cytotoxicity using

Table 1 *In vitro* activities of compound (46), IVM and DEC

Compound	For adult worms (in motility assay)			Mean % inhibition in MTT reduction of adult worms	For microfilariae (in motility assay)			
	LC ₁₀₀ (μM)	IC ₅₀ (μM)	SI		LC ₁₀₀ (μM)	IC ₅₀ (μM)	SI	CC ₅₀ (μM)
46	2.5	0.9	44	63	5	1.8	22	40
IVM	5	3.05	75.41	5.80	2.5	1.57	146.49	230
DEC	1000	314.98	28.57	62.54	500	297.30	30.27	9000







Natural Sources Possessing Antifilarial Properties

Plant Source

- *Lantana camara*
- *Taxodium distichum*
- *Azadirachta indica*
- *Eucalyptus tereticornis*
- *Hibiscus sabdariffa*
- *Trachyspermum ammi*
- *Bauhinia racemosa*
- *Piper betel*
- *Hibiscus mutabilis*
- *Caesalpinia bonducella*
- *Melaleuca cajuputi*
- *Xylocarpus granatum*
- *Vitex negundo* & *Aegle marmelos*
- *Eucalyptus globulus*
- *Moringa oleifera*
- *Butea monosperma*

Microbial Source


- *Streptomyces* sp. 17944
- *Streptomyces* sp. 9078
- *Streptomyces* sp. 4875

Marine Source


- *Haliclona exigua*
- *Haliclona oculata*
- *Botryocladia leptopoda*

Others

Fig. 6 Antifilarials from natural origins.



human HepG2 cells showed that compound (55) was non-toxic at concentrations as high as 100 μM .¹⁶¹



Lantana camara (*L. camara*)

An Indian plant called *L. camara* has been found to have antifilarial properties. When evaluated against *A. viteae*, the crude

extract was found to possess 95.04% microfilaricidal activity and sterilization of 60% of the female worms. After *in vivo* administration at a dose of 1 g kg^{-1} for 5 days, the *L. camara* crude extract showed 43.05% macrofilaricidal activity and sterilized 76% of the surviving female worms in the *B. malayi*-*M. coucha* rodent model. The chloroform fraction exhibited 34.5% macrofilaricidal activity and sterilized 66% of the female worms. However, a much higher (*i.e.*, approximately 80%) macrofilaricidal activity with complete sterilization of the surviving females was observed in the adult *B. malayi*-jirid model. Two compounds, oleanoic acid (56) and oleanolic acid (57), isolated from the hexane and chloroform fractions of the crude extract were found to be active principles for the *in vitro* killing of adult *B. malayi*.¹⁶²

Taxodium distichum (*T. distichum*)

The ethanolic crude extract of the aerial parts of *T. distichum* displayed good antifilarial activity against *B. malayi*. When tested *in vitro*, the active component compound (58) (diterpenoid) killed microfilariae ($\text{IC}_{50} = 18.58 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$) and macrofilariae ($\text{IC}_{50} = 74.33 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$) of the worms together with more than 80% inhibition in the MTT reduction test of adult female worms. After an *in vivo* evaluation in the *B. malayi*-*M. unguiculatus* (jirid) model, the crude extract killed all adult worms in the maximum animal infection experiment, while compound (58) was approximately 95% embryostatic. In the *B. malayi*-*M. coucha* model, compound (58) killed approximately 54% of the adult worms and sterilized more than 30% of the female worms, while no further rise in microfilariae was observed after day 42 post-treatment.¹⁶³





Azadirachta indica (*A. indica*)

Aqueous and alcoholic extracts from flowers of *A. indica* have shown antifilarial activity against *S. cervi*. A lethal effect of both extracts was observed at very low concentrations, such that the alcoholic extract was found to show activity at an LC_{50} of 15 ng mL^{-1} ($\text{LC}_{90} = 23 \text{ ng mL}^{-1}$), and the aqueous extract exerted activity at an LC_{50} of 18 ng mL^{-1} ($\text{LC}_{90} = 25 \text{ ng mL}^{-1}$).¹⁶⁴ The *in vitro* filaricidal activity of *A. indica* was potentially exerted by disturbing redox homeostasis *via* the down-regulation and alteration of the levels of some key antioxidants and regulatory enzymes in *S. cervi*, such as glutathione-S-transferase (GST), superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase and glutathione peroxidase.¹⁶⁵

Eucalyptus tereticornis (*E. tereticornis*)

Ursolic acid (59) obtained from the leaves of *E. tereticornis* exerted antifilarial activity with LC_{100} and IC_{50} values of 50 μM and 8.84 μM , respectively, against microfilariae, and 100 μM and 35.36 μM , respectively, against adult worms of the human lymphatic filarial parasite *B. malayi*. It also inhibited the MTT reduction potential (86%) of adult parasites with a safe selectivity index.¹⁶⁶





Hibiscus sabdariffa (*H. sabdariffa*)

After extraction from the leaves of *H. sabdariffa*, when tested *in vitro*, the *n*-butanol insoluble fraction killed microfilariae at a concentration of 250 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ and demonstrated a high selectivity index (approximately 20.8) with respect to microfilarial motility. At a dose of 500 mg kg^{-1} for 5 days, this leaf extract also showed 30% macrofilaricidal activity and sterilized 42% of the female worms in the *B. malayi*-jirid model. In the *B. malayi*-*M. coucha* model, the administration of 1 g kg^{-1} for 5

days resulted in sterilization of 64% of female worms and a lethal effect in 57% of adult worms.¹⁶⁷



Trachyspermum ammi (*T. ammi*)

When investigated *in vitro* against the macrofilariae of bovine *S. digitata* worms, the methanolic extract obtained from the fruits of *T. ammi* exhibited significant activity ($\text{IC}_{50} = 0.067$ and 0.019 mg mL^{-1} after 24 h and 48 h incubation periods, respectively). The 2-isopropyl-5-methyl phenol (thymol, **60**) was the active component, with IC_{50} values of 0.024 mg mL^{-1} and 0.002 mg mL^{-1} for the 24 h and 48 h incubation periods, respectively. Its positional isomer (*i.e.*, 5-isopropyl-2-methyl phenol, carvacrol, **61**) also showed promising macrofilaricidal results, with IC_{50} values of 0.025 mg mL^{-1} and 0.004 mg mL^{-1} for the 24 h and 48 h incubation periods, respectively. When tested *in vivo* in the *B. malayi*-*M. coucha* model, 2-isopropyl-5-methyl phenol (thymol, **60**) resulted in a mean macrofilarial mortality of 58.93% (control: 19.05%) at a dose of 50 mg kg^{-1} for 5 days, and it sterilized 48.6% of female worms (control: 17.8%). Circulating microfilariae were not affected at the same dose by thymol (**60**).¹⁶⁸


Bauhinia racemosa (*B. racemosa*)

In the *n*-butanol fraction obtained after ethanolic extraction of the leaves of *B. racemosa*, compound (**62**), a galactolipid, was found to be most active toward both filarial forms of *B. malayi*. The MIC values for the compound (**62**) were 3.9 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ and 15.6 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ against adult worms and microfilariae of *B. malayi* respectively. The IC_{50} values were 1.25 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ and 1.607 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$, respectively, against adult worms and microfilariae of the parasite. The CC_{50} value was more than 100 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ when tested against Vero cells, together with SI values of >80 and >62.23 for adult worms and microfilariae of the parasite, respectively, which were quite good when compared with the standard IVM. The standard IVM provided MIC values of 7.8 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ and 125 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$, IC_{50} values of 1.61 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ and 3.62 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$, and SI values >62.11 and >27.62 against adult worms and





microfilariae of the parasite, respectively. Compound (62) was also found to exert improved activity (approximately 58.3% adult worm mortality at a dose of 50 mg kg⁻¹ for 5 days) compared with DEC (approximately 30.5% adult worm mortality at a dose of 100 mg kg⁻¹ for 5 days) in terms of dose and efficacy.¹⁶⁹




Piper betel (*P. betel*)

After the *in vivo* evaluation in the *B. malayi*-*M. coucha* model, the crude methanolic extract of *P. betel* was found to suppress microfilaremia most effectively at a dose of 100 mg kg⁻¹, and also showed somewhat reduced activity of approximately 26% against microfilariae of the same species when compared to the untreated control groups.¹⁷⁰

Hibiscus mutabilis (*H. mutabilis*)

An active principle, ferulic acid (63), from the leaves of *H. mutabilis* showed antifilarial activity when evaluated *in vitro* against *S. cervi*. When assayed by the MTT method, reductions in viability of microfilariae and adult worms of approximately 97% and 90%, respectively, were observed in response to ferulic acid (63) at a concentration of 400 μg mL⁻¹ after 48 h of incubation. The biochemical findings revealed that at a concentration of 200 μg mL⁻¹, ferulic acid (63) reduced the level of GSH (glutathione) to approximately 40% but induced an increase by approximately 100% in the activity of GST (glutathione-S-transferase). Ferulic acid (63) was also found to increase SOD activity by 72.5% at the highest concentration tested (400 μg mL⁻¹). Hence, ferulic acid (63) exerts its antifilarial effect by inducing apoptosis *via* the down-regulation and alteration of the level of some key antioxidants, such as GSH, GST and SOD.

Ferulic acid (63) was also found to increase proapoptotic gene expression and simultaneously decrease the expression of antiapoptotic genes, with an elevation in the level of ROS and a gradual dose-dependent decline in the GSH level.¹⁷¹



Caesalpinia bonducella (*C. bonducella*)

The crude extract from the seed kernel of *C. bonducella* exhibited 96% macrofilaricidal activity with complete sterilization of female worms. It also demonstrated a gradual decline in microfilariae up to 95%. The aqueous fraction showed complete sterilization of female worms with more than 90% microfilaricidal activity. Another hexane soluble fraction showed 95% macrofilaricidal activity along with a gradual decline in microfilariae with complete sterilization of female worms. Furthermore, when evaluated in the *B. malayi*-*M. coucha* model, F025 showed 80% sterilization of female worms with a gradual reduction of the microfilaremia.¹⁷²

Melaleuca cajuputi (*M. cajuputi*)



After *in vitro* testing against the filarial worm *B. pahangi*, the flower extract from *M. cajuputi* had completely halted the release of microfilariae and worm mobility after 6 days at 1000 μg mL⁻¹. At the same concentration, this extract reduced the viability and microfilarial release more drastically than that observed using standard reference drugs such as IVM (25 μg mL⁻¹) and tetracycline (40 μg mL⁻¹). Additionally, the *M. cajuputi* flower extract exhibited *in vivo* antibacterial (anti-*wolbachial*) activity, especially at concentrations greater than 500 μg mL⁻¹.¹⁷³

Xylocarpus granatum (*X. granatum*)

The fruit extract of the mangrove plant *X. granatum* was found to be an effective antifilarial agent when tested against the human lymphatic filarial parasite *B. malayi*. After an *in vitro* evaluation,



the aqueous-ethanolic extract showed an IC_{50} value of $15.46 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ and $13.17 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ against macrofilariae and microfilariae, respectively, whereas approximately 53% macrofilaricidal and 63% embryostatic effects were observed *in vivo* in the *B. malayi*-*M. coucha* model at a dose of 50 mg kg^{-1} for 5 days. The ethyl acetate soluble fraction demonstrated an IC_{50} value of 8.5 and $6.9 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ against macrofilariae and microfilariae, respectively. Gedunin (64) and photogedunin (65) were the active constituents in the fraction. The IC_{50} , CC_{50} and SI values, respectively, for gedunin (64) against macrofilariae were $0.239 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$, $212.5 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ and 889.1, while they were $0.213 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$, $262.3 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ and 1231.4 for photogedunin (65). The IC_{50} and SI values, respectively, against microfilariae were $2.03 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ and 435.58 for gedunin (64) and $2.23 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ and 241.09 for photogedunin (65). When tested *in vivo* at a dose of 100 mg kg^{-1} for 5 days, gedunin (64) killed 80.0% of the transplanted adult worms, while photogedunin (65) caused approximately 70.0% adult worm mortality.¹⁷⁴



Vitex negundo (*V. negundo*) and *Aegle marmelos* (*A. marmelos*)

The root extract from *V. negundo* and the leaf extract from *A. marmelos* possessed antifilarial activity against microfilariae of *B. malayi*. Both extracts at a concentration of 100 ng mL^{-1} caused a complete loss of microfilarial motility after 48 h of incubation. Saponin, flavonoids and alkaloids were found to be present in the root extract of *V. negundo*, whereas coumarin was observed in the leaf extract of *A. marmelos*.¹⁷⁵

Botryocladia leptopoda (*B. leptopoda*)

The crude ethanolic extract from the marine red alga *B. leptopoda* showed good *in vitro* lethal activity against three species of filaria, with LC_{100} of $62.5 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ against *A. viteae*, $31.25 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ against *L. sigmodontis* and $125 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ against *Brugia malayi*. When tested at a dose of 200 mg kg^{-1} for 5 days in *L. sigmodonti*-cotton rats and the *A. viteae*-*M. coucha* and *B. malayi*-*M. coucha* models, it exhibited 71.6%, 63.2% (ethanolic extract) and 45% (hexane fraction) macrofilaricidal activity, respectively.¹⁷⁶


Haliclona oculata (*H. oculata*)

The methanolic extract of the marine sponge *H. oculata* displayed good antifilarial activity *in vitro* and *in vivo* against the human lymphatic filarial parasite *B. malayi*. The IC_{50} value for this extract was $5 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ and $1.88 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ toward macrofilariae and microfilariae, respectively. The chloroform fraction and its one chromatographic fraction from this extract showed antimacrofilarial activity (IC_{50}) at $1.80 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ and $1.62 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$, respectively, whereas concentrations of $1.72 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ and $1.19 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ were effective against microfilariae of the same species. The CC_{50} values were $>300 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$, and the SI values were >100 after testing these three extracts against Vero cell lines. The *in vivo* evaluation in the primary jirid model at a dose of 100 mg kg^{-1} for 5 days revealed 51.3%, 64% and 70.7% macrofilaricidal activities in the methanol extract, chloroform fraction and chromatographic fraction, respectively. The most active chromatographic fraction was found to contain four major alkaloids: xestospongins-C (66), araguspongins-C (67), mimosamycins (68) and xestospongins-D (69).¹⁷⁷

Haliclona exigua (*H. exigua*)

After extraction from the marine sponge *H. exigua*, three extracts, *viz.* methanol extract, the *n*-butanol soluble fraction and the chloroform fraction, were found to possess antifilarial activities. When evaluated with respect to both motility as well as the MTT reduction assay, the methanol extract and *n*-butanol soluble fraction showed activity at approximately $31.25 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ (LC_{100}), whereas the chloroform fraction exhibited antifilarial activity at $15.6 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ (LC_{100}). All of these compounds also demonstrated macrofilaricidal activity, which was more pronounced in the chloroform fraction (approximately 50%). This activity could be associated with a single molecule, araguspongins C, which resulted in worm mortality at $15.6 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$. The chloroform fraction also showed approximately 58.6%





adverse effects on the reproductive potential of female worms.¹⁷⁸

Eucalyptus globulus (*E. globulus*)

The leaf extract from *E. globulus* was active *in vitro*, with IC_{50} values of $62.5 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ and $31.2 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$, respectively, against adult worms and microfilariae of *B. malayi*. In the *B. malayi*-*M. coucha* model and transplanted *B. malayi* jirid model at a dose of 100 mg kg^{-1} for 5 days, the extract exhibited 66.7% adulticidal activity and an embryostatic effect.¹⁷⁹

Moringa oleifera (*M. oleifera*)

The gum extract obtained from *M. oleifera* showed 100% inhibition (LC_{100}) of microfilarial and adult worm motility at $1000 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ and $125 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$, respectively, together with more than 56% inhibition of the MTT reduction potential of adult female worms of *B. malayi*. The IC_{50} values obtained for adult worms and microfilariae were $>1000 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ and $74.33 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$, respectively. The extract was also safe when its cytotoxicity was examined using the Vero cell line (CC_{50} = approximately $5694 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$), with an SI value of 76.61 (with respect to microfilarial motility). At a dose of 500 mg kg^{-1} for 5 days

(primary screen), the extract showed 69% adulticidal activity and sterilized 83% of the female worms in the *B. malayi*-jirid model. In contrast, at a dose of 1000 mg kg^{-1} for 5 days, it showed 44% adulticidal activity in the *B. malayi*-*M. coucha* model (second screen).¹⁸⁰

Butea monosperma (*B. monosperma*)

The leaf and root extract of *B. monosperma* (*Butea monosperma*) showed significant inhibition of *B. malayi* microfilarial motility in a dose-dependent manner.¹⁸¹ When tested *in vitro* against macrofilariae of *S. cervi*, the methanol and hexane-ethanol fraction of the leaf extract showed IC_{50} values of 1.25 and 3.6 mg mL^{-1} , respectively, while the antibiotic ciprofloxacin provided an IC_{50} value of 7.5 mg mL^{-1} , supporting the potency of these fractions over ciprofloxacin.¹⁸²






Others

The methanolic extract from the seeds of *R. communis* (*Ricinus communis*) induced approximately 40%, 70% and 90% death in the developmental stages of the metazoan filarial parasite *B. malayi* treated with $10 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$, $50 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ and $100 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ concentrations, respectively.¹⁸³ Rutin (70), naringenin (71) and



hesperetin (72) showed effective microfilaricidal activity in nature at concentrations below $500 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$, and they were also found to inhibit the reduction of MTT by 60% at concentrations of $500 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$, $7.8 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ and $31.2 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$. Naringenin (71)

demonstrated *in vitro* microfilaricidal activity at $125 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ and inhibited female worm motility at $2.5 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ (IC_{50}). In contrast, when tested *in vivo* at 50 mg kg^{-1} , it eliminated 73% and 31% of the microfilariae in the *B. malayi*-*Meriones* and *B.*




malayi–*M. coucha* models, respectively. Flavone (73) and chrysin (74) were other flavonoids with microfilaricidal activity at 62.5 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ and 2.50 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$, respectively. At a concentration of 31.2 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$, flavone (73) effectively inhibited the motility of adult female worms. Rutin (70), a flavanol, can be obtained from onions, buckwheat, black tea, and citrus fruits, among others.¹⁸⁴ Flavones (73) and chrysin (74) can be obtained from grains, passion flower, and leafy vegetables, among others, while flavanones such as naringin and hesperetin can be obtained from citrus fruits, tomatoes, and grape fruit, among others.¹⁸⁵

Antifilarial targets

Wolbachia bacteria

Wolbachia are α -proteobacteria inherited maternally in various arthropods also found in filarial worms. It has been suggested that *Wolbachia* may contribute to the nucleotide pool of nematodes. According to Slatko *et al.*, *Wolbachia* have been a target for drug discovery initiatives due to their obligate nature in filarial parasites. It can be done using several approaches including diversity and focused library screening and genomic sequence analysis. Treatment with tetracycline was resulted in the depletion of these *Wolbachia* resulting in upregulation of phosphate permease gene, required for nucleotide synthesis.¹⁸⁶ Another study with doxycycline showed that *Wolbachia* depletion was associated with a reduction in the levels of vascular endothelial growth factors (VEGFs) that are essential for lymphangiogenesis.¹⁸⁷ One study explained essential proteins and possible therapeutic targets of *Wolbachia* endosymbiont of *B. malayi* in lymphatic filariasis and developed drug target database for them. Investigation by the researchers revealed 61 potential drug targets (outer membrane proteins, ribosomal proteins, DNA polymerases, mutases, ligases, isomerases, cell division proteins, transferases, synthetases, reductases *etc.*) and 4 potential vaccine extracellular targets such as putative peptidoglycan lipid II flippase, deoxycytidine triphosphate deaminase, GTP cyclohydrolase II and RNA pyrophosphohydrolase. All this information was stored by them in a single web based database called FiloBase.¹⁸⁸ In another study, *Wolbachia* cell

division protein FtsZ was explained as a new approach for drug targeting. Researchers had investigated the cell division machinery of *Wolbachia* endosymbiont of *B. malayi* and determined that it possessed the essential cell division gene known as FtsZ, a GTPase bacteria specific filamenting temperature sensitive protein (important in bacterial cytokinesis) that was expressed in all developmental stages of *B. malayi*. According to the authors, fourth stage larvae and adult female worms contained *Wolbachia* most abundantly while it was found least abundant in infective third stage larvae indicating a massive multiplication of *Wolbachia* soon after infection of the mammalian host. *E. coli* FtsZ inhibitor berberine, a natural alkaloid was examined by researchers against GTPase activity of FtsZ in *B. malayi* and it was observed that at 10–40 μM concentration, berberine (75) had adversely affected production of microfilariae as well as motility of adult females of *B. malayi* (Fig. 7).¹⁸⁹



N-Myristoyltransferase

The addition of myristic acid, a 14-carbon unsaturated fatty acid, to the N-terminus of glycine in a subset of proteins *via* myristoyl-CoA:protein *N*-myristoyltransferase (NMT) promotes their binding to cell membrane. This process is called myristoylation. A homology model indicated that *B. malayi* genomes contained a single copy of the NMT gene encoding *N*-myristoyltransferase, which possessed a canonical NMT structure with a typical NMT fold (characteristic of the acyl-CoA superfamily) and a large saddle-like β sheet flanked by several helices. A known NMT enzyme inhibitor in tripanosomatids, DDD85646 (76), and its analogue DDD100870 (77) were tested against *B. malayi* NMT proteins and provided IC_{50} values of ~ 10 nM and 2.5 nM, respectively. Researchers have also reported that microfilariae were more sensitive towards both compounds than adult worms at concentrations (IC_{50}) as low as 12.5 μM .¹⁹⁰

Proteins and amino acids

Free amino acids are required for intracellular osmoregulation and protein synthesis. The total enzymes that are required for the methionine-to-cysteine pathway has been identified in *B. pahangi*. The enzymes such as S-adenosylmethionine methyltransferase, methionine adenosyltransferase, and S-adenosylhomocysteine hydrolase are required for the conversion of methionine to homocysteine in the methionine cycle; however, *B. pahangi* lacks the final enzymes in the pathway, such as methionine synthetase (required for the formation of methionine from homocysteine) and is thus unable to






Fig. 7 Targets in antifilarial drug discovery.





resynthesize this methionine from homocysteine. Consequently, the parasite depends completely on the mosquito host for methionine uptake.^{191–194} The external surface of the parasitic nematode consists of cuticle, which in turn is composed of a collagen network held together by intermolecular disulfide bonds. The enzyme prolyl-4-hydroxylase has been reported to play a vital role in the biosynthesis of this collagen.^{195,196} Macrofilariae of *B. malayi* and *B. pahangi* contain high levels of transglutaminase activity. It has been reported that the reactions catalyzed by transaminoglutamase (a 22 kDa protein) play a significant role in the growth, development and maturation of the nematode. According to one report, unlike mammalian transglutaminase, nematodal transglutaminase does not require Ca^{2+} ions for its catalytic activity. A pseudosubstrate monodansylcadaverine (MDC) and the active site inhibitors cystamine or iodoacetamide were found to inhibit L3-stage parasite mobility in a dose-dependent manner that was associated with irreversible biochemical lesions, resulting in the death of the parasite. When evaluated *in vitro* against *B. malayi*, 100% inhibition was observed after a 4 h incubation with MDC at a dose of 0.5 mM. After 24 h of incubation with a 0.1 mM dose of iodoacetamide, complete immobility of L3-stage larvae was observed. At relatively higher concentrations (M.T. 0.3 mM), MDC and cystamine showed complete inhibitory effects on female worms of *B. malayi*. MDC also exhibited macrofilaricidal as well as embryostatic effects when tested *in vitro* against *A. viteae* adult worms.^{197–202} Following administration to *B. malayi*,

retinoic acid was taken up and localized in early and late embryonic forms at high concentrations, suggesting that they may play a role in its growth and development.²⁰³ The comparative amounts of retinoic acid-binding proteins (RABPs) were between 2.7 and 3.1 pmol of retinoic acid bound/mg of extractable protein in filarids (*D. viteae*, *B. pahangi*).²⁰⁴ Parasitic nematodes require lipophilic retinol for various biological processes, such as embryogenesis, differentiation, and growth. For inter- as well as intracellular movement through aqueous environments, retinol requires specific retinol-binding proteins (RBPs).^{205–208} Ivermectin(II) was found to compete efficiently with retinol for the retinol-binding sites on RBP of the parasite but not for the host RBP sites.⁹⁰

Biogenic amine and polyamines

Biogenic amines play a role in neuromuscular activity and behavioral coordination in nematodes.^{209,210} Norepinephrine (NE), histamine, 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT) and dopamine are some of the biogenic amines that have been identified in *L. carinii*.²¹¹ Monoamine oxidase (*i.e.*, MAO), acetylcholinesterase and dopamine- β -hydroxylase were found in macro- as well as microfilariae of *S. cervi*, and DEC, levamisole and centperazine were found to inactivate these enzymes. Dopamine- β -hydroxylase has also been observed in *L. carinii* and *D. viteae*.^{212–214} Octapamine, an amine identified in *B. pahangi*, was found to regulate various important processes in nematodes. This



biogenic amine was also the only single amine without an important role in mammalian biological processes.^{215,216} Putrescine, spermine and spermidine are polyamines that are required for growth, differentiation and macromolecular synthesis in all living organisms as constituents of the polyamine salvage pathway. *S. cervi* and *L. carinii* were found to possess high concentrations of spermine and spermidine but low levels of putrescine. According to one report by Wittich *et al.*, filarial worms possess a complete reverse pathway in which putrescine is generated from spermine and spermidine with the aid of the enzyme polyamine oxidase.^{217–222} Berenil and aromatic methylglyoxal bis(guanyldrazone) analogues are inhibitors of an important regulatory enzyme, S-adenosylmethionine decarboxylase (SAMDC), which is required for polyamine biosynthesis.²²³

Carbohydrates

Carbohydrates are important sources of energy in all living things. Various carbohydrate biosynthesis pathways have been reported in mammals as well as in parasites. In contrast to mammalian systems, which have an active TCA (tricarboxylic acid) cycle and electron transport system (ETS), filarial parasites were found to possess active glycolytic and glycolytic pathways with a less active TCA cycle. Most microfilariae require aerobic pathways for motility but not for their survival, whereas most macrofilariae use glycolysis as a preferred route for their energy requirements.^{224–228} Fructose 1,6-diphosphate aldolase, phosphoenol pyruvate carboxykinase, fumarate reductase, succinate dehydrogenase, and phosphofructokinase, among others, are enzymes, and some of the cycles described above, such as glucose uptake, have been reported to be possible targets by various researchers (Table 2). Chitin metabolism is present in parasites but not in the mammalian hosts. There is evidence for the presence of chitinases in three different stages of the filarial life cycle. Allosamidin can competitively inhibit chitinase. Two isoforms of chitinase were purified from the microfilariae of *B. malayi*, whereas a chitinase-like antigen was expressed in L3 larvae of *W. bancrofti*.^{229–233} Similarly to chitin, sugar is known as a trehalose that is synthesized from glucose and is absent in mammals but present in parasitic nematodes such as *L. carinii* and *B. pahangi*, functioning as a reserve source of carbohydrates for energy production.^{234–237}

Lipid metabolism

Free fatty acids, hydrocarbon fractions, sterols, triacylglycerols and all of the major phospholipid classes were found to be present in the filarial parasite *B. pahangi*. The sterol ring cannot be synthesized by nematodes, but it is detected during early stages when the sterol precursor (polyisoprenoid) is required in filarial nematodes. Quinones (play a role in filarial electron transport), geranyl geraniol (unknown role), juvenile hormones (regulators of larval development), dolichols (required for glycoprotein synthesis) and isopentyl pyrophosphate (IPP constituent of filarial tRNA) are synthesized *via* the filarial isoprenoid biosynthetic pathway. HMG-CoA reductase is a rate-limiting enzyme and is involved in the isoprenoid pathway of filaria. In one study, the biosynthesis of geranyl geraniol and dolichols was inhibited by mevinolin, a known inhibitor of HMG-CoA reductase.^{243–248}

Folate metabolism

Various enzymes, such as reductases, transferases, synthases, dehydrogenases, hydrolases, mutases, ligases and deaminases, are involved in the interconversion of folate analogues observed in the synthesis of different tetrahydrofolate cofactors by macrofilariae. Specifically, dihydrofolate reductase activity, which is commonly observed in macrofilariae, was found to be absent in the microfilariae of *B. pahangi*. In contrast to all vertebrates in which N^5 -methylene FH_4 forms irreversibly from N^5 - N^{10} -methylene FH_4 with the aid of the enzyme 5,10-methylene FH_4 reductase, a complete reverse pathway was observed in filarial parasite (*i.e.*, N^5 - N^{10} -methylene FH_4 formed instead of N^5 -methylene FH_4). Similarly, the 10-formyl FH_4 dehydrogenase enzyme, which was found to play a vital role in the regulation of the endogenous FH_4 cofactor concentrations, was more active in *B. pahangi* than in mammals.^{249,250} DEC and suramin were found to inhibit some enzymes involved in folate metabolism.^{25,192,249}

Metabolism of glutathione


Glutathione has been proposed to constitute the antioxidant system (γ -glutamyl cycle) that extends the survival of filarial parasites in mammalian hosts, thereby protecting them from host-mediated membrane lipid peroxidation.^{252,253} Among the six enzymes involved in the γ -glutamyl cycle, two are well-characterized filarial parasites: glutamate-cysteine ligase (rate-

Table 2 Possible targets in carbohydrate biosynthesis

Enzymes and other targets in carbohydrate biosynthesis	Description
Fructose 1,6-diphosphate aldolase	Its immunogenic component in filarial worms is distinguishable from that of mammals thus, identifying it as possible vaccine target ²³⁸
Phosphoenol pyruvate carboxykinase	Inhibited by DEC ²³⁹
Fumarate reductase	Inhibited by DEC and benzimidazoles ^{239,240}
Succinate dehydrogenase	Inhibited by DEC ²³⁹
Phosphofructokinase	Blocked by antimonial stibophen in <i>B. pahangi</i> and <i>L. carinii</i> when compared to isofunctional mammalian enzyme ²⁴¹
Glucose uptake	Altered by DEC, amoscanate and arsenicals ^{239,242}
Utilization of glucose	Decreased by levamisole ²²⁸



limiting enzyme) and γ -glutamyl transpeptidase. Because filarial parasites lack the cytochrome-P-450 system (present in mammals as a major detoxification system), glutathione-S-transferases (GSTs) are one of the major detoxifying systems in filarial parasites and can detoxify cytotoxic products of lipid peroxidation *via* the conjugation of glutathione (GSH) to various endogenous xenobiotic electrophiles.^{254–258} A bovine filarial parasite called *S. digitata* resembles human parasites in terms of its nocturnal periodicity and antigenic pattern, and it has been found to possess GST activity in its adult female form.²⁵⁹ One study has confirmed that non-mammalian GSTs are expressed in parasites, and thus, the remarkable variations between host enzymes and the tertiary structures of helminth GSTs make them promising antifilarial targets.^{255,260,261} Arsenicals used for the treatment of filariasis have been proposed to exert their activity *via* the depletion of filarial glutathione.^{262–264} Phytocompounds such as plumbagin, curcumin and a phenoxycetic acid derivative, *i.e.*, ethacrynic acid (with a ketone and a methylene group), were found to inhibit filarial GST in a dose-dependent manner and have IC₅₀ values of 51.41, 114.86 and 19.42 μ M, respectively.¹⁸⁵ In a report of a homology modeling approach *via in silico* analysis of the filarial GST of *B. malayi*, albendazole and a methyl-substituted chalcone showed the lowest binding energy towards filarial GST and also occupied the binding pocket near the enzyme substrate binding site because the side chain of these compounds interacted with the hydrophilic residues of the *B. malayi* GST enzyme. Studies further revealed that the O (12) atom in the carbamate moiety of albendazole formed 4 H-bonds and exhibited the lowest interaction energy and was therefore the most favorable interacting site, while electronegative residues such as Asp159, Glu162, His179 and Glu183 anchored the thiol and benzimidazole moiety of albendazole within the site. The researchers further suggested that Arg11 was situated on the opposite side and close to both Tyr7 (original binding site of GSH) as well as Leu13 (another GSH binding site), which can lead to conformational changes in the protein or cause a steric hindrance that might interfere in GSH binding. The binding location for methyl-substituted chalcone (78) was present in a crevice between the α 1, α 6, and α 8 helices. It was finally concluded that Arg11, Ile163, His167 and Cys168 were the most favorable common sites for the binding of antifilarials and chalcones. The displacement of GSH from its original binding site due to ligand binding suggested a non-competitive type of inhibition of GST activity.²⁶⁵



**METHYL SUBSTITUTED CHALCONE
(78)**

Receptors, hormones and the microtubular system

Levamisole exerts its agonistic action at the neuromuscular junction of nicotinic acetylcholine receptors.²⁶⁶ *B. malayi* and *B. pahangi* have been reported to possess a microtubular system along with some β -tubulin isoforms. Benzimidazole carbamates (microtubule depolymerizing drugs) act by disrupting the assembly of tubulin dimers of microtubules.^{267–271}

Patents

Patents on the antifilarials are summarized in Table 3

Antifilarials in clinical trials

Molecules that are/were in clinical trials are mostly equivalent to existing drugs, but the studies are ongoing to determine their efficacy in combination (multidrug) treatments.

Moxidectin

In a study examining the treatment of onchocerciasis, moxidectin was found to be more effective than ivermectin in most animal models, demonstrating potent effects on microfilariae along with long-term sterilization of adult female worms. It is currently in the early stages of clinical trials for the treatment of onchocerciasis and can be studied for its effectiveness for the treatment of filariasis.^{278,279}

Albendazole combinations

A recent observational study titled, “Optimization of mass drug administration with existing drug regimens for lymphatic filariasis and onchocerciasis foe Liberia,” included annual *vs.* semiannual albendazole plus IVM mass drug administration (MDA). It is estimated that approximately 5200 people will participate every year. This investigation will test the hypothesis that semiannual MDA for LF is superior to annual MDA. The primary outcomes will explain microfilarial prevalence, whereas the secondary outcomes will measure the prevalence of filarial antigenemia in blood and the intensity of filarial worm infections. The study was initiated in 2012 and estimated to be completed in 2017.²⁸⁰ Through the involvement of its clinical researchers, TDR is supporting studies to evaluate albendazole co-administration with ivermectin (IVM) or diethylcarbamazine (DEC) for the treatment of LF patients. These studies were performed according to the WHO/GlaxoSmithKline/Merck recommendations for the co-administration of albendazole with IVM or DEC for the elimination of LF. When tested in adult worms, preliminary results for the use of IVM with albendazole, albendazole with levamisole or IVM with levamisole suggested that in addition to the safety of these combinations, none was found to be more effective than IVM; however, three studies are still in progress. A randomized double-blind study was undertaken in Tanzania, which consisted of 1000 subjects to compare single-dose IVM + albendazole with single-dose IVM alone.²⁸¹ To evaluate the pharmacokinetic profiles of albendazole and DEC when administered as a single drug or as combination drugs, a study is currently in progress in the Alleppey (India) to




Table 3 Patents on the antifilarials

Name	Description
------	-------------


Compounds for use in the treatment of filariasis

In the present patent, compound with following general formula (79) and its various forms are reported by Pfarr *et al.* as antifilarial agents



According to inventors, when tested *in vitro*, more than 50% depletion of the *Wolbachia* was observed with Corallopyronin A (80) at concentration of $0.1 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ whereas it depleted *Wolbachia* to levels equivalent to $4 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ of the doxycycline (a tetracycline) at $1 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ concentration. Also, when given *in vivo* at a dose of 35 mg per kg per day to infected BALB/c mice for 28 days, it had depleted more than 99% of the *Wolbachia* when compared to the control²⁷²

R=



Multivalent vaccine for filariasis

This invention by Kalyanasundaram presents multivalent vaccine for treating an animal against filariasis. This vaccine composed of two or more isolated antigens from one or more filarial species such as *W. bancrofti*, *B. malayi*, *B. timori*, *O. volvulus* and *Loa loa*. Antigens isolated are covalently attached protein based, DNA based or combination of them. Antigens also include small heat shock protein (HSP), Vespil venom allergen homologue like protein (VAL-1), abundant larval transcript (ALT-2) *etc.* according to some embodiments, vaccine also comprises of known antigens (from *W. bancrofti*, *B. malayi*, *B. timori*, *O. volvulus* and *Loa loa*) such as glutathione peroxidase, recombinant antigen, heat shock cognate 70 and paramyosin. When vaccine composed of nucleic acid it is called as genetic vaccine. When viruses such as pox virus, alpha virus, herpes virus *etc.* are used as carrier which carries recombinant molecule of the invention, packaged in its viral coat that can be expressed in animal after its administration, it is said as recombinant virus vaccine. Recombinant virus vaccine following its administration infects cells of immunized animal and directs production of protective protein capable of protecting animal from filarial nematodes²⁷³




Pyroloindole

According to Alasia *et al.* compound (81) and compound (82) were found to be most effective with IC_{50} concentration below $1 \mu\text{M}$ against Hsp82 protein of filarial parasites. *Brugia* and Bancroft's parasites were reported to contain another similar protein known as Hsp90 protein. *B. pahangi* and human sequences, were found to be 87% similar and 80% identical and was found to be sensitive towards geldanamycin (83).^{274,275}



Table 3 (Contd.)

Name	Description
------	-------------



Herbal
composition

In a patent by Das, it is reported that herbal extract composition obtained from *Typhonium trilobatum* at a single dose of 100–200 mg daily most showed antifilarial action without any toxicity. When it was tested *in vivo* in rat, LD₅₀ value of the extract was found to be >2000 mg kg⁻¹ with no toxic symptoms, signs or mortality of the treated animals. After clinical evaluation of this extract, it was observed that elimination of disability in patients suffering from acute filariasis have completed in 30 days treatment. On the other hand, following serological study, a gradual decline in antigen units was found in 90 days indicating that reduction was occurred in microfilariae production²⁷⁶



Table 3 (Contd.)

Name	Description
	<p>In this patent by Nair <i>et al.</i> hydroxyl substituted anthraquinones are reported as antifilarials. They reported that the compounds of the invention are used for inhibiting parasite which comprises of exposing the parasite to an inhibitory concentration of hydroxyl substituted anthraquinone. It is found that <i>B. malayi</i> can be inhibited both <i>in vitro</i> as well as <i>in vivo</i> by these compounds. Hydroxyl substitution can also be a methoxy substitution. Anthraquinone (84), a phytoconstituent obtained from the roots of day lily was found effective against <i>B. malayi</i> showing 100% filaricidal activity in 2 days against adult female worms at concentration of 5 ppm. Against adult female of same filarial species and at same concentrations, compounds (85) and (86) showed 100% filaricidal activity within 24 h only.²⁷⁷</p>
	<p>(84) (85) (86)</p>

compare LF infected vs. non-infected individuals. This is also the first study to determine the presence of any toxic pharmacokinetic drug interactions. A third study is also underway in Wardha, India, and it includes 1347 subjects to evaluate the safety, efficacy, tolerability and population pharmacokinetics of DEC co-administered with albendazole compared with DEC alone. Another clinical trial has suggested that single-dose DEC-albendazole dramatically reduces blood microfilariae, but most of the treated subjects failed to completely clear the microfilariae after a single-dose administration. It was also found that although both regimens provided similar activity against macrofilariae, a multidose regimen of DEC-albendazole was more effective for clearing and reducing microfilariae of the worm compared with single-dose therapy.²⁸²

Eradication programs

In one report by a WHO team headed by Dr Kazuyo Ichimori, it was mentioned that preventive chemotherapy, vector control and morbidity management can work most efficiently as one package at the global, national and local levels. To eliminate LF by 2020, the WHO established the Global Programme to Eliminate Lymphatic Filariasis (GPELF) in 2000. GPELF has been one of the most rapidly expanding global health programs in the history of public health. Fifty-three of the 81 endemic

countries have started implementing MDA to halt the transmission of LF. During the decade from 2000–2009, more than 2.8 billion doses of medicine were delivered to 845 million people (cumulative targeted population).

To achieve the goal set by GPELF, two strategies have been developed. In the first strategy, single annual doses of diethylcarbamazine (DEC) or ivermectin plus albendazole will be delivered to the entire endemic area to prevent transmission of the LF parasite. MDA also provides significant health benefits, such as reduced morbidity from intestinal worms and ectoparasites (*e.g.*, lice). The second strategy is to alleviate suffering and disability by introducing basic measures, such as improved hygiene and skin care, to those with lymphedema and performing surgery in men with hydrocele. The investment target for preventive chemotherapy during 2015–2020 is approximately US\$ 154 million (US\$ 105–208 million) per year. Two objectives have been determined by the WHO, which include “70% of endemic countries requiring MDA will have enter post-intervention surveillance by 2016” and “all endemic countries will have to complete post-intervention surveillance by 2020”.^{1,283}

Future perspectives and conclusions

Filaria is one of the oldest, most disabling and disfiguring neglected tropical diseases with various clinical manifestations



and a high morbidity rate. Very few drugs are available for treatment of filariasis but their repetitive use may give rise to drug resistance. Further they have beneficial effect in elimination of the larval stage of the worms only. They are found to be fairly ineffective towards eliminating adult worms. Filarial nematodes are unable to survive in *in vitro* culture for long-term, which greatly limits research into the basic biology of these parasites and thus affects *in vitro* screening of novel antifilarial agents. Therefore much efforts are needed to improve the stability of these nematodes in the culture. Numbers of antifilarial targets have been explored in last two decades, however their evaluation with reference to assay feasibility, target validation, drugability, toxicity, resistance potential and structural information needs to be highlighted in future.

Considering the limitations of the existing antifilarial drugs more focused efforts are needed by the researchers to explore the mechanism of development of drug resistance to detect it at an early stage. Though large number of chemical classes have been explored till date, very few of them enters in clinical development due to toxicity and pharmacokinetics problem. Researchers working in the field are therefore needed to consider these issues during antifilarial drug development. Some of the initial hints about genome sequences of *B. malayi*, *Wolbachia*, mosquito vector may be helpful for the researchers in development of some new agents that overcomes the limitations of existing antifilarial agents. With some of the positive results, vaccination though at very primary stage now, may turn fruitful approach in future to combat filariasis.

Acknowledgements

Authors JNS and AAK are thankful to Dr Zahid Zaheer, Principal Y. B. Chavan College of Pharmacy, Aurangabad India for their constant support and encouragement.

References

- World Health Organization, *Sustaining the drive to overcome the global impact of neglected tropical diseases, second WHO report on neglected tropical diseases*, Geneva, 2013, pp. 1–137.
- World Health Organization global programme to eliminate lymphatic filariasis, *Lymphatic filariasis, Progress report 2000–2009 and strategic plan 2010–2020*, Geneva, 2010, pp. 1–78.
- Lymphatic Filariasis, research and control in eastern and southern Africa*, ed. P. E. Simonsen, M. N. Malecela, E. Michael and C. D. Mackenzie, DBL-Center for health and research development, Denmark, 2008, pp. 1–155.
- P. K. Singh, A. Ajay, S. Kushwaha, R. P. Tripathi and S. Misra-Bhattacharya, *Future Med. Chem.*, 2010, 2, 251–283.
- (a) World Health Organization, Global programme to eliminate lymphatic filariasis, *Lymphatic filariasis: practical entomology*, Geneva, 2013, pp. 1–90; (b) *Vector control-Methods for use by individuals and communities*, ed. J. A. Rozendaal, World Health Organization, Geneva, 1997, pp. 29–34; (c) Parasites-Lymphatic filariasis. Biology-Life cycle of *Brugia malayi*. Centers for disease control and prevention, 2015, https://www.cdc.gov/parasites/lymphaticfilariasis/biology_b_malayi.html.
- G. J. Weil, R. M. Ramzy, R. Chandrashekar, A. M. Gad, R. C. Lowrie Jr and R. Faris, *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.*, 1996, 55, 333–337.
- S. K. Dunyo, F. K. Nkrumah, C. K. Ahorlu and P. E. Simonsen, *Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg.*, 1998, 92, 539–540.
- G. Dreyer, Z. Medeiros, M. J. Netto, N. C. Leal, L. G. De Castro and W. F. Piessens, *Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg.*, 1999, 93, 413–417.
- D. H. Connor, J. R. Palmieri and D. W. Gibson, *Z. Parasitenkd.*, 1986, 72, 13–28.
- (a) Lymphatic Filariasis-USAIDs neglected tropical disease program, https://www.neglecteddiseases.gov/target_diseases/lymphatic_filariasis/; (b) World Health Organization, *Lymphatic pathology and immunopathology in filariasis: report of the twelfth meeting of the scientific working group on filariasis*, Geneva, mimeographed document, TDR/FIL-SWG (12)/85.3, 1985; (c) World Health Organization, *Lymphatic filariasis: Disease and its control. Fifth report of the WHO expert comitee on filariasis*, Geneva, 1992, Technical report series, no. 821; (d) S. P. Pani and A. Sividya, *Indian J. Med. Res.*, 1995, 102, 114–118.
- (a) J. E. McMahon, S. A. Magayuka, N. Kolstrup, F. W. Mosha, F. M. Bushrod, D. E. Abaru and J. H. Bryan, *Ann. Trop. Med. Parasitol.*, 1981, 75, 415–431; (b) D. W. Meyrowitsch, P. E. Simonsen and W. H. Makunde, *Ann. Trop. Med. Parasitol.*, 1995, 89, 653–663.
- G. Dreyer, J. Noroes, J. Figueredo-Silva and W. F. Piessens, *Parasitol. Today*, 2000, 16, 544–548.
- H. W. Acto and S. S. Rao, *Indian Med. Gaz.*, 1930, 65, 541–546.
- E. A. Ottesen, *Curr. Opin. Infect. Dis.*, 1994, 7, 550–558.
- D. O. Freedman, M. J. de Almeida Filo, S. Besh, M. C. de Silva, C. Braga, A. Maciel and A. F. Furtado, *J. Infect. Dis.*, 1995, 171, 997–1001.
- M. Philipp, T. B. Davis, N. Storey and C. K. S. Carlow, *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.*, 1988, 42, 685–716.
- (a) K. P. Day, *Parasitol. Today*, 1991, 7, 341–343; (b) E. A. Ottesen, *Springer Semin. Immunopathol.*, 1980, 2, 373–385.
- World Health Organisation, *Global Programme to Eliminate Lymphatic Filariasis: Progress Report on Mass Drug Administration, 2010*, *Weekly Epidemiological Record*, 2011, vol. 86, pp. 377–388.
- (a) G. Weil, P. J. Lammie and N. Weiss, *Parasitol. Today*, 1997, 13, 401–404; (b) L. A. Andrade, Z. Medeiros, M. L. Pires, A. Pimental, A. Rocha, J. Figurado-Silva, A. Coutinho and G. Dreyer, *Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg.*, 1995, 89, 319–321.
- C. B. Harinath and M. V. R. Reddy, *Journal of International Medical Sciences Academy*, 2000, 13, 8–12.
- J. P. Narain, A. P. Dash, B. Parnell, S. K. Bhattacharya, S. Barua, R. Bhatia and L. Savioli, *Bull. W. H. O.*, 2010, 88, 206–210.
- J. N. Demarquay, *Gaz. Med.*, 1863, 18, 665–667.



- 23 T. R. Lewis, *Lancet*, 1872, **100**, 889–890.
- 24 T. S. Cobbold, *Lancet*, 1877, **2**, 70–71.
- 25 I. A. McGregor, *Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg.*, 1995, **89**, 1–8.
- 26 D. I. Grove, *A History of Human Helminthology*, CAB International, Wallingford UK, 1990, p. 597.
- 27 D. Molyneux, *Filaria J.*, 2003, **2**, 13.
- 28 E. A. Ottesen, *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.*, 1989, **41**, S9–S17.
- 29 E. Michael and D. A. P. Bundy, *Parasitol. Today*, 1997, **13**, 472–476.
- 30 World Health Organisation, Fact Sheet, <http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs102/en>.
- 31 World Health Organisation, *Reducing Risks, Promoting Healthy Life*, WHO report, Geneva, 2002, pp. 1–248.
- 32 A. M. Khan and J. Mahanta, *Curr. Sci.*, 2005, **88**, 1718–1719.
- 33 World Health Organisation, Epidemiology, http://www.who.int/lymphatic_filariasis/epidemiology/en/.
- 34 World Health Organisation, *Global Programme to Eliminate Lymphatic Filariasis: Progress Report, 2013*, *Weekly Epidemiological Record*, 2014, vol. 89, pp. 409–418.
- 35 K. D. Ramaiah, P. K. Das, E. Michael and H. Guyatt, *Parasitol. Today*, 2000, **16**, 251–253.
- 36 T. G. Ton, C. Mackenzie and D. H. Molyneux, *Infectious Diseases of Poverty*, 2015, **4**, 1–8.
- 37 D. W. Meyrowitsch, P. E. Simonsen and S. M. Magesa, *Ann. Trop. Med. Parasitol.*, 2004, **98**, 155–169.
- 38 D. H. Molyneux and N. Zagaria, *Ann. Trop. Med. Parasitol.*, 2002, **96**, S15–S40.
- 39 L. X. Liu and P. F. Weller, *N. Engl. J. Med.*, 1996, **334**, 1178–1184.
- 40 DNDi, Drugs for Neglected Diseases Initiative, <http://www.dndi.org/diseases-projects/filarial-diseases/filaria-disease-background/>.
- 41 M. Pinder, *Parasitol. Today*, 1988, **4**, 279–284.
- 42 J. O. Gyapong, D. Kyelem, I. Kleinschmidt, K. Agbo, F. Ahouandogbo, J. Gaba, G. Owusu-Banahene, S. Sanou, Y. K. Sodahlon, G. Biswas, O. O. Kale, D. H. Molyneux, J. B. Rongou, M. C. Thomson and J. Remme, *Ann. Trop. Med. Parasitol.*, 2002, **96**, 695–705.
- 43 H. A. Farid, Z. S. Morsy, H. Helmy, R. M. Ramzy, M. El Setouhy and G. J. Weil, *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.*, 2007, **77**, 593–600.
- 44 J. M. Mladonicky, J. D. King, J. L. Liang, E. Chambers, M. Paau, M. A. Schmaedick, T. R. Burkot, M. Bradley and P. J. Lammie, *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.*, 2009, **80**, 769–773.
- 45 D. O. Freedman, P. J. de Almeida Filho and S. Besh, *J. Infect. Dis.*, 1994, **170**, 927–933.
- 46 J. McCarthy, Diagnosis of Lymphatic Filarial Infection, *Lymphatic Filariasis*, ed. T. B. Nutman, Imperial College Press, London, 2000, pp. 127–141.
- 47 F. Amaral, G. Dreyer and J. Figueredo-Silva, *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.*, 1994, **50**, 735–757.
- 48 K. Anitha and R. K. Shenoy, *Indian J. Dermatol. Venereol.*, 2001, **67**, 60–65.
- 49 B. de Meillon and N. G. Hairston, *Bull. W. H. O.*, 1968, **38**, 935–941.
- 50 (a) R. Pink, A. Hudson, M.-A. Mouries and M. Bendig, *Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery*, 2005, **4**, 727–740; (b) R. I. Hewitt, S. Kushner, H. Stewart, E. White, W. Wallace and Y. Subbarow, *J. Lab. Clin. Med.*, 1947, **32**, 1314–1329; (c) S. Babu and T. B. Nutman, *Parasite Immunol.*, 2014, **36**, 338–346.
- 51 R. I. Hewitt, E. White, W. S. Wallace, H. Stewart, S. Kushner and Y. Subbarow, *J. Lab. Clin. Med.*, 1947, **32**, 1304–1313.
- 52 D. Santiago-stevenson, J. Oliver-gonzalez and R. I. Hewitt, *JAMA, J. Am. Med. Assoc.*, 1947, **135**, 708–712.
- 53 F. Hawking, *Diethylcarbamazine: A Review of Literature with Special Reference to its Pharmacodynamics, Toxicity, and Use in the Therapy of Onchocerciasis and other filarial infections*. WHO/ONCHO/78, 1978, vol. 142, pp. 1–82.
- 54 F. Hawking and W. Laurie, *Lancet*, 1949, **2**, 146–147.
- 55 R. M. Maizels and D. A. Denham, *Parasitology*, 1992, **105**, S49–S60.
- 56 S. Misra, D. P. Singh and R. K. Chatterjee, *Trop. Med.*, 1988, **30**, 1–11.
- 57 W. A. Stolk, G. J. V. Oortmarsen, S. P. Pani, S. J. DeVlas, S. Subramanian, P. K. Das and J. D. Habbema, *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.*, 2005, **73**, 881–887.
- 58 W. Melrose, *Lymphatic Filariasis: A Review 1862-2002*, Warwick Educational Publishing Inc., Australia, 2004, pp. 1–80.
- 59 G. J. Weil, P. J. Lammie, F. O. Richards and M. L. Eberhard, *J. Infect. Dis.*, 1991, **164**, 814–816.
- 60 M. M. Ismail, G. J. Weil, K. S. A. Jayasinghe, U. N. Premaratne, W. Abeyewickreme, H. N. Rajaratnam, H. R. Sheriff, C. S. Perera and A. S. Dissanaikie, *Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg.*, 1996, **90**, 684–688.
- 61 J. S. McCarthy, A. Guinea, G. J. Weil and E. A. Ottesen, *J. Infect. Dis.*, 1995, **172**, 521–526.
- 62 C. A. Peixoto, A. Rocha, A. Aguiar-Santos and M. S. Florencio, *Parasitol. Res.*, 2004, **92**, 513–517.
- 63 S. Subramanian, P. Vanamail, P. K. Das, S. P. Pani and R. Ravi, *Randomized Controlled Clinical Trials of Antifilarial Drugs for Lymphatic Filariasis: Endpoints of Outcome Measures Influence Drug Efficacy. Presented at: Proceedings of the 23rd Conference of the Indian Society for Medical Statistics*, Jawaharlal Nehru Medical College, Belgaum, India, January 2005, pp. 19–21.
- 64 O. Hussein, M. E. Setouhy, E. S. Ahmed, A. M. Kandil, R. M. Ramzy, H. Helmy and G. J. Weil, *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.*, 2004, **71**, 471–477.
- 65 (a) Essential medicines and health products information portal-A World Health Organization resource, <http://apps.who.int/medicinedocs/en/d/Jh2922e/3.5.1.html>; (b) J. P. Chippaux, M. Boussinesq, J. Gardon, N. Gardon-Wendel and J. C. Ernoult, *Parasitol. Today*, 1996, **12**, 448–450.
- 66 G. Dreyer, M. L. Pires, L. D. Andrade, E. Lopes, Z. Medeiros, J. Tenorio, A. Coutinho, J. Noroes and J. Figueredo-Silva, *Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg.*, 1994, **88**, 232–236.
- 67 R. M. Maizels and D. A. Denham, *Parasitology*, 1992, **105**, S49–S60.
- 68 J. W. Mak, *Trop. Biomed.*, 2004, **21**, 27–38.
- 69 H. B. Woodruff and R. W. Burg, The Antibiotics Explosion. Discoveries in Pharmacology Volume 3, in *Pharmacological*



- Methods, Receptors and Chemotherapy*, ed. M. J. Parnham and J. Bruinvels, Elsevier, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1986, pp. 338–341.
- 70 B. Thylefors, *Trop. Med. Int. Health*, 2004, **9**, A1–A3.
- 71 G. Schares, B. Hofmann and H. Zahner, *Trop. Med. Parasitol.*, 1994, **45**, 97–106.
- 72 W. C. Campbell, *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.*, 1991, **45**, 445–474.
- 73 E. A. Ottesen and W. C. J. Campbell, *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.*, 1994, **34**, 195–203.
- 74 S. Townson, S. K. Tagboto, J. Castro, A. Lujan, K. Awadzi and V. P. K. Titanji, *Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg.*, 1994, **88**, 101–106.
- 75 G. Dreyer, J. Noroes, F. Amaral, A. Nen, Z. Medeiros, A. Coutinho and D. Addiss, *Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg.*, 1995, **89**, 441–443.
- 76 R. K. Shenoy, V. Kumaraswami, K. Rajan, S. Thankom and A. Jalajakumari, *Ann. Trop. Med. Parasitol.*, 1993, **87**, 459–467.
- 77 N. L. Nguyen, J. P. Moullia-Pelat and J. L. Cartel, *Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg.*, 1996, **90**, 689–691.
- 78 A. P. Plaisier, W. C. Cao, G. J. Van Oortmarssen and J. D. Habbema, *Parasitology*, 1999, **119**, 385–394.
- 79 S. Omura, Mode of Action of Avermectin, in *Macrolide Antibiotics: Chemistry, Biology and Practice*, ed. S. Omur, Academic Press, NY, USA, 2002, pp. 571–576.
- 80 J. P. Arena, K. K. Liu, P. S. Paress, J. M. Schaeffer and D. F. Cully, *Brain Res.*, 1992, **15**, 339–348.
- 81 D. F. Cully, D. K. Vassilatis, K. K. Liu, P. S. Paress, L. H. Van der Ploeg, J. M. Schaeffer and J. P. Arena, *Nature*, 1994, **371**, 707–711.
- 82 S. Lustigman and J. P. McCarter, *PLoS Neglected Trop. Dis.*, 2007, **1**, e76.
- 83 J. K. Eng, W. J. Blackhall, M. Y. Osei-Atweneboana, C. Bourguinat, D. Galazzo and R. N. Beech, *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.*, 2006, **150**, 229–235.
- 84 G. J. Weil, K. V. P. Sethumadhavan, S. Santhanam, D. C. Jain and T. K. Ghosh, *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.*, 1988, **38**, 589–595.
- 85 G. Dreyer, D. Addiss, J. Noroes, F. Amaral, A. Rocha and A. Coutinho, *Trop. Med. Int. Health*, 1996, **1**, 427–432.
- 86 W. Cao, C. P. Ploeg, A. P. Plaisier, I. J. van der Sluijs and J. D. Habbema, *Trop. Med. Int. Health*, 1997, **2**, 393–403.
- 87 N. D. E. Alexander and B. T. Grenfell, *Parasitology*, 1999, **119**, 151–156.
- 88 P. J. Lammie, W. L. Hitch, E. M. W. Allen, W. Hightower and M. L. Eberhard, *Lancet*, 1991, **337**, 1005–1006.
- 89 P. K. Das, A. Sirvidya, P. Vanamail, K. D. Ramaiah, S. P. Pani, E. Michael and D. A. Bundy, *Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg.*, 1997, **91**, 677–679.
- 90 B. P. Sani and A. Vaid, *Biochem. J.*, 1988, **249**, 929–932.
- 91 D. Subrahmanyam, *Ciba Found. Symp.*, 1987, **127**, 246–264.
- 92 A. Harder, *Parasitol. Res.*, 2002, **88**, 395–397.
- 93 P. Nickel, H. J. Haack and H. Widjaja, *Drug Res.*, 1986, **36**, 1153–1157.
- 94 World Health Organization, *Model prescribing information: Drugs used in parasitic diseases*, Geneva, 2nd edn, 1995, pp. 1–146.
- 95 C. P. Chijioko, R. E. Umeh, A. U. Mbah, P. Nwonu, L. L. Fleckenstein and O. O. Okonkwo, *Eur. J. Clin. Pharmacol.*, 1998, **54**, 249–251.
- 96 U. Pandaya and O. P. Shukla, *Med. Sci. Res.*, 1994, **22**, 833–834.
- 97 R. D. Walter and H. Schulz-Kay, *Tropenmed. Parasitol.*, 1980, **31**, 55–58.
- 98 R. D. Walter and E. J. Albiez, *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.*, 1981, **4**, 53–600.
- 99 (a) Essential medicines and health products information portal-A World Health Organization resource, <http://apps.who.int/medicinedocs/en/d/Jh2922e/3.6.2.html>; (b) L. Gonzalezguerra, E. Rasi and A. Rivas, *Rev. Venez. Sani. Asist. Soc. Caracas.*, 1964, **29**, 90–97.
- 100 C. P. Chijioko, R. E. Umeh, A. U. Mbah, P. Nwonu, L. L. Fleckenstein and O. O. Okonkwo, *Eur. J. Clin. Pharmacol.*, 1998, **54**, 249–251.
- 101 B. Thylefors and A. Rolland, *Bull. W. H. O.*, 1979, **57**, 479–480.
- 102 F. Hawking, *Adv. Pharmacol. Chemother.*, 1978, **15**, 289–322.
- 103 J. McCarthy, *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.*, 2005, **73**, 232–233.
- 104 D. G. Addiss and J. Louis-Charles, *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.*, 1997, **57**, S215.
- 105 M. E. Selkirk, V. P. Smith, G. R. Thomas and K. Gounaris, *Int. J. Parasitol.*, 1998, **28**, 1315–1332.
- 106 (a) D. G. Addiss, M. J. Beach, T. G. Streit, S. Lutwick, F. H. LeConte, J. G. Lafontant, A. W. Hightower and P. J. Lammie, *Lancet*, 1997, **350**, 480–484; (b) WHO Media Centre, *Lymphatic filariasis*, Fact sheet no. 102, Updated March, 2017.
- 107 E. A. Ottesen, M. M. Ismail and J. Horton, *Parasitol. Today*, 1999, **15**, 382–386.
- 108 A. Harder, *Parasitol. Res.*, 2002, **88**, 272–277.
- 109 J. F. Rossignol and H. Maisonneuve, *Gastroenterol. Clin. Biol.*, 1984, **8**, 569–576.
- 110 R. S. Esworthy, F. F. Chu, P. Geiger, A. W. Girotti and J. H. Doroshov, *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.*, 1993, **307**, 29–34.
- 111 M. E. Oxberry, T. G. Geary and R. K. Prichard, *Parasitology*, 2001, **122**, 683–687.
- 112 M. J. Miller, *Drugs*, 1980, **20**, 122–130.
- 113 J. W. Mak and V. Zaman, *Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg.*, 1980, **74**, 285–291.
- 114 J. Aceves, D. Erlj and R. Martinez-Maranon, *Br. J. Pharmacol.*, 1970, **38**, 602–607.
- 115 I. D. Harrow and K. A. F. Gration, *Ascaris suum. Pest. Sci.*, 1985, **16**, 662–672.
- 116 S. B. Katiyar, I. Bansal, J. K. Saxena and P. M. S. Chauhan, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2005, **15**, 47–50.
- 117 B. K. Singh, M. Mishra, N. Saxena, G. P. Yadav, P. R. Maulik, M. K. Sahoo, R. L. Gaur, P. K. Murthy and R. P. Tripathi, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2008, **43**, 2717–2723.
- 118 A. Agarwal, S. K. Agarwal, S. N. Singh, N. Fatma and R. K. Chatterjee, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 1996, **6**, 225–228.
- 119 S. K. Srivastava, A. Agarwal, P. M. S. Chauhan, S. K. Agarwal, A. P. Bhaduri, S. N. Singh, N. Fatima and R. K. Chatterjee, *J. Med. Chem.*, 1999, **42**, 1667–1672.
- 120 R. I. Hewitt, S. Kushner, H. Stewart, E. White, W. S. Wallace and Y. Subbarow, *J. Lab. Clin. Med.*, 1947, **32**, 1314–1329.
- 121 World Health Organisation, *Report of the seventh meeting of the scientific working group on filariasis: Filaricide screeners*,



- TDR/Fil/SWG(7)82,3, World Health Organisation, Geneva, 1982.
- 122 (a) S. Tewari, P. M. S. Chauhan, A. P. Bhaduri, N. Fatima and R. K. Chatterjee, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2000, **10**, 1409–1412; (b) S. C. Azad, V. M. Balaramnavar, I. A. Khan, P. K. Doharey, J. K. Saxena and A. K. Saxena, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 82208–82214.
- 123 S. K. Srivastava, P. M. S. Chauhan, S. K. Agarwal, A. P. Bhaduri, S. N. Singh, N. Fatma, R. K. Chatterjee, C. Bose and V. M. L. Srivastava, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 1996, **6**, 2623–2628.
- 124 S. K. Srivastava, P. M. S. Chauhan, A. P. Bhaduri, N. Fatima and R. K. Chatterjee, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2000, **43**, 2275–2279.
- 125 P. Roy, D. Dhara, P. K. Parida, R. J. Kar, A. Bhunia, K. Jana, S. P. Sinha Babu and A. K. Misra, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2016, **114**, 308–317.
- 126 K. V. Sashidhara, A. Kumar, K. B. Rao, V. Kushwaha, K. Saxena and P. K. Murthy, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2012, **22**, 1527–1532.
- 127 A. Agarwal, S. K. Awasthi and P. K. Murthy, *Med. Chem. Res.*, 2011, **20**, 430–434.
- 128 R. D. Sharma, S. Bag, N. R. Tawari, M. S. Degani, K. Goswami and M. V. R. Reddy, *Parasitology*, 2013, **140**, 959–965.
- 129 S. Bag, N. R. Tawari, R. Sharma, K. Goswami, M. V. Reddy and M. S. Degani, *Acta Trop.*, 2010, **113**, 48–51.
- 130 R. P. Tripathi, R. Tripathi, A. P. Bhaduri, S. N. Singh, R. K. Chatterjee and P. K. Murthy, *Acta Trop.*, 2000, **76**, 101–106.
- 131 R. P. Tripathi, V. K. Tiwari, S. Misra-Bhattacharya, K. Tyagi, V. M. L. Srivastava and P. K. Murthy, *Acta Trop.*, 2003, **87**, 215–224.
- 132 S. Misra, L. K. Singh, P. J. Gupta, S. Misra-Bhattacharya and D. Katiyar, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2015, **94**, 211–217.
- 133 N. Mathew, T. Karunan, L. Srinivasan and K. Muthuswamy, *Drug Dev. Res.*, 2010, **71**, 188–196.
- 134 A. Mandvikar, S. V. Hande, P. Yeole, K. Goswami and M. V. R. Reddy, *Int. J. Pharma Sci. Res.*, 2016, **7**, 1480–1492.
- 135 S. Rathaur, M. Yadav, N. Singh and A. Singh, *J. Proteomics*, 2011, **74**, 1595–1606.
- 136 R. Saxena, S. Sharma, R. N. Iyer and N. Anand, *J. Med. Chem.*, 1971, **14**, 929–931.
- 137 M. Kalyanasundaram, N. Mathew, K. P. Paily and G. Prabhakaran, *Acta Trop.*, 2009, **111**, 168–171.
- 138 S. K. Awasthi, N. Mishra, S. K. Dixit, A. Singh, M. Yadav, S. S. Yadav and S. Rathaur, *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.*, 2009, **80**, 764–768.
- 139 V. K. Tiwari, N. Tewari, D. Katiyar, R. P. Tripathi, K. Arora, S. Gupta, R. Ahmad, A. K. Srivastava, M. A. Khan, P. K. Murthy and R. D. Walter, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2003, **11**, 1789–1800.
- 140 S. K. Srivastava, P. M. S. Chauhan, A. P. Bhaduri, P. K. Murthy and R. K. Chatterjee, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2000, **10**, 313–314.
- 141 K. Kalani, V. Kushwaha, R. Verma, K. P. Murthy and S. K. Srivastava, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2013, **23**, 2566–2570.
- 142 R. U. Rao, Y. Huang, K. Fischer, P. U. Fischer and G. J. Weil, *Exp. Parasitol.*, 2008, **121**, 38–45.
- 143 M. R. Dhananjeyan, Y. P. Milev, M. A. Kron and M. G. Nair, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2005, **48**, 2822–2830.
- 144 S. P. Bahekar, S. V. Hande, N. R. Agarwal, H. S. Chandak, P. S. Bhoj, K. Goswami and M. V. R. Reddy, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2016, **124**, 262–269.
- 145 K. V. Sashidhara, S. R. Avula, P. K. Doharey, L. R. Singh, V. M. Balaramnavar, J. Gupta, S. Misra-Bhattacharya, S. Rathaur, A. K. Saxena and J. K. Saxena, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2015, **103**, 418–428.
- 146 K. V. Sashidhara, K. B. Rao, V. Kushwaha, R. K. Modukuri, R. Verma and P. K. Murthy, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2014, **81**, 473–480.
- 147 W. Raether and G. Lammler, *Ann. Trop. Med. Parasitol.*, 1971, **65**, 107–115.
- 148 D. A. Denham, R. R. Suswillo and R. Rogers, *Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg.*, 1978, **72**, 546–547.
- 149 D. A. Denham, R. Samad, S.-Y. Cho, R. R. Suswillo and S. C. Skippins, *Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg.*, 1979, **73**, 673–676.
- 150 A. Dominguez-Vazquez, H. R. Taylor, A. M. Ruvalcaba-Macias, R. P. Murphy, B. M. Greene, A. R. Rivas-Alcala and F. Beltran-Hernandez, *Lancet*, 1983, **1**, 139–143.
- 151 D. A. Denham, *Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg.*, 1980, **74**, 829.
- 152 A. B. Reddy, U. R. Rao, R. Chandrashekar, R. Shrivastava and D. Subrahmanyam, *Tropenmed. Parasitol.*, 1983, **34**, 259–262.
- 153 K. Maertens and M. Wery, *Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg.*, 1975, **69**, 359–360.
- 154 World Health Organization, *Technical Report Series 702. Lymphatic Filariasis*, 1984.
- 155 N. Fatma, S. Sharma and R. K. Chatterjee, *Acta Trop.*, 1989, **46**, 311–321.
- 156 S. Ram, D. S. Wise, L. L. Wotring, J. W. McCall and L. B. Townsend, *J. Med. Chem.*, 1992, **35**, 539–547.
- 157 (a) M. O'Neill, A. Mansour, U. DiCosty, J. Geary, M. Dzimianski, S. D. McCall, J. W. McCall, C. D. Mackenzie and T. G. Geary, *PLoS Neglected Trop. Dis.*, 2016, **10**, e0004698, 1–13; (b) M. O'Neill, C. Ballesteros, L. Tritten, E. Burkman, W. I. Zaky, J. Xia, A. Moorhead, S. A. Williams and T. G. Geary, *Int. J. Parasitol.: Drugs Drug Resist.*, 2016, **6**, 288–296.
- 158 S. K. Singh, K. Goswami, R. D. Sharma, M. V. R. Reddy and D. Dash, *Int. J. Nanomed.*, 2012, **7**, 1023–1030.
- 159 Z. Yu, S. Vodanovic-Jankovic, N. Ledebouer, S.-X. Huang, S. R. Rajski, M. Kron and B. Shen, *Org. Lett.*, 2011, **13**, 2034–2037.
- 160 Z. Yu, S. Vodanovic-Jankovic, M. Kron and B. Shen, *Org. Lett.*, 2012, **14**, 4946–4949.
- 161 M. E. Rateb, D. Yang, S. Vodanovic-Jankovic, Z. Yu, M. A. Kron and B. Shen, *J. Antibiot.*, 2015, **68**, 540–542.
- 162 N. Misra, M. Sharma, K. Raj, A. Dangi, S. Srivastava and S. Misra-Bhattacharya, *Parasitol. Res.*, 2007, **100**, 439–448.
- 163 V. Kushwaha, K. Saxena, R. Verma, D. Katoch, N. Kumar, B. Lal, P. K. Murthy and B. Singh, *Parasites Vectors*, 2016, **9**, 1–11.



- 164 V. Mishra, N. Parveen, K. C. Singhal and N. U. Khan, *Fitoterapia*, 2005, **76**, 54–61.
- 165 N. Mukherjee, P. Saini, S. Mukherjee, P. Roy and S. P. Sinhababu, *Asian Pac. J. Trop. Med.*, 2014, **7**, 841–848.
- 166 K. Kalani, V. Kushwaha, P. Sharma, R. Verma, M. Srivastava, F. Khan, P. K. Murthy and S. K. Srivastava, *PLoS One*, 2014, **9**, 1–13.
- 167 K. Saxena, V. Dube, V. Kushwaha, V. Gupta, M. Lakshmi, S. Mishra, S. Gupta, A. Arora, V. Lakshmi, R. K. Sharma, G. K. Jain and P. K. Murthy, *Med. Chem. Res.*, 2011, **20**, 1594–1602.
- 168 N. Mathew, S. Misra-Bhattacharya, V. Perumal and K. Muthuswamy, *Molecules*, 2008, **13**, 2156–2168.
- 169 K. V. Sashidhara, S. P. Singh, S. Misra, J. Gupta and S. Misra-Bhattacharya, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2012, **50**, 230–235.
- 170 M. Singha, S. Shakya, V. K. Soni, A. Dangi, N. Kumar and S. Misra-Bhattacharya, *Int. Immunopharmacol.*, 2009, **9**, 716–728.
- 171 P. Saini, P. Gayen, A. Nayak, D. Kumar, N. Mukherjee, B. C. Pal and S. P. Sinhababu, *Parasitol. Int.*, 2012, **61**, 520–531.
- 172 R. L. Gaur, M. K. Sahoo, S. Dixit, N. Fatma, S. Rastogi, D. K. Kulshreshtha, R. K. Chatterjee and P. K. Murthy, *Indian J. Med. Res.*, 2008, **128**, 65–70.
- 173 N. M. Al-Abd, Z. M. Nor, M. Mansor, M. S. Hasan and M. Kassim, *Korean J. Parasitol.*, 2016, **54**, 273–280.
- 174 S. Misra, M. Verma, S. K. Mishra, S. Srivastava, V. Lakshmi and S. Misra-Bhattacharya, *Parasitol. Res.*, 2011, **109**, 1351–1360.
- 175 K. N. Sahare, V. Anandhraman, V. G. Meshram, S. U. Meshram, M. V. Reddy, P. M. Tuman and K. Goswami, *Indian J. Exp. Biol.*, 2008, **46**, 128–131.
- 176 V. Lakshmi, R. Kumar, P. Gupta, V. Varshney, M. N. Srivastava, M. Dikshit, P. K. Murthy and S. Misra-Bhattacharya, *Parasitol. Res.*, 2004, **93**, 468–474.
- 177 J. Gupta, S. Misra, S. K. Mishra, S. Srivastava, M. N. Srivastava, V. Lakshmi and S. Misra-Bhattacharya, *Exp. Parasitol.*, 2012, **130**, 449–455.
- 178 V. Lakshmi, S. Srivastava, S. K. Mishra, S. Misra, M. Verma and S. Misra-Bhattacharya, *Parasitol. Res.*, 2009, **105**, 1295–1301.
- 179 V. Lakshmi and S. Misra-Bhattacharya, *Bangladesh Pharm. J.*, 2016, **19**, 44–47.
- 180 V. Kushwaha, K. Saxena, S. K. Verma, V. Lakshmi, R. K. Sharma and P. K. Murthy, *Chronicals of Young Scientists*, 2016, **2**, 201–206.
- 181 K. N. Sahare, V. Anandhraman, V. G. Meshram, S. U. Meshram, D. Gajalakshmi, K. Goswami and M. V. Reddy, *Indian J. Med. Res.*, 2008, **127**, 467–471.
- 182 M. Deshmukh, K. N. Sahare, R. K. Patidar, B. Mahajan and V. Singh, *Trends in Vector Research and Parasitology*, 2014, **1**, 1–5.
- 183 R. Shanmugapriya and T. Ramnathan, *J. Pharm. Res.*, 2012, **5**, 1448–1450.
- 184 V. Lakshmi, S. K. Joseph, S. Srivastava, S. K. Verma, M. K. Sahoo, V. Dube, S. K. Mishra and P. K. Murthy, *Acta Trop.*, 2010, **116**, 127–133.
- 185 L. Srinivasan, N. Mathew and K. Muthuswamy, *Parasitol. Res.*, 2009, **105**, 1179–1182.
- 186 (a) B. E. Slatko, A. N. Luck, S. L. Dobson and J. M. Foster, *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.*, 2014, **195**, 88–95; (b) U. Heider, M. Blaxter, A. Hoerauf and K. M. Pfarr, *Int. J. Med. Microbiol.*, 2006, **296**, 287–299.
- 187 A. Y. Debrah, S. Mand, S. Specht, Y. Marfo-Debrekyei, L. Batsa, K. Pfarr, J. Larbi, B. Lawson, M. Taylor, O. Adjei and A. Hoerauf, *PLoS Pathog.*, 2006, **2**, e92.
- 188 O. P. Sharma and M. S. Kumar, *Sci. Rep.*, 2016, **6**, 1–11.
- 189 Z. Li, A. L. Garner, C. Gloeckner, K. D. Janda and C. K. Carlow, *PLoS Neglected Trop. Dis.*, 2011, **5**, e1411.
- 190 B. D. Galvin, Z. Li, E. Villemaine, C. B. Poole, M. S. Champman, M. P. Pollastri, P. G. Wyatt and C. K. S. Carlow, *PLoS Neglected Trop. Dis.*, 2014, **8**, e3145.
- 191 B. Kurelec and M. Rijavec, *Comp. Biochem. Physiol.*, 1966, **19**, 525–531.
- 192 J. J. Jaffe, Folate Related Metabolism, in *Report of the Vth Meeting of the Scientific Working Group on Filariasis*, WHO, Geneva, 1988, pp. 19–20.
- 193 J. J. Jaffe and L. R. Chrin, *J. Parasitol.*, 1979, **65**, 226–232.
- 194 J. J. Jaffe and L. R. Chrin, *J. Parasitol.*, 1979, **65**, 550–554.
- 195 G. N. Cox, *J. Parasitol.*, 1992, **78**, 1–15.
- 196 K. I. Kivirikko, R. Mallyla and T. Pihlajaniemi, *Fed. Am. Soc. Exp. Biol. J.*, 1989, **3**, 1609–1617.
- 197 R. Chandrashekar and K. Mehta, *Parasitol. Today*, 2000, **16**, 11–17.
- 198 K. Mehta, U. R. Rao, A. C. Vickery and P. J. Birckbichler, *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.*, 1990, **173**, 1051–1057.
- 199 K. Mehta, U. R. Rao, A. C. Vickery and L. Fesus, *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.*, 1992, **53**, 1–15.
- 200 S. Lustigman, B. Brotman, T. Huima, A. L. Castellano, R. N. Singh, K. Mehta and A. M. Prince, *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.*, 1995, **39**, 1913–1919.
- 201 H. Ando, M. Adachi, K. Umeda, A. Matsuura, M. Nonaka, R. Uchio, H. Tanaka and M. Motoki, *Agric. Biol. Chem.*, 1989, **53**, 2613–2617.
- 202 U. R. Rao, K. Mehta, D. Subrahmanyam and A. C. Vickery, *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.*, 1991, **35**, 2219–2224.
- 203 K. M. Wolf and A. L. Scott, *Exp. Parasitol.*, 1995, **80**, 282–290.
- 204 B. P. Sani and J. C. W. Comley, *Trop. Med. Parasitol.*, 1985, **36**, 20–23.
- 205 B. P. Sani, *Methods Enzymol.*, 1990, **189**, 348–356.
- 206 A. C. Ross, *Fed. Am. Soc. Exp. Biol. J.*, 1993, **7**, 317–327.
- 207 A. C. Ross, *J. Nutr.*, 1993, **123**, 346–350.
- 208 G. Wolf, *Nutr. Rev.*, 1991, **49**, 1–12.
- 209 N. A. Croll, *Can. J. Zool.*, 1975, **53**, 894–903.
- 210 G. Gainutsos and J. L. Bennett, *Comp. Biochem. Physiol.*, 1977, **58**, 157–159.
- 211 J. K. Saxena, S. K. Bose, R. Sen, R. K. Chatterjee, A. B. Sen and S. Ghatak, *Exp. Parasitol.*, 1977, **43**, 239–243.
- 212 A. Agarwal, S. K. Mishra, S. Rathaur, R. K. Chatterjee, J. C. Katiyar and S. Ghatak, *Indian J. Parasitol.*, 1982, **6**, 223–225.
- 213 S. Rathaur, N. Anwar and S. Ghatak, *Z. Parasitenkd.*, 1980, **62**, 85–93.



- 214 S. Rathaur, N. A. Kaushal and S. Ghatak, *Indian J. Parasitol.*, 1985, **9**, 203–205.
- 215 D. A. Brownlee, L. Holden-Dye and R. Walker, *Adv. Parasitol.*, 2000, **45**, 109–180.
- 216 R. E. Isaac, R. Muimo and A. N. MacGregor, *Science*, 1990, **216**, 1012–1014.
- 217 C. W. Tabor and H. Tabor, *Annu. Rev. Biochem.*, 1984, **53**, 749–790.
- 218 F. Svensson, I. Kockum and L. Persson, *Mol. Cell. Biochem.*, 1993, **124**, 141–147.
- 219 D. K. Srivastava, T. K. Roy and O. P. Shukla, *Indian J. Parasitol.*, 1980, **4**, 187–189.
- 220 R. M. Wittich, H. D. Kilian and R. D. Walter, *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.*, 1987, **24**, 155–162.
- 221 R. D. Walter, *Parasitol. Today*, 1988, **4**, 18–20.
- 222 V. Sharma, B. L. Tekwani, J. K. Saxena, S. Gupta, J. C. Katiyar, R. K. Chatterjee, S. Ghatak and O. P. Shukla, *Exp. Parasitol.*, 1991, **72**, 15–23.
- 223 A. A. Da'dara, H. Mett and R. D. Walter, *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.*, 1998, **97**, 13–19.
- 224 H. J. Saz, *Trends Biochem. Sci.*, 1981, **6**, 117–119.
- 225 J. Barrett, *J. Helminthol.*, 1983, **52**, 1–18.
- 226 J. Barrett, A. H. Mendis and P. E. Butterworth, *Int. J. Parasitol.*, 1986, **16**, 465–469.
- 227 P. Kohler, *Parasitol. Today*, 1991, **7**, 21–25.
- 228 R. S. Rew and H. J. Saz, *J. Parasitol.*, 1977, **63**, 123–129.
- 229 M. Shahabuddin, T. Toyoshima, M. Aikawa and D. C. Kaslow, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 1993, **90**, 4266–4270.
- 230 G. W. Gooday, L. J. Brydon and L. H. Chappell, *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.*, 1988, **29**, 223–225.
- 231 J. A. Fuhrman, W. S. Lane, R. F. Smith, W. F. Piessens and F. B. Perler, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 1992, **89**, 1548–1552.
- 232 N. Raghavan, D. O. Freedman, P. C. Fitzgerald, T. R. Unnasch, E. A. Ottesen and T. B. Nutman, *Infect. Immun.*, 1994, **62**, 1901–1908.
- 233 S. Sakuda, A. Isogai, S. Matsumoto and A. Suzuki, *J. Antibiot.*, 1987, **40**, 296–300.
- 234 C. A. Behm, *Int. J. Parasitol.*, 1997, **27**, 215–229.
- 235 D. Fairbairn, *Can. J. Zool.*, 1958, **36**, 787–795.
- 236 J. W. Powell, J. N. Stables and R. A. Watt, *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.*, 1986, **18**, 171–182.
- 237 J. W. Powell, J. N. Stables and R. A. Watt, *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.*, 1986, **19**, 265–271.
- 238 J. S. McCarthy, M. Wieseman, J. Tropea, D. Kaslow, D. Abraham, S. Lustigman, R. Tuan, R. H. Guderian and T. B. Nutman, *Infect. Immun.*, 2002, **70**, 851–858.
- 239 D. Subrahmanyam, *Ciba Found. Symp.*, 1987, **127**, 246–264.
- 240 R. K. Prichard, *Int. J. Parasitol.*, 1973, **3**, 409–417.
- 241 H. J. Saz and G. A. Dunbar, *J. Parasitol.*, 1975, **61**, 794–801.
- 242 N. F. Nelson and H. J. Saz, *J. Parasitol.*, 1984, **70**, 194–196.
- 243 J. C. W. Comley and J. J. Jaffe, *J. Parasitol.*, 1981, **67**, 609–616.
- 244 J. C. W. Comley, J. J. Jaffe and L. R. Chrin, *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.*, 1982, **5**, 19–31.
- 245 J. C. W. Comley, *Trop. Med. Parasitol.*, 1985, **36**, 10–14.
- 246 R. D. Walter, E. Ossikovski and E. J. Albiez, *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.*, 1985, **14**, 211–217.
- 247 A. H. Mendis and S. Townson, *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.*, 1985, **14**, 337–354.
- 248 M. S. Brown and J. L. Goldstein, *J. Lipid Res.*, 1980, **21**, 505–517.
- 249 J. J. Jaffe, Filarial Folate-Related Metabolism as a Potential Target for Selective Inhibitors, in *Host-Invader Interplay*, ed. H. Van den Bossche, Elsevier/North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1980, pp. 605–614.
- 250 J. C. W. Comley, J. J. Jaffe, L. R. Chrin and R. B. Smith, *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.*, 1981, **2**, 271–283.
- 251 J. J. Jaffe and L. R. Chrin, *J. Parasitol.*, 1980, **66**, 53–58.
- 252 H. L. Callahan, R. K. Crouch and E. R. James, *Parasitol. Today*, 1988, **4**, 218–225.
- 253 P. M. Brophy and D. I. Pritchard, *Parasitol. Today*, 1992, **8**, 419–422.
- 254 P. M. Brophy and J. Barrett, *Biochem. Cell Biol.*, 1990, **68**, 1288–1291.
- 255 P. M. Brophy and D. I. Pritchard, *Exp. Parasitol.*, 1994, **79**, 89–96.
- 256 P. M. Brophy and J. Barrett, *Parasitology*, 1990, **100**, 345–349.
- 257 W. Y. Precious and J. Barrett, *Parasitol. Today*, 1989, **5**, 156–160.
- 258 A. M. Michele, R. W. DeWight and A. T. John, *J. Mol. Biol.*, 1995, **246**, 21–27.
- 259 N. A. Kaushal, D. C. Kaushal and S. Ghatak, *Immunol. Invest.*, 1987, **16**, 139–149.
- 260 A. M. Campbell, A. J. van Eldik, E. Liebau, J. Barrett, P. M. Brophy, P. H. Teesdale-Spittle and M. F. Wang, *Chem.-Biol. Interact.*, 2001, **133**, 240–243.
- 261 J. Lazdins and M. Kron, *Parasitol. Today*, 1999, **15**, 305–306.
- 262 D. J. Worthington and M. A. Rosemeyer, *Eur. J. Biochem.*, 1974, **48**, 167–177.
- 263 G. Krohne-Ehrich, R. H. Schirmer and R. Untucht-Grau, *Eur. J. Biochem.*, 1977, **80**, 65–71.
- 264 K. K. Bhargava, N. Le Trang, A. Cerami and J. W. Eaton, *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.*, 1983, **9**, 29–35.
- 265 M. Yadav, A. Singh, S. Rathaur and E. Liebau, *J. Mol. Graphics Modell.*, 2010, **28**, 435–445.
- 266 J. Lewis, C. Wu, J. Levine and H. Berg, *Neuroscience*, 1980, **5**, 967–989.
- 267 R. Helm, M. E. Selkirk, J. E. Bradley, R. G. Burns, A. J. Hamilton, J. Croft and R. M. Maizels, *Parasite Immunol.*, 1989, **11**, 479–502.
- 268 S. Guenette, R. K. Prichard, R. D. Klein and G. Matlashewski, *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.*, 1991, **44**, 153–164.
- 269 L. Tang and R. K. Prichard, *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.*, 1989, **32**, 145–152.
- 270 E. Lacey, *Int. J. Parasitol.*, 1988, **18**, 885–936.
- 271 E. Lacey and R. K. Prichard, *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.*, 1986, **19**, 171–181.
- 272 K. M. Pfarr, A. Hoerauf, G. M. Koenig, S. Specht, A. Schiefer, T. F. Schaeberle, A. Schmitz and S. Kehraus, *US Pat.*, US 2015/0182497 A1, 2015.



- 273 R. Kalyanasundaram, *US Pat.*, US 2013/0236490 A1, 2013.
- 274 M. Alasia, H. Minoux and J.-M. Ruxer, *US Pat.*, US 2011/0184015 A1, 2011.
- 275 E. Devaney, K. O'Neill, W. Harnett, L. Whitesell and J. H. Kinnaird, *Int. J. Parasitol.*, 2005, **35**, 627–636.
- 276 P. Das, *WO Pat.*, WO 2011/145105 A2, 2011.
- 277 M. G. Nair, M. R. Dhanjeyan, M. A. Kron and Y. P. Milev, *US Pat.*, US 7,658,937 B2, 2010.
- 278 S. K. Tagboto and S. Townson, *Ann. Trop. Med. Parasitol.*, 1996, **90**, 497–505.
- 279 M. H. Bradley and V. Kumaraswamy, *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.*, 2004, **71**, 7–11.
- 280 G. Weil, *Mass Drug Administration for Lymphatic Filariasis and Onchocerciasis for Liberia (DOLF-LIBERIA)*, Washington University School of Medicine, 2015, <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01905436>.
- 281 Drug development: Onchocerciasis and Lymphatic Filariasis. Progress Report 15-New and Improved Tools, http://www.who.int/tdr/research/progress/9900/drug_dev_oncho_filariasis/en/.
- 282 M. E. Setouhy, R. M. R. Ramzy, E. S. Ahmed, A. M. Kandil, O. Hussain, H. A. Farid, H. Helmy and G. J. Weil, *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.*, 2004, **70**, 191–196.
- 283 Third WHO report on neglected tropical diseases, Investing to Overcome the Global Impact of Neglected Tropical Diseases. 2015, WHO Geneva.

